Comment Set D.28: Nancie Crannell

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Scoping Comments
Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: AUG 28, 2006
Name*: NANCIE CRANNEAL
Affiliation (if any)*:
Address*: 10254 LEONA AVE.
City, State, Zip Code*: LEONA VALLEY, CA 93551
Telephone Number*: 661-270-1494
Email:*

I oppose the construction of high power lines in Leona Valley Alternative #5. The photos I saw didn’t show houses on 107th St where the power lines will be in their yards.
I moved out here for quietness, aloneness & God’s beauty.
Those hills on 107th will have snow, those power lines will take away that beauty I look forward to each winter. And I don’t think it was fair that we, Leona Valley, weren’t informed about all the meetings before this last one on Aug 28th 2006.

Thank you*

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.
Response to Comment Set D.28: Nancie Crannell

D.28-1 No existing homes were deleted out of photographs of existing landscape conditions. In all photographs of existing conditions, no landscape features were removed or altered in any way. If photographs of existing landscape conditions show vacant lands, it is because the view across these existing vacant lands provided excellent observation of landscapes that would be affected by construction and operation of a new 500-kV transmission line. As described in Section C.15.1.1, photographs used in the EIR/EIS were taken from vantage points called key observation positions (KOPs). Each KOP was carefully selected to display the typical or worst-case view from major travel routes or use areas that provide visual access to affected landscapes. From dozens of potential observer positions, and in consultation with CPUC and Forest Service personnel, 14 locations were selected as KOPs for detailed analysis of the proposed Project, and 14 additional KOPs were selected for detailed analysis of alternatives.

D.28-2 Please see General Response GR-5 regarding the noticing procedures for the EIR/EIS.