Request No 5

Date: April 11, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027

Please respond by April 25, 2003

Please provide the following:

7. A copy of any document that provides the basis for building the North Line expansion of the Concord to Sacramento segment. Please include any consideration of use factor or idle capacity and a project schedule with this item.

SFPP's Concord to Sacramento 14 inch pipeline was installed in 1967 and is near the end of its economic life. As the line ages, SFPP has experienced a DOT mandated maximum operating pressure reduction, restricting pipeline capacity and further reductions in operating pressure are possible. SFPP has experienced leaks on this line, the most serious at Elmira, California, which is estimated to cost at least $15 million to fully remediate and cover damages. The Right-of-Way traverses environmentally sensitive marsh land and wildlife habitat. After due consideration of the environmental and operational issues and recognizing the important role this pipeline plays in the California petroleum distribution infrastructure, SFPP made the management decision to replace the 14 inch line with a new 20 inch line. The new line will traverse a more environmentally compatible Right-of-Way and avoid wildlife and populated areas.

The project, currently underway, will accomplish the following:

1- **Reduce the risk of future pipeline leaks and remediation costs.**
2- **Avoid future Dept. of Transportation mandated shutdowns to accommodate the hydrotesting of the pipeline. Hydrotesting is when a pipeline is filled with water and pressure tested in excess of its operating pressure. It is an expensive process in that it removes the line from service during the test and there is the problem of water disposal.**
3- **Eliminate mandated internal inspection costs every two years associated with the 14 inch line and the accompanying ongoing repair costs.**
4- **Significantly reduce power costs.**
5- **Provide for future market growth expected later on in the decade by increasing the pipeline diameter from 14 inch to 20 inch.**

Pipeline Schedule:

Begin environmental/permitting work	**Nov 2001**
EIR/EIS approval	**2nd Qtr 2003**
Complete R-O-W acquisition	**3rd Qtr 2003**
Begin pipeline construction	**1st Qtr 2004**
End pipeline construction	**4th Qtr 2004**

See the enclosed brochure, *2003 Update Concord to Sacramento Pipeline Project*, which was distributed to the residents in proximity to the proposed pipeline.

Request No. 6

Date: April 11, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027

Please respond by April 25, 2003

Please provide the following requested in our meeting of March 28, 2003:

10. The KMP Preliminary Balance Sheet on your website reflects a ratio of 53.3% debt to 46.7% Partner's Capital. Attachment A of your application line 15 shows a 40% debt. Please cite the events that must occur to bring about a 40% debt 60% equity capital structure and provide a firm schedule for the passing of such events.
Kinder Morgan has generally kept close to the 60% equity level. Indeed that is a strategic goal of the partnership. However, at any given point in time that goal may be off. Kinder Morgan raises cash for investments, acquisitions and operating purposes on the debt market. When the debt ratio gets to a certain level and the equity return rates are favorable, Kinder Morgan will issue equity units to bring the capitalization ratio back in line.

Date: April 29, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com
FAX (714) 560-4601

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027
Request no. 12

Please respond by May 13, 2003

Refer to Attachment C page 6 of 7. Your table is apparently based on EOY totals. The purpose of this request is to place test year rate base on a weighted average basis. You may wish to submit your response to this request with your response to Request No. 6 dated April 11, 2003 item 6.

SFPP calculated its Test Year rate base on an End-of-Year basis consistent with standard test year principles: i.e.; to determine what a cost-of-service would be using reasonably known and measurable adjustments to a base period of costs. Conceptually, alternatively basing a test year on an average period would understate reasonably anticipated rate base additions by 50%. Therefore, the following responses, to the extent a response was possible, assumes an end-of-year rate base for test year purposes.

