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|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
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| 2.0 | 10/13/2022 | Updated source energy and emissions factors to reflect 2022 ACC and 2021 CPUC IRP Preferred System PlanUpdated calculations to include emissions due to methane and refrigerant leakageIncreased customization by allowing users to enter custom refrigerant and device information |

# Introduction

## Purpose

On August 1, 2019, California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Decision 19-08-009 directed CPUC staff to issue technical guidelines for fuel substitution measures, including, but not limited to, guidance on the calculation of source energy savings and environmental offsets for fuel substitution measures.

The purpose of this document is to provide guidance on the methods and procedures used to analyze and approve fuel substitution energy efficiency measures supported by the CPUC-authorized energy efficiency (EE) portfolios. This guidance document outlines how users must a) determine a fuel substitution measure baseline, b) prove a fuel substitution measure passes the fuel substitution test, c) calculate the cost effectiveness of a fuel substitution measure, and d) report energy savings and goal reductions. This document is available online on the CPUC’s website[[1]](#footnote-2) and may be updated periodically as needed.

## CPUC Policy Background

* CPUC Decision **19-08-009**:[[2]](#footnote-3) D.19-08-009 adopted the fuel substitution test and ordered the creation of this fuel substitution guidance document. D.19-08-009 provides direction on the fuel substitution test, fuel substitution measure eligibility, and utility credits for savings claims.
* CPUC Decision **92-02-075**:[[3]](#footnote-4) D.92-02-075 established the “three-prong test” which established the original requirements for fuel substitution measures to be eligible for energy efficiency incentives. The three-prong test was designed to avoid encouraging fuel substitution programs with a predominantly load-building character. The fuel substitution test replaces the three-prong test as the eligibility standard for fuel substitution measures.

## 2022 Fuel Substitution Calculator Update

The original Fuel Substitution Calculator was released in 2019. In 2022, an updated Fuel Substitution Calculator is being released to include recent changes in data and methodology in the CPUC Avoided Cost Calculator (ACC)[[4]](#footnote-5). The new version of the calculator includes updated grid emissions factors and source energy factors, based on recent activity in the CPUC’s Integrated Resources Plan (IRP) Proceeding. The calculator also now incorporates methane leakage and refrigerant leakage emissions, consistent with data and methodology included in the 2022 ACC.

## Fuel Substitution Measure Definition

Fuel substitution measures, in the context of energy efficiency programs, involve energy efficiency projects where all or a portion of the existing energy use is converted from one fuel to another (i.e., natural gas to electricity or vice versa). Only equipment powered by electricity and/or natural gas fuels and provided by a CPUC-regulated investor-owned utility or a municipal utility are eligible to participate under fuel substitution measures.[[5]](#footnote-6)

Measures involving non-utility (unregulated) fuels, such as propane or fuel oil, are termed as fuel switching measures. Fuel switching measures are outside the scope of the Fuel Substitution Decision (D.19-08-009)[[6]](#footnote-7) and hence, are not considered in this technical guidance.

## Fuel Substitution Criteria

 D.19-08-009 stated that fuel substitution measures must pass the fuel substitution test to be eligible for energy efficiency incentives. The fuel substitution test has two components:

1. The measure must not increase total source energy
2. The measure must not adversely impact the environment

The above requirements are hereinafter referred to as Part One and Part Two of the fuel substitution test. The fuel substitution test no longer requires individual measures to pass a cost effectiveness threshold, as previously required in the three-prong test. Instead, fuel substitution measures are treated the same as other energy efficiency measures wherein cost-effectiveness is assessed at the portfolio level (i.e., Total Resource Cost test).

## Audience

The audience for this document is stakeholders involved with fuel substitution measures supported under the CPUC-authorized energy efficiency (EE) portfolios, including but not limited to investor-owned utilities, program implementers, end users, product manufacturers, CPUC, and other indirectly affected stakeholders including National Resource Defense Council Building Decarbonization, publicly-owned utilities, environmental groups, and community choice aggregation (CCAs).

## Key Terminology

The following section defines key terms used in the fuel substitution guidance document. For a full glossary of terms, see Appendix D.

**Original Fuel**: The fuel that was being utilized prior to the fuel substitution measure.

**New Fuel:** The fuel that replaces the original fuel as a result of the fuel substitution measure.

**Source Energy:** All the depletable energy used upstream to generate and deliver the energy at the site. Only the source energy from depletable fossil-fuel resources such as natural gas and coal are considered.  The source energy from non-depletable (i.e. renewable energy) sources such as solar, wind, and hydro-electric is considered as zero BTUs. Please refer to Appendix C for details.

**Site Energy Consumption**: Energy consumed at the site of the fuel substitution measure installation, such as a home or business

.

**Site Energy Savings:** Energy savings evaluated at the “site” level which include the net savings from the displaced original fuel usage and the increased new fuel usage.

**Full Energy Savings:** The increase or decrease in site energy usage reported by the investor-owned utility after converting the change in energy into new fuel units as prescribed by D.19-08-009.[[7]](#footnote-8)The full energy savings value is used in utility reporting and not used to calculate cost effectiveness.

**New Energy Service:**  Serving loads in the existing building that were not served before, such as the addition of an air conditioning system which did not exist prior to the fuel substitution measure.

#  Fuel Substitution Measure Procedures

## Fuel Substitution Measure Procedures

This chapter describes the step-by-step procedures for the fuel substitution test.

## Step 1 – Determine the New Measure & Baseline Technology

The new measure technology, referred to as “measure technology” throughout this document, is the new fuel technology that the program administrator or implementer plans to install as a replacement for an original fuel technology which served the same or similar purpose. The chosen measure technology is the same throughout the fuel substitution test, cost-effectiveness calculations, and reported energy savings. Measure technologies are technologies which utilize either natural gas or electricity. A measure is considered a fuel substitution measure when the measure replaces a similar technology utilizing a different fuel.

As with any energy efficiency measure, the fuel substitution measure requires a baseline technology as a basis for comparison. The baseline is the state of performance and/or equipment that would have happened in absence of the program-induced energy efficiency.[[8]](#footnote-9) The baseline technology for fuel substitution measures utilizes the original fuel. The baseline technology should comply with the baseline policies in CPUC Resolution E-4818[[9]](#footnote-10) and CPUC Resolution E-4939-Attachment A.[[10]](#footnote-11) As stated in these policies, the baseline technology varies based on the measure application type (MAT). The common MATs associated with the fuel substitution measures and the applicable baseline technology are listed below:

Table 1 Baseline Technology by Measure Application Type

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Measure Application Type (MAT)** | **Baseline technology**  |
| Normal Replacement (NR) | Code or Industry Standard Practice (ISP) |
| Accelerated Replacement (AR) | Existing baseline for the 1st baseline (RUL[[11]](#footnote-12) period) Code or ISP for the 2nd baseline (EUL[[12]](#footnote-13)-RUL period)  |
| Behavioral, Retro-commissioning and Operational (BRO), Add-on Equipment (AOE) and Building Weatherization (BW) | Existing baseline |

Measures with a New Construction (NC) MAT are not eligible for fuel substitution. Baseline Technology for NC is selected based on the new fuel.[[13]](#footnote-14)

Like the measure technology, a constant baseline technology is used for the fuel substitution test, cost-effectiveness calculations, and reported energy savings.

## Step 2 – Calculate Site Energy, Source Energy, and CO2 Emissions

### 2.1 Site Energy Calculations

In order to determine the energy savings of a fuel substitution measure, calculate the site energy consumption of the baseline technology and measure technology using CPUC-recognized methodologies, such as Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) assumptions, methods, and data. The site energy consumption is defined in either kWh or Therm.

There will not be any peak demand reduction or penalty towards peak demand goal achievement from fuel substitution measures.

As per the Decision 19-08-009, for sites with on-site generation, fuel substitution measures will be treated as any other energy efficiency measure. Please refer to Appendix D for details.

