

Comment Set D1  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

388

1           BEAUMONT, CALIFORNIA, JUNE 7, 2006 - 7:12 P.M.

2                                   \* \* \* \* \*

3           ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TERKEURST: Please come  
4 to order.

5                       This is the time and place for a public  
6 hearing Application 05-04-015, the Commission's  
7 proceeding in the matter of the application of Southern  
8 California Edison Company for a Certificate of Public  
9 Convenience and Necessity concerning the Devers-Palo  
10 Verde No. 2 transmission line project.

11                   My name is Charlotte TerKeurst. I'm the  
12 administrative law judge assigned to this proceeding.

13                   There is another public participation hearing  
14 scheduled tomorrow evening at seven o'clock at the  
15 UC Riverside Palm Desert Campus, Room B-114.  
16 The address is 75080 Frank Sinatra Drive in Palm Desert,  
17 California. If you have additional thoughts after this  
18 evening's meeting or if you know of anyone else who  
19 might want to participate, please let them know about  
20 that meeting. We will be there as well. And there is  
21 another environmental workshop in the afternoon from  
22 3:00 to 5:00 p.m. at the same location if you want to  
23 talk with the environmental staff further about  
24 the project.

25                   I think we've already mentioned Billie  
26 Blanchard -- in the green in the back of the room -- is  
27 the project manager on the environmental analysis that  
28 is being undertaken on this project.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D1, cont.  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

389

1                   We have representatives here -- I believe John  
2 Kalish is here -- from the Bureau of Land Management.  
3 We're doing a joint environmental review of the project  
4 with the Bureau of Land Management.

5                   There are representatives from Southern  
6 California Edison here. And if you could identify  
7 yourself.

8           MR. HORN: Jack Horn.

9           MR. PEARSON: And Dan Pearson.

10          ALJ TERKEURST: Thank you.

11          MS. JUNIPER: And Lynn Juniper.

12          ALJ TERKEURST: Thank you.

13                   So if anyone has questions of them, they  
14 should be available for a little while at least after we  
15 end this hearing for you to discuss with them.

16                   The environmental review that is being  
17 undertaken is one part of the formal process that  
18 the Commission undertakes in reviewing a utility's  
19 request to build a project like the transmission project  
20 that Edison has proposed in this proceeding.

21                   The environmental impact documents that are  
22 provided are for the Commission's use, but we also have  
23 a more formal process where we take evidence about not  
24 just environmental issues but the need for the project,  
25 the costs for the project, any other matters that  
26 the Commission needs to consider as it considers  
27 the utility's application.

28                   We had one set of hearings in January on

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D1, cont.  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

390

1 the economic analysis that has been done. One of  
2 the primary reasons that Southern California Edison has  
3 given for wanting to build this line is the ability to  
4 access less expensive power in Arizona and bring it into  
5 California. So we've taken testimony on how they did  
6 that, the economic analysis; how other parties did  
7 the economic analysis.

8 The California Independent System Operator  
9 submitted testimony on their economic analysis. The  
10 Commission's own Division of Ratepayer Advocates, which  
11 is a separate group from the environmental group,  
12 submitted testimony, and we had hearings. And I'm in  
13 the process of preparing a proposed decision for the  
14 Commission's consideration on the methodology.

15 We have additional hearings scheduled in mid  
16 July, at which time we will be looking at the  
17 environmental issues based on the environmental impact  
18 report that you've been looking at at the back of  
19 the room. We will also be looking at final cost  
20 estimates that Edison has prepared based on  
21 the environmental information that has become available.  
22 And then there will be briefs on the evidentiary issues  
23 and I will prepare a proposed Decision for the  
24 Commission's consideration. The expectation is is that  
25 the Commission will issue a decision on Edison's request  
26 by the end of this year.

27 That is the basic explanation of the process.

28 Does anyone have any questions about it?

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D1, cont.  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

391

1 MR. SMITH: A question.

2 ALJ TERKEURST: Yes. And could you identify  
3 yourself.

4 MR. SMITH: Ralph Smith. Sorry.

5 Regarding the cost: I realize that the cost  
6 will be picked up by individual users of the electricity  
7 as time goes on in the future; is that correct?

8 ALJ TERKEURST: That's a simplification of it.  
9 And Edison might be able to provide you a more detailed  
10 explanation, but I can give you my understanding --

11 MR. SMITH: Mm-hmm.

12 ALJ TERKEURST: -- at a high level.

13 At this point, the entire transmission system  
14 that is controlled by the California Independent System  
15 Operator has transmission rates that are charged for  
16 the entire area. So the costs of this project would be  
17 added to the costs that are used to set the rates. So,  
18 yes, at the end of the day, yes, the ratepayers do end  
19 up paying for it.

