

1 **6.3 AIR QUALITY**

	<i>Potentially Significant Impact</i>	<i>Less Than Significant with Mitigation Incorporation</i>	<i>Less than Significant Impact</i>	<i>No Impact</i>
<i>Would the project:</i>				
a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality Attainment Plan?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b) Violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected air quality violation?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
c) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable national or state ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for ozone precursors)?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
d) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
e) Create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

2 **6.3.1 Approach to Analysis**

3 The following air quality analysis identifies the types of emissions sources that would be
 4 associated with the project and evaluates their significance, taking into account such factors as the
 5 types and amounts of the different pollutants that would be emitted and the applicable criteria.
 6 Emissions estimates consider such factors as fuel types, applicable air district regulations and
 7 standards, and expected usage rates for different pieces of equipment.

8 **6.3.2 Impact Significance Criteria**

9 The analysis of significance of impacts of the project is based on the criteria listed above.

10 **6.3.3 Impact Mechanisms**

11 Air quality impacts due to the project would vary between the construction phase and the
 12 operational phase. Project construction would involve installing new underground conduit for
 13 fiber optic cable and pulling the cable through the newly-installed conduit as well as segments of
 14 pre-existing conduit. The project would employ two different construction techniques for
 15 installing new conduit: street trenching and directional boring. Over the short-term, activities
 16 related to installing new conduit would result in emissions of ozone precursors and particulate
 17 matter (PM10) from operation of construction equipment and construction worker commute trips
 18 and in emissions of fugitive dust from earthmoving operations and vehicle travel over unpaved
 19 surfaces. Fugitive emissions are those that are released to the atmosphere through a means other
 20 than through a stack or tailpipe. Fugitive dust refers to such sources as earthmoving activities,
 21 vehicle movement over paved or unpaved roads, and wind blowing over unvegetated surfaces.

1 On a regional level, the equipment and vehicle emissions associated with installing new conduit
2 would contribute incrementally to atmospheric loading of pollutant compounds, or their
3 precursors that are involved in the formation of ozone and PM₁₀. On a local level, the fugitive dust
4 emissions would contribute to local PM₁₀ concentrations and may result in nuisance-type impacts
5 from particulate settling, and in reduced local visibility. In contrast to installing new conduit,
6 cable pulling through newly installed and pre-existing conduit would involve less equipment and
7 would generate substantially less emissions.

8 Once operational, the project would result in emissions over the long term of ozone precursors and
9 PM₁₀ from testing (for maintenance purposes) and use (during actual emergencies) of diesel-
10 powered back-up generators. Emissions from the diesel storage tank used in connection with the
11 back-up generators and from vehicle emissions generated in connection with periodic maintenance
12 of the POPs would be minimal. Similar to that described for construction equipment and vehicle
13 emissions, emissions from back-up generators would contribute incrementally to regional
14 atmospheric loading of pollutant compounds, or their precursors, that are involved in the
15 formation of ozone and PM₁₀. On the local level, the project would result in the potential for
16 exposure of people to diesel particulate emissions in the immediate vicinity of POP sites due to
17 occasional use of diesel-powered back-up generators.

18 **6.3.4 Impact Assessment**

19 Emissions increases from the project are evaluated against specific significance criteria
20 recommended by the two regional air quality management districts whose jurisdictions cover the
21 areas in which the project would be located: the Bay Area Air Quality Management District
22 (BAAQMD) for the San Francisco Bay Area Network and the South Coast Air Quality
23 Management District (SCAQMD) for the Los Angeles Basin Network.

24 **6.3.4.1 San Francisco Bay Area Network**

25 a. *Would the proposed project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality*
26 *Attainment Plan?*

27 **Impact AQ-1:** Introduction of additional emissions sources in a region for which air quality plans
28 have been developed. (Less than Significant with Identified Mitigation)

29 As described in the section 5.3, four regional air quality plans have been developed to address
30 nonattainment, or maintenance pollutants in the Bay Area: two related to the national ozone
31 standard, one related to the state ozone standard, and one related to the national carbon monoxide
32 standard.

33 Project construction in the Bay Area would occur over approximately 4 to 6 months. Over this
34 period, the project would result in emissions primarily due to the use of construction equipment.
35 Emissions from worker commute trips would represent less than 10 percent of overall
36 construction-related emissions. Construction equipment would emit ozone precursors and carbon
37 monoxide. However, as a general matter, these emissions are included in the emission inventories
38 that are the basis for regional air quality plans, and would not be expected to impede attainment or
39 maintenance of ozone or carbon monoxide standards in the Bay Area (Bay Area Air Quality
40 Management District 1996).

1 Once operational, the project would involve operation of electronic equipment at POPs, occasional
2 use of back-up generators, use of diesel storage tanks associated with the generators, and motor
3 vehicle trips associated with maintaining equipment at the POPs. The electronic equipment at the
4 POPs would normally run on electricity from the utility power grid or from batteries; however,
5 five of the POPs would be equipped with diesel-powered engines (generators) that would be used
6 to generate emergency power during an interruption in power from the utility grid. Each of these
7 back-up generators would have a rating of approximately 80 horsepower (hp) and would deliver
8 60-kilowatts (kW) of electrical power. These generators would emit pollutants during routine
9 testing and during actual interruptions of power from the utility grid. Routine testing would occur
10 on a weekly basis; each test would normally last less than one hour. The POP facilities would be
11 unmanned sites that would generate a negligible number of motor vehicle trips (approximately
12 four to five per week).