For a fuel substitution measure, the site energy savings are expressed as the kWh or Therm savings of the displaced original fuel baseline technology and the increased kWh or Therm consumption from the substituted new fuel measure technology.

Example: if a natural gas baseline technology is replaced by an electric measure technology, the site energy savings is represented as an increase in electric usage, in kWh, and as the decrease in natural gas usage, in Therm.

When performing the cost effectiveness calculations described in Step 5, input a positive value for the original fuel net savings and a negative or positive value for the new fuel net savings. This process is similar to energy efficiency measures with “interactive effects”, such as a high efficiency light bulb which decreases kWh and increases Therm usage due to greater space heating needs.

### 2.2 Source Energy Calculations

Part One of the fuel substitution test requires that the fuel substitution measure reduces source energy consumption, expressed in BTUs. Life-cycle source energy of a measure is the source energy used over the effective useful life (EUL) of the technology. To pass Part One of the fuel substitution test, the life-cycle source energy consumption of the measure technology must be lower than the life-cycle source energy consumption of the baseline technology.[[14]](#footnote-15) In other words, fuel substitution measures should produce positive life-cycle source energy savings.

Program developers must demonstrate that the life-cycle source energy savings of their measure is positive through the CPUC workpaper process, using the fuel substitution calculator.

To calculate source energy savings, program developers must use the Fuel Substitution Calculator available at: https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/about-cpuc/divisions/energy-division/building-decarbonization/fuel-substitution-in-energy-efficiency*.* Instructions are found in the “Introduction” tab of the calculator. After entering user-provided inputs in Section One of “Fuel Sub Calcs” tab, the Fuel Substitution Calculator calculates the measure’s life-cycle source energy savings in section two. Section Two also includes a field which states if the measure passes or fails Part One of the fuel substitution test.

Appendix A describes the methodology for calculating source energy. Briefly, the fuel substitution calculator converts site energy to source energy using yearly source energy factors. The yearly source energy factors were developed based on the 2021 Preferred System Plan adopted in the CPUC Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) proceeding (Rulemaking (R.) 20-05-003)[[15]](#footnote-16) which was used in the 2022 Avoided Cost Calculator (ACC). Table 2 below lists the source energy factors for electricity over the years 2020 to 2049, while Table 3 lists the source energy factor for natural gas, which remains constant.

To calculate the life-cycle source energy savings, the fuel substitution calculator multiplies annual source energy factors from Table 2 and Table 3 (in BTU/kWh and BTU/Therm respectively, for electricity and natural gas) by the site energy savings for each year of the measure’s EUL. Please refer to the equations in Chapter 3 for additional detail on these calculations.

Table 2 Annual Source Energy and Emissions for Electricity used at the Site

| **Year** | **Emissions Intensity****(metric tonnes CO2/MWh)** | **Source Energy Heat Rate (Btu/kWh)** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2019 | 0.198 | 3,723  |
| 2020 | 0.193 | 3,638  |
| 2021 | 0.189 | 3,553  |
| 2022 | 0.184 | 3,468  |
| 2023 | 0.171 | 3,226  |
| 2024 | 0.178 | 3,351  |
| 2025 | 0.176 | 3,309  |
| 2026 | 0.176 | 3,308  |
| 2027 | 0.169 | 3,192  |
| 2028 | 0.163 | 3,075  |
| 2029 | 0.150 | 2,825  |
| 2030 | 0.137 | 2,576  |
| 2031 | 0.132 | 2,492  |
| 2032 | 0.128 | 2,408  |
| 2033 | 0.121 | 2,285  |
| 2034 | 0.115 | 2,161  |
| 2035 | 0.108 | 2,037  |
| 2036 | 0.102 | 1,920  |
| 2037 | 0.096 | 1,802  |
| 2038 | 0.089 | 1,685  |
| 2039 | 0.083 | 1,568  |
| 2040 | 0.077 | 1,450  |
| 2041 | 0.072 | 1,348  |
| 2042 | 0.066 | 1,246  |
| 2043 | 0.061 | 1,145  |
| 2044 | 0.055 | 1,043  |
| 2045 | 0.050 | 941  |
| 2046 | 0.050 | 941  |
| 2047 | 0.050 | 941  |
| 2048 | 0.050 | 941  |
| 2049 | 0.050 | 941  |

Table 3 Annual Source Energy and Emissions for Natural Gas used at the Site

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Year** | **Emissions Intensity****(metric tonnes CO2/Therm)** | **Source Energy (Btu/Therm)** |
| Constant over the years | 0.00531 | 100,000 |

### 2.3 Calculate CO2 Emission Savings

Part Two of the test requires that fuel substitution measures not adversely impact the environment. As per Decision D.09-12-022, measurement of environmental impact is limited to carbon dioxide (CO2) emissions. To pass Part Two of the test, life-cycle CO2 emissions must be lower for the measure technology than for the baseline technology.[[16]](#footnote-18) Life-cycle CO2 emissions are defined as the total CO2 emissions over the EUL of the measure technology.

To determine if a fuel substitution measure passes Part Two of the fuel substitution test, program developers must use the Fuel Substitution Calculator. Calculator instructions are included in the introduction tab. Users input measure specific values into section one of the “Fuel Sub Calcs” tab. In Part Two of section two, the calculator displays the life-cycle emissions savings and has a field indicating if the measure passed or failed. Section two of the “Fuel Sub Calcs” tab also states if the measure is eligible or ineligible for energy efficiency incentives based on the results of Part One and Part Two of the fuel substitution test.

The methodology for calculating a measure’s CO2 emissions is described in greater detail in Appendix A. Briefly, the approach for calculating CO2 emissions for electricity and natural gas is similar to the methodology used to calculate the source energy factors. Like the source energy calculation, the life-cycle CO2 emissions of a fuel substitution measure are calculated by applying the annual factors from Table 2 and Table 3 (in metric tonnes/MWh and metric tonnes/Therm respectively, for electricity and natural gas) to the site energy savings in each year of the measure’s EUL. In addition, Part Two of the test includes CO2 emissions from methane and refrigerant leakage. These are calculated from values published by CARB and the 2022 Avoided Cost Calculator.[[17]](#footnote-19) Please refer to the equations in Chapter 3 for details.

## Step 3 – Determine Submission Process: Deemed Workpapers or Custom Project

If the measure technology passes the fuel substitution test, it is eligible for energy efficiency programs. A measure may be offered as a deemed measure or within a custom project. CPUC EE program administrator should follow the standard deemed workpaper process or custom project process to seek approval to offer a fuel substitution measure technology, in accordance with the additional guidance below.

If the fuel substitution measure is deemed, a workpaper must be approved by the CPUC prior to use in energy efficiency programs. The workpaper must be submitted by the IOUs or other CPUC EE program administrators to the CPUC for review and approval.[[18]](#footnote-20) Workpapers with fuel substitution measures must include the completed Fuel Substitution Calculator. Workpapers must also include the site energy consumption and savings values calculated in Step 2.1. For a workpaper’s required four ex ante tables, the Measure Impact Type field should be selected as “Fuel Sub-Deemed” for fuel substitution measures. Lastly, please consult the latest version of DEER for the appropriate “NTG\_ID”, to appropriately assign a net-to-gross for fuel substitution measures. For more information of deemed measures, please visit: http://www.caltf.org/tools

If the fuel substitution measure is part of a custom project, the custom energy efficiency project package must also include the completed Fuel Substitution Calculator, along with the site energy consumption and savings values calculated in Step 2.1. For more information of custom projects, please visit: https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/industries-and-topics/electrical-energy/demand-side-management/energy-efficiency/custom-projects-review-guidance-documents

## Step 4 - Reporting Energy Savings

In 2020, the CPUC will update the Cost Effectiveness Tool (CET) to streamline the goal reporting and savings reduction processes, described below. The CET will output goal attainment values appropriate to fuel substitution measures in the ‘GoalAttainment’ field. For single-fuel PAs, CEDARS will attribute goal attainment to the appropriate gas or electric utility. Program administrators should still report the full energy savings values and original fuel goal reductions, described below, in their measure specific workpapers and in their Annual Reports and Annual Budget Advice Letters.