SFPP, L.P.
Budgeted California Capital Projects
($000's)

Request 6, Question 6
Schedule 2
Revised to include estimated in-service dates

Loc	Location Description	Project Description	Estimated In-Service Date	Total Budget	CPUC%	CPUC Amt	AFUDC
1001	General Offices: Los Angeles	SCADA upgrade	**Mar-Sep 2003**	$2,000	43.47%	$869	$53
3006	L.S. 126: Orange Terminal - Miramar 16"	Alicia pkwy	**2003**	$175	100.00%	$175	$11
3006	L.S. 126: Orange Terminal - Miramar 16"	Valve Automation	**2003**	$480	100.00%	$480	$29
3500	Pendleton Station	Mitigation	**Dec-03**	$400	100.00%	$400	$24
7735	Colton Terminal & Station	Breakout tk mnfld protection	**2003**	$250	37.67%	$94	$6
7735	Colton Terminal & Station	Replace mnfld vlvs	**2003**	$200	37.67%	$75	$5
7735	Colton Terminal & Station	Tank Leak detection	**2003**	$493	37.67%	$186	$11
7855	Niland Terminal & Station	Voltage Dip	**Mar-03**	$250	12.06%	$30	$2
7862	Norwalk Station	Remove Station	**2003**	$510	53.10%	$271	$16
7969	Watson Station	Incoming gravitometer	**2003**	$225	52.76%	$119	$7
7969	Watson Station	Pump upgrade SDPL	**May-03**	$200	52.76%	$106	$6
7969	Watson Station	Tank Leak detection	**2003**	$250	52.76%	$132	$8
9025	L.S. 25: Concord Station - Sacramento Station	North Line Expansion	**Dec-04**	$80,000	69.34%	$55,472	$6,756
9025	L.S. 25: Concord Station - Sacramento Station	Relocation	**Dec-04**	$1,000	69.34%	$693	$42
9025	L.S. 25: Concord Station - Sacramento Station	W Sacramento	**2003**	$1,000	69.34%	$693	$42
9036	L.S. 36: Richmond P/S - Oakland Terminal 12"	Replacement	**2003**	$800	100.00%	$800	$49
9046	L.S. 46A: Richmond P/S - Oakland Terminal 8"	Replacement	**2003**	$2,150	100.00%	$2,150	$131
9060	L.S. 60: Atwater Terminal - Fresno Terminal 12"	BNSF intertie	**2003**	$500	100.00%	$500	$30
9202	L.S. 9C: Stockton Junction - Bradshaw Terminal	Bradshaw Rd	**Aug-03**	$500	100.00%	$500	$30
9202	L.S. 9C: Stockton Junction - Bradshaw Terminal	Replace Coating	**2003**	$1,000	100.00%	$1,000	$61
9212	L.S. 64B: Erle Junction - Chico Terminal	Marysville	**2003**	$350	100.00%	$350	$21
9212	L.S. 64B: Erle Junction - Chico Terminal	Replace Coating	**2003**	$1,000	100.00%	$1,000	$61
9212	L.S. 64B: Erle Junction - Chico Terminal	Yuba River	**2003**	$250	100.00%	$250	$15
9227	L.S. 42B: Oakland Junction - Brisbane Terminal	Protect Bay Xing	**2003**	$200	100.00%	$200	$12
9744	Concord Station	50MB tank	**2003**	$900	89.23%	$803	$49
9744	Concord Station	North Line Expansion	**Dec-04**	$8,000	89.23%	$7,138	$869
9744	Concord Station	Relocate Power Breakers	**2003**	$300	89.23%	$268	$16
9744	Concord Station	Tank Leak detection	**2003**	$115	89.23%	$103	$6
9898	Roseville Station	Tank Leak detection	**2003**	$247	45.54%	$112	$7
				$103,745		$74,970	$8,378
7857	Norwalk Junction	Retirement		($3)	53.10%	($1)	
7862	Norwalk Station	Retirement		($5,470)	53.10%	($2,905)	
9244	L.S. 25: Concord Station - Sacramento 14" (BD)	Retirement		($1,995)	69.34%	($1,384)	
9245	L.S. 25: Concord Station - Sacramento 14" (ND)	Retirement		($1,343)	69.34%	($931)	
9764	Elmira Station	Retirement		($1,251)	69.34%	($867)	
				($10,062)		($6,088)	

Date: May 9, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com
FAX (714) 560-4601

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027
Request no. 14

Please respond by May 23, 2003

Please provide:

CONFIDENTIAL

3. Based on your response to request no. 7, dated April 14, 2003, please provide the percent of SFPP's ownership interest that is corporate owned.