13 As discussed in section 5.3, the Bay Area ozone plans rely heavily upon stationary source control
14 measures set forth in BAAQMD's *Rules and Regulations*. This stationary source program, as
15 embodied in the BAAQMD *Rules and Regulations*, was developed such that new stationary sources
16 can be allowed to operate in the Bay Area without obstructing the goals of the regional air quality
17 plans through such programs as New Source Review, BACT requirements, and offsets. Under the
18 exemption provided in BAAQMD Regulation 1, Rule 1-110.2, Metromedia would not be required
19 to secure BAAQMD permits for the installation or use of the proposed back-up generators. This
20 exemption would apply as long as the back-up generators are not used in connection with any
21 utility voluntary electricity demand reduction program. Generally, with such generators, the
22 BAAQMD requests notification that such sources will be operated, but no further documentation
23 need be provided as long as the aggregate duration for routine maintenance and testing for each
24 generator does not exceed 150 hours per year (Elliot 2000). With respect to the diesel storage tank,
25 Metromedia would be exempt from BAAQMD permit requirements under BAAQMD Regulation
26 2, Rule 1-123.3.

27 Given the connection between the stationary source control program as embodied in the
28 BAAQMD *Rules and Regulations* and the control strategies set forth in the regional ozone plans, the
29 project would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plans as
30 long as the Metromedia complies with applicable BAAQMD stationary source requirements in
31 connection with the proposed back-up generators. As described below, Metromedia would
32 comply with these requirements.

33 In contrast to the ozone plans, the Bay Area carbon monoxide maintenance plan relies heavily on
34 mobile source control measures, and since, once operational, the project would generate a very
35 small number of vehicle trips, it would not conflict with or obstruct implementation of the
36 maintenance plan for the national carbon monoxide standard.

37 **Mitigation Measure AQ-1:** Metromedia would submit a letter to the permit services division of
38 the BAAQMD prior to project construction indicating that five back-up generators would be
39 installed as part of the project and where those generators would be located. This letter would also
40 certify that the generators would not be used in connection with any utility voluntary electricity
41 demand reduction program and that Metromedia would notify BAAQMD if the annual hours of
42 operation for routine maintenance and testing of any of the generators exceeds 150 hours.
43 (Proposed as Part of the Project)

1 b. Would the proposed project violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected
2 air quality violation?

3 **Impact AQ-2:** Increase in local pollutant concentrations. (Less than Significant with Identified
4 Mitigation)

5 As discussed in section 5.3, the project would be located in a region that experiences occasional
6 exceedances of ozone and PM10 standards. Ozone is a regional air pollutant because it is not
7 emitted directly by sources, but is formed downwind of sources of reactive organic gases (ROG)
8 and nitrogen oxides (NO_x) under the influence of wind and sunlight. PM10 is both a regional and
9 local air pollutant since some sources, such as motor vehicle exhaust, are more regional in nature
10 while others, such as construction activities, have a more local effect. Prior to the mid-1990s, the
11 Bay Area also experienced occasional exceedances of the eight-hour average carbon monoxide
12 standard. The regional monitoring network no longer records exceedances of the carbon
13 monoxide standard but the region is designated as a maintenance area since it had once been
14 nonattainment. Carbon monoxide is a local pollutant emitted directly from combustion sources.
15 Elevated carbon monoxide concentrations are typically associated with congested intersections and
16 heavily traveled freeways under stagnant wintertime conditions.

17 The project would affect local pollutant concentrations in two ways. First, during project
18 construction, the project would affect local particulate concentrations primarily due to fugitive
19 dust sources. During the operational phase, the project would affect local concentrations in the
20 immediate vicinity of the POPs due to occasional use of back-up generators and due to occasional
21 maintenance-related vehicle trips.

22 Project construction would involve the underground installation of approximately 113 miles of
23 conduit for fiber optic cable in the San Francisco Bay Area, mostly along Union Pacific and Caltrain
24 railroad rights-of-way. On any given day, the construction zone at each work site would be
25 approximately 20 to 40 feet wide and, typically, no more than 1,000 feet long for a total disturbed
26 area of less than 1 acre. There could be as many as 12 active work spreads on any given day of
27 construction over the 4- to 6-month construction period. In addition, the project would involve
28 construction at POPs, which would vary in size from 4,000 to 7,000 square feet for Type II POPs to
29 1,000 to 2,000 square feet for Type III POPs.

30 During the 4- to 6-month construction period, the project would result in fugitive dust emissions
31 primarily from earthmoving activities and vehicle travel over unpaved surfaces. Fugitive dust
32 emissions from construction activities would vary from day to day, depending on the level and
33 type of activity, silt content of the soil, and the weather. In the absence of mitigation measures,
34 project construction activities could emit significant quantities of dust. As a result, local visibility
35 and PM10 concentrations may be adversely affected on a temporary and intermittent basis during
36 the construction period. In addition, larger dust particles would settle out of the atmosphere close
37 to the construction zone resulting in a soiling nuisance for adjacent uses.

38 For construction-phase impacts, BAAQMD recommends that significance be based on a
39 consideration of the control measures to be implemented (Bay Area Air Quality Management
40 District 1996). If appropriate mitigation measures are implemented to control PM10 emissions,
41 then the impact may be considered less than significant. Metromedia would implement the
42 BAAQMD-recommended mitigation measures as discussed below.

1 With respect to carbon monoxide, the project would not significantly affect local concentrations
2 either during the construction phase or the operational phase. During the construction phase, the
3 linear progression of the construction process would ensure that carbon monoxide concentrations
4 would not accumulate in any one location such that exceedances would be likely to occur due to
5 construction equipment exhaust. Also, since Metromedia would use directional boring techniques
6 (rather than open trenching) to cross major roadways, local traffic patterns, and associated local
7 carbon monoxide concentrations, would be largely unaffected by project construction. Once
8 operational, the project would generate four to five vehicle trips per week for maintenance
9 purposes at the POPs, and this minimal amount of traffic generation would have essentially no
10 affect on local carbon monoxide concentrations along the roads leading to the POPs.