### 4.1 Energy Savings

CPUC EE Program Administrators (PAs) report energy savings to the CPUC through a software platform called CEDARS. The reported energy savings are used to assess the PA energy efficiency portfolios. Step 4, reporting energy savings, applies only to program administrators.

The savings from energy efficiency measures are reported in kW, kWh, or Therm. For non-fuel substitution measures, PAs report the savings (or increases) in kW, kWh or Therm for each measure through CEDARS. Due to the unique nature of fuel substitution measures, D.19-08-009 states that the site energy savings calculated in Step 2.1 should be converted into new fuel units before being reported.[[19]](#footnote-21) For example, if a fuel substitution replaces a gas appliance with a new electric appliance, PAs would convert the net energy savings referenced in step 2.1 into kWh savings for the purposes of energy savings reporting. This conversion applies to energy savings reporting only and does not impact the cost effectiveness calculations.

The CET will be does not automatically apply this conversion to fuel substitution measures and reports the appropriate fuel substitution energy savings in the gross and net savings outputs. These outputs relate only to PA energy savings reporting and are not used to analyze cost effectiveness. To calculate the fuel substitution energy savings, the CET converts the energy savings calculated in step 2.1 into new fuel units using the site conversion factors (1 therm = 29.3 kWh and 1 kWh = 0.03413 therm). These savings are defined as full energy savings by D.19-08-009.[[20]](#footnote-22)

The fuel substitution calculator also outputs a measure’s fuel energy savings value in section 3 of the “fuel sub calcs” tab. In section 3, the calculator displays the full energy savings value in MMBTU equivalent, then states whether the "new fuel units” are in kWh or Therm. Section 3 then converts the full energy savings value into the appropriate unit (either kWh or Therm) and displays the value to be reported.

### 4.2 Energy Savings Goal Reduction

The original fuel utility can reduce its kWh or Therm savings goals by the difference between the fuel substitution measure’s energy use and the energy usage of the baseline measure.[[21]](#footnote-23) The reduction should be applied to the original fuel savings goals. This reduction reflects the original fuel utility’s loss in energy savings potential.

To calculate the reduction in energy savings potential, PAs use the “Full Energy Savings” value referenced in the reporting “Energy Savings” section above. The Full Energy Savings value is shown in the fuel substitution calculator, tab “fuel sub calcs”, Section 3. This energy value is then converted to the original fuel using the site conversion factors (1 therm = 29.3 kWh and 1 kWh = 0.03413 therm).

In instances where a single fuel utility sponsors the fuel substitution measure, the sponsoring fuel utility will receive the energy savings credit. The original fuel utility will reduce its goals by the full energy savings value converted to the original fuel units. In instances where a dual fuel utility sponsors a fuel substitution measure, the dual fuel utility will receive savings credit in new fuel units and a reduction in original fuel goals.

D.19-08-009 requires program[[22]](#footnote-24) energy savings impacts associated with fuel substitution measures in their Annual Reports and Annual Budget Advice Letter filings. Dual fuel utilities can report new fuel and original fuel consumption at the same time, but single fuel utilities may need to wait until the new fuel utility reports the savings impacts of its fuel substitution activities. In this case, single fuel utilities should reflect original fuel impacts in the following year unless the utilities agree to share data in a timelier manner.[[23]](#footnote-25) Please see D.19-08-009, section 17.2 for a discussion of the reporting responsibilities related to fuel substitution

## Step 5 – Evaluate Cost Effectiveness

Fuel substitution measures are not required to pass cost-effectiveness thresholds at the individual measure level to be eligible for energy efficiency program funding.[[24]](#footnote-26) However, fuel substitution measures are included in the cost effectiveness analysis of PA energy efficiency portfolios. Therefore, program developers should calculate and evaluate the cost effectiveness of fuel substitution measures.

To calculate the cost effectiveness of fuel substitution measures, program developers should use the cost effectiveness tool (CET). Generally, fuel substitution inputs are the same as non-fuel substitution energy efficiency measures. The following CET inputs require different treatment for fuel substitution measures than non-fuel substitution measures:

* **Measure Impact Type:** Users should select either “Fuel Sub-Deemed" for deemed measures or “Fuel Sub-Custom" for custom measures.
* **Measure Savings:** Users will input both the increase kWh or Therm associated with the "new fuel” measure and the decrease in kWh or Therm associated with the replacement of the baseline “original fuel” measure.
* **Net-To-Gross (NTG)**: As directed in the Decision 19-08-009, use a default NTG ratio 1.0 until impact evaluation results become available.
* **Incremental Measure Costs**: The incremental measure cost is the cost of the measure technology less the cost of the baseline technology. Calculate the baseline technology cost and the measure technology cost using the CPUC’s cost effectiveness policies described in the Energy Efficiency Policy Manual.[[25]](#footnote-27) As directed in Decision 19-08-009, the measure technology cost may exclude any additional upgrades required to increase the building’s total electric or natural gas load (e.g., electric panel upgrades, running new gas lines, increasing size of natural gas lines, etc.). If additional upgrades are included in the measure technology cost, cost assumptions should be included in workpapers or project submittals, with appropriate justification and rationale. The necessity of such building upgrades is specific to individual buildings and the cumulative total of installed technologies in the building, and therefore, in most cases, should not be attributed entirely to a single measure technology.

The CET outputs cost effectiveness ratios for fuel substitution measures, savings, and goal attainment values. The CET updates will occur starting in the first quarter in 2020 and will be applied in sufficient time for program administrators to submit their 2020 claims and 20201 filings.

#  Fuel Substitution Calculator Methodology

This chapter provides greater details on the fuel substitution calculator, including information on the user provided inputs, calculator outputs, and formulas.[[26]](#footnote-28) The fuel substitution calculator was developed by the technical guidance document team and will be updated along with the fuel substitution technical guidance, as required. The fuel substitution calculator can be accessed at: https://www.cpuc.ca.gov/about-cpuc/divisions/energy-division/building-decarbonization/fuel-substitution-in-energy-efficiency.

## Calculator Overview

The fuel substitution calculator has the following tabs:

* Introduction
* Fuel Sub Calcs – Custom
* Fuel Sub Calcs – Deemed
* Time Series Tables
* Annual Factors
* Long-run Emissions Inputs
* Device Refrigerant Leakage
* Refrigerant GWPs
* Reference

To utilize the calculator, users should focus on the introduction and fuel sub calcs tabs. The introduction tab provides background on fuel substitution, describes how to use the tool, and outlines the calculation methodology. The introduction also includes the calculator change log, which describes updates to the calculator as they occur.

The fuel sub calcs tab contains all user input fields and outputs related to the fuel substitution test and energy savings results. User inputs are entered in section 1. Section 2 outputs the results for Part One (2.1) and Part Two (2.2) of the fuel substitution test. Section 3 displays the energy savings reporting values.

## Section 1: Required Measure Inputs

The fuel substitution calculator (tool) requires the following inputs from the user to determine if the measure passes the fuel substitution test. These inputs are requested in Section 1 of the tool.