20 MR. SMITH: Okay. One other side issue of that.  
21 That is as we all know, the cost of fabrication and  
22 construction keeps going up month to month, if not year  
23 to year, like if Boston and their tunnel for example.  
24 It's way over price from what they estimated. Have you  
25 allowed or has -- whoever is analyzing the problem, have  
26 they allowed for an overrun of some magnitude in case  
27 the costs of moving towers and all that construction  
28 exceeds their estimates? Have they allowed some part

D1-1

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D1, cont.  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

392

1 of -- for that problem?

2 ALJ TERKEURST: That's one of the issues that is  
3 in the July hearings. And Southern California Edison's  
4 testimony was just submitted last week. I've not  
5 reviewed it yet. But you could ask Edison if that is in  
6 their estimates.

7 MR. SMITH: Okay.

8 ALJ TERKEURST: Anyone else?

9 (No response).

10 ALJ TERKEURST: No one has signed up to submit  
11 comments this evening, so I guess this will be a fairly  
12 short hearing.

13 The comment period at this point is open on  
14 the Environmental Impact Report through July 5th. And  
15 you can submit written comments on the Draft  
16 Environmental Impact Report/Environmental Impact  
17 Statement. And you can get the address if you don't  
18 have it from Billie Blanchard. I won't read it into the  
19 record. You won't have the transcript so that won't  
20 help you. But even after that period closes, you can  
21 submit written comments up until the Commission issues  
22 its decision to me or to the Commission. So once  
23 the environmental impact review period ends, that  
24 doesn't mean that your opportunity to let the Commission  
25 know about your concerns has terminated.

26 And the Commission's address is 505 Van Ness  
27 Avenue in San Francisco, 94102. You would need to  
28 reference the application number in your letter so that

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

D1-1 cont.

Comment Set D1, cont.  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

393

1 it gets circulated and filed in the correspondence file  
2 in the proceeding properly.

3           You can direct -- you can send it either to  
4 me, Charlotte TerKeurst. You can also send it to  
5 the Docket Office -- Process Office probably, and then  
6 it would get routed to me. But probably the easiest  
7 thing would be if you just send it directly to me and  
8 then I would make sure it gets circulated to the  
9 commissioners and then placed in the correspondence file  
10 in the proceeding.

11           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Ms. TerKeurst, can you  
12 spell your last name for me.

13           ALJ TERKEURST: T-e-r-k-e-u-r-s-t.

14           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.

15           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What was the first name  
16 again?

17           ALJ TERKEURST: Charlotte. C-h-a-r-l-o-t-t-e.

18           Is there anything else this evening?

19           (No response).

20           ALJ TERKEURST: If not, then this public  
21 participation hearing is adjourned.

22           I really appreciate everyone coming out,  
23 the interest that you've shown. I hope that  
24 Ms. Blanchard and the other people here have been able  
25 to answer your questions. And if you do have further  
26 comments, do let us know.

27           Thank you.

28

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA



Responses to Comment Set D1  
Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – June 7, 2006

Ralph Smith

- D1-1 Please refer to the response by Administrative Law Judge Charlotte TerKeurst included in the transcripts of this hearing (see Comment Set D1) for a description of how the cost of the Proposed Project would be incorporated into statewide transmission rates.

Comment Set D2  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

395

1 PALM DESERT, CALIFORNIA, JUNE 8, 2006 - 7:20 P.M.

2 \* \* \* \* \*

3 ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE TERKEURST: Please come  
4 to order.

5 This is the time and place for a second public  
6 participation hearing Application 05-04-015,  
7 the application of Southern California Edison Company  
8 for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity  
9 concerning the Devers-Palo Verde No. 2 transmission line  
10 project.

11 I am Charlotte TerKeurst, the administrative  
12 law judge assigned to the proceeding.

13 It is 7:35 and one member of the public has  
14 appeared this evening and wishes to make a statement on  
15 the record, and I will ask him to go forward at this  
16 time.

17 This is Julian Veselkov. Please proceed.

18 STATEMENT OF MR. VESELKOV

19 MR. VESELKOV: Okay. What it is the new proposed  
20 line will come very close to my property especially to  
21 my house. And actually the bus cable, the conductor  
22 will be very top of the corner of my property which  
23 is -- and the house will be 200 feet from the cable  
24 which is going to carry about half a million volt  
25 electricity. Even more than half a million volt.

