BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Application of SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY (U 338 -E) for a Permit to Construct Electrical Facilities With Voltages Between 50 kV and 200 kV: Devers - Mirage 115 kV Subtransmission System Split Project	))))))))	Application No. _____ (Filed January 31, 2008)

PROPONENT'S ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT
DEVERS - MIRAGE 115 kV SUBTRANSMISSION SYSTEM SPLIT PROJECT

STEPHEN E. PICKETT
RICHARD TOM
ANGELA WHATLEY

Attorneys for
SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON COMPANY

2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Post Office Box 800
Rosemead, California 91770

Telephone: (626) 302-6932
Facsimile: (626) 302-1926
E-mail: beth.gaylord@SCE.com

Dated: January 31, 2008

THIS PAGE IS INTENTIONALLY BLANK

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary
- ES 1.1 Project Overview ES-1
- ES 1.2 Proposed Project ES-1
- ES 1.3 Project Purpose and Need ES-2
- ES 1.4 Alternatives Analysis ES-3
- ES 1.5 Environmental Overview ES-3

Chapter 1: Purpose and Need
- 1.1 Project Overview 1-1
- 1.2 Project Purpose 1-1
  - 1.2.1 Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System Split 1-7
  - 1.2.2 Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Transmission Line Loop-In 1-10
- 1.3 Project Need 1-11
  - 1.3.1 Electrical Demand Growth and Reliability 1-11
  - 1.3.2 Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System Split 1-25
  - 1.3.3 Need for Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In at Mirage Substation 1-27
  - 1.3.4 Summary 1-28
- 1.4 Project Objectives 1-28

Chapter 2: Project Alternatives
- 2.1 Alternatives Overview 2-1
- 2.2 System Alternatives 2-1
  - 2.2.1 System Alternatives Overview 2-1
  - 2.2.2 No Project Alternative 2-2
  - 2.2.3 System Alternative A: Devers-Mirage 115 kV Subtransmission System Split 2-2
  - 2.2.4 System Alternative B: No System Split 2-5
  - 2.2.5 System Alternatives Discussion 2-6
  - 2.2.6 System Alternatives Recommendation 2-13
- 2.3 Subtransmission Line Route Alternatives 2-14
  - 2.3.1 Route Evaluation Methodology 2-14
  - 2.3.2 Farrell-Garnet 115 kV Subtransmission Line 2-15
  - 2.3.3 Mirage-Santa Rosa 115 kV Subtransmission Line 2-22
  - 2.3.4 Recommendations – Proposed Route Alternatives 2-23
  - 2.3.5 Summary 2-25

Chapter 3: Project Description
- 3.1 Project Overview 3-1
  - 3.1.1 Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System Split 3-1
  - 3.1.2 Mirage 220/115 kV Substation 3-4
  - 3.1.3 Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In 3-4
- 3.2 Proposed Project Location 3-5
- 3.3 Proposed Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In 3-5
  - 3.3.1 Overview 3-5
  - 3.3.2 Existing 220 kV Line Configurations 3-5
  - 3.3.3 Proposed 220 kV Line Configurations 3-6

Table of Contents (continued)

3.3.4 Construction Plan 3-14
3.4 Proposed 115 kV Subtransmission Lines 3-22
3.4.1 Overview of Line Configuration to Support Proposed Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System 3-22
3.4.2 Overview of Line Configurations to Support Proposed Mirage 115 kV Subtransmission System 3-27
3.4.3 Design Features and Common Construction Methods for 115 kV Subtransmission Lines 3-43
3.4.4 Subtransmission Line Operation, Inspection, and Maintenance 3-53
3.5 Substations 3-53
3.5.1 Devers Substation 3-53
3.5.2 Mirage Substation 3-55
3.5.3 Concho Substation 3-57
3.5.4 Indian Wells Substation 3-58
3.5.5 Santa Rosa Substation 3-60
3.5.6 Eisenhower Substation 3-61
3.5.7 Farrell Substation 3-63
3.5.8 Garnet Substation 3-65
3.5.9 Thornhill Substation 3-66
3.5.10 Tamarisk Substation 3-67
3.5.11 Common Substation Construction for All Substations 3-68
3.5.12 Hazardous Materials and Waste Management 3-69
3.5.13 Substation Construction Schedule 3-71
3.6 Telecommunications 3-74
3.6.1 Engineering Plan 3-74
3.6.2 Construction Plan 3-75
3.6.3 Telecommunication System Operation and Maintenance 3-77
3.7 Project Schedule and Personnel Requirements 3-77

