

Comment Set D0084  
William Crawley & Cathey Ramsey

Dir Sir or Madam, I am from Potrero  
Given, the Need for Renewable resources,  
Southern California Edison has implemented  
the Plan For Rate payers, Edison to buy  
Solar panels through their electricity bills  
by pay For 1/3 of the cost, while Edison  
pays 2/3 the cost.

D0084-1

The client can receive a \$2500 rebate  
from the gov. The Alt Route D will reduce property  
values in the ~~route~~ path of the line  
Why is not SDGE Doing this For San  
Diego County Rate payers?

D0084-2

The ALT Route D is a Bad Idea,  
the Power line is too close to the Southwest  
Link/Less than 5 miles, the Fire Danger + 2007  
wildfires would have Burned Both lines.

D0084-3

Powerlink Alt. Route D, is a serious risk to  
the HAUSER + Pine wilderness, The environmental  
impact is unmeasurable.

D0084-4

The reliability is not Adequate due to the above  
mentioned items, San Diego + other cities need  
to be self sufficient or a so called Island, in case  
of Emergency, there seems to be more of them  
~~that~~ lately

D0084-5

William Crawley  
Cathey Ramsey

## Responses to Comment Set D0084

### William Crawley & Cathy Ramsey

D0084-1        The commenters suggest that Southern California Edison has implemented a plan for ratepayers to pay for the cost of solar panel through their electricity bills. Southern California Edison has a Self-Generation Incentive Program. Net Energy Metering is a program designed to benefit Southern California Edison customers who generate their own electricity. To qualify, an eligible SCE customer must produce energy from an electric generating system using solar, wind, biogas, or fuel cell technology, or a hybrid generating system. The NEM program uses a bi-directional meter to measure and/or track the "net" difference between the amount of electricity produced and the amount of electricity consumed during each billing period. This can be accomplished on a cumulative basis or on a time-of-use basis, depending upon the rate schedule.

At the end of each billing period, the customer may receive a credit for any energy generated that is in excess of the energy consumed. If energy consumption is greater than the energy produced the customer will be billed for the net difference. California allows such net metering and provides kilowatt-based credits to residential producers on a monthly basis, but does not require producers to be compensated for excess energy produced above what is consumed on an annual basis.

Other incentives have been created through CPUC rules, such as the California Solar Initiative (CSI), which was developed through a public rulemaking process and provides \$2.2 billion in incentives for PV over the next decade for existing residential homes and existing and new commercial, industrial, and agricultural properties. The CSI incentives were taken into consideration in development of the All Solar Alternative, which is presented in Appendix 1 of the EIR/EIS, and which was rejected for full analysis based on the infeasibility of developing sufficient electrical capacity in the near term to match the reliability benefits of Sunrise.

Although a change in producer payback incentives was not considered as an alternative in the Draft EIR/EIS, other types of existing incentives were evaluated. Even if increased incentives for solar photovoltaics (PV) and other modes of distributed generation (DG) are undertaken, increased market penetration of these modes via producer payback incentives is not, in and of itself, expected to result in the production of sufficient electrical capacity in the near term (2010 or 2012).

D0084-2        The commenters suggest the Modified Route D Alternative will reduce property values and that the benefits will be for San Diego ratepayers. Please see General Response GR-11 regarding Property Values.

D0084-3        The commenters suggest the Modified Route D would decrease reliability because of its proximity to the SWPL. Please see General Response GR-9 concerning fire issues and the comparison of alternatives and GR-3 regarding the reliability comparison between the Northern and Southern Routes.

D0084-4        The commenters' views and concerns about the Hauser and Pine Wilderness are acknowledged. Environmental impacts to wilderness along the Modified Route D Alternative are considered in Section E.4.5.2 in Volume 4 of the Draft EIR/EIS.

D0084-5      The commenters' support for self-sufficiency within San Diego as a means of protecting the energy supply is acknowledged. Both the New In-Area All-Source Generation Alternative and the New In-Area Renewable Generation Alternative include in-basin generation.

*This page intentionally blank.*