PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



July 11, 2018

PUBLIC

Mr. Bret Lane President and Chief Operating Officer Southern California Gas Company 555 West 5th Street, GT21C3 Los Angeles, CA 90013

SUBJECT: Memorialization of Southern California Gas Company's (SoCalGas) Failure to Cooperate with Safety and Enforcement Division (SED) in SED's Preliminary Investigation

Dear Mr. Lane:

I have been informed that SoCalGas is not producing certain of its own contractors for SED to examine under oath, even though SED has requested that SoCalGas produce them to appear at the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission) headquarters in San Francisco, CA. Specifically, SED has requested SoCalGas produce

In response to

SED's request, SED's counsel learned from SoCalGas's counsel on or about the week of June 18, 2018 that SoCalGas would produce only one of these contractors to talk with SED investigators and attorneys, either by phone, or in Houston.

By not producing all of these requested individuals in person at the Commission headquarters, SoCalGas is not cooperating with SED's direction in this preliminary investigation for two main reasons. First, California Public Utilities Code Section 702¹ requires:

Every public utility shall obey and comply with every order, decision, direction, or rule made or prescribed by the commission in the matters specified in this part, or any other matter in any way relating to or affecting its business as a public utility, and shall do everything necessary or proper to secure compliance therewith by all of its officers, *agents*, and employees. (Emphasis added.)

Recognizing and applying Section 702,² the California Supreme Court has held:

217845109

¹ All future references to sections of the California Public Utilities Code shall be referenced in shorthand as "Section."

² Snyder v. Southern Cal. Edison Co., 44 Cal.2d 793, 796.

Where the law imposes a definite, affirmative duty upon one by reason of his relationship with others, whether as an owner or proprietor of land or chattels or in some other capacity, such persons cannot escape liability for a failure to perform the duty thus imposed by entrusting it to an independent contractor. . . . It is immaterial whether the duty thus regarded as 'nondelegable' be imposed by statute, charter or by common law. *Id.* at 800.

SoCalGas has suggested an agency relationship with	via the attached
(Attachment A), where it specifically asserted	
	,- Then, SoCalGas refused
to produce some of those same	for examination under oath on the
basis that they were neither employees nor agents of SoC	alGas. Asserting attorney-client
privilege over an agent, but then hiding behind the non-en	
the Commission's jurisdiction, and the specific direction	to produce pursuant to
Section 702. SoCalGas's position also evades SED's inv	
SoCalGas's position was allowed, SoCalGas would be en	·
to do all of its regulated work so as to avoid p	
of that work to the Commission. Such a result is particular	arly dire given the Commission's
concern regarding safety, and in the specific context of So	oCalGas's safety-related Aliso Canyon
incident on October 23, 2015.	•
Second,	
 Moreover, because SoCalGas 	
. Given that authority, the means the	nat SoCalGas must produce the
³ See Attachment A, in	n Response to SED Data Request 16
Question 3. For example,	

<u>6</u>

⁵ For examples of SED's discovery authority, *see*, See also Cal Pub. Util. Code §314, which states in part, "The commission, each commissioner, and any officer of the commission or any employee authorized to administer oaths may examine under oath any officer, *agent*, or employee of a public utility in relation to its business and affairs." (Emphasis added.) *See also*, Cal. Pub. Util. Code §311. "The commission, each commissioner, the executive director, and the assistant executive directors may administer oaths, certify to all official acts, and issue subpoenas for the attendance of witnesses and the production of papers, waybills, books, accounts, documents, and testimony in any inquiry, investigation, hearing, or proceeding in any part of the state."



SED puts SoCalGas on notice that it is formally requesting SoCalGas produce at the Commission headquarters in San Francisco the following individuals from



If SED has misunderstood SoCalGas's position, and SoCalGas is willing to cooperate with SED's preliminary investigation by producing the requested individuals, please let us know in writing. We would be happy to coordinate with you to accommodate schedules as much as practicable.

As another gesture of cooperation on SoCalGas's part, SED is requesting that SoCalGas make public the Agreement, and its , and its , both of which are attached to this letter. In the interest of transparency, SED is also requesting that SoCalGas agree that all aspects of this letter can be made public. Please advise in writing whether SoCalGas is willing to cooperate with these requests.

If you have any questions, please contact Nicholas Sher and Darryl Gruen.

Sincerely,

/s/ ELIZABETA MALASHENKO

Elizaveta Malashenko Director-Safety and Enforcement Division, CPUC

cc:

Lee Palmer, Deputy Director – Safety and Enforcement Division Kenneth Bruno, Program Manager – Safety and Enforcement Division Jimmie Cho, Senior Vice President Rodger Schwecke, VP Storage & Transmission Gas Sabina Chlorefine-Counsel, SoCalGas

ATTACHMENT A

(Provided only in Confidential Version)

ATTACHMENT B

(Provided only in Confidential Version)