Chapter 4: Environmental Impact Assessment
4.0 Introduction 4-1
4.1 Aesthetics 4.1-1
4.1.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.1-1
4.1.2 Significance Criteria 4.1-2
4.1.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.1-2
4.1.4 Environmental Setting 4.1-2
4.1.5 Impact Analysis 4.1-8
4.1.6 Alternatives 4.1-33
4.1.7 References 4.1-36
4.2 Agricultural Resources 4.2-1
4.2.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.2-1
4.2.2 Significance Criteria 4.2-2
4.2.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.2-2
4.2.4 Environmental Setting 4.2-2
4.2.5 Impact Analysis 4.2-2
4.2.6 Alternatives 4.2-4
4.2.7 References 4.2-4

Table of Contents (continued)

4.3 Air Quality 4.3-1
4.3.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.3-1
4.3.2 Significance Criteria 4.3-2
4.3.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.3-4
4.3.4 Environmental Setting 4.3-5
4.3.5 Impact Analysis 4.3-10
4.3.6 Alternatives 4.3-18
4.3.7 References 4.3-23
4.4 Biological Resources 4.4-1
4.4.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.4-1
4.4.2 Significance Criteria 4.4-3
4.4.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.4-4
4.4.4 Environmental Setting 4.4-5
4.4.5 Impact Analysis 4.4-21
4.4.6 Biological (Species-Specific) Mitigation Measures 4.4-25
4.4.7 Alternatives 4.4-28
4.4.8 References 4.4-31
4.5 Cultural and Paleontological Resources 4.5-1
4.5.1 Cultural Resources 4.5-1
4.5.2 Paleontological Resources 4.5-13
4.5.3 References (Cultural Resources) 4.5-18
4.5.4 References (Paleontological Resources) 4.5-20
4.6 Geology and Soils 4.6-1
4.6.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.6-1
4.6.2 Significance Criteria 4.6-1
4.6.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.6-2
4.6.4 Environmental Setting 4.6-2
4.6.5 Impact Analysis 4.6-8
4.6.6 Alternatives 4.6-13
4.6.7 References 4.6-14
4.7 Hazardous and Hazardous Materials 4.7-1
4.7.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.7-1
4.7.2 Significance Criteria 4.7-1
4.7.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.7-2
4.7.4 Existing Conditions 4.7-4
4.7.5 Impact Analysis 4.7-8
4.7.6 Alternatives 4.7-11
4.7.7 References 4.7-12
4.8 Hydrology and Water Quality 4.8-1
4.8.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.8-1
4.8.2 Significance Criteria 4.8-2
4.8.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.8-3
4.8.4 Environmental Setting 4.8-4
4.8.5 Impact Analysis 4.8-9
4.8.6 Alternatives 4.8-11
4.8.7 References 4.8-11

Table of Contents (continued)