* Measure Description – Brief description of the measure. e.g. Commercial electric steam cooker replacing gas steam cooker
* Quantity – Quantity of the measure units
* EUL – Effective Useful Life of the measure in years
* Install Year – The year when the proposed measure will be installed and operational
* Original or First Baseline Device Type
* Measure Device Type
* Original fuel
* New fuel
* Original or First Baseline Refrigerant
* New Refrigerant Annual electric usage of original device (kWh)
* Annual fuel usage of original device (Therms)
* Annual electric usage of measure (kWh)
* Annual fuel usage of measure (Therms)

If the program involves custom devices or refrigerants:

* “First Baseline” Refrigerant GWP
* Average refrigerant charge size (amount of refrigerant) in lbs. per unit
* Average refrigerant annual leak rate (qann)
* Average end-of-life loss rate of remaining refrigerant (qEOL)
* Number of years prior to EOL with no “top-off” refrigerant added (tEOL)

If the program involves an Accelerated Replacement (AR):

* Measure Application Type (AR or NR)
* RUL – Remaining Useful Life for AR measures
* Second Baseline Device Type
* Annual electric usage of the Second Baseline (kWh)
* Annual gas usage of the Second Baseline (Therms)
* Second Baseline Refrigerant
* Device lifetime of First and Second Baseline (if the program involves customer devices)

## Section 2: The Fuel Substitution Test

With the user entries for annual usage of the baseline and the measure technologies, the tool calculates the increased usage of the new fuel and the reduced usage of original fuel. This combined value is the life-cycle energy savings, represented by MMBTUs. For accelerated replacement measures, the tool calculates the first and second baseline change in consumption. Section 2.1 displays the source energy savings for each measure in MMBTU and a “pass / fail” field which displays the results of the first part of the fuel substitution test.

Section 2.1 Source Energy Savings Calculations

Using the install year and applying the yearly source energy values (BTU/kWh and BTU/ Therm) from Table 1 and Table 2 (Section 2.3) over the measure’s EUL, the tool calculates the life-cycle source energy savings as shown in the equations below for Normal Replacement (NR) and Accelerated Replacement (AR).

Equation 1:

Equation 2:

Where:

 = Source energy savings for Normal Replacement (NR) Measure application type over the life of the measure.

 = The year when the measure will go into operational.

 = Effective Useful Life of the measure

= Baseline kWh/year – Measure kWh/year in the 1st year. Negative value for increase and positive value for decrease in electricity usage from fuel substitution measure

 = Yearly source energy values in Table 1 for electricity

 = Baseline Therm/year – Measure Therm/year in the 1st year. Negative value for increase and positive value for decrease in natural gas usage from fuel substitution measure

 = Source energy value for natural gas in Table 2

 = Source energy savings for Accelerated Replacement (AR) Measure application type over the life of the measure.

 = Remaining Useful Life of the measure

 = over the existing baseline

 = over the existing baseline

 = over code/ISP baseline

 = over code/ISP baseline

The units for the values are included with-in [ ].

As with energy efficiency measures, the EUL and RUL values corresponds to the measure technology for the fuel substitution measures.

Section 2.2: CO2 Emission Savings

Using the same user entries, along with the information about refrigerant and methane leakage, the fuel substitution calculator calculates life-cycle emissions savings (metric tCO2) in Section 2.2. Section 2.2 also states whether a measure passed or failed the section part of the fuel substitution test.

Like the source energy savings calculations, the lifecycle CO2 emissions savings are calculated by applying the yearly emission intensity values (metric tonnes of CO2/ MWh and metric tonnes of CO2/ therm) from Table 1 and Table 2 (section 2.3) over the measure’s EUL, grossing up to account for methane , and adding refrigerant leakage emissions (see Appendix B, equations 5 and 6) as described in the equations below.

Equation 3:

Equation 4:

Where:

 = CO2 savings for Normal Replacement (NR) Measure application type over the life of the measure.

 = The year when the measure will go into operational.

 = Effective Useful Life of the measure

= Baseline MWh/year – Measure MWh/year in the 1st year. Negative value for increase and positive value for decrease in electricity usage from fuel substitution measure

 = Yearly Emission Intensity [EI] values in Table 1 for electricity

 = Baseline Therm/year – Measure Therm/year in the 1st year. Negative value for increase and positive value for decrease in natural gas usage from fuel substitution measure

 = Emission Intensity [EI] value for natural gas in Table 2

 = CO2 savings for Accelerated Replacement (AR) Measure application type over the life of the measure.

 = Remaining Useful Life of the measure

 = over the existing baseline

 = over the existing baseline

 = over code/ISP baseline

 = over code/ISP baseline

 = methane leakage adder for the electric system

 = methane leakage adder for the natural gas system

 = refrigerant leakage emissions of the measure

 = refrigerant leakage emissions of the first baseline

 = refrigerant leakage emissions of the second baseline

The units for the values are included with-in [ ].

The EUL and RUL values corresponds to the measure technology.

Section 2.3: Results

Section 2.3 of the tool provides the cumulative results of the fuel substitution test for the measure(s). To pass the fuel substitution test, all measures must pass both Part One and Part Two. Measures which pass the fuel substitution test are eligible to receive energy efficiency incentives. Measures must still be approved by the CPUC through the workpaper or custom processes. Workpapers and custom filings should include evidence that the measure passed the fuel substitution test, such as an attachment of fuel substitution calculator completed with the relevant measure inputs.

## Section 3: Reporting Energy Savings and Energy Savings Inputs into CET

Section 3 of the tool calculates the full energy savings and units based on the user entry of the new fuel type in Section 1. Section 3 also outputs the 1st and 2nd baseline savings that should be used as inputs in the cost-effectiveness tool.

#  Claimed EE Savings - Calculation Examples

This chapter explains the fuel substitution test and calculation methodology through a hypothetical example. The data used in this example is for illustration purposes and may not represent an actual project.

**Natural Gas to Electric Fuel Substitution – Normal Replacement**

*Scenario:* A residential customer in Climate Zone 8 would like to replace their 25-year-old 3-ton central air-conditioning with direct-expansion cooling and gas furnace heating (DXGF). The equipment efficiency rating is SEER14 and 80% AFUE. The customer is considering installing a central electric heat pump (DXHP) that provides heating and cooling. The expected equipment operational date is May 2023.

*Eligibility:* This measure is considered fuel substitution because a mixed-use fuel (electricity and natural gas) equipment is being substituted with all electric equipment.

*Measure Application Type:* This will be considered a normal replacement (NR) because the equipment has passed its effective useful life.

*Baseline Comparison Technolog*y: DXGF meeting the prevailing code at time of installation. Current required minimum efficiency levels for residential central-air conditioning and gas furnaces are SEER14 and 82% AFUE, respectively, per Title-20[[27]](#footnote-30).

*Measure Technology:* DXHP exceeding the code requirements. To be eligible for NR measure application type, the proposed measure is required to exceed the prevalent code. Title 20 requires SEER14 and 8.2 HSPF for DXHP. Hence, the measure technology should be at least SEER15 and 8.7 HSPF.

*Energy Savings Calculations:* The site-level energy savings were calculated using CPUC’s supported building simulation tools and prototypical documentation to be --83.4 kWh/year and 31.2 Therm/year. The negative kWh indicates increase in electricity usage when natural gas space heating is substituted with electric space heating. Positive Therm indicates the natural gas reduction when the gas furnace is displaced.

*Fuel Substitution Test Part One:* With start year of 2023, EUL of 15 years and using *Equation 1* and the source energy values in *Table 1* and *Table 2*, the life-cycle source savings were calculated to be 43 MBtu.

*Fuel Substitution Test Part Two:* Applying the emission values from *Table-1* and *Table 2* and incorporating methane and refrigerant leakage and using *Equation 2*, the life-cycle CO2 savings were calculated to be 1.3 metric tonnes of CO2 savings.

*Fuel Substitution Results (Pass/ Fail):* Since the measure has both life-cycle source energy and CO2 savings, this measure passes the fuel substitution test.

*CET Input Energy Savings:* The site-level savings of -83.4 kWh/year and 31.2 Therm/year will be used.

*Reporting Energy Savings:* The site-level savings are converted to new fuel units which is kWh in this case; the converted full energy savings will be 830.8 kWh (= -83.4+(31.2\*29.3)). The split savings and the normalized savings should be included in the workpaper and the custom project applications.

*Eligible Projects Costs:* Except for the equipment replacement, the customer did not have to make additional upgrades for the increased electrical loads. The full measure cost of SEER18 and 9.7 HSPF DXHP is $2,273.02 and the cost of SEER14 and 80% AFUE DXGF is $1,110.45. Hence, the incremental measure cost is $1,162.57.

