Comment Set D.81: Michael Hester

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Scoping Comments
Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: 9/18/06
Name*: MICHAEL HESTER
Affiliation (if any):*
Address*: 40101 92ND ST. WEST
City, State, Zip Code*: LEONA VALLEY, CA 93551
Telephone Number*: 661 270-9031
Email*: N/A

THE ONLY ROUTE THAT IS ACCEPTABLE FOR UPGRADED
TRANSMISSION LINES WOULD BE THE CURRENT ROUTE.
THIS IS THE LEAST DISRUPTIVE. THIS MAY DISRUPT SOME
WILDLIFE IN THE FOREST BUT, THE ANIMALS WILL COME BACK.
THE OTHER ROUTES HAVE FAMILIES HAVING TO MOVE TO
ANOTHER LOCATION. FAMILIES CANT COME BACK. IT IS
NOT RIGHT OR MORAL TO TAKE AWAY PEOPLES HOMES
SO THAT A FEW ANIMALS WONT BE DISTURBED. PEOPLE
HAVE BUILT THEIR HOMES AROUND THE EXISTING
ROUTE. I'M OPPOSED TO ALL THE ROUTES EXCEPT THE
EXISTING ROUTE THAT THE TRANSMISSION LINES ARE ON
NOW. MIKE HESTER

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.
Response to Comment Set D.81: Michael Hester

D.81-1 Thank you for expressing your concerns on the Project and alternatives. Please note that Alternatives 1 through 4 would not require the removal of existing residences. As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the Alternative 5 alignment would be constructed across approximately 103 privately owned parcels. However, the majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. Given that SCE has not conducted any engineering design or routing studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes could occur. As such, Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3) concluded that potential impacts to residential land uses as a result of Alternative 5 would be significant.