SFPP corporate ownership is not appropriate here, but rather that of Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. (KMEP). The percent of KMEP income allocated to corporations is shown on the attached spreadsheet, Summary of Cash Distributions and Estimated Corporate Income Allocation Percentage. 58.68% of KMEP's income is allocated to Kinder Morgan, Inc. and other corporate owners. This information is based on 2000 ownership data, which is the last year available, and 2002 income.

ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT - PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

KMEP, L.P.
Summary of Cash Distributions and Estimated Corporate Income Allocation Percentage
For the Year 2002

Line No. (a)	Description (b)	Source (c)	Ownership Interest in Operating Limited Partnerships (d)	Ownership Interest in Master Limited Partnership (e)	Percent of Units (f)	KMEP, L.P. Units (g)	Total Distribution (h) (i) thru (m)	Minimum Quarterly Distribution (i)	First Target Distribution (j)	Second Target Distribution (k)	Third Target Distribution (l)	Additional Distribution (m)
	Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. Limited Partners:											
1	First Quarter Cash Distribution Per Unit	Annual Report				166,297,351	$0.5900	$0.1375	$0.0138	$0.0275	$0.0550	$0.3563
2	Second Quarter Cash Distribution Per Unit	Annual Report				166,853,523	$0.6100	$0.1375	$0.0138	$0.0275	$0.0550	$0.3763
3	Third Quarter Cash Distribution Per Unit	Annual Report				179,965,808	$0.6100	$0.1375	$0.0138	$0.0275	$0.0550	$0.3763
4	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution Per Unit	Annual Report				180,910,666	$0.6250	$0.1375	$0.0138	$0.0275	$0.0550	$0.3913
5	Annual Cash Distribution Per Unit	Line (1+2+3+4)					$2.4350					
	Common Units Held by Public											
6	First Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 1 * Line 6, Col. (g)			66.2538%	110,178,342	$65,005,222	$15,149,522	$1,514,952	$3,029,904	$6,059,809	$39,251,034
7	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 2 * Line 7, Col. (g)			66.5375%	111,020,191	$67,722,317	$15,265,276	$1,526,528	$3,053,055	$6,106,111	$41,771,347
8	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 3 * Line 8, Col. (g)			65.0014%	116,980,283	$71,357,973	$16,084,789	$1,608,479	$3,216,958	$6,433,916	$44,013,831
9	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 4 * Line 9, Col. (g)			64.6659%	116,987,483	$73,117,177	$16,085,779	$1,608,578	$3,217,156	$6,434,312	$45,771,353
10	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (6+7+8+9)					$277,202,688	$62,585,366	$6,258,537	$12,517,073	$25,034,146	$170,807,565
	Common Units Held by Kinder Morgan, Inc. ("KMI")											
11	First Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 1 * Line 11, Col. (g)			10.8187%	17,991,276	$10,614,853	$2,473,800	$247,380	$494,760	$989,520	$6,409,392
12	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 2 * Line 12, Col. (g)			10.2953%	17,178,027	$10,478,596	$2,361,979	$236,198	$472,396	$944,791	$6,463,233
