Comment Set D.19: Gary and Judy Naeve

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Scoping Comments
Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9-5-06
Name*: GARY & JUDY NAeve
Affiliation (if any):* —
Address*: 10800 Lost Valley Ranch Rd
City, State, Zip Code*: LEONA VALLEY, CA 93551
Telephone Number*: (local) 270-1886
Email*: NA —

We would like to protest this letter by saying we have never been formally
informed of the Antelope-Pardee 500-kV transmission project. If it had not been
for the Pinto Valley Proposition (Prop 78) we feel we would not have been
informed of this project which will have all 5 power lines 1000 ft in front of us. Because
of this we feel that our homes should be granted a variance and that
we would like to voice our strong opposition to this.

To the project planners:

FIRE: We live in a high fire risk area where there is a fire firefighter must have
the support of fire fighting aircraft and helicopters to fight. We already have an existing
firefighting pump on the hill in the south of us. If the power lines would add glass to the north
side of us making it very difficult if not impossible to fight a fire from the air.
Our firefighters need the air assets.

MEDICAL: These lines have an electromagnetic field. It is a fact more and more
are dying. Those lines have an electromagnetic field. It is a fact more and more
are dying. Those lines have an electromagnetic field. It is a fact more and more
are dying. Those lines have an electromagnetic field. It is a fact more and more
are dying. Those lines have an electromagnetic field. It is a fact more and more
are dying. Those lines have an electromagnetic field. It is a fact more and more
are dying.

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspenev.com.
Animals instinctively shy away from high power lines, and structures (e.g., schools) are prohibited from being built beneath high voltage lines so these lines must not be safe to be around.

SAFETY: People would have to be put in for access to these 500-kV towers for construction and the maintenance of them. We have learned one thing for sure, if there is a dirt road there will be off road vehicles (ARVs) on them, legally or not. Our police are stretched to the max enforcing laws on them. Who will patrol these roads? Who will ensure no one throws out lit cigarettes or start a fire? Who can guarantee that our quiet valley would not become a noisy place that not only would be a noise pollutant but would scare the wild life that so peacefully enjoy our valley. Dirt roads equal more traffic, more noise, a greater chance of accidents, greater chance of fires, mast of all more destruction of the new corral.

In conclusion: We live in a beautiful peaceful environment. A corridor already exists with high voltage lines. Let us plead that the existing corridor be used, and that no more land is scarred, our wild life is displaced and more importantly that the ecology/ environment not be disrupted any more than it has been.

CC: B. Ramussi, State Senator
      B. Ramussi, State Assembly
      M. Anderson, Supervisor

Thank you

Large Group Name, Homeowners
Response to Comment Set D.19: Gary and Judy Naeve

D.19-1 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding noticing procedures on the Draft EIR/EIS.

D.19-2 We recognize that Alternative 5 would constrain the ability to aggressively fight a wildland fire in the vicinity of the route, and would create additional fire risks to inhabited areas such as Leona Valley and Agua Dulce (see discussion in Section D.5). Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.

D.19-3 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding EMF concerns. Also, please see the response to Comment B.15-5 regarding impacts to domesticated livestock. Based on the research presented in “Transmission Line Studies Priest Rapids Project FERC No. 2114 Final Report”, while specific individual horses may appear to be influenced by transmission lines, the consensus is that the behavior of the majority of livestock is not impacted by transmission lines.

D.19-4 Section C.11.10 of the Draft EIR/EIS discusses the effects of Alternative 5 on public service providers, including police. Please also see Section C.13.10 for an evaluation of the potential impacts to traffic from construction of Alternative 5 and the creation of new roads.

D.19-5 Thank you for submitting your opinion on Alternative 5.