Valley – Ivyglen Subtransmission Project
CPUC Minor Project Refinement Form

Minor project refinements *are strictly limited to changes that will not trigger an additional permit requirement (except local government ministerial permits and associated requirements), do not substantially increase the severity of a previously identified significant impact based on criteria used in the FEIR, create a new significant impact, are located within the geographic boundary of the study area of the FEIR, and that don't conflict with any mitigation measure or applicable law or policy.*

Date Requested: 05/20/2021

Report No.: [CPUC Compliance Manager fills in]

Date Approved: [date CPUC Compliance Manager sends the approved form back to applicant]

Approval Agency: N/A

Anticipated Start Date for Proposed Action: 06/14/2021

Anticipated End Date for Proposed Action: 3/01/2022

Property Owner(s): SCE franchise and SCE private property agreements.

Location/Milepost: Multiple locations in Segment VIG8 as described below.

Land Use/Vegetative Cover: Various; as described in attached biological report.

Sensitive Resources: Disturbed Riversidean sage scrub, Riversidean alluvial fan sage scrub, and coast live oak forest, as described in attached biological report.

Modification From: ☐ **Permit** ☒ **Plan/Procedure** ☐ **Specification** ☐ **Drawing**

☐ **Mitigation Measure** ☐ **Other:**

Describe the proposed minor Project refinement, including how project refinement deviates from current project and an explanation for why the refinements are necessary:

Proposal for Additional Work Areas and Disturbances:

MPR No. 14 proposes additional work areas and land disturbances that were not included in NTPR-2 but are necessary to construct the Project work described in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2 and 2.3.1.3 of the FEIR. Due to the congestion of existing underground utilities, the previously approved alignment would be moved to avoid conflicts when installing 115 kV and telecom duct bank. The proposed work areas are within the general disturbance area of the Valley-Ivyglen 115-kV Project, except as noted in Table 1, and are of the sizes described in Table 2-5 of the FEIR as being necessary to construct the Project components.

The primary activities to be conducted at the proposed work areas would include installation of conduit duct bank, followed by installation of 115 kV underground subtransmission cable and telecom cable. Construction of these components would be accomplished in a manner consistent with the descriptions contained in the following VIG FEIR Sections: 2.4.5.4, 115-kV Structure Construction; and 2.4.7, Telecommunication Installations.

The 115 kV and telecom duct bank east of vault 6001584 would be installed via boring (Cased Bore) for approximately 346 feet. The boring entrance and exit points would be within the previously approved subtransmission trenching work area. The boring machine would bore an underground path and install a 30–32-inch casing into which conduit would be placed. The conduit would connect to vault 6001584 to the west and to conduit in open trench to the east.

Site preparation activities would include vegetation clearing, improvement/construction of work areas, and installation of Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP) best management practices (BMPs).

Following the completion of all construction, sites would be restored/reclaimed in accordance with the Project SWPPPs, Project Commitment D, and the VIG Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan.

Environmental impact analysis for use of the above-described areas was conducted as part of this MPR and is provided in the attached biological (Attachment A), cultural (Attachment B), and paleontological reports (Attachment C).

Describe the dimensions and area of any additional work areas and land disturbance associated with the proposed refinements. Include/attach photos, maps, or other documentation illustrating the existing conditions in the area:

The proposed refinements would result in a net increase of 0.07 acres of temporary disturbance and an increase of 0.0 acres of permanent impacts in Segment VIG8. The locations, dimensions, and activities for each proposed refinement are provided in Table 1 and are visually shown in the biological resource maps (Attachment A).

Table 1: VIG8 Additionally Requested Work Areas

Segment	Pole / Feature Name	Nearest Structure	Latitude	Longitude	Description	Activity
VIG8	Trenching work area	580E	N/A	N/A	Southeast of 580E. The telecom route between pullbox 5702896 and existing manhole M9300920 would be shifted from a path mostly in the eastbound lane of Temescal Canyon Road to a route that crosses Temescal Canyon Road perpendicularly at M9300920 and parallels the 115 kV conductor to pullbox M9300920. All work would occur within previously approved work areas.	Installation of underground telecom conduit.
VIG8	Boring work area	6001584	N/A	N/A	Located outside of the general disturbance area and extending 346 feet northeast of vault 6001584, 2,275 square feet of temporary work area is requested for underground boring and installation of casing and duct bank. Within the portion of the boring work area that does not overlap the trenching work area, no ground disturbance or activity other than foot traffic would occur. 445 square feet of additional temporary work area is requested at the east end of the boring path to accommodate equipment and materials.	Installation of underground duct bank.
VIG8	Trenching work area	6001586	N/A	N/A	520 linear feet of trenching work area located west of vault 6001586 would be shifted north of the asphalt roadway of Temescal Canyon Road. 5,245 square feet of area is outside of the general disturbance area. 380 square feet of additional temporary work area is requested.	Installation of underground duct bank.