4.9 Land Use and Planning 4.9-1
4.9.1 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.9-1
4.9.2 Significance Criteria 4.9-2
4.9.3 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.9-2
4.9.4 Environmental Setting 4.9-3
4.9.5 Impact Analysis 4.9-16
4.9.6 Alternatives 4.9-19
4.9.7 References 4.9-20
4.10 Mineral Resources 4.10-1
4.10.1 Significance Criteria 4.10-1
4.10.2 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.10-1
4.10.3 Environmental Setting 4.10-1
4.10.4 Impact Analysis 4.10-1
4.10.5 Alternatives 4.10-2
4.10.6 References 4.10-2
4.11 Noise 4.11-1
4.11.1 General Noise Characteristics 4.11-1
4.11.2 Applicable Laws, Regulations, and Standards 4.11-2
4.11.3 Significance Criteria 4.11-5
4.11.4 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.11-5
4.11.5 Environmental Setting 4.11-6
4.11.6 Impact Analysis 4.11-12
4.11.7 Alternatives 4.11-22
4.11.8 References 4.11-23
4.12 Population and Housing 4.12-1
4.12.1 Significance Criteria 4.12-1
4.12.2 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.12-1
4.12.3 Environmental Setting 4.12-1
4.12.4 Impact Analysis 4.12-3
4.12.5 Alternatives 4.12-4
4.12.6 References 4.12-5
4.13 Public Services/Utilities 4.13-1
4.13.1 Significance Criteria 4.13-1
4.13.2 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.13-1
4.13.3 Environmental Setting 4.13-2
4.13.4 Impact Analysis 4.13-5
4.13.5 Alternatives 4.13-7
4.13.6 References 4.13-8
4.14 Recreation 4.14-1
4.14.1 Significance Criteria 4.14-1
4.14.2 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.14-1
4.14.3 Environmental Setting 4.14-1
4.14.4 Impact Analysis 4.14-2
4.14.5 Alternatives 4.14-5
4.14.6 References 4.14-5

Table of Contents (continued)

4.15 Transportation and Traffic 4.15-1
4.15.1 Significance Criteria 4.15-1
4.15.2 Applicant Proposed Measures 4.15-1
4.15.3 Environmental Setting 4.15-1
4.15.4 Impact Analysis 4.15-2
4.15.5 Alternatives 4.15-6
4.15.6 References 4.15-8

5.0 Comparison of Alternatives
5.1 Significant or Potentially Significant Environmental Impacts 5-1
5.2 Comparison of Alternatives 5-1
5.2.1 Farrell-Garnet 115 kV Subtransmission Line Alternative Routes 5-2
5.2.2 Mirage-Santa Rosa 115 kV Subtransmission Line Alternative Routes 5-3
5.3 Conclusion 5-7

6.0 Mandatory Findings of Significance
6.1 Significance Criteria 6-1
6.2 Environmental Impacts 6-1
6.3 Cumulative Impact Analysis 6-1
6.3.1 Project Analyzed for Cumulative Impacts 6-2
6.3.2 Cumulative Impacts by Resource Categories 6-12
6.4 Other CEQA Considerations 6-16
6.4.1 Irreversible/Irretrievable Commitment of Resources: Short- and Long-Term Uses of the Environment 6-17
6.4.2 Growth-Inducing Impacts 6-18
6.4.3 Indirect Effects 6-18

Appendix A – Environmental Checklist Form
Appendix B – List of Preparers
Appendix C – 300-Foot List
Appendix D – Public Involvement Plan
Appendix E – List of Permits
Appendix F – Technical Reports

LIST OF FIGURES

1.1 Regional Map and Electrical Needs Area 1-3
1.2 Proposed Subtransmission System Split in the Electrical Needs Area 1-5
1.3 Forecasted Loading of Existing Mirage-Tamarisk 115 kV Subtransmission Line Under Normal and Abnormal Conditions 1-14
1.4 Existing Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System (2007) 1-17
1.5a Forecasted Loading of the 2008 Mirage-Concho 115 kV Subtransmission Line Under Normal and Abnormal Conditions 1-19
1.5b Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System (2008) 1-21
1.6 Proposed Devers and Mirage 115 kV Subtransmission Systems (2010) 1-23
1.7 Existing 220 kV Transmission Lines Connecting the Devers and Mirage Substations 1-29
1.8 Proposed Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In to Mirage Substation 1-29