13	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 3 * Line 13, Col. (g)			6.2410%	11,231,735	$6,851,358	$1,544,364	$154,436	$308,873	$617,745	$4,225,940
14	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 4 * Line 14, Col. (g)			6.2084%	11,231,735	$7,019,834	$1,544,364	$154,436	$308,873	$617,745	$4,394,416
15	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (11+12+13+14)					$34,964,642	$7,924,506	$792,451	$1,584,901	$3,169,803	$21,492,981
	Common Units Held by General Partner (Kinder Morgan G.P., Inc.)											
16	First Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 1 * Line 16, Col. (g)			1.0367%	1,724,000	$1,017,160	$237,050	$23,705	$47,410	$94,820	$614,175
17	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 2 * Line 17, Col. (g)			1.0332%	1,724,000	$1,051,640	$237,050	$23,705	$47,410	$94,820	$648,655
18	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 3 * Line 18, Col. (g)			0.9580%	1,724,000	$1,051,640	$237,050	$23,705	$47,410	$94,820	$648,655
19	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 4 * Line 19, Col. (g)			0.9530%	1,724,000	$1,077,500	$237,050	$23,705	$47,410	$94,820	$674,515
20	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (16+17+18+19)					$4,197,940	$948,200	$94,820	$189,640	$379,280	$2,586,000
	Class B Units Held by KMI											
21	First Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 1 * Line 21, Col. (g)			3.1951%	5,313,400	$3,134,906	$730,593	$73,059	$146,119	$292,237	$1,892,899
22	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 2 * Line 22, Col. (g)			3.1845%	5,313,400	$3,241,174	$730,593	$73,059	$146,119	$292,237	$1,999,167
23	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 3 * Line 23, Col. (g)			2.9524%	5,313,400	$3,241,174	$730,593	$73,059	$146,119	$292,237	$1,999,167
24	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	Line 4 * Line 24, Col. (g)			2.9370%	5,313,400	$3,320,875	$730,593	$73,059	$146,119	$292,237	$2,078,868
25	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (21+22+23+24)					$12,938,129	$2,922,370	$292,237	$584,474	$1,168,948	$7,970,100
	I-Units Held by Kinder Morgan Management, LLC 2/											
26	First Quarter Distribution - Cash Value	Line 1 * Line 26, Col. (g)			18.6956%	31,090,333	$18,343,296	$4,274,921	$427,492	$854,984	$1,709,968	$11,075,931
27	Second Quarter Distribution - Cash Value	Line 2 * Line 27, Col. (g)			18.9495%	31,617,905	$19,286,922	$4,347,462	$434,746	$869,492	$1,738,985	$11,896,237
28	Third Quarter Distribution - Cash Value	Line 3 * Line 28, Col. (g)			24.8472%	44,716,390	$27,276,998	$6,148,504	$614,850	$1,229,701	$2,459,401	$16,824,542
29	Fourth Quarter Distribution - Cash Value	Line 4 * Line 29, Col. (g)			25.2357%	45,654,048	$28,533,780	$6,277,432	$627,743	$1,255,486	$2,510,973	$17,862,146
30	Annual Distribution - Cash Value	Line (26+27+28+29)					$93,440,996	$21,048,318	$2,104,832	$4,209,664	$8,419,327	$57,658,856
31	Totals for Limited Partners	Line (10+15+20+25+30)	98.0000%	99.0000%			$422,744,395	$95,428,760	$9,542,876	$19,085,752	$38,171,504	$260,515,503