11 **Mitigation Measure AQ-2:** Metromedia would require the construction contractors to implement
12 the following dust abatement program:

- 13 • Water all active construction areas at least twice daily;
- 14 • Cover all trucks hauling soil, sand, and other loose materials or require all trucks to
15 maintain at least two feet of freeboard;
- 16 • Pave, apply water three times daily, or apply (non-toxic) soil stabilizers on all unpaved
17 access roads, parking areas and staging areas at construction sites;
- 18 • Sweep daily (with water sweepers) all paved access roads, parking areas and staging areas
19 at construction sites; and
- 20 • Sweep streets daily (with water sweepers) if visible soil material is carried onto adjacent
21 public streets.

22 Implementation of the measures included in the dust abatement program would reduce the chance
23 that PM₁₀ standards would be violated in the vicinity of the project site or that visibility would be
24 significantly affected during the construction period. Based on the BAAQMD-recommended
25 approach, the residual impact of project construction on air quality would be less than significant.

26 *c. Would the proposed project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria
27 pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable national or state
28 ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for
29 ozone precursors)?*

30 **Impact AQ-3:** Increase in nonattainment pollutant emissions. (Less than Significant)

31 The Bay Area is designated nonattainment for ambient standards for ozone and PM₁₀. Ozone is a
32 secondary pollutant formed through photochemical reactions involving ROG and NO_x. PM₁₀ is
33 emitted directly to the atmosphere from such sources as entrained road dust and exhaust and is
34 also a secondary pollutant formed through photochemical reactions involving ROG, NO_x, and
35 sulfur oxides.

36 The project would result in emissions of ROG, NO_x, and PM₁₀ during the construction phase and
37 during the operational phase. To evaluate construction-phase impacts, BAAQMD recommends a
38 qualitative approach and emphasizes implementation of appropriate dust control measures. As

6.3 Air Quality

1 explained under Impact AQ-2, Metromedia would implement an appropriate dust control
 2 program and, thus, construction-phase emissions would not be significant.

3 Once operational, the project would result in emissions on ROG, NO_x, and PM₁₀ from operation of
 4 both stationary and motor vehicle sources. However, motor vehicle source emissions would be
 5 negligible since the POPs would be unmanned and routine motor vehicle activity would amount
 6 to approximately four to five trips per week for each POP.

7 Stationary source emissions would result from operation of five back-up, diesel-powered
 8 generators during weekly routine testing and during unforeseen emergency electricity loss.
 9 Emissions from the underground diesel storage tank would be negligible. Table 6.3-1 presents
 10 emissions estimates on a daily and annual basis for operation of the five proposed back-up
 11 generators that would be installed. Appendix I provides additional details on how the estimates
 12 shown in Table 6.3-1 were calculated.

Table 6.3-1. Estimated Operational-Phase Emissions for the San Francisco Bay Area Network

Pollutant	EMISSIONS (POUNDS PER DAY)		EMISSIONS (TONS PER YEAR)	
	Project ^a	Significance Criterion ^b	Project ^{a,c}	Significance Criterion ^b
Reactive Organic Gases	1	80	0.1	15
Nitrogen Oxides	13	80	0.9	15
Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀)	1	80	0.1	15

^a Project-related emissions were estimated by using U.S. EPA emissions factors from *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, AP-42, Section 3.3 (October 1996) and by assuming operation of four 60-kW generators. Project-related daily emissions estimates assume that all four back-up generators would be tested on a given day and that each would be tested for 1 hour on that day.

^b Significance criteria are from *BAAQMD CEQA Guidelines, Assessing the Air Quality Impacts of Projects and Plans* (April 1996).

^c Project-related annual emissions estimates assume that all five generators would be operated for the maximum foreseeable number of hours (150 hours) in a given year.

Source: ESA 2000a.

13 The daily emissions estimates shown in Table 6.3-1 assume that all five generators would be tested
 14 for 1 hour on a single day. The annual estimates assume that each generator would operate for the
 15 maximum foreseeable number of hours in a given year (150 hours), which is substantially higher
 16 than the expected number of hours in a given year that each would operate for testing and
 17 maintenance purposes (52 hours at 1 hour per week).

18 For operational-phase impacts, BAAQMD recommends using 80 pounds per day and 15 tons per
 19 year as the significance criteria for emissions of ROG, NO_x, or PM₁₀ (Bay Area Air Quality
 20 Management District 1996). Table 6.3-1 shows that the emissions from the back-up generators
 21 would be substantially less than these criteria; therefore, the project would result in less-than-
 22 significant emissions of nonattainment pollutants or their precursors.

23 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation is required.

24 *d. Would the proposed project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?*

1 **Impact AQ-4:** Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. (Less than
2 Significant with Identified Mitigation)

3 The project could result in exposure of sensitive receptors, such as residents, to substantial
4 pollutant concentrations during construction from fugitive dust emissions sources such as vehicle
5 travel over unpaved surfaces since residences would be located along certain segments of Union
6 Pacific and Caltrain railroad rights-of-way. This impact would be mitigated to a less-than-
7 significant level with implementation of the dust abatement program that Metromedia would
8 require of its construction contractors (see Impact AQ-2 and related mitigation measure).

9 During project operations, use of back-up generators would not expose sensitive receptors to
10 substantial pollutant concentrations, with two possible exceptions, due to the relatively small
11 quantities of emissions that would be generated, their infrequent use, and the distance between the
12 generators and the nearest sensitive receptors. Except at the Hayward and Santa Clara sites, the
13 distance between the generators and the nearest sensitive receptor would be greater than 200 feet
14 at each POP that would have a back-up generator. At the Hayward and Santa Clara POP sites, the
15 back-up generators would be located approximately 35 and 100 feet from the nearest residences,
16 respectively. Even though the back-up generator would be used infrequently and exposure of
17 residents to generator exhaust would be very limited, the project could lead to exposure of
18 sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations at these two POPs, given the proximity
19 between the residence and the generator and the identification of diesel particulate as a toxic air
20 contaminant. To address this issue, Metromedia would use California on-road diesel fuel to power
21 the back-up generator at the Hayward and Santa Clara POPs.