Summary of Proposed Land Disturbance:
Newly requested temporary and permanent disturbance areas associated with MPR No. 14 are shown in Table 2. The quantity and dimensions of disturbance areas are consistent with what is described in Table 2-5 of the FEIR. Section 2.4.2.1 of the FEIR states that construction of VIG would disturb approximately 633.7 acres of land, including approximately 141.5 acres of permanent disturbance. Total impacts for all VIG NTPRs/MPRs are anticipated to be below the quantities given in the FEIR. If quantities in future NTPRs/MPRs exceed the FEIR, an explanation of significance will be provided.

Table 2: Requested Disturbances Associated with MPR No. 14

Feature	Number of Miles	Temporary Impact Total	Permanent Impact Total
Temporary Work Areas	--	0.07 ac (3,100 sq ft)	--
Total	**0.00 Miles**	**0.07 ac (3,100 sq ft)**	**0.00 ac (0.00 sq ft)**

Provide a summary list of applicable Project requirements (e.g., MMs, etc.) for which the refinements are being requested:

No refinements to the Project requirements are being requested. The existing Project requirements will be followed, as applicable, for the newly requested area.

Would the proposed refinements conflict with any of the above-listed MMs or other Project requirements or applicable laws, regulations, or policies?	**No**	**Yes**
Explain proposed refinements consistency/inconsistency with applicable Project requirements below.	☒	☐

The proposed refinements do not conflict with any of the project commitments or mitigation measures listed in FEIR Section 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan.

Would the Proposed Project refinements result in a new impact, or increase the severity of a previously analyzed impact on:	No	Yes
Aesthetics (e.g. damage scenic resources or vistas, degrade the existing visual character of the site and its surroundings, or create sources of light or glare)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Aesthetics:

The proposed additional work areas and the work to be conducted are consistent with the descriptions of structures to be installed and disturbances to occur during construction provided in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2, 2.3.1.3, and Table 2-5 of the FEIR. The proposed refinements are underground and will not be visible after installation.

Aesthetic impacts associated with these refinements do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.1.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Agriculture and Forestry (e.g. convert farmland to non-agricultural use, or forest land to non-forest use, or create a conflict with existing agricultural zoning or a Williamson Act)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Agriculture and Forestry:

The proposed additional work areas are not located on land designated as farmland or forest. Impacts to agriculture and forestry associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.2.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Air Quality (e.g. violate any air quality standard, or produce criteria air pollutant emissions, or expose sensitive receptors to addition pollutants)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Air Quality:

The boring operation is not expected to significantly change impacts to air quality. All other activities occurring at the proposed locations and the types of equipment used are consistent with the activities described in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2, and 2.3.1.3 of the FEIR. Other than the boring equipment, the type and quantity of construction equipment would be the same as identified in NTPR-2. In compliance with MM AQ-1, NOX and PM emissions from off-road diesel-powered construction equipment would be minimized to the extent feasible by using Tier 4 interim or Tier 4 Standards for equipment with engines greater than 150 horsepower. The boring operation would use a boring machine with a Tier 4 engine. Per MM AQ-2, daily emissions of equipment would be tracked to ensure NOX emissions stay within the NOX Regional Clean Air Incentive Market Trading Credits (RTCs) purchased for the Project.

Impacts to air quality associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.3.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Biological Resources (e.g. have an adverse effect on sensitive or special-status species; impact riparian, wetland, or any other sensitive habitat; or interfere with the movement of native resident or migratory fish or wildlife)?	☒	☐

Previous Biological Survey Report Reference:

The proposed work areas were included in previous biological surveys for the FEIR, as described in the biological report (Attachment A).