KMEP, L.P.
Summary of Cash Distributions and Estimated Corporate Income Allocation Percentage
For the Year 2002

Line No. (a)	Description (b)	Source (c)	Ownership Interest in Operating Limited Partnerships (d)	Ownership Interest in Master Limited Partnership (e)	Percent of Units (f)	KMEP, L.P. Units (g)	Total Distribution (h) (i) thru (m)	Minimum Quarterly Distribution (i)	First Target Distribution (j)	Second Target Distribution (k)	Third Target Distribution (l)	Additional Distribution (m)
	Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. General Partner:											
	Normal Distribution											
32	First Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$991,065	$230,969	$23,097	$46,194	$92,387	$598,419
33	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,028,087	$231,741	$23,174	$46,348	$92,696	$634,128
34	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,108,880	$249,953	$24,995	$49,991	$99,981	$683,961
35	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,142,113	$251,265	$25,126	$50,253	$100,506	$714,963
36	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (32+33+34+35)	0.9899%	1.0000%			$4,270,145	$963,927	$96,393	$192,785	$385,571	$2,631,470
	Incentive Distribution							2.00%	2.00%	15.00%	25.00%	50.00%
37	First Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$60,987,882	$0	$0	$706,492	$2,833,214	$57,448,176
38	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$64,427,800	$0	$0	$708,855	$2,842,690	$60,876,255
39	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$69,490,897	$0	$0	$764,561	$3,066,084	$65,660,252
40	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$72,487,167	$0	$0	$768,575	$3,082,182	$68,636,410
41	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (37+38+39+40)					$267,393,745	$0	$0	$2,948,482	$11,824,170	$252,621,093
	Minority Interest											
42	First Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,633,616	$235,682	$23,568	$54,346	$123,183	$1,196,837
43	Second Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,706,495	$236,470	$23,647	$54,527	$123,595	$1,268,255
44	Third Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,840,601	$255,054	$25,505	$58,812	$133,308	$1,367,922
45	Fourth Quarter Cash Distribution	1/					$1,905,086	$256,393	$25,639	$59,121	$134,008	$1,429,925
46	Annual Cash Distribution	Line (42+43+44+45)	1.0101%				$7,085,799	$983,599	$98,360	$226,806	$514,094	$5,262,939
47	Totals for General Partner	Line (36+41+46)	2.0000%	1.0000%			$278,749,690	$1,947,526	$194,753	$3,368,074	$12,723,835	$260,515,503
48	Total Distribution from the Operating Limited Partnerships	Line (31+47)	100.0000%	100.0000%			$701,494,085	$97,376,286	$9,737,629	$22,453,826	$50,895,339	$521,031,005
49	Distribution to General Partner as a Percentage of Total Distribution from the Operating Limited Partnerships	Line (47/48)					39.74%	2.00%	2.00%	15.00%	25.00%	50.00%
	CORPORATE INCOME ALLOCATION PERCENTAGE ESTIMATED BASED UPON CASH DISTRIBUTION:											
50	Distribution to Common Units Held by Public	Line 10					$277,202,688					
51	Percentage Owned by Corporations (Other Than KMI)	Assume Same as 2000					9.36%					
52	Distribution to Corporations Not Affiliated With KMEP	Line (50*51)					$25,953,142					
53	Distribution to Common Units Held by KMI	Line 15					$34,964,642					
54	Distribution to Common Units Held by General Partner	Line 20					$4,197,940					
55	Distribution to Class B Units Held by KMI	Line 25					$12,938,129					
56	Normal Distribution to General Partner	Line 36					$4,270,145					
57	Incentive Distribution to General Partner	Line 41					$267,393,745					
58	Minority Interest	Line 46					$7,085,799					
59	Total Distribution to Corporations	Line (52 thru 58)					$356,803,542					
60	Total Cash Distribution	Line (48-30)					$608,053,088					
61	Estimated Corporate Income Allocation Percentage	Line (59/60)					58.68%					

1/ As set forth in the Partnership Agreement, distributions are made 98% to the common unitholders and 2% to the General Partner, subject to the payment of incentive distributions to the General Partner. The Minority Interest represents a 1.0101% direct General Partner ownership interest in the operating limited partnerships.

2/ I-units receive additional i-units for distributions instead of cash.

Date: May 9, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com
FAX (714) 560-4601

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027
Request no. 14

Please respond by May 23, 2003

Please provide:

1. Separate income tax calculations for each of CPUC, Sepulveda and Watson as separate entities. Please show total income, tax deductions, taxable income and a calculation of corporate taxes. This item clarifies Request #11, item 6, dated April 28, 2003.

   This request seeks information that does not exist, would be extremely burdensome and complex to create, and would be subject to numerous interpretations due to the scope of the request.

SFPP, L.P.
Achieved Rate of Return
For the Period Ended December 31, 2001
($000's)

