

Mr. Jensen Uchida  
San Joaquin Cross Valley Loop Transmission Project  
C/o Environmental Science Associates  
225 Bush Street, Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94104-4207

July 23, 2009

Dear Mr. Uchida,

I believe the attached map, as provided by the CPUC and published in our local newspaper, is a "picture that's worth a thousand words." It can clearly be seen that Alternative 3 is the one with the least negative impact on agriculture, communities, and people. In fact, it goes through no communities, and only affects rangeland, not farming at all! I noticed in the DEIR that there was a valid concern regarding wetlands and vernal pools, but these could easily be circumnavigated, with only a slight adjustment of the route.

I know that it is nearly impossible for you to visit every site you make decisions on, but I would greatly encourage you to come out and have a look at this one. My husband and I often drive up Highway 245, and where Route 3 would be located is a perfect compromise of being reachable for repair and maintenance purposes, yet isolated enough so as not to be a problem. Public-relations-wise, it's a dream!

We appreciate the time you take to consider this more northern route; it is a crucial matter for us as the farming lifestyle of our little community of Elderwood is at stake.

Again, thank you for your consideration,



Gary and Rebecca Davis  
37930 Rd. 200  
Elderwood, CA 93286

WEDNESDAY, JULY 15, 2009 **Sun-Gazette**

### San Joaquin Valley Cross Transmission Loop

SOURCE: California Public Utilities Commission