22 **Mitigation Measure AQ-4:** Metromedia would use “California” diesel fuel to power the back-up
23 generator at the Hayward and Santa Clara POPs.

24 Under California law, diesel-powered, off-road equipment such as back-up generators are not
25 required to use the same diesel fuel formulation as diesel-powered, on-road motor vehicles. Both
26 on-road (California) and off-road (federal) diesel fuel formulations have similar sulfur content, but
27 California diesel fuel has a lower aromatic hydrocarbon content, which leads to lower diesel
28 particulate emissions compared to federal diesel fuel. Specifically, diesel particulate emissions
29 generated from use of California diesel fuel are approximately 20 percent less than the
30 corresponding emissions generated from use of federal diesel fuel (Air Resources Board 1997).
31 With use of California diesel fuel to power the back-up generator at the Hayward and Santa Clara
32 POP sites, the associated risk from diesel particulate emissions would be reduced to less than
33 significant, particularly given its infrequent use.

34 e. *Would the proposed project create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?*

35 The project would not include the types of emissions sources or activities that are normally
36 associated with odor impacts.

37 **6.3.4.2 Los Angeles Basin Network**

38 a. *Would the proposed project conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable Air Quality*
39 *Attainment Plan?*

1 **Impact AQ-5:** Introduction of additional emissions sources in a region for which air quality plans
2 have been developed. (Less than Significant with Identified Mitigation)

3 As described in section 5.3, current federal and state air quality planning requirements for the
4 South Coast Air Basin have been consolidated into a single plan, the *1997 Air Quality Management*
5 *Plan*, as amended in December 1999. This plan addresses nonattainment designations for ozone,
6 PM₁₀, and carbon monoxide, and a maintenance designation for nitrogen dioxide.

7 Project construction in the Los Angeles Basin would occur over approximately 6 to 8 months. Over
8 this period, the project would result in emissions of ozone precursors and carbon monoxide
9 primarily from mobile sources, such as construction equipment and worker commute trips and in
10 emissions of PM₁₀, primarily from fugitive dust sources. The ozone, carbon monoxide, and
11 nitrogen dioxide strategies in the *1997 Air Quality Management Plan*, as amended, rely on mobile
12 source control measures and a clean fuels program, which are enforced at the state and federal
13 level on engine manufacturers and petroleum refiners and retailers. Project construction activities
14 would not conflict with or obstruct the ozone, carbon monoxide, and nitrogen dioxide strategies so
15 long as the equipment and fuel used by construction contractors complies with all applicable state
16 and federal regulations.

17 The PM₁₀ strategy included in the *1997 Air Quality Management Plan* relies on control of fugitive
18 dust sources, such as construction sites. To regulate such sources in the South Coast Air Basin, the
19 SCAQMD has adopted SCAQMD Rule 403 (Fugitive Dust). During construction, the project
20 would be subject to this rule.

21 SCAQMD Rule 403 does not require a permit for construction activities, per se, but rather, sets
22 forth general and specific requirements for all construction sites (as well as other fugitive dust
23 sources) in the South Coast Air Basin. The general requirement prohibits a person from causing or
24 allowing emissions of fugitive dust from construction (or other fugitive dust source) such that the
25 presence of such dust remains visible in the atmosphere beyond the property line of the emissions
26 source. SCAQMD Rule 403 also prohibits a construction site from causing an incremental PM₁₀
27 concentration impact at the property line of more than 50 micrograms per cubic meter as
28 determined through PM₁₀ high-volume sampling, but the concentration standard and associated
29 PM₁₀ sampling do not apply if specific measures identified in the rule are implemented and
30 appropriately documented.

31 SCAQMD Rule 403 identifies two sets of specific measures: one for high wind conditions and the
32 other for more normal wind conditions.

33 When wind gusts exceed 25 miles per hour, neither the sampling requirement nor the general
34 requirement apply as long as the following measures are implemented and appropriately
35 documented:

<i>Source</i>	<i>Control Measure</i>
Earthmoving	Cease all active operations, or apply water to soil not more than 15 minutes prior to moving such soil.
Disturbed Surface Areas	On the last day of active operations prior to a weekend, holiday, or any other period when active operations will not occur for not more than four consecutive days, apply water with a mixture of chemical stabilizer diluted to not less than 1/20 of the concentration required to maintain a stabilized surface for a period of six months; or
	Apply chemical stabilizers prior to wind event, or
	Apply water to all unstabilized disturbed areas 3 times per day. (If there is any evidence of wind driven fugitive dust, watering frequency is increased to a minimum of four times per day); or
	Establish a vegetative ground cover within 21 days after active operations have ceased. (Ground cover must be of sufficient density to expose less than 30 percent of unstabilized ground within 90 days of planting, and at all time thereafter); or
	Utilize any combination of the three measures immediately preceding such that, in total, these actions apply to all disturbed surface areas.
Unpaved Roads	Apply chemical stabilizers prior to wind event, or apply water twice per hour during active operation, or stop all vehicular traffic.
Open Storage Piles	Apply water twice per hour, or install temporary coverings.
Paved Road Track-out	Cover all haul vehicles, or comply with the vehicle freeboard requirements of Section 23114 of the California Vehicle Code for both public and private roads.