Line No.	Description	Source	CPUC Excl. Sepl & Wats	Sepulveda Intrastate	Watson VRC Intrastate	Total CPUC
1	Total DOC Rate Base	Attachment C, page 4	$147,792	$690	$2,051	$150,533
2	Debt Percentage	2001 Workpapers, Sch. 9	41.27%	41.27%	41.27%	41.27%
3	Cost of Debt	2001 Workpapers, Sch. 10	5.78%	5.78%	5.78%	5.78%
4	Interest Expense	Lines (1 * 2 * 3)	$3,527	$16	$49	$3,592
5	Revenues	Attachment C, page 7	$93,371	$565	$3,380	$97,316
	Expenses:					
6	Base Expenses	Attachment C, page 1	$52,585	$76	$123	$52,784
7	Carrier Depreciation Expense	2001 Workpapers, Sch. 2	$7,139	$42	$122	$7,303
8	Amortization of AFUDC	2001 Workpapers, Sch. 6	$322	$2	$0	$324
9	Total Expenses	Lines (6 + 7 + 8)	$60,046	$120	$246	$60,412
10	Operating Income Before Tax	Lines (5 - 9)	$33,325	$445	$3,135	$36,904
11	Interest Expense	Line 4	$3,527	$16	$49	$3,592
12	Equity AFUDC Depreciation	Line 8 * (1 - Line 2)	$189	$1	$0	$191
13	Depreciation of ITC Basis Reduction	2001 Workpapers, Sch. 8	$80	$0	$0	$80
14	Taxable Income	Lines (10 - 11 + 12 + 13)	$30,067	$430	$3,086	$33,583
15	Income Tax Rate	Weighted Fed & CA State	40.75%	40.75%	40.75%	40.75%
16	Unadjusted Income Tax Expense	Lines (14 * 15)	$12,251	$175	$1,257	$13,684
17	Amortization of Unfunded/Overfunded ADIT	2001 Workpapers, Sch. 8	($86)	($3)	$0	($89)
18	Adjusted Income Tax Expense	Lines (16 + 17)	$12,165	$172	$1,257	$13,594
19	Operating Income After Tax	Lines (10 - 18)	$21,160	$273	$1,877	$23,310
20	Net Income After Tax	Lines (19 - 11)	$17,633	$256	$1,828	$19,717
21	Achieved Overall Return on DOC Rate Base	Lines (19 / 1)	14.32%	39.51%	91.52%	15.48%

Date: May 27, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com
FAX (714) 560-4601

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027
Request no. 18

Please respond by June 3, 2003

2. In your response to Request no. 6, dated April 11, 2003, item 2, the first paragraph of your response states: "...since no tariffs revenues could be earned once the facilities are shut down." Please cite the circumstance(s), or examples of circumstances, that would result in the shut down of a petroleum pipeline.

   A few circumstances that would result in the shut down of a petroleum pipeline:

   - **Removal or shutdown of refineries in origin market**
   - **Alternate supply sources (refinery built in destination market)**
   - **Major right-of-way issues on short haul pipeline**
   - **Major environmental issues**
   - **Alternate energy types that would render petroleum products obsolete (electric, fuel cells, ethanol)**

Date: May 29, 2003

To: SFPP, L.P.
Peter M. Dito
ditop@kindermorgan.com
FAX (714) 560-4601

From: Maurice Monson
Phone (415) 703-3072
FAX (415) 703-2200

Subject: A. 03-02-027
Request no. 19

Please respond by June 12, 2003

Please provide the following:

1. Your capital structure as of the end of the month for each month of 2002 and for January, February, March and April of 2003.

   See the attached schedule of capital structure for KMEP on a quarterly basis, as reported in KMEP's 10-Q.

SFPP, L.P.
Summary of Capital Structure
For the Periods Ending December 31, 2001 & 2002
($Millions)

Schedule 9
Data Request #19

Line No.	Description	Calculation	1Q 2002	2Q 2002	3Q 2002	1Q 2003
	Unadjusted Capital Structure:					
1	Long Term Debt	1/	$2,959.7	$2,997.4	$3,611.1	$3,787.2
2	Partners' Capital	1/	$3,080.2	$3,080.0	$3,406.8	$3,425.6
3	Total Capitalization	Line (1 + 2)	$6,039.9	$6,077.4	$7,017.9	$7,212.8
4	Debt Percentage	Line (1 / 3)	49.00%	49.32%	51.46%	52.51%
5	Equity Percentage	Line (2 / 3)	51.00%	50.68%	48.54%	47.49%
6	Total	Line (4 + 5)	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%
7	Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	1/	$538.6	$802.4	$0.0	$14.5
8	% Expected to Financed with New Debt		40%	40%	40%	40%
	Adjusted Capital Structure:					
9	Long Term Debt	Line (1 + (7 * 8))	$3,175.1	$3,318.4	$3,611.1	$3,793.0
10	Partners' Capital	Line (2 + (7 * (1-8)))	$3,403.4	$3,561.4	$3,406.8	$3,434.3
11	Total Capitalization	Line (9 + 10)	$6,578.5	$6,879.8	$7,017.9	$7,227.3
12	Debt Percentage	Line (9 / 11)	48.27%	48.23%	51.46%	52.48%
13	Equity Percentage	Line (10 / 11)	51.73%	51.77%	48.54%	47.52%
14	Total	Line (12 + 13)	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%	100.00%

1/ Source: Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. 10-Q for March, June, and September 2002, and March 2003.
2001 and 2002 year end capital structure was provided in Application workpapers, Schedule 9.