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Biological Resources:

The boring east of vault 6001584 will occur at a depth of approximately 12 feet and will pass underneath two ephemeral streambeds, but the work would not impact jurisdictional elements of the streambeds. No amendments to the waters permits would be needed. No ground disturbance, vehicle staging, or equipment/material storage would occur within the streambeds and riparian vegetation along the boring work area. Only foot traffic that is necessary for guiding the boring path would occur in this area and the foot traffic would not impact riparian vegetation or result in dredge or fill. Pressurized drilling fluids would not be used, thereby avoiding a release of drilling fluids into the streambeds via “frac-out” or spillage.

The boring path would travel underneath land mapped as Riversidean alluvial fan sage scrub, disturbed Riversidean sage scrub, and coast live oak riparian forest. The bore would also pass underneath a mature coast live oak tree (*Quercus agrifolia*). The root system of mature coast live oak trees consists of a deep central taproot that is usually nonfunctional and several deep roots that may tap groundwater if present within 36 feet of the soil surface (Steinberg, Peter D. 2002. Quercus agrifolia. In: Fire Effects Information System, [Online]. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory (Producer). Available: https://www.fs.fed.us /database/feis/plants/tree/queagr/all.html [2021, May 20]). Approximately 85% of all roots of *Quercus* spp. are fine roots in the upper three feet of soil (Breda, N. Granier, A, Bartaud, F, and Moyne, C. 1995.

Soil Water Dynamics in an Oak Stand. Plant and Soil 172:17–27). The bore would travel underneath the oak tree but would be off-center to miss the central taproot. The approximate 12.5-foot depth the bore would avoid most roots. MM BR-6 requires that pruned roots two inches or greater in diameter be cut at a 90-degree angle with sharp hand tools and wrapped in moist burlap until the soil is replaced. The boring method would not allow for treatment of cut roots as described in MM BR-6; however, nearby Project trenching alongside a coast live oak found that roots two inches in diameter were not present deeper than five feet from the soil surface. The top of the bore would have a depth of approximately 11 feet, which would likely be well below roots two inches in diameter or greater.

The trenching work area west of vault 6001586 overlaps with disturbed Riversidean sage scrub. In accordance with MM BR-5, removal of Riversidean sage scrub habitat would not occur during the coastal California gnatcatcher breeding season.

Following the completion of all construction, the temporary work areas would be restored/reclaimed in accordance with the Project SWPPPs, Project Commitment D, and the VIG Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan.

Portions of the proposed work areas in VIG8 are outside of the WR-MSHCP Phase 2 certificate of inclusion (COI) coverage area. Based on the guidance provided by the RCA, construction of these features would not require notification and approval by the RCA prior to construction because the overall permanent disturbance to baseline vegetation of RCA concern does not exceed the acreage proposed in the MSHCP PSE application. No proposed permanent impacts are proposed in MPR No. 14 and all work is outside of baseline vegetation of RCA concern (Table 3). All temporary impacts to vegetation will be restored in accordance with the HRRP.

Table 3. Permanent Impacts to MSHCP Baseline Vegetation in Segment VIG8

MSHCP PSE Application	0.00 Acres
Currently Proposed Impacts (MPR No. 14)	0.00 Acres
Change in Vegetation Impacts	**0.00 Acres**

MPR No. 14 proposed work areas are covered under the Stephens' kangaroo rat (SKR) Habitat Conservation Plan. Although 0.09 acres of the proposed refinements are outside of the SKR buffer depicted in the Certificate of Inclusion, the Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency has agreed that SCE may reconcile impacted acreage once the Project has reached final design. SCE will be responsible for identifying acreage not previously included in the COI (such as the acreage proposed here) as well as removing acreage that was included in the COI but not disturbed by construction activities.

The activities described in MPR No. 14 do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of an identified impact listed in Section 4.4.4.2 of the FEIR. Indirect impacts that may occur to sensitive species in the vicinity of the proposed work areas would be mitigated in accordance with the Project Commitments and Mitigation Measures. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Cultural Resources (e.g. cause an adverse change to a significant historical, archeological, paleontological, or tribal resource or disturb any human remains)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Cultural Resources:

The proposed refinement areas were included in the cultural and paleontological resource analyses of the addendum reports (Attachments B & C); no supplemental surveys were necessary. There are no new sensitive archaeological or paleontological resources located at the proposed work areas based on survey results. The boring path occurs in the vicinity of a known cultural resource area. Cultural and tribal monitoring would be conducted in accordance with the CRMTP. Paleontological monitoring, spot checking, and fossil recovery would be implemented for excavations at the proposed work areas in accordance with the Project's PRMP. The proposed refinements occur in soil with low potential for paleontological resources. If a resource is found at the site, SCE would comply with the procedures for unanticipated discoveries provided in MMs CR-1b, CR-4, CR-5, CR-7, the CRMTP, and the PRMP. Impacts to cultural resources associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.5.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Geology, Soils, and Seismicity (e.g. expose people or structures to risk of loss, injury, or death involving seismic-related ground failure including liquefaction or landslides, be located on a geologic unit, unstable soil, or expansive soil)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Geology, Soils, and Seismicity:

Erosion would be controlled at locations of earth disturbance through the implementation and adherence to the Project linear SWPPP. Spoils associated with the boring operation would be controlled with BMPs at the entrance and exit points to prevent discharge. Following approval of MPR No. 14, the SWPPP would be updated to show the proposed work areas. At the completion of all construction, sites would be restored/reclaimed in accordance with the Project SWPPPs, Project Commitment D, and the VIG Habitat Restoration and Revegetation Plan.

Impacts to geology, soils, and seismicity associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.6.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Greenhouse Gas Emissions (e.g. generate a substantial amount of greenhouse gas [GHG] emissions, conflict with an applicable plan, policy, or regulation adopted for the purpose of reducing emissions or GHGs)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Greenhouse Gas Emissions:

The boring operation is not expected to significantly change impacts to air quality. All other activities occurring at the proposed locations and the types of equipment used are consistent with the activities described in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2, and 2.3.1.3 of the FEIR. Other than the boring equipment, the type and quantity of construction equipment would be the same as identified in NTPR-2. In compliance with MM AQ-1, NOX and PM emissions from off-road diesel-powered construction equipment would be minimized to the extent feasible by using Tier 4 interim or Tier 4 Standards for equipment with engines greater than 150 horsepower. The boring operation would use a boring machine with a Tier 4 engine. Per MM AQ-2, daily emissions of equipment would be tracked to ensure NOX emissions stay within the NOX Regional Clean Air Incentive Market Trading Credits (RTCs) purchased for the Project.

Impacts to greenhouse gas emissions associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.7.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Hazards and Hazardous Materials (e.g. create hazards to public or environment through transport, use, disposal, or accident conditions of hazardous materials, be located on a site of hazardous materials, or expose people and structures to loss, injury of death involving wildland fires)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Hazards and Hazardous Materials:

Activities occurring at the proposed locations are consistent with the activities described in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2, and 2.3.1.3 of the FEIR. All proposed locations are within the 1,000-foot corridor evaluated for solid waste disposal sites, Cease and Desist Orders, or Cleanup and Abatement orders per Section 4.8.1.1 of the FEIR. Planned ground-disturbing activities include trenching and boring. In the event of an inadvertent discovery, SCE would follow the procedures in Project's Contaminated Soil and Groundwater Contingency Plan. Proposed work areas in MPR No. 14 are located within elevated and extreme fire threat areas. Fire danger mitigation would be implemented in accordance with the Project Emergency Action Plan and Fire Control and Emergency Response Plan. Impacts to hazards and hazardous materials associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.8.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Hydrology and Water Quality (e.g. violate water quality standards or discharge waste requirements, alter the existing drainage pattern creating additional sedimentation, runoff water, or polluted runoff, or inundate by seiche, tsunami, or mudflow)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Hydrology and Water Quality:
The proposed refinements are located within the Santa Ana Watershed and the Elsinore Groundwater Basin. The proposed work areas are not located within a flood zone.

The boring operation east of vault 6001584 would cross underneath two ephemeral streambeds. No ground disturbance, vehicle staging, or equipment/material storage would occur within the streambeds and riparian vegetation along the boring work area; only foot traffic that is necessary for guiding the boring path would occur in this area. Pressurized drilling fluids would not be used, thereby avoiding a release of drilling fluids into the streambeds via "frac-out" or spillage.

Erosion that could affect water quality would be controlled at locations of earth disturbance through the implementation and adherence to the Project linear SWPPP. If stained or odorous soil is found during excavating, SCE would follow the procedures in Project's Contaminated Soil and Groundwater Contingency Plan. Dewatering, if necessary, would be performed in accordance with the Project linear SWPPP.