- 1 During normal wind conditions (i.e., with wind gusts less than 25 miles per hour), the sampling
- 2 requirement does not apply as long as the following measures are implemented and appropriately
- 3 documented:

6.3 Air Quality

<i>Source</i>	<i>Control Measure</i>
Earthmoving (not including cut and fill)	Maintain soil moisture content at a minimum of 12 percent, or for earthmoving which is more than 100 feet from all property lines, conduct watering as necessary to prevent visible dust emissions from exceeding 100 feet in length in any direction.
Earthmoving (construction fill areas)	Maintain soil moisture content at a minimum of 12 percent. For areas which have an optimum moisture content for compaction of less than 12 percent, complete the compaction process as expeditiously as possible after achieving at least 70 percent of the optimum soil moisture content.
Earthmoving (construction cut areas)	Conduct watering as necessary to prevent visible emissions from extending more than 100 feet beyond the active cut area unless the area is inaccessible to watering vehicles due to slope conditions or other safety factors.
Disturbed Surface Areas (except completed grading areas)	Apply dust suppression in sufficient quantity and frequency to maintain a stabilized surface. Any areas which cannot be stabilized, as evidenced by wind driven fugitive dust, must have an application of water at least twice per day to at least 80 percent of the unstabilized area.
Disturbed Surface Areas (completed grading areas)	Apply chemical stabilizers within five working days of grading completion; or apply water to at least 80 percent of all inactive disturbed surface areas on a daily basis when there is evidence of wind driven fugitive dust, except any areas which are inaccessible to watering vehicles due to excessive slope or other safety conditions; or establish a vegetative ground cover within 21 days after active operations have ceased. Ground cover must be of sufficient density to expose less than 30 percent of unstabilized ground within 90 days of planting, and at all times thereafter.
Inactive Disturbed Surface Areas	Apply water to at least 80 percent of all inactive disturbed surface areas on a daily basis when there is evidence of wind driven fugitive dust, except any areas which are inaccessible to watering vehicles due to excessive slope or other safety conditions; or apply dust suppressants in sufficient quantity and frequency to maintain a stabilized surface; or establish a vegetative ground cover within 21 days after active operations have ceased (ground cover must be of sufficient density to expose less than 30 percent of unstabilized ground within 90 days of planting, and at all times thereafter); or utilize any combination of the above three measures such that, in total, these actions apply to all inactive disturbed surface areas.
Unpaved Roads	Water all roads used for any vehicular traffic at least once per every two hours of active operations; or water all roads used for any vehicular traffic once daily and restrict vehicle speeds to 15 miles per hour; or apply a chemical stabilizer to all unpaved road surfaces in sufficient quantity and frequency to maintain a stabilized surface.
Open Storage Piles	Apply chemical stabilizers; or apply water to at least 80 percent of the surface area of all open storage piles on a daily basis when there is evidence of wind driven fugitive dust; or install temporary coverings; or install a three-sided enclosure with walls with no more than 50 percent porosity which extend, at a minimum, to the top of the pile.

1 Finally, SCAQMD Rule 403 requires those engaged in hauling operations to take actions necessary
2 to prevent or remove (within 1 hour) the track-out of bulk material onto public paved roadways.
3 Alternatively, one may implement these specific actions:

- 4 • Pave or apply chemical stabilization at sufficient concentrations and frequency to maintain
5 a stabilized surface starting from the point of intersection with the public paved surface,
6 and extending for a centerline distance of at least 100 feet and a width of at least 20 feet; or
- 7 • Pave from the point of intersection with the public paved road surface, and extending for a
8 centerline distance of at least 25 feet and a width of at least 20 feet, and install a track-out
9 control device immediately adjacent to the paved surface such that exiting vehicles do not
10 travel on any unpaved road surface after passing through the track-out control device.

11 Under either specific alternative course of action, the following additional requirements apply:

- 12 • Remove track-out material at anytime it extends for a cumulative distance of greater than
13 50 feet onto any paved public paved road during active operations; and
- 14 • Remove all visible roadway dust track-out upon public paved roadways as a result of
15 active operations at the conclusion of each workday when active operations cease.

16 Once operational, the project would involve operation of electronic equipment at the POPs, which
17 would run exclusively on electricity from the utility power grid, except at the four Type II POPs,
18 which would be equipped with a diesel-powered engine (generator) to generate emergency power.
19 Each of these back-up generators would have a rating of approximately 80 horsepower (hp) and
20 would deliver 60-kilowatts (kW) of electrical power. These generators would emit pollutants
21 during routine testing and during interruptions of power from the utility grid. Routine testing
22 would occur on a weekly basis; each test would normally last less than 1 hour. A diesel storage
23 tank would be installed for each of the proposed back-up generators. The POP facilities would be
24 unmanned sites that would generate a negligible number of motor vehicle trips (approximately
25 four to five per week).

26 The proposed back-up generators would represent new stationary sources within the South Coast
27 Air Basin. The ozone strategy included in the *1997 Air Quality Management Plan*, as amended,
28 relies on the stationary source control program embodied in the *SCAQMD Rules and Regulations*.
29 The SCAQMD's stationary source control program sets forth pre-construction review requirements
30 for new, modified, or relocated facilities to ensure that the operation of such facilities does not
31 interfere with progress in attainment of state and national ambient air quality standards. Under
32 SCAQMD Regulation II, Metromedia would be required to obtain permits to construct and permits
33 to operate these back-up generators. (No permit would be required for the underground diesel
34 storage tank pursuant to SCAQMD Rule 219 [Equipment not Requiring a Written Permit Pursuant
35 to Regulation II].) In addition, the proposed back-up generators would be subject to SCAQMD's
36 Regulation XIII (New Source Review [NSR]), which applies to all new stationary sources subject to
37 Regulation II.

38 Under SCAQMD Regulation XIII (NSR), the proposed back-up generators would be required to be
39 constructed with BACT to minimize emissions of carbon monoxide, ROG, NO_x and PM₁₀. Based
40 on SCAQMD guidance, applicable BACT standards for an back-up generator, such as those

6.3 Air Quality

1 proposed for this project, would specify a maximum allowable emissions rate of 8.5 grams of
2 carbon monoxide per brake horsepower-hour (bhp-hr), 1.0 gram of volatile organic compounds
3 (VOC, which are essentially the same as ROG) per bhp-hr, 6.9 grams of NO_x per bhp-hr, and 0.38
4 gram of PM₁₀ per bhp-hr (Tran 2000). Sulfur dioxide emissions would be minor since the sulfur
5 content of the diesel fuel would be limited to 0.05 percent by weight (or less) under SCAQMD Rule
6 431.2 (Sulfur Content of Liquid Fuels).