26 In certain conditions the cables can be  
27 deadly. It's -- I had a letter and I explained in  
28 the letter because towers which going to support

D2-1

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

396

1 the cables are along the road and it could happen a  
2 truck to hit the tower and the cables can fall on  
3 the house. That's one thing.

D2-1 cont.

4 The other thing is it's possible the airport,  
5 which is very close there, also something to happen with  
6 the airplane to fall on the cables. And that's  
7 mechanical failure on the cables besides the electrical  
8 danger of the cables. If something happen, these cables  
9 not -- under not normal conditions, operating  
10 conditions.

11 And the other thing is case of emergency,  
12 these cables, when they're fully loaded and one station  
13 shuts down, the cable is fully loaded and the current  
14 will shrink and will shoot -- zap actually. It's going  
15 to be like thunderstorm light. It can happen throughout  
16 the whole length of the cables, but I'm still there  
17 about 200 feet from them. And that's my real concern  
18 about the danger of these cables.

D2-2

19 I spoke with the magnetic field engineer from  
20 Edison and there was no, the engineer said to me we  
21 don't measure the magnetic -- the electric field because  
22 it's not something we measure; we measure only magnetic  
23 fields.

D2-3

24 And at the moment, the existing lines at the  
25 very end, which is on my property which is very close to  
26 the lines, what I've drawn over there (indicating) is  
27 when I put up any chain-link fence I notice the cables  
28 are inducting at least into the chain-link fence. And

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

397

1 if they come close another 100 to 120 feet closer, that  
2 chain-link fence will be basically electrically loaded  
3 because the magnetic field will pulsate and will induct  
4 into the chain-link fence. That's the fourth thing  
5 which actually makes my property basically useless  
6 around the cables over there.

7 I think Edison should relocate me and make  
8 that safe corridor for their cables for their operation.  
9 I propose to Edison to move because Edison has -- owns  
10 900 feet of corridor behind my property. I propose to  
11 them to move the new line to move it instead to be  
12 the edge of the corridor to move it into the middle.  
13 And I didn't hear any response about that in positive  
14 way. They, Edison wants to put it on the edge, which  
15 gonna be on the side of my house and all these problems  
16 will rise.

17 I -- that's pretty much all.

18 MR. HORNE: I guess just for the sake of  
19 the record, you referred to a letter that you sent. And  
20 I think, Susan, you pointed out that that was during  
21 the scoping meetings.

22 MS. LEE: That's correct.

23 MR. HORNE: That letter is part of the scoping  
24 report that CPUC published.

25 ALJ TERKEURST: Can you identify yourself.

26 MR. HORNE: I'm Jack Horne with SCE.

27 ALJ TERKEURST: And we probably need  
28 Mr. Veselkov's address in the record.

D2-3 cont.

D2-4

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

398

1                   You'd written down P.O. Box 580453 in North  
2 Palm Springs.

3           MR. VESELKOV: California, 92258.

4           ALJ TERKEURST: Do we need a street address though  
5 so we can identify the location?

6           MS. LEE: He had written it up there.

7           MR. VESELKOV: My street address is 64639 Dillon  
8 Road. And my mailing address is 580453 P.O. Box. North  
9 Palm Springs, California 92258.

10           ALJ TERKEURST: All right. And Mr. Horne, you  
11 said that Edison has done some research into this  
12 problem?

13           MR. HORNE: We've done some. The gentleman  
14 referred to our EMF -- one of our EMF specialists Brian  
15 Thorson has been --

16           MR. VESELKOV: He came to convince me the magnetic  
17 field which is emitting the line, the existing line,  
18 the magnetic field is sharply diminished with the  
19 distance from the cables.

20                   I am not concerned about the magnetic field.  
21 I'm concerned about the electric shock and inducing  
22 electricity into metal objects around the cables.  
23 Because even with Brian Thorson, I had my multimeter and  
24 the multimeter was showing zaps over a thousand volts.  
25 They're momentarily, but they are there, the existing  
26 distance from existing line. And the proposed line will  
27 come --

28                   Another 120 feet?

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

399

1 MS. LEE: 130 feet from the existing towers.

2 MR. VESELKOV: Is going to be 130 feet closer. So  
3 what happen at the moment at the edge of my property is  
4 going to be -- is going to happen to the front step of  
5 my house.

