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----- Original Message ------
From: LEONARD ROHALEY
Sent: Tuesday, October 03, 2006 10:25 PM
To: lmcdkr@msn.com
Subject: No vote on Antelope-Pardee 500kv Transmission Project

To Whom it may concern,

the proposed re-route 5 for the Antelope - Pardee transmission project will have an adverse affect on the social and economic structure of the Leona Valley community.

Edison has a proposed route along an existing ROW corridor. This route will only consume 227 new acres of land. The alternate 5 re-route will consume/destroy over 698 acres of new land. this also includes the displacement of several families and there livestock in the path of alternate 5 re-route. Property values will drop dramatically - value will be diminished because the comparable value of the properties closest to the towers has diminished. Electromagnetic fields (EMF's) - Although controversial, they have a definite association with higher cancer rates, brain anomalies, and other "unexplainable" ailments. Habitat - the exact same habit the ANF are trying to protect in the forest will disappear on our private properties

Although these are only a few of the adverse effects the list can go on and on. So I encourage you to vote no on the alternate 5 re-route.
Response to Comment Set C.223: Leonard Rohaley

C.223-1 Section C.12.10 of the Draft EIR/EIS provides the analysis of socioeconomic impacts associated with Alternative 5. As discussed, Alternative 5 may result in the purchase and removal of home, which would result in a significant and unavoidable impact (Class I). All other socioeconomic impacts were determined to be less than significant (Class II or Class III) or even beneficial (Class IV).

C.223-2 The land disturbance impacts of the proposed Project and Alternative 5 are provided in Table B.2-7 and B.4-21, respectively. The total disturbed area during construction has been updated in the Final EIR/EIS and is approximately 127 acres for the proposed Project, of which 68 acres would be restored resulting in 59 acres of permanent land disturbance, versus approximately 151 acres for Alternative 5, of which 92 acres would be restored resulting in 59 acres of permanent land disturbance.

C.223-3 Displacement of homes resulting from Alternative 5 is discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.9.10. Please see General Response GR-1 regarding effects on property values.

C.223-4 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF.

C.223-5 Biological resources impacts associated with Alternative 5 are discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.3.10. The vegetation communities are mapped in Figure C.3-10A through C.3-10D.

C.223-6 Thank you for providing your opinion on Alternative 5. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project at the CPUC and the USDA Forest Service.