7 In addition to BACT, NSR typically requires offsets if a new source would emit greater than
8 specified quantities of pollutants after implementation of BACT; however, offsets are not required
9 under SCAQMD Rule 1304 (Exemptions) for equipment used exclusively as back-up standby
10 equipment for nonutility electrical power generation provided that the equipment does not
11 operate more the 200 hours per year. Other SCAQMD rules provide specific requirements for
12 stationary internal combustion engines (e.g., Regulation XI [Source Specific Standards]), Rule
13 1110.1), but they also exempt back-up generators.

14 Given the connection between SCAQMD's stationary source control program as embodied in their
15 *Rules and Regulations* (particularly New Source Review, BACT and Rule 403 [Fugitive Dust]), and
16 the regional air quality planning efforts, the project would not conflict with or obstruct
17 implementation of the air quality plan as long as Metromedia complies with all applicable
18 SCAQMD permitting requirements in connection with the proposed back-up generators and with
19 SCAQMD Rule 403 requirements in connection with project construction.

20 **Mitigation Measure AQ-5:** Metromedia would comply with all SCAQMD permit requirements
21 and SCAQMD Rule 403 as follows:

- 22 • Submittal of applications to the SCAQMD for permits to construct and permits to operate
23 the four back-up generators associated with the Los Angeles Basin network. These
24 generators would be manufactured (or modified to include emissions abatement devices) to
25 achieve applicable BACT standards for such equipment: 8.5 grams of carbon monoxide per
26 bhp-hr, 1.0 gram of VOC per bhp-hr, 6.9 grams of NO_x per bhp-hr, and 0.38 grams of PM₁₀
27 per bhp-hr;
- 28 • Use of the generators for back-up, nonutility electrical power generation purposes only (or
29 for related testing and maintenance purposes) for an aggregate period not to exceed 200
30 hours per year as documented by an engine-hour meter or equivalent method;
- 31 • Use of diesel fuel with a sulfur content not to exceed 0.05 percent by weight; and
- 32 • Implementation of the measures required under SCAQMD Rule 403 (as described above)
33 for high wind and normal wind conditions to reduce PM₁₀ emissions from the various
34 fugitive dust sources associated with project construction, and maintenance of the
35 necessary documentation that demonstrates compliance with the rule.

36 *b. Would the proposed project violate any air quality standard or contribute to an existing or projected*
37 *air quality violation?*

38 **Impact AQ-6:** Increase in local pollutant concentrations. (Less than Significant)

1 As discussed in section 5.3, the project would be located in a region that experiences exceedances
2 of ozone, PM₁₀, and carbon monoxide standards. Ozone is a regional air pollutant because it is not
3 emitted directly by sources, but is formed downwind of sources of ROG and NO_x under the
4 influence of wind and sunlight. The ozone problem in the South Coast Air Basin reflects the
5 numerous stationary and mobile sources of precursors emissions that operate within the Air Basin
6 and the influence of regional meteorological and topographic characteristics that are conducive to
7 ozone formation. PM₁₀ is both a regional and local air pollutant since some sources, such as motor
8 vehicle exhaust, are more regional in nature while others, such as construction activities, have a
9 more local effect. Carbon monoxide is a local pollutant emitted directly from combustion sources.
10 Elevated carbon monoxide concentrations are typically associated with congested intersections and
11 heavily traveled freeways under stagnant wintertime conditions.

12 The project would affect local pollutant concentrations in two ways. First, during project
13 construction, the project would affect local particulate concentrations primarily due to fugitive
14 dust sources. During the operational phase, the project would affect local concentrations in the
15 immediate vicinity of the POP stations due to occasional use of back-up generators and due to
16 occasional maintenance-related vehicle trips.

17 Project construction would occur over a 6- to 8-month period and would involve the underground
18 installation of approximately 134 miles of new conduit for fiber optic cable in Los Angeles and
19 Orange counties. Fugitive dust emissions associated with construction would be relatively minor
20 since all of the installation of new conduit for the Los Angeles Basin network would occur in
21 existing roadways, which would minimize the extent of vehicle travel over unpaved surfaces, one
22 of the principal sources of fugitive dust, and since project construction would be subject to
23 SCAQMD Rule 403. SCAQMD Rule 403 includes specific requirements to minimize fugitive dust
24 emissions generated at construction sites. Metromedia would require that construction contractors
25 comply with SCAQMD Rule 403 (see Impact AQ-5) and, as such, the effect of project construction
26 on local PM₁₀ concentrations would be less than significant along construction routes. Fugitive
27 dust impacts in the vicinities of POPs would be minimal since all of the POPs associated with the
28 Los Angeles Basin Network would be located in existing buildings.

29 With respect to carbon monoxide, the project would not significantly affect local concentrations
30 either during the construction phase or the operational phase. During the construction phase, the
31 linear progression of the construction process itself would ensure that carbon monoxide
32 concentrations would not accumulate in any one location such that exceedances would be likely to
33 occur due to construction equipment exhaust. Also, since Metromedia would use directional
34 boring techniques (rather than open trenching) to cross major roadways, local traffic patterns, and
35 associated local carbon monoxide concentrations, would be largely unaffected by project
36 construction. Once operational, the project would generate four to five vehicle trips for
37 maintenance purposes at the POPs, and this minimal amount of traffic generation would have
38 essentially no affect on local carbon monoxide concentrations along the roads leading to the POPs.

39 **Mitigation Measure:** No mitigation is required.

40 c. *Would the proposed project result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria*
41 *pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable national or state*
42 *ambient air quality standard (including releasing emissions which exceed quantitative thresholds for*
43 *ozone precursors)?*

1 **Impact AQ-7:** Increase in nonattainment pollutant emissions. (Less than Significant with
2 Identified Mitigation)

3 The South Coast Air Basin is designated nonattainment for ambient standards for ozone, PM₁₀, and
4 carbon monoxide. Ozone is a secondary pollutant formed through photochemical reactions
5 involving ROG and NO_x. PM₁₀ is emitted directly to the atmosphere from such sources as
6 entrained road dust and exhaust and is also a secondary pollutant formed through photochemical
7 reactions involving ROG, NO_x, and sulfur oxides. Carbon monoxide is emitted directly to the
8 atmosphere from combustion sources.