Monson, Maurice

From: Monson, Maurice
Sent: Thursday, October 02, 2003 2:02 PM
To: 'ditop@kindermorgan.com'
Cc: 'hulbert@kindermorgan.com'
Subject: FW: Request no. 24

I am re-submiting this request. Please respond by October 31, 2003 or as soon thereafter as possible.

By now you should have your bill for September.

-----Original Message-----
From: Monson, Maurice
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2003 4:18 PM
To: 'ditop@kindermorgan.com'
Cc: 'hulbertj@kindermorgan.com'
Subject: FW: Request no. 24

Re-sent to Jeff's corrected address.

-----Original Message-----
From: Monson, Maurice
Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2003 3:58 PM
To: 'ditop@kindermorgan.com'
Cc: 'hulbert@kindermorgan.com'
Subject: Request no. 24

Please respond by September 4, 2003.

Please provide a revised estimate of electric energy expense for 2003 based on the impact of Commission Decision no. 03-07-029, authority to lower rates.

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Monson, Maurice
> Sent: Thursday, August 21, 2003 3:58 PM
> To: 'ditop@kindermorgan.com'
> Cc: 'hulbert@kindermorgan.com'
> Subject: Request no. ~~24~~ 25
>
> Please respond by September 4, 2003.
>
> Please provide a revised estimate of electric energy expense for 2003 based on the impact of Commission Decision no. 03-07-029, authority to lower rates.

SFPP is not in a position at this time to provide a revised estimate of electric energy expense for 2003 based on the impact of Commission Decision No. 03-07-029. D. 03-07-029 authorized the reduction of rates for bundled service customers of Southern California Edison Company ("SCE"), effective August 1, 2003. SFPP receives both bundled service from SCE as well as unbundled or direct access service, with the predominance of its load currently served under direct access contracts. Until SFPP receives bills reflecting the reductions in its bundled service accounts that have been ordered by Decision No. 03-07-029 effective August 1, 2003, SFPP has no ability to quantify the impact of D. 03-07-029. When SFPP does receive its billings for energy consumption in August, 2003, it will be in a position to provide information that shows the difference, if any, between its estimate of 2003 energy costs for the month of August, 2003 and its actual recorded costs which will, in turn, reflect the reductions ordered by D. 03-07-029. From that information, it may be possible to then estimate the impact of D. 03-07-029 on SFPP's estimate of energy expenses for the remaining months of 2003 (September through December).

SFPP further understands that a separate portion of D. 03-07-029 provides that "Beginning September 1, 2003, SCE will move to bottom-up billing under which DA customers will be charged, and their bills will reflect, only the services they receive and the DA CRS. The current DA crediting methodology will be changed at that time." SFPP is unclear what impact, if any, the Commission's intended change in billing methodology for SCE's Direct Access accounts will have upon SFPP's energy expenses. SFPP is awaiting the arrival of an actual SCE invoice to begin a review of the ramifications of the new billing methodology.

Check appropriate box:

☐ An Original Signed Form

☒ Conformed Copy

Form Approved
OMB No. 1902-0022
(Expires 3/31/2004)

FERC Form No. 6: ANNUAL REPORT OF OIL PIPELINE COMPANIES

(Formerly ICC Form P)

This report is mandatory under the Interstate Commerce Act, Section 20, and 18 CFR 357.2. Failure to report may result in criminal fines, civil penalties and other sanctions as provided by law. The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission does not consider this report to be of a confidential nature.

Exact Legal Name of Respondent (Company)	Year of Report
SFPP, L.P.	Dec. 31, 2002

KINDER MORGAN
ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

2002 ANNUAL REPORT