Appendix A– Source Energy and Emissions Determination

**Introduction**

This appendix details the policies and methodologies which were used to create the fuel substitution calculator. It includes an explanation of how the emission intensity factors were derived, and how the factors are used to determine the source energy and emissions of fuel substitution measures.

First, it is important to note that only the source energy from depletable fossil-fuel resources are currently considered in the intensity factors for fuel substitution measures. For the purpose of the fuel substitution test, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) considers the source energy and emissions for renewable generation, such as solar, wind, and hydro-electric generation, to be zero.

**Policy Background**

At the time of the creation of the Fuel Substitution Calculator, no source energy or emissions intensity factors existed for fuel substitution measures. It was necessary to develop the intensity factors and other relevant values based on available information, such as current GHG mandates and goals like as Senate Bill (SB) 350 and SB 100, and reasonable assumptions as to their implementation. The fuel substitution development team relied on values used by the 2022 Avoided Cost Calculator[[28]](#footnote-31) which developed its emissions factors based on the 2021 Preferred System Plan.[[29]](#footnote-32)

Recent California legislation also influenced the technical guide development. SB 350[[30]](#footnote-34) requires reducing greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 40% by 2030, including efforts to achieve at least 50% renewable energy procurement. SB 100,[[31]](#footnote-36) approved on September 10, 2018, requires 100% of retail sales from GHG-free sources by 2045.

**Conceptual Framework**

The primary purpose of this effort was to identify the change in source energy and greenhouse gas emissions attributable to fuel substitution measures. With the appropriate factors, program administrators can then test source energy (‘Part One) and CO2 emissions (‘Part Two’) to determine whether each measure saves both source energy and CO2 emissions.

Conceptually, both the source energy and the CO2 emissions of fuel substitution occurs in two parts. First, there are the direct changes in source energy and CO2 emissions which occur when a measure is implemented. This change is represented by an increase in sector-wide emissions resulting from the fuel substitution measure’s new gas or electric load. Second, there is a utility response to the direct change in the electric generation supply portfolio. When the electric or natural gas grid experiences an increase in source energy or CO2 emissions from increased load, the electricity providers must re-optimize their renewables portfolio to achieve sector emissions goals. It is assumed that all investor-owned utilities will achieve the emissions targets mandated by the IRP proceeding and California legislation. The source energy and CO2 emissions factors used in the Fuel Substitution Test should reflect the combined effect of both the direct change and the utility response to this change.

The following methodology description details the determination of annual source energy and CO2 emissions factors for use in the fuel substitution test. As a visual aid, Figure 1 below illustrates the two parts of the CO2 emissions impacts from fuel substitution measures. This examples shows an electricity load increase, but the inverse response would be expected from an electricity load decrease, including a corresponding change in the generation supply portfolio.

Figure 1: **Conceptual approach to estimating electricity emissions from fuel substitution measures**

Measuring the Direct Emissions Impact

The CPUC’s Avoided Cost Calculator (ACC)[[32]](#footnote-37) currently measures the direct emissions impact of increased load. In Figure 1, the direct emissions impact is represented by the dark blue arrow pointing upwards on the far left of the graph. For fuel substitution measures, the marginal generation emissions factors for each year (i.e., 8760 hourly factors) was multiplied by the hourly change in electricity load, while the change in natural gas consumption was multiplied by the CO2 emissions that result from natural gas combustion (a fixed factor). This calculation is already embedded in the cost-effectiveness framework in the Avoided Cost Calculator, and the result is then multiplied by the ‘GHG Adder’ to determine the emissions component of a measure’s net benefit.

Measuring Supply Side Response to Increased Load

When load increases, the utility or supply side generation entity responds. This response is depicted in Figure 1 as the light blue arrow pointing downwards (middle arrow). To estimate the supply side response, the technical development team made some assumptions about the electricity supply portfolio. The 2021 Preferred System Plan[[33]](#footnote-38) has adopted a statewide target of 38MMt CO2e in 2030. If taken strictly as a limit regardless of electricity load levels, then the response of the generation supply portfolio would be to fully offset the direct emissions of fuel substitution measures and the net effect would be that there are no emissions attributable to fuel substitution. This strict interpretation does not reflect the fact that the 38MMt CO2e target was established after consideration of numerous scenarios of loads and resources as a reasonable balance of cost and GHG reductions. Therefore, it is reasonable to assume that a different target would have been established had there been significantly more electricity load from fuel substitution programs.

Rather than assume the supply portfolio fully offsets direct emissions in response to fuel substitution, it is assumed that supply-side investments will be made such that the emissions intensity trajectory adopted in the CPUC’s IRP Preferred System Plan is maintained. By using an assumption of constant intensity for the Fuel Substitution Test, the balance of cost and GHG reductions considered in the IRP proceeding is preserved. Thus, it is assumed that if there were fuel substitution measures introduced then the electricity sector emissions would be allowed to rise above 38MMt CO2e, as long as there were CO2e savings in the economy overall.

The proposed test for life-cycle CO2 emissions measures the change in electricity emissions assuming this intensity trajectory and compares that change to the change in natural gas emissions in order to verify that economy-wide reductions would be achieved.

**Development of Source Energy and Emissions Intensity Factors**

Factors to measure the increase or decrease in energy consumed by the change in electric generation and natural gas usage are used to estimate source energy. The tool uses long-run factors that include the supply-side response to an increase in electricity load, in the same way that it is applied to CO2 emissions.

A key question in developing the intensity factors is what the source energy content is of renewable energy and other zero-emission generation resources. The tool assumes that the source energy of non-emitting, non-depletable resources be zero. For example, the solar irradiance that is the input to solar power is a non-depletable resource and should be considered zero source energy. The zero-source energy assumption also applies to wind and hydro-electric generation.

With this definition, the only source energy Btu are from natural gas combustion. This is either through power generation or in direct combustion for the end-use. California does not have any non-natural gas fossil generation on the margin. Therefore, there is a simple conversion from source Btu to CO2 emissions based on the direct combustion CO2 emissions per Btu of natural gas (~=0.0531 tonne CO2 per MMBtu). The implication is that the Fuel Substitution Test for Source Energy (‘Part One’) is effectively the same as the direct combustion portion of the Fuel Substitution Test for CO2 emissions (‘Part Two) but with different units. The Fuel Substitution Test for CO2 emissions deviates from the source energy test in this update, with the consideration of CO2­-equivalent emissions from methane leakage and refrigerant leakage.

Consistent with the methodology laid out in the 2022 CPUC Avoided Cost Calculator, the factors for the Fuel Substitution Test were developed using the 22021 Preferred System Plan adopted in the CPUC’s IRP Proceeding (R.20-05-003). Linking the input values for the Fuel Substitution Test to the IRP process has several benefits.

1. Using the CPUC adopted Preferred System Plan closely aligns the Fuel Substitution Test with the CPUC’s long-term planning for the electricity sector and its associated emissions trajectory.
2. The CPUC IRP has been established with a regular two-year cycle and will therefore be regularly updated as the Commission moves along in its IRP process. Therefore, the appropriate factors used in the Fuel Substitution Test can easily be updated over time.
3. In its IRP proceeding the CPUC considers (among other things) the cost of the electricity portfolio and the GHG trajectory to adopt in the Preferred System Plan. Therefore, linking the Fuel Substitution Test to the emissions intensity in the IRP process captures the result of this deliberation and is re-assessed in each two-year cycle.