Impacts to hydrology and water quality associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.9.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Land Use and Planning (e.g. physically divide an established community; conflict with a land use plan, policy, or regulation of an agency with jurisdiction over the project, or conflict with a habitat conservation plan)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Land Use and Planning:

The land use would remain unchanged at proposed work locations. Installation of duct bank is consistent with the activities described in Section 2.3.1.1 and Table 2-1 of the FEIR. Impacts to land use and planning associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.10.4 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Mineral Resources (e.g. result in the loss of known mineral resources of regional and/or state value, or availability of locally-important mineral resource recovery site delineated on a local general plan, specific plan, or other land use plan)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Mineral Resources:

The proposed work areas are in Mineral Resource Zone (MRZ) 3 indicating a likely but undetermined significant mineral resource. The proposed features would impact the ability to recover mineral resources in the future but were included in the description of Project activities in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2, and 2.3.1.3 of the FEIR and are in locations where mineral resource recovery is unlikely to occur.

Impacts to mineral resources associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.6.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Noise and Vibration (e.g. expose sensitive receptors to additional noise or vibration, exposure of persons to or generation of excessive noise, ambient noise, ground-borne noise, or vibration)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Noise and Vibration:

The trenching and boring activities proposed in MPR No. 14 would result in similar noise and vibration impacts as those described in the Final EIR. The boring operation would occur within 300 feet of residences. The temporary noise levels associated with boring and casing may be higher than open trenching, but impacts would be reduced to less than significant by implementing Project Commitment H, Mitigation Measure NV-1, and the Project Noise Control Plan. Blasting would not occur at any of the proposed work areas.

Impacts to noise and vibration associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.11.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Population and Housing (e.g. directly or indirectly induce substantial population growth in an area, or displace substantial numbers of people or existing housing)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Population and Housing:

The proposed refinements would not increase or displace populations. Impacts to population and housing associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.12.4 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Public Services and Utilities (e.g. result in substantial adverse physical impacts on government facilities that provide a public service or cause environmental impacts to service ratios, response times, or other performance objectives to fire protection, sheriff protection, schools, parks, or other public facilities)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Public Services and Utilities:

The proposed refinements would not increase the need for or physically alter any public services. Impacts to public services and utilities associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.13.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Recreation (e.g. increase the use of, or cause adverse effects on, existing neighborhood, parks, or other recreational facilities)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Recreation:

The proposed refinements would not cause deterioration to any recreational facilities and would not overlap trails or impact their use. Impacts to recreation associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.14.4 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Transportation and Traffic (e.g. increase hazards due to design feature, result in inadequate emergency access, or conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs regarding public transit, bicycle, or pedestrian facilities)?	☒	☐

Summary of Proposed Project Refinement Impacts on Transportation and Traffic:

Activities occurring at the proposed locations are consistent with the activities described in Sections 2.3.1.1, 2.3.1.2, and 2.3.1.3 of the FEIR. The quantity of construction equipment and personnel would be the same as identified in NTPR-2. Adherence to the Project Traffic Management and Control Plan would ensure compliance with traffic-related Project mitigation measures, TT-1, TT-2, and TT-7. There would be no change to the access routes identified in the Traffic Management and Control Plan.

Impacts to transportation and traffic associated with this refinement do not create a new significant impact or a substantial increase in the severity of a previously identified impact identified in Section 4.15.4.2 of the FEIR. All applicable avoidance/minimization measures identified in FEIR Chapter 9 Mitigation Monitoring, Compliance, and Reporting Plan would be followed.

Describe any applicable consultation with other governmental agencies conducted for the proposed refinements:

No consultation with other governmental agencies was necessary or conducted for the proposed refinement.

Approvals	Date	Name (print)	Signature	
SCE Project Manager				☐ Reviewed
SCE Environmental Project Manager				☐ Reviewed
CPUC Project Manager				☐ Approved ☐ Approved with conditions (see below) ☐ Denied

For CPUC Compliance Manager Use Only		
☐ **Refinement Approved**	☐ **Refinement Denied**	☐ **Beyond Authority**

Conditions of Approval or Reason for Denial:

Prepared by: **Date:**

This page intentionally left blank