9 The project would result in emissions of carbon monoxide, ROG, NO_x, sulfur oxides and PM₁₀
10 during the construction phase and during the operational phase. During the construction phase,
11 as many as 12 work crews would be operating simultaneously along the construction route. Each
12 crew would have approximately 8 to 10 workers. The mix of construction equipment would vary
13 between the two different types of construction: street trenching and directional boring. For street
14 trenching, the equipment would include an asphalt paver, roller, windrow elevator, grinder, and
15 two backhoes. For directional boring, the equipment would include a vacuum trailer, a drilling
16 machine, a backhoe, a mini-excavator, and a water truck. Estimates have been made of the
17 emissions associated with both construction techniques as shown in Table 6.3-2. Appendix I
18 provides additional details on how the estimates shown in Table 6.3-2 were calculated.

19 SCAQMD has developed a *CEQA Air Quality Handbook* that provides guidance to lead agencies in
20 determining whether a project would result in significant quantities of nonattainment pollutants or
21 their precursors (South Coast Air Quality Management District 1993). For evaluating construction-
22 phase impacts under CEQA, SCAQMD recommends using significance criteria defined on a daily
23 basis and on a quarterly basis. For evaluating construction-related impacts, the SCAQMD-
24 recommended significance criteria are used herein as mitigation thresholds. The recommended
25 daily construction-related emissions criteria are as follows: 550 pounds of carbon monoxide, 75
26 pounds of ROG, 100 pounds of NO_x, and 150 pounds of sulfur oxides or PM₁₀; the recommended
27 quarterly emissions criteria are as follows: 24.75 tons of carbon monoxide, 2.5 tons of ROG or NO_x,
28 and 6.75 tons of sulfur oxides or PM₁₀. Table 6.3-2 compares project construction-related emissions
29 estimates with SCAQMD-recommended significance criteria and shows that estimated NO_x
30 emissions would be above both the daily and the quarterly criteria.

31 To mitigate this temporary impact, Metromedia would require construction contractors to
32 implement a number of measures, such as using California diesel fuel, using construction
33 equipment that is properly tuned and maintained in accordance with manufacturer's
34 specifications, employing only ten work crews on any given workday, and suspending
35 construction work during Stage 2 smog alerts. These measures are discussed in more detail below
36 under Mitigation Measure AQ-7. Table 6.3-3 shows the extent to which these measures would
37 reduce NO_x emissions. In Table 6.3-3, the measures related to fuel and properly-tuned equipment
38 are referred to as Tier 1 measures and the measures related to activity levels and

Table 6.3-2. Estimated Unmitigated Construction-Phase Emissions for the Los Angeles Basin Network

Pollutant	MAXIMUM DAILY CONSTRUCTION SCENARIO ^A						MAXIMUM QUARTERLY CONSTRUCTION SCENARIO ^B			
	1 Crew Trenching (lbs/day)	1 Crew Boring (lbs/day)	9 Crews Trenching (lbs/day)	3 Crews Boring (lbs/day)	Total (lbs/day)	Significance Criterion ^C (lbs/day)	9 Crews Trenching (tons/qtr)	3 Crews Boring (tons/qtr)	Total (tons/qtr)	Significance Criterion ^C (tons/qtr)
Carbon Monoxide	21	75	190	224	414	550	7	9	16	24.75
Reactive Organic Gases	3	5	27	14	41	75	1	1	2	2.5
Nitrogen Oxides	26	16	231	49	281	100	9	2	11	2.5
Sulfur Oxides	2	1	18	4	22	150	1	0	1	6.75
Particulate Matter (PM-10)	3	2	23	5	28	150	1	0	1	6.75

^a Maximum daily construction scenario would involve 9 trenching crews and 3 drilling crews working simultaneously. Emissions estimates reflect construction equipment and worker commute trips.

^b Maximum quarterly construction scenario would involve 9 trenching crews and 3 drilling crews working simultaneously 6 days per week for 13 consecutive weeks. Emissions estimates reflect construction equipment and worker commute trips.

^c Significance criteria are from *SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook* (May 1993).

Note: Values shown in **bold** type exceed the applicable criterion.

Source: ESA 2000a.

Table 6.3-3. Estimated Mitigated Construction-Phase Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides for the Los Angeles Basin Network

EMISSIONS UNDER BASELINE AND TIER 1 MITIGATION											
Mitigation Scenario	Pollutant	1 Crew Trenching lbs/day	1 Crew Boring lbs/day	Maximum Daily Construction Scenario				Maximum Quarterly Construction Scenario			
				9 Crews Trenching lbs/day	3 Crews Boring lbs/day	Total lbs/day	Significance Criterion ^d lbs/day	9 Crews Trenching tons/qrtr	3 Crews Boring tons/qrtr	Total tons/qrtr	Significance Criterion ^d tons/qrtr
Baseline ^a	Nitrogen Oxides	26	16	231	49	281	100	9	2	11	2.5
Tier 1 ^b	Nitrogen Oxides	23	15	211	45	256	100	8	2	10	2.5
EMISSIONS UNDER TIER 2 MITIGATION											
Mitigation Scenario	Pollutant	1 Crew Trenching lbs/day	1 Crew Boring lbs/day	Maximum Daily Reduced Construction Scenario ^b				Maximum Quarterly Reduced Construction Scenario ^b			
				6 Crews Trenching lbs/day	4 Crews Boring lbs/day	Total lbs/day	Significance Criterion ^d lbs/day	6 Crews Trenching tons/qrtr	4 Crews Boring tons/qrtr	Total tons/qrtr	Significance Criterion ^d tons/qrtr
Tier 2 ^c	Nitrogen Oxides	23	15	141	60	200	100	5.5	2.3	7.8	2.5

^a Baseline refers to unmitigated emissions as shown in Table 6.3-2.