Data Sources

Data from the 2021 CPUC Preferred System Plan as adopted in R.20-05-003 was used to estimate annual intensity factors. Table 6 includes the generation (GWh), retail load (GWh), and total emissions (CO2e). The adopted plan achieves a CAISO-wide electricity sector emission of 38 MMt of CO2 emissions by 2030 and 35 MMt by 2032. This data is available from the CPUC website in the IRP proceeding using the RESOLVE results viewer for the Reference System Plan (no new DER case).[[34]](#footnote-39)

Table : Emissions and Load Results Data from Adopted 2021 IRP Preferred System Plan

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | 2022 | 2026 | 2030 | 2045 |
| Load | *GWh* |  238,134  |  252,486  |  260,802  |  297,046  |
| Total Retail Sales | *GWh* |  199,394  |  211,219  |  217,428  |  245,397  |
| Total CAISO Emissions | *MMtCO2e* |  36.7  |  37.1  |  29.7  |  12.3  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Intensity Factor Calculations

The CO2 emissions factors and Source Energy factors can then be calculated, as described in additional detail in the preceding sections. Figure 2 and Figure 3, below, show the direct CO2 emission factors and Source Energy factors respectively for electricity. These are annual factors based on the intensity in the 2021 Preferred System Plan and incorporate the net effect of both increases from direct emissions and the corresponding supply portfolio response. To use these factors to estimate the change in electricity emissions the annual energy increase (or decrease) is multiplied by the respective factor for CO2 emissions and Source Energy.

Figure 2: Fuel Substitution CO2 emissions Factors



Figure 3: Fuel Substitution Source Energy Factors



As the natural gas emissions and source energy factors are based simply on the carbon content of this fossil fuel, these factors do not change over time and instead are represented by a single factor for emissions and a single factor for source energy. To calculate the increase (or decrease) in natural gas emissions or source energy, the total life-cycle change in natural gas use is therefore simply multiplied by the appropriate natural gas factor. Table 3, below, provides the natural gas CO2 emissions and source energy factors.

Table 8: Fuel Substitution Factors for Natural Gas

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Fuel Substitution Factor for Natural Gas | Units | Value |
| Natural Gas CO2 emissions  | Metric tonnes/therm | 0.00531 |
| Natural Gas Source Energy Factor | Source Btu/therm | 100,000 |

To complete the calculation of the Fuel Substitution Test for a given measure, the life-cycle changes are calculated. For Part One of the test, the life-cycle source energy impact is calculated as the sum of the source energy changes in electricity and the source energy changes in natural gas/ For Part Two, the life-cycle emissions from changes in natural gas and electricity consumption are calculated using the source emission factors. Source emissions changes are adjusted for methane leakage in the gas and electric system as described in the Appendix B. The life-cycle emissions calculation also considers the impact of refrigerant emissions from the adoption of the measure. As long as the measure results in a net savings of both source energy and emissions, the measure passes the Fuel Substitution Test.

Appendix B – Methane and Refrigerant Leakage

## Methane Leakage

In addition to CO2 emissions associated with electricity generation and the combustion of natural gas, there are CO2-e emissions associated with the leakage of methane in both energy systems. When methane is combusted, it produces CO2. However, when it is leaked prior to being combusted, it is not only wasted as a fuel but also has a disproportionately high impact on global warming. Uncombusted methane has a 100-year GWP of 25, meaning it is 25 times more potent than CO2 as a greenhouse gas over a 100-year time horizon. Over a shorter time horizon, uncombusted methane is even more potent and has a 20-year GWP of 72.

As methane has a high global warming potential (GWP) it is critical to account for changes in methane leakage that result from the measures in Part Two of the fuel substation test. Thus, the fuel substitution calculator applies a methane leakage adder to changes in emissions from natural gas and electricity consumption. The tool relies on leakage adders and methodology consistent with the 2022 ACC. The 100-year leakage adder is used by default in the tool. The leakage adder for upstream in-state leakage is applied to both changes in electricity and natural gas emissions. The residential behind-the-meter leakage rate is applied only to change in natural gas emissions for residential measures. See the 2022 ACC documentation for more details.[[35]](#footnote-41)

Table 6 Methane Leakage Rates and Adders

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Leakage Type | Leakage rate (% of natural gas consumption) | Leakage adder, 100-year GWP (% of CO2e emissions) | Leakage adder, 20-year GWP (% of CO2e emissions) |
| Upstream in-state | 0.612% | 5.57% | 16.04% |
| Residential behind-the-meter | 0.415% | 3.78% | 10.89% |

## Refrigerant Leakage

Refrigerants are gases which can absorb and transfer heat and are used in many appliances including refrigerators, air conditions, electric heat pumps. . Most refrigerants used today are very strong greenhouse gases. The most common refrigerant, R410-A, has a 100-yr GWP of 2,088 – more than 2,000 times the global warming impact of CO2. Refrigerants only contribute to climate change when they leak, but given current practices, leakage is inevitable. Thus, it is important to include refrigerant leakage in the assessment of the life-cycle emissions impact of a measure in Part Two of the fuel substitution test.

The impact of the emissions of refrigerant leakage is a function of characteristics of both the device type and the refrigerant that is used. Devices vary by their refrigerant charge, leakage rates, and “top-off” period. Refrigerant charge refers to the amount of refrigerant in the device. Annual leak rate and end of life loss rate dictate how much refrigerant is lost each year and at the EUL of the device, respectively. The last necessary metric is the number of years prior to EOL with no “top-off” refrigerant added to the device. The type of refrigerant also dictates the GWP in order to calculate the emissions due to leakage.

For NR measures, the refrigerant leakage is calculated in two parts, the cumulative annual leakage and the EOL leakage. Annual leakage is the refrigerant charge multiplied by the GWP of the refrigerant and the annual leakage rate. This is then multiplied by EUL and added to the EOL leakage. The EOL leakage is found by calculating the amount of refrigerant charge in the device remaining at its end of life since its last top off multiplied by the EOL loss rate and the GWP of the refrigerant. Refrigerant leakage is calculated for both the measure (denoted by the subscript M in Equation 5) and baseline (denoted by the subscript b1) using the and the difference is added to the calculation of total CO2 emissions savings.

Equation 5:

For AR measures, the annual leakage is calculated the same method as the NR measure. For each baseline, the refrigerant charge is multiplied by the respective loss rates and respective duration the baseline device is active during the measure’s life and then added together. Since the time frame of an AR program includes the retirement of two devices rather than just one, like the measure does, the AR EOL calculations prorate the EOL leakage over the number of years that each device is present during the measure’s life (Figure X). The EOL of the first baseline (denoted by the subscript b1 in Equation 6) is multiplied by the share its useful life that occurs in the RUL normalized and is added to the EOL of the second baseline (denoted by the subscript b2), which is multiplied by the difference between the EUL and ROL normalized by the second baseline device’s EUL.

Equation 6:

Where:

 = First baseline device

 = Second baseline device

 = Measure device

 = Effective Useful Life

 = Remaining Useful Life of the measure

 = Mass of refrigerant contained in device *i* [metric tonnes]

 = Global warming potential of refrigerant in device *i* as compared with CO2

= Annual refrigerant leakage rate for device *i*

= Number of years prior to end-of-life with no “top-off” refrigerant added to replace full charge for device i

 = End of life refrigerant leakage rate for device *i*

The units for the values are included with-in [ ].

The EUL and RUL values corresponds to the measure technology.

The input data for typical system characteristics, leakage rates, refrigerant GWP and methodology used to calculate refrigerant leakage are consistent with that used in the 2022 ACC. The tool provides a list of commonly used refrigerants and their GWP as well as common devices and their refrigerant leakage characteristics which were both sourced from the ACC. The tool also allows the user to enter custom GWP of the refrigerant in their device and customer leakage rate assumptions for the device.

Appendix C – Sites with on-site generation

Presence of non-IOU fuel on-site generation sources does not impact the fuel substitution test used to determine the fuel substitution measure eligibility. The measures should comply with established fuel substitution test requirements.

For claimable energy savings used for incentive, reporting, and cost effectiveness calculations, the guidance established in Non-IOU Supplied Energy Sources – Guidance Document – V1.1[[36]](#footnote-42) shall be followed with no exemptions.

The section below discusses potential impact of fuel substitution measures on claimable energy savings.

