Comment Set D.95: Al and Robin Gregg

CALIFORNIA PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
Public Meeting Comments
Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project

Date: Sept 29, 2006
Name*: Al & Robin Gregg
Affiliation (if any)*: 
Address*: 8600 Hiersa Road
City, State, Zip Code*: Azusa, CA 91702
Telephone Number*: 661-268-0070
Email*: RNA0070@aol.com

We are writing to you regarding the Proposed Antelope-Pardee 500-kV Transmission Project, planned within 800 yards from our home. We moved here 15 yrs ago to raise our children and have a serene lifestyle. We would find the visual impact very devastating but also the change in all the health issues. Our home property is valued in the high 700k. Edision proposes would most definitely result in a great equity loss. Our home is in a high fire area and we now understand that the fire dept. will not light near these lines. Fire risk will be greater and the alternative will result in the greatest demand for fire protection. More homes property would be at risk in the event of a wildland fire. The fire dept. fights our fires mostly by air which would be impossible to navigate around these 200+ towers. In closing we think the original route that Edision proposed is the only way to make Agua Dulce residents safe and continue our lifestyle that we have spent time and money to achieve.

*Please print. Your name, address, and comments become public information and may be released to interested parties if requested.

Please either deposit this sheet at the sign-in table before you leave today, or fold, stamp, and mail. Insert additional sheets if needed. Comments must be postmarked by September 18, 2006. Comments may also be faxed to the project hotline at (661) 215-5152 or emailed to antelope-pardee@aspeneg.com.
Response to Comment Set D.95: Al and Robin Gregg

D.95-1 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.15.10.2, the change to existing views as a result of infrastructure construction are considered a significant and unavoidable impact of Alternative.5. Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.

D.95-2 Please see General Response GR-3 regarding potential EMF health impacts.

D.95-3 Please see General Response GR-1 regarding potential effects on property values.

D.95-4 We recognize that Alternative 5 would constrain the ability to aggressively fight a wildland fire in the vicinity of the route, and would create additional fire risks to inhabited areas such as Leona Valley and Agua Dulce (see discussion in Section D.5). Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.