2.1 Proposed Project and Alternatives 2-3
2.2a Proposed Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System Alternative A 2-7
2.2b Proposed Devers 115 kV Subtransmission System Alternative B 2-9
2.3 New Devers 115 kV Subtransmission Area – Proposed Project and Alternatives 2-17
2.4 New Mirage 115 kV Subtransmission Area – Proposed Project and Alternatives 2-19

3.3-1 Existing and Proposed Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In 3-7
3.3-2 Typical Pole Configuration for the Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In 3-9
3.3-3 Existing and Proposed 220 kV Transmission Line Tower Configurations 3-11
3.4-1 Existing Devers-Windland-Farrell and Proposed Farrell-Garnet 115 kV Subtransmission Lines 3-25
3.4-2 Existing and Proposed Mirage-Santa Rosa 115 kV Subtransmission Line Configuration 3-29
3.4-3 Existing and Proposed 115 kV Subtransmission Line Configuration at Portola Avenue and Gerald Ford Drive 3-35
3.4-4 Existing and Proposed 115 kV Subtransmission Line Configuration at Bob Hope and Dinah Shore Drives 3-37
3.4-5 Existing and Proposed 115 kV Subtransmission Line Configuration at Varner Road and Date Palm Drive 3-39
3.4-6 Typical 115 kV Subtransmission Line Pole Configurations 3-41
3.4-7 Tubular Steel Pole Configurations at the Intersection of Bob Hope Drive and Dinah Shore Drive 3-45

4.1-1 Simulation 4.1-11
4.1-2 Simulation 4.1-13
4.1-3 Simulation 4.1-17
4.1-4 Simulation 4.1-19
4.1-5 Simulation 4.1-21
4.1-6 Simulation 4.1-23
4.1-7 Simulation 4.1-27
4.1-8 Simulation 4.1-29
4.1-9 Simulation 4.1-31
4.3-1 Devers-Mirage 115 kV Subtransmission Split Project Construction Schedule 4.3-19
4.6-1 Regional Fault Map 4.6-5

List of Figures (continued)

4.6-2a Soils – Farrell-Garnet Project Area 4.6-9
4.6-2b Soils – Mirage-Santa Rosa Project Area 4.6-11
4.8 Surface Water and FEMA Floodplains 4.8-5
4.9-1 Land Jurisdiction 4.9-5
4.9-2a Existing Land Use – Farrell-Garnet Project Area 4.9-7
4.9-2b Existing Land Use – Mirage-Santa Rosa Project Area 4.9-9
4.9-3a Planned Land Use – Farrell-Garnet Project Area 4.9-11
4.9-3b Planned Land Use – Mirage-Santa Rosa Project Area 4.9-13
4.11-1 Noise Measurement Location 1 ..... 4.11-8
4.11-2 Noise Measurement Location 2 ..... 4.11-8
4.11-3 Palm Springs International Airport CNEL Noise Contours 4.11-9
4.11-4 Construction Vibration Amplitudes 4.11-15
4.13 Schools 4.13-3
4.14 Parks and Open Spaces 4.14-3
4.15 Truck Routes 4.15-3

LIST OF TABLES

ES-1 Potential Impacts and Mitigation Measures ES-7

1.3-1 Projected Line Loadings for 220/115 kV Lines Under Normal Conditions 1-13

3.3-1 Construction Equipment and Workforce Estimates by Activity (Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In) 3-18
3.3-2 Summary of Proposed Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In Ground Disturbing Activities 3-21
3.4-1 Roadway Personnel and Equipment 3-44
3.4-2 Construction Equipment and Workforce Estimates 3-50
3.4-3 Summary of Proposed 115 kV Subtransmission Line Ground Disturbing Activities 3-52
3.5-1 Substation Construction Waste Generation Estimates 3-69
3.5-2 Substation Construction Personnel and Equipment Summary 3-71
3.6-1 Telecommunication Construction Summary 3-76