The main premise in CPUC’s non-IOU fuel guidance applies when non-IOU energy sources are present and there is a reduction in energy supplied from grid/ system that is subject to electric energy efficiency surcharges or the non-bypassable gas surcharge. Therefore, only the reduced fuel usage requires the non-IOU fuel analysis[[37]](#footnote-43). The increased fuel usage does not require non-IOU fuel analysis unless new non-IOU on-site generation sources are added at the facility.

For natural gas to electric measures, the increase in kWh usage is not subject to non-IOU fuel analysis. The Therm savings are not subject to non-IOU fuel analysis because there is no on-site generation of natural gas which is regulated. Hence, the calculations of claimable energy savings are not impacted even when on-site natural gas generation is present.

For electric to natural gas measures, the decrease in kWh usage is subject to non-IOU fuel analysis similar to other energy efficiency measures. For the same reason explained above, the Therm increase does not require non-IOU fuel analysis. The kWh savings after non-IOU fuel analysis is added to the Therm savings (converted to kWh) for calculating the claimable energy savings.

Please note, for natural gas to electric measures, there could be scenarios where mixed fuel (electricity + natural gas) is substituted with one new fuel (electricity) and the increase in substituted fuel (gas to electricity) is outweighed by the savings from electricity part of the mixed fuel, resulting in decreased kWh. In such scenario kWh savings will require non-IOU fuel analysis.

Appendix D – Glossary

| **Term** | **Definition** |
| --- | --- |
| Accelerated Replacement (AR) | See Measure Application Type. |
| Accelerated Replacement Cost (ARC) | The full measure cost incurred to install the new high-efficiency measure, reduced by the net present value of the full measure cost that would have been incurred to install the standard efficiency equipment at the end of the remaining useful life period. See Section 2.3.3.1.4 for more information. |
| Add-On Equipment (AOE) | See Measure Application Type. |
| Behavioral, Retrocommissioning, and Operational (BRO) | See Measure Application Type. |
| California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) | Regulates investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities operating in California. Regulates privately owned electric, natural gas, telecommunications, water, railroad, rail transit, and passenger transportation companies, in addition to authorizing video franchises.[[38]](#footnote-44) |
| Code | In California energy efficiency context, generally refers to Title 20 (appliance energy efficiency) and Title 24 (building energy efficiency) of the California Code of Regulations but can be any codes and regulations enacted by federal and local governments and regulatory agencies that mandate a particular technology to be utilized.[[39]](#footnote-45) |
| Database of Energy Efficiency Resources (DEER) | Database located at: <http://www.deeresources.com> that contains information on energy efficiency technologies and measures, including estimates of energy savings potential and measure costs for these technologies in residential and non-residential applications.  |
| Deemed Measure | A prescriptive energy efficiency measure. Energy efficiency measures with predefined savings calculations, cost, eligibility, and other measure attributes.[[40]](#footnote-46)  |
| DEER Peak Demand Savings (through 12/31/2019) | The average demand impact, for an installed measure, as would be “seen” at the electric grid level, averaged over the nine hours, between 2 p.m. and 5 p.m., during the three-consecutive weekday period which contains the highest average temperature during the 12 p.m. to 6 p.m. period for those three days.[[41]](#footnote-47),[[42]](#footnote-48) |
| DEER Peak Demand Savings (after 1/1/2020) | The average demand impact as would be “seen” at the electric grid level, averaged across 15 hours from 4 p.m. to 9 p.m. during the three-consecutive weekday period containing the highest algebraic sum of:* The average temperature over the three-day period,
* The average temperature from noon to 6 p.m. over the three-day period, and
* The peak temperature within the three-day period.

The Peak Period shall fall within the dates of June 1 through September 30, inclusive. The three Peak Period days shall not include a holiday. Holidays within this window of dates include July 4th, or the nearest weekday to July 4th, and Labor Day. |
| Dual Baseline | Means that an existing baseline is used for the calculation of energy savings for the remaining useful life of the removed equipment. At the end of the remaining useful life (RUL), the customer would have needed to replace the failed equipment with equipment that reflected current energy efficiency standards and/or standard practices. This second baseline is used to calculate the [reduced] savings for the remainder of the effective useful life of the measure. |
| Early Retirement (ER) | See Measure Application Types. |
| Effective Useful Life (EUL) | An estimate of the median number of years that the measures installed under the program are still in place and operable.[[43]](#footnote-49)  |
| Energy Efficiency (EE) | Activities or programs that influence customers to reduce energy use by making investments in more efficient equipment or controls, which reduce energy use while maintaining a comparable level of service.[[44]](#footnote-50) |
| Energy Efficiency Measure or Measure | Energy using equipment, control system, or practice whose installation and/or implementation results in a reduction of energy purchased from the distribution utility (while maintaining a comparable or higher level of a specific service or to accomplish a specific amount of work). For purposes of these Rules, solar-powered, non-generating technologies are eligible energy efficiency measures. To be included in a program, the CPUC must approve the measure assumptions to be used to report savings. Also referred to simply as “measure”.[[45]](#footnote-51) |
| Energy Efficiency Savings | Energy efficiency measures may result in both energy savings (measured in kilowatt-hours or Therm) and demand (measured in kilowatts). The term “energy savings” may be used to refer to both energy and demand reductions. |
| Energy Efficiency Project | Implementation of an EE measure or group of measures at essentially one time, through a single incentive application. |
| Fuel Substitution | Programs/measures which are intended to substitute energy using equipment of one energy source with a competing energy source (e.g. switch from electric resistance heating to gas furnaces).[[46]](#footnote-52) |
| Full Energy Savings  | For fuel substitution measures energy savings will include two fuels, increased energy usage of new fuel and reduced energy usage of original fuel. These energy savings converted into the new fuel units using the conversion factors (1 therm = 29.3 kWh and 1 kWh = 0.03413 therm) are defined as full energy savings.  |
| Full Measure Cost (FMC) | The total cost of the EE measure which may include: audits, design, engineering, construction, equipment, materials, removal, recycling, overhead, sales tax, shipping, and labor directly related to the energy efficiency attributes of the measure. Product or feature choices not directly related to EE should be removed. Labor cost can be contractor or in-house if proof of direct project hours and costs are provided. Invoices should include the make, model, unit price, and quantity of equipment, the vendor name and address, the customer’s name and address, the invoice number, the date of sale, and the total cost. [[47]](#footnote-53)Participant costs include: * Initial capital costs, including sales tax
* Ongoing operation and maintenance costs include fuel cost
* Removal costs, less salvage value
* Value of the customer’s time in arranging for installation, if significant.
 |
| Global Warming Potential (GWP) | The amount that a substance contributes to global warming relative to carbon dioxide. A substance with a GWP of 100 contributes 100 times as much to global warming given the same mass as CO2. GWP can be measured on a 20-year or 100-year timescale. Many refrigerants have high GWPs, which is why refrigerant leakage contributes to emissions.  |
| Gross Savings | Gross savings count the energy savings from energy efficiency measures installed by program participants irrespective of whether or not those savings are from free riders. Gross savings are adjusted by a net-to-gross ratio to produce net savings (that is, to remove the savings associated with free riders).[[48]](#footnote-54) It should be noted that Gross Savings do include adjustments for Realization and Installation Rates. (See also GSIA.) |
| Incremental Measure Cost | The additional cost of installing a more efficient measure calculated from the price differential between energy efficient equipment and services and standard or baseline equipment or services. Note that any cost premium resulting from features or components that do not improve the efficiency of the equipment is excluded from the incremental measure cost calculation.[[49]](#footnote-55) |
| Industry Standard Practice (ISP) | A measure or practice that represents the typical current equipment purchased, or a commonly used, currently trending practice in the applicable markets absent the program. ISP represents today’s market trend, i.e., whether a technology would be commonly purchased by customers today (not in situ or saturation), with consideration of key factors or barriers driving the technology adoption. The practice is considered “ISP-by-code” when the selection and adoption of that specific measure or practice is required to meet government standards, codes or regulations (including non-energy regulations). The practice is considered “ISP-by-default” when the selected measure is the only viable option considered by customer. *See* Standard Practice.In addition, an ISP can be a method or technique that has been generally accepted as superior to any alternatives because it produces results that are superior to those achieved by other means or because it has become a customer’s standard way of doing things (e.g., a standard way of complying with legal or ethical requirements, or a customer’s preference for the best product with superior efficiency in customized design). This is generally applicable to custom measures and projects. |
| Life-cycle Source BTU Consumption | Source BTU over the EUL of the measure. For dual-baseline measures both first and 2nd baseline usage and RUL and EUL-RUL should be used respectively while calculating Life-cycle source BTU.  |
| Measure Application Type (MAT) | A categorization of energy efficiency measures based on measure attributes – each measure application type has its own baseline treatment, cost basis, eligibility, and documentation requirements. There are six approved measure application types, which include: Accelerated Replacement, Add-On Equipment, Behavioral, Retro-commissioning and Operational, New Construction, Normal Replacement, and Weatherization. Each of these measure application types is further defined below.[[50]](#footnote-56)*Accelerated Replacement (AR):* A measure application type which includes three subtypes: Early Retirement (ER), Repair Eligible (RE), and Repair Indefinitely (RI).[[51]](#footnote-57)*Add-On Equipment (AOE):* An Add-on Equipment (AOE) measure installs new equipment onto an existing host improving the nominal efficiency of the host system. The existing host system must be operational without the AOE, continue to operate as the primary service equipment for the existing load, and is able to fully meet the existing load at all times without the add-on component. The AOE must not be able to operate on its own. The actual energy reduction occurs at the host equipment, not at the add-on component, although any add-on component energy usage must be subtracted from the host savings.*Behavioral, Retro-commissioning, and Operational (BRO):* The BRO category includes measures that either restore or improve energy efficiency, and can be reasonably expected to produce multi-year savings. BRO measures include information or educational programs that influence energy-related practices (behavioral), activities and installations that restore equipment performance to its nominal efficiency (i.e. rated, intended, or original efficiency (retro-commissioning)) but do not enhance the measure’s nominal efficiency, and measures that improve the efficient operation of installed equipment (operational). BRO sub-elements are abbreviated as follows:* *BRO-Bhv: BRO Behavioral*
* *BRO-Op: BRO Operational*
* *BRO-RCx: BRO Retrocommissiong*