6 ALJ TERKEURST: Do any of your neighbors have  
7 similar problems?

8 MR. VESELKOV: Pretty much alone in  
9 the development out there. It's only residence and  
10 the big -- around me is open desert because the lots are  
11 big, something like 40 acres, and they're not, they're  
12 just -- it's only my house over there.

13 MR. HORNE: You did mention one property adjacent  
14 to yours.

15 MR. VESELKOV: Yes. There's a guy whose house is  
16 going to be directly under the cables. It's shown on  
17 the aerial pictures. And his house will be directly  
18 under the power lines, but he's not at the meeting at  
19 the moment.

20 MR. HORNE: And you're not sure, without talking  
21 to him. To date, you're not sure that he has any  
22 issues?

23 MR. VESELKOV: He doesn't live in the house. He  
24 lives in the Los Angeles area. And he's an older man.  
25 And I don't have contacts with him at all.

26 MR. HORNE: So we don't know if he does or does  
27 not have any issues, is that fair to say?

28 MR. VESELKOV: I don't know that.

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

400

1           I know there is two more houses which are  
2 along the power lines which going to face the same  
3 situation in that area. And there's four residence  
4 which are same situation like mine.

5           I actually -- maybe that's only four houses  
6 entire length of the new line.

7           MR. HORNE: So of the four, you're one in four?

8           MR. VESELKOV: I'm one of the four. The most  
9 vocal.

10          MR. HORNE: The most vocal. The one that's here.  
11 But of those four, the only one we're sure that has an  
12 issue is you. The other three we don't know.

13          MR. VESELKOV: They probably don't know what is  
14 going to happen. They don't probably speak or read  
15 English or -- I don't know. I cannot represent them.

16          MR. HORNE: Right. Right.

17          MR. VESELKOV: But on the aerial picture, I can  
18 prove they're the same distance from the cables what  
19 I am. They're going to face the same problems what I am  
20 facing -- if this line come closer to the house.

21          MR. HORNE: In our conversation earlier, were you  
22 concerned that -- or at least pointing out specifically  
23 that where your house is located happens to fall midway  
24 through the --

25          MR. VESELKOV: Yes.

26          MR. HORNE: -- two towers.

27          MR. VESELKOV: My house is in between the two  
28 towers, right in the middle. And the cables when they

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

401

1 are suspended, they make the belly. And for some  
2 engineer reason, the belly of these cables is almost  
3 40 feet above the ground. So the cable is really,  
4 really low there. And that that kind of multiplied  
5 the magnetic fields which induces into the metal objects  
6 around the cable. The higher they are, the less  
7 induction there will be. The more loaded the cables are  
8 during the summer time, the more electricity will be  
9 inducing metal objects. Like carrying copper pipes or  
10 anything metal around, goes to the cables, will induce  
11 this current.

12 MR. HORNE: So it's fair to say because of where  
13 you happen to be located, the lowest distance, the place  
14 where the lines sag to the lowest point, right, between  
15 the two towers?

16 MR. VESELKOV: It happen this way with my house.

17 MR. HORNE: That's unique to your property. It  
18 may be a difference with the other three property.

19 MR. VESELKOV: I did not pay close attention: are  
20 they also in the middle or between towers or not. We  
21 may check on the aerial pictures because in the aerial  
22 pictures it's shown exact location on the houses. And  
23 the existing and proposed towers. So they may face the  
24 same problem also.

25 MR. HORNE: And they may not; we just don't know.

26 MR. VESELKOV: I don't know. I cannot speak to  
27 that.

28 MR. HORNE: Right.

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

402

1 ALJ TERKEURST: But we have the information that  
2 would allow that to be determined?

3 MS. LEE: Right.

4 ALJ TERKEURST: Also, there was a comment that  
5 the lines may go over some of the existing houses.

6 MR. VESELKOV: Yes. My neighbor, which will be on  
7 the west side, because the power line is cutting about  
8 45-degree to the property lines, his house is coming  
9 closer to the meeting point to where the cables will  
10 come -- the new line will come. And his house basically  
11 will be on the end of the existing -- of the proposed  
12 line. That's the guy who isn't present now. He's very  
13 old man with diabetes and legally blind. So maybe  
14 that's only reason he doesn't come here because old age  
15 and he really can't do anything at that point.

16 MR. HORNE: Can I ask just a question for  
17 clarification? In your view the new line, which isn't  
18 there yet, might, you're saying, go directly over  
19 the structure of his house?

