Comment Set C.86: Mary Lou Apple

From: mlapple@juno.com
Sent: Thu 9/14/2006 11:45 PM
To: Antelope-Pardee Project
Cc: jahale@hotmail.com
Subject: Opposition to Alternate 5 option of Antelope-Pardee 500kV Transmission Line

Please note opposition to the location of this project and see attachment.

California Public Utilities Commission

Public Meeting Comments

Opposition to Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-KV
Transmission Project
Proposed Alternate 5

Date: September 14, 2006
Name: Mary Lou Apple
Affiliation: Friends with Property Owner in Area and Concerned Environmentalist
Address: 923 N. Isabel St., Glendale, Ca. 91207
Telephone: 818/241-5493
Email: mlapple@juno.com

This letter is in direct opposition to the proposed alternate 5 option of the transmission project.

My belief is that the original proposed site through the forest area would be less expensive as well as less intrusive on the environment, not to mention a shorter route. It only makes sense to me that the less an area is impacted by this powerful electric extension can only be safer for all concerned.

My other deep concern is that this proposed alternate 5 option will be built way too close to families living in the area. There is a great deal of information and science that suggests that high powered electromagnetic fields adversely affect the health of people living in close proximity to them, particularly children.

I realize that our growing population requires improving the electrical power system in California, and I do not object. I only implore you to use reason and sensibility in choosing the route, the original proposed route.

Mary Lou Apple
Response to Comment Set C.86: Mary Lou Apple

C.86-1 Thank you for submitting your opinion regarding Alternative 5. Although project cost is not discussed in the Draft EIR/EIS, we agree that due to the increased length of Alternative 5, it would cost substantially more than the proposed Project. Your comments will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.

C.86-2 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding electric and magnetic field (EMF) concerns.