*Early Retirement (ER):* Subset of Accelerated Replacement. The ER category is a sub-type of the larger Accelerated Replacement category, which includes replacements of existing equipment with nominally higher efficiency equipment and where there is more evidence than not that a) the existing equipment would have remained in operation for at least the remaining life of the existing equipment, performing its current service requirement and b) the energy efficiency program activity induced or accelerated the equipment replacement. The existing equipment must have at least one year of remaining useful life to qualify as Early Retirement.*New Construction (NC):* NC includes eligible projects where equipment is installed in a new area or one that has been subject to a major renovation, or to expand capacity of existing systems, or to serve a new load.*Normal Replacement (NR):* NR includes measure installations where the existing equipment has failed or no longer meets current or anticipated needs or is being replaced due to remodeling, upgrading, or replacement activities that are undertaken in the normal course of business. Measure installations where the existing equipment is still functional but does not qualify for Accelerated Replacement fall into this category. This category now includes measures that previously fit into the now-retired Replace on Burnout category.*Repair Eligible (RE):* A measure application type representing the replacement of equipment that needs a major repair to return the equipment to fully serving the load and that repair cost is less than 50% of the full measure cost.[[52]](#footnote-58)*Repair Indefinitely (RI):* A measure application type representing the replacement of equipment that exceeds it’s EUL and has a history of repair and maintenance and could continue to be maintained to serve the load for the RUL of the existing equipment.[[53]](#footnote-59)  *Weatherization (BW):* The BW category includes improvements to non-mechanical building structures, improving the nominal efficiency of pre-existing equipment that is otherwise expected to perform essential building functions throughout the course of a building’s life-cycle, without regular replacement. Such measures improve the efficiency of equipment that does not burn out or when it does burn out the building can function without them; thus, the equipment is typically not replaced unless there is a major building renovation. |
| Methane Leakage | The amount of methane that leaks in between the production and use of natural gas. *Upstream in-state methane leakage* includes leakage during in-state production, processing, transmission, or distribution, while *residential* *behind the meter methane leakage* refers to leakage that happens after the natural gas enters a residential building but before use in a device. It’s important to note that *upstream out-of-state methane leakage* is not included in this calculator since it is not included in the California Air Resources Board inventory.  |
| Net-to-Gross (NTG) Ratio  | A ratio or percentage of net program impacts divided by gross or total impacts. Net-to-gross ratios are used to estimate and describe the free-ridership that may be occurring among energy efficiency program participant s.[[54]](#footnote-60)  |
| New Construction (NEW) | See Measure Application Type. |
| Normal Replacement (NR) | See Measure Application Type. |
| Program | A collection of defined activities and measures that:* are carried out by the administrator and/or their subcontractors and implementers,
* target a specific market segment, customer class, a defined end use, or a defined set of market actors (e.g. designers, architects, homeowners),
* are designed to achieve specific efficiency related changes in behavior, investment practices or maintenance practice in the energy market, and are guided by a specific budget and implementation plan.[[55]](#footnote-61)
 |
| Program Administrator (PA) | A person, company, partnership, corporation, association or other entity selected by the CPUC and any subcontractor that is retained by an aforesaid entity to contract for and administer energy efficiency programs funded in whole or in part from electric or gas Public Goods Charge funds. For purposes of implementing PU Code Section 381.1, an “administrator” is any party that receives funding for and implements energy efficiency programs pursuant to PU Code Section 381. PAs currently include investor-owned utilities, community choice aggregators, and regional energy networks.[[56]](#footnote-62) |
| Refrigerant Leakage | The amount of refrigerant that leaks from a device during its lifetime. Many electric power temperature regulation devices, like air conditioning units, refrigerators, freezers, heat pumps, and more use refrigerants. Most devices with refrigerants leak slowly throughout their life. Some devices require top-ups of refrigerant throughout their usable life, which can cause the lifetime amount of refrigerant leaked to be greater than 100% for a single device. At the end of life, federal law mandates the recovery of refrigerants, but many are vented, creating a high end-of-life (EOL) leakage. Not all refrigerants impact global warming equally.  |
| Regressive Baseline | Use of a Code or standard practice baseline when existing equipment efficiency exceeds code or standard practice efficiency.[[57]](#footnote-63)  |
| Remaining Useful Life (RUL) | An estimate of the median number of years that a measure being replaced under the program would remain in place and operable had the program intervention not caused the replacement.[[58]](#footnote-64)  |
| Repair Eligible (RE) | See Measure Application Type. |
| Repair Indefinitely (RI) | See Measure Application Type. |
| Source BTU Consumption | Conversion of retail energy forms (kWh, Therm) into the BTU required to generate and deliver the energy to the site. This conversion is used to compare the relative impacts of switching between fuel sources at the source or BTU level for the fuel substitution test required for fuel-substitution programs.[[59]](#footnote-65) |
| Standard Practice Baseline | A measure or practice used as the baseline for a specific measure that represents what the customer would implement in the absence of program influence or intervention. A standard practice can be established from an ISP study, from similar and recent typical activity, or from an analysis of the current viable options applicable to the customer and the customer’s typical decision-making process. Where a standard practice is identified that exceeds the minimum efficiency established by a code or regulation, the standard practice is the appropriate baseline.  |
| Title 24 | Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations is known as the California Building Standards Code. Part 6 is the California Energy Code. |
| To Code | Refers to the installation of measures (or the resulting savings) with an efficiency level that complies with (but does not exceed) the current California Title 24 Building Efficiency Standards, Title 20 Appliance Efficiency Regulations, or industry standard practice.[[60]](#footnote-66) |
| Weatherization (WEA) | See Measure Application Types. |
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