20 MR. VESELKOV: Something very close to that.  
21 I pretty much -- I'm pretty sure it's going to be if  
22 it's not 30 feet, maybe 30 or 40 feet or maybe directly  
23 under the cable. I cannot say exactly because  
24 the situation with my fence and the general direction of  
25 the lines and what I see from the aerial pictures, his  
26 house will be very close to the lines.

27 MR. HORNE: So do you know if your other neighbors  
28 that you mentioned have talked to Edison?

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

403

1 MR. VESELKOV: There's only four people. One is  
2 this guy. His name is Emil Kollar. He's from Hungary.  
3 There's another lady lives pretty much in the middle of  
4 the desert very close to the cables. And then it's  
5 another house which is across the Dillon Road, close  
6 going up south and west. That's only four houses which  
7 gonna be at that distance. And there's open desert  
8 everywhere after that point.

9 ALJ TERKEURST: And Ms. Lee, this is something  
10 that the environmental experts can look at to determine  
11 how close the cables would actually come to the existing  
12 structure?

13 MS. LEE: The issue that we looked at in the  
14 environmental impact report slash statement was the  
15 question of whether the induced current would cause a  
16 safety concern because the public health and safety is  
17 one of the concerns we look at in the environmental  
18 report. So we have a mitigation measure in  
19 the environmental report that I asked him to look at and  
20 let us know if he thought it resolved the question that  
21 he had raised in the scoping. And he wanted to make his  
22 comment saying that, I think, that he doesn't believe  
23 that would resolve the question. So we want to go  
24 through that.

25 ALJ TERKEURST: I guess the question I was trying  
26 to get at, and I'm not trying to put you on the spot, if  
27 you know where the proposed towers would be, you can  
28 look at the location of the existing houses and

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

404

1 determine how close to the houses the cable would be.

2 MS. LEE: With Edison's help, we could do that  
3 because they have the property boundaries. So yeah,  
4 between the two of us, we can figure that out very  
5 fairly exactly.

6 ALJ TERKEURST: All right. Thank you.

7 MR. VESELKOV: Me and the Edison engineer, we were  
8 exactly on the spot. I brought my measuring 200-foot  
9 measuring tape and we measure where exactly, exactly  
10 the point the cables will go. Because he knew  
11 the distance between centers of the cable -- between  
12 centers of the lines. So when you are under the cable,  
13 you know exactly where the cable sits. And we measured  
14 with the tape the distance at some feet -- I forgot  
15 exactly, 120, 130 feet. I forgot. And it comes exactly  
16 where the existing corner of my property is. That's  
17 exactly where the cable will be. And he made a little  
18 note on his noting book and he give me a paper, a list,  
19 a piece of paper with the measurements of the magnetic  
20 field to show me how the magnetic field diminish 40, 50,  
21 and 80 feet under the lines, and when we get away from  
22 the lines, how the magnetic field diminish. Then we got  
23 inside my yard because the cables are outside my yard.  
24 Then we got inside my yard and we got I got my Fluke.  
25 It's a multimeter which measures current. And I show  
26 him the current fluctuates between 17 to 25 volts. When  
27 you hook it up to the chain-link fence, and from time to  
28 time my meter is going out of range which is over a

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

405

1 thousand volts zaps at current time when the cables are  
2 far away. And when they came close, this thing will be  
3 every day. If I grab my doorknob, there will be  
4 current. I cannot escape the magnetic field.

5 ALJ TERKEURST: Thank you.

6 MR. HORNE: Did you mean to say electric field?

7 MR. VESELKOV: The magnetic field will induce  
8 electric current into any metal object. So this the one  
9 coin with two faces.

10 ALJ TERKEURST: A diagram has been drawn on the  
11 board at the back of the room. Is there any information  
12 in that diagram that we need in the record?

13 MS. LEE: I took a photograph of it with my phone  
14 and I think it will be usable to attach to the record if  
15 that's acceptable.

16 Oh, you have a camera. That will be even  
17 better quality.

18 ALJ TERKEURST: I don't think we will need it so  
19 much in the PPH record.

20 MS. LEE: It will help us.

21 ALJ TERKEURST: You can treat it as an informal  
22 comment that you received.

23 MR. VESELKOV: This is exactly what is in my  
24 letter. I spread it throughout. Because it's the same  
25 thing with the measurements and more precise in scale  
26 because I draw it in scale based on Riverside County  
27 maps. Those maps for -- property maps.