4.3-1 Air Quality Significance Thresholds 4.3-3
4.3-2 Local Significance Thresholds 4.3-4
4.3-3 Ambient Ozone Levels from the Palm Springs Monitoring Station – 2004 Through 2006 (PPM) 4.3-6
4.3-4 Ambient Nitrogen Dioxide Levels from the Palm Springs Monitoring Station – 2004 Through 2006 (PPM) 4.3-6
4.3-5 Ambient Carbon Monoxide Levels from the Palm Springs Monitoring Station – 2004 Through 2006 (PPM) 4.3-7
4.3-6 Ambient Sulfur Dioxide Levels from the Rubidoux Monitoring Station – 2004 Through 2006 (PPM) 4.3-7
4.3-7 Ambient Particulate Levels from the Palm Springs Monitoring Station – 2004 Through 2006 (ug/m^3) 4.3-8

List of Tables (continued)

4.3-8 Summary of National and California Ambient Air Quality Standards 4.3-9
4.3-9 Maximum Daily Construction Emissions Summary..... 4.3-13
4.3-10 Construction Emissions Impacting a Single Receptor..... 4.3-14
4.3-11 Regional Construction Emissions 4.3-16
4.4-1 Special Status Plant Species in the Selected Topographic Quadrants and Their Potential to Occur in the Biological Resources Study Area 4.4-10
4.4-2 Special Status Animals in the Selected Topographic Quadrants and Their Potential to Occur in the Biological Resources Study Area 4.4-11
4.4-3 Species Endemic to the Coachella Valley, California 4.4-12
4.4-4 Summary of Special Status Plants and Animals Documented by Proposed Project Components and Alternatives 4.4-15
4.4-5 Summary of Potential Special Status Species Habitat Affected by the Proposed Action on Fringe-toed Lizard Critical Habitat 4.4-22
4.4-6 Summary of Potential Special Status Species Habitat Affected by the Proposed Action..... 4.4-23
4.11-1 Guideline Vibration Damage Potential Threshold Criteria..... 4.11-2
4.11-2 Guideline Vibration Annoyance Potential Criteria 4.11-2
4.11-3 Riverside County Integrated Project Noise Restrictions..... 4.11-3
4.11-4 City of Palm Springs Noise Restrictions..... 4.11-4
4.11-5 City of Palm Desert Noise Restrictions 4.11-4
4.11-6 Ambient Noise Measurements – May 11, 2006 to May 12, 2006 ..... 4.11-7
4.11-7 Proposed Devers-Coachella Valley 220 kV Loop-In Construction Equipment Noise Emissions 4.11-13
4.11-8 Vibration Source Amplitudes for Construction Equipment 4.11-15
4.11-9 Proposed Subtransmission Line Construction Equipment Noise Emissions..... 4.11-16
4.11-10 Proposed Substation Construction Equipment Noise Emissions..... 4.11-19
4.11-11 Proposed Substation Construction Impact Distance, Feet 4.11-20
4.12-1 Year 2000 Housing Data 4.12-2
4.12-2 Total Households 4.12-2
4.12-3 Year 2000 Populations and Demographics..... 4.12-2
4.12-4 Historic and Estimated Future Population Growth 4.12-3

5-1 Comparison of Alternatives Farrell-Garnet 115 kV Subtransmission Line 5-5
5-2 Comparison of Alternatives Mirage-Santa Rosa 115 kV Subtransmission Line..... 5-6

6-1 Related Projects List 6-2

LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS

4.4-1 Looking south along the existing subtransmission line from Mirage Substation 4.4-6
4.4-2 Fine sands west of Gene Autry Trail along the Proposed Farrell-Garnet 115 kV Subtransmission Line (Route 1)..... 4.4-6