^b Tier 1 mitigation includes use of California diesel fuel for all diesel vehicles and use of construction equipment that is properly tuned and maintained in accordance with manufacturer's specifications.

^c Includes all of Tier 1 mitigation measures plus a reduced number of construction crews and greater reliance on directional boring techniques rather than open trenching.

^d Significance criteria are from *SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook* (May 1993).

Note Values shown in **bold** type exceed the applicable criterion.

Source: ESA 2000a.

1 construction techniques are referred to as Tier 2 measures. Appendix I provides additional details
2 on how the estimates shown in Table 6.3-3 were calculated.

3 As shown in Table 6.3-3, the measures that Metromedia would implement through its construction
4 contractors would reduce NO_x emissions relative to the unmitigated condition by approximately
5 30 percent. These mitigated emissions would still exceed the SCAQMD NO_x emission thresholds
6 of 100 pounds per day and 2.5 tons in a calendar quarter and would be potentially significant.
7 However, project construction emissions would be split into 10 crews that would be separated by
8 several miles throughout the SCAB. Additionally, emissions from each construction crew would
9 be substantially below the SCAQMD daily NO_x threshold. As a result, project construction
10 emissions would not be expected to produce impacts in a localized area that would contribute to
11 an exceedance of the ambient O₃ standards, especially since the overall construction period would
12 only last for six to eight months. The residual air quality impacts from construction activities
13 would therefore be insignificant.

14 Once operational, the project would result in emissions of ROG, NO_x, and PM₁₀ from operation of
15 both stationary and motor vehicle sources. However, motor vehicle source emissions would be
16 negligible since the POPs would be unmanned and routine motor vehicle activity would amount
17 to approximately four to five trips per week for each POP.

18 Stationary source emissions would result from operation of four back-up, diesel-powered
19 generators during weekly routine testing and during unforeseen back-up electricity loss.
20 Emissions from the underground diesel storage tank would be negligible. Table 6.3-4 presents
21 emissions estimates on a daily basis for operation of the four proposed back-up generators that
22 would be installed as part of the Los Angeles Basin Network. Appendix I provides additional
23 details on how the estimates shown in Table 6.3-4 were calculated.

24 For evaluating operational-phase impacts, SCAQMD recommends emissions-based significance
25 criteria of 550 pounds per day of carbon monoxide, 55 pounds per day of VOC or NO_x, and 150
26 pounds per day of sulfur oxides or PM₁₀. Table 6.3-4 shows that the emissions from the back-up
27 generators would be substantially less than these criteria; therefore, the project would not result in
28 significant emissions of nonattainment pollutants or their precursors over the long-term.

29 **Mitigation Measure AQ-7:** Metromedia would require its construction contractors to comply with
30 the following requirements for project construction:

- 31 • Use of California on-road diesel fuel for all diesel-powered construction equipment;
- 32 • Use of construction equipment that is properly tuned and maintained in accordance with
33 manufacturer's specifications;
- 34 • Employ a maximum of 10 work crews on any given workday with a maximum of 6 work
35 crews using the street trenching technique;
- 36 • Use of best management construction practices to avoid unnecessary emissions (e.g., trucks
37 and vehicles in loading and unloading queues would be kept with their engines off, when
38 not in use); and
- 39 • Suspension of emissions-generating construction activities during Stage 2 smog alerts.
40 Stage 2 air pollution episodes occur under the California Air Pollution Emergency Episode
41 Plan when hourly ozone concentrations reach 0.35 parts per million (Air Resources Board
42 1998). Stage 2 conditions have not occurred in the South Coast Air Basin since 1988.

1 **Table 6.3-4. Estimated Operational-Phase Emissions for Los Angeles Basin Network**

Pollutant	Emissions Factors in grams per brake horsepower-hour ^a	EMISSIONS (POUNDS PER DAY)	
		Project ^b	Criterion ^c
Carbon Monoxide	8.50	6	550
Reactive Organic Gases	1.00	1	55
Nitrogen Oxides	6.90	5	55
Sulfur Oxides	0.93	1	150
Particulate Matter (PM10)	0.38	< 0.5	150

^a Project-related emissions were estimated by using SCAQMD BACT requirements for generators, except for sulfur dioxide, which was estimated based on U.S. EPA emissions factors from *Compilation of Air Pollutant Emission Factors*, AP-42, Section 3.3 (October 1996). All four proposed back-up generators for the Los Angeles Basin Network would generate 60 kW.
^b Project-related daily emissions estimates assume that all four back-up generators would be tested on a given day and that each would be tested for 1 hour on that day.
^c Significance criteria are from SCAQMD CEQA Air Quality Handbook (May 1993).
 Source: ESA 2000a.

2 *d. Would the proposed project expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations?*

3 **Impact AQ-8:** Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations. (Less than
 4 Significant)

5 The project would not result in exposure of sensitive receptors, such as residents, to substantial
 6 pollutant concentrations during construction from fugitive dust emissions sources since all or the
 7 construction would occur in existing roadways and since project construction would be subject to
 8 SCAQMD Rule 403 (see Impact AQ-5).

9 Once operational, use of the back-up generators would not be expected to result in exposure of
 10 sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations due to the relatively small quantities of
 11 emissions that would be generated, their infrequent use, and the distance between the generators
 12 and the nearest sensitive receptors. All of the generators for the Los Angeles Basin Network
 13 would be installed within existing buildings.

14 **Mitigation Measure.** No mitigation is required.

15 *e. Would the proposed project create objectionable odors affecting a substantial number of people?*

16 The project would not include the types of emissions sources or activities that are normally
 17 associated with odor impacts.