28 MR. HORNE: Plot maps or something?

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

406

1 MR. VESELKOV: Plot maps, yes.

2 ALJ TERKEURST: Ms. Lee has indicated she has  
3 taken a photograph of the drawing. And she can enter  
4 that into the record as a comment on the EIR so we have  
5 that information. That was my concern.

6 Is there anything else that would be an  
7 official at this point?

8 MR. VESELKOV: I -- that's -- I could not think of  
9 anything else. That's pretty much quite a bit.

10 ALJ TERKEURST: Well, if you do have additional  
11 comments, you can send them in. You can send them to  
12 the environmental staff. And the information is on  
13 the table here on how to do that.

14 After the comment period has closed on  
15 the Environmental Impact Report, as long as the case is  
16 still pending before the Commission, you can send  
17 comments to me. And I'll give you my card.

18 MR. VESELKOV: Okay.

19 ALJ TERKEURST: And those comments would not be  
20 reflected in the Final Environmental Impact Report, but  
21 they will still be available to the Commission --

22 MR. VESELKOV: To look at them.

23 ALJ TERKEURST: -- in deciding the case.

24 MR. VESELKOV: Okay. I will do a letter with --  
25 I'll do it again.

26 ALJ TERKEURST: All right.

27 MR. VESELKOV: With small drawings in scale. And  
28 I'll -- and also I can -- it's visible on a Google

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

Comment Set D2, cont.  
Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

407

1 Internet, Google site on the map, on maps. It's  
2 one-to-one aerial picture. And the cables is visible on  
3 the Google map. So it's no -- everything is there.

4 ALJ TERKEURST: All right. Thank you. All right.  
5 Anything else?

6 (No response).

7 ALJ TERKEURST: And I really appreciate your  
8 coming in. I hope that this has been helpful to you and  
9 that we can do the further investigation into your  
10 situation.

11 MR. VESELKOV: Thank you.

12 ALJ TERKEURST: Anything else at this time?

13 (No response).

14 ALJ TERKEURST: If not, then this public  
15 participation hearing is adjourned. Thank you all very  
16 much.

17 (Whereupon, at the hour of 7:55 p.m.,  
18 this Prehearing Conference was adjourned)

19 \* \* \* \* \*

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

D2-4 cont.

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

## Responses to Comment Set D2 Public Hearing, Palm Desert, California – June 8, 2006

Julian Veselkov

- D2-1 SCE is required to design the transmission line in accordance with safety requirements of the CPUC's General Order (G.O.) 95 and other applicable requirements. Other safety concerns, such as the possibilities stated by the commenter (the remote chance that a truck or airplane would hit a tower, causing damage to the commenter's home) have a very small likelihood of occurring, and are considered to be less than significant.
- D2-2 Electric and magnetic fields (EMF) and other field-related concerns are discussed in EIR/EIS Section D.10.11 and impacts are addressed in Section D.10.12.2. Induced currents and shock hazards in joint use corridors (Impact PS-2) do not pose a threat in the environment if the conducting objects are properly grounded. Mitigation Measure PS-2a (Implement grounding measures) has been proposed to reduce this potentially significant impact to less than significant. This measure requires that as part of the siting and construction process for the Proposed Project, SCE shall identify objects (such as fences, metal buildings, and pipelines) within and near the right-of-way that have the potential for induced voltages and shall implement electrical grounding of metallic objects in accordance with SCE's standards. The identification of objects shall document the threshold electric field strength and metallic object size at which grounding becomes necessary.
- D2-3 Please refer to Response D2-2 for a discussion of induced currents and the recommended mitigation measure for this concern.
- Regarding the commenter's request that SCE purchase his property and relocate him, this would likely occur only if the property were within the transmission line right-of-way. The commenter's property is immediately adjacent to the corridor, but not within the right-of-way that has been defined at this time. It is noted that there are approximately 6 residences that are similarly close to the edge of the DPV2 ROW between the Devers Substation and the Harquahala Switchyard.
- D2-4 Please refer to Response D2-2 for a discussion of EMF and induced current impacts. The commenter's address (64639 Dillon Road) is noted. SCE was present at the Public Participation Meeting and by publishing this comment, SCE has been informed of the commenter's preference to be relocated.

## Responses to Comment Set D3 Public Hearing, Beaumont, California – July 24, 2006

Approximately nine individuals attended the Public Information Workshop; however, no one commented at the Beaumont Public Participation Hearing.