

CESA NEM 3.0 Proposal

Jin Noh, Policy Director March 24, 2021





Proposal 1: Virtual Energy Storage Pairing

Virtual Pairing Overview



- Enable virtual pairing of energy storage resources that are contractually linked:
 - Time-match charging and generation profile of separate solar/generation and storage resource
 - Attribute NEM export credits for the "offsite" energy storage exports.

Example:

- NEM generation is exported to the grid from 3-3:15 pm and virtually-paired storage resource is charged during that same interval to absorb this NEM generation
- Storage resource exports that energy at 7:00pm and is credited at the NEM export compensation rate at the time
- Virtual pairing mechanisms require two customer accounts
- Potential credit allocation rules:
 - Bilaterally determine terms to split credits in their contract
 - Standard allocation of credits based on proportion of total load

Virtual Pairing Overlay



- Retail Rates: Increased complexity for solar and storage devices located at customer accounts with different rate schedules
 - Residential vs non-residential (subject to demand charges)
 - Consideration of pairing only between customers on the same rate
- Net billing: More potential for different customers to enter into contracts with each other due to more standardized compensation rates
- Locational value and/or impacts may be a factor:
 - Avoided GHG emissions, transmission and distribution capacity, etc.
 - CPUC Avoided Cost Calculator incorporates climate zone information
- Virtual pairing mechanisms may need to consider locational bounds but also may create separate interconnection requirements

Virtual Pairing Opportunity



- Virtual pairing of energy storage can enable more flexible siting of renewable integration solutions:
 - Standalone energy storage
 - Community IFOM energy storage
 - Mobile storage resources (V2G exports)
- D.20-12-029 suggested that the issue V2G export credits should be taken up by stakeholders in R.20-08-020



Proposal 2: Removal of Paired Storage Sizing Limits

Removal of storage sizing limit



- CESA recommends removing currently energy storage sizing limitations
- Reasons:
 - Support customer resiliency during wildfires and PSPS events
 - Supports future BTM hybrid Resource Adequacy options
 - Supports long term planning and decarbonization goals
 - Integrated Resource Planning processes have identified10 GW of energy storage needed through 2030

Regulatory Precedent



- Microgrids Track 1 Decision, D.20-06-017: Removed storage sizing limits to better position NEM-paired storage systems to support customer resiliency as a near-term strategy for the 2020 wildfire season.
 - Adopted as a temporary solution
 - Set 10kW system size cut off
- However, wildfire mitigation and resiliency needs will not disappear in coming years do not appear likely to abate in coming years
- CESA recommends removing sizing limitations beyond the D.20-06-017 timeline
 - CESA also proposes removing the 10kW system size cutoff