**R.21-10-002: RA Reform Workshop Chat**

**9/29/2022**

[10:00 AM] Alexander, Maggie (she/her)

Thank you for joining today's RA Reform workshop. Friendly reminders:

* This meeting is being recorded.
* Please mute yourself. If necessary, your line will get muted if there's excessive background noise.
* Next workshop is on Thursday, 10/6.
* If you are interested in presenting at the following workshop, please contact the co-facilitators by COB today (9/29) and send presentation materials to the co-facilitators by Monday morning, 10/4.
* If you need to find the call-in information, schedule, or contact information for these workshops, they are included in the emails sent to the service list.

[10:19 AM] Gupta, Aloke

would load shift be considered "spill over"?

[10:21 AM] Maria Belenky (Guest)

Aloke - I have this question as well. It appears that temporary (day of event) load-shift is indeed a spill-over effect if baselines are used.

[10:30 AM] Nuo Tang

what was the hourly elcc profile methodology response to Maria's question. I missed the response from Brent. Thanks

[10:31 AM] Gregory Klatt

A: Load shift falls under spill over effects

[10:36 AM] Paul Nelson (CLECA) (Guest)

Page 83 in D.22-06-050 discusses what can be considered ELCC, such as using an exceedance approach: "In addition, the legislative history of Section 399.26(d) specifically calls out the Commission’s discretion to determine how to calculate the ELCC values in establishing the contribution of wind and solar resources. The legislative history provides that: “Accurate counting of wind and solar production during the peak hours is critical for grid reliability. The CPUC should have the discretion to utilize the counting methodology that meets the need of California.”169 For these reasons, the Commission’s determination to apply an exceedance approach to establish hourly ELCC values for the contribution of wind and solar does not conflict with Section 399.26(d)."

[10:40 AM] Scott Murtishaw

Paul Nelson (CLECA) (Guest) What's funny about that argument, though, is that the staff consultant's analysis of the bill that passage of the Decision refers to is pretty clearly an argument against passing the bill.

[10:47 AM] Scott Murtishaw

Or rather, against the inclusion of that section of the bill.

[11:35 AM] Nick Pappas

Brent, just want to say thanks and kudos on doing the heavy lifting to build these tools and moving this process a big step forward towards program readiness.

like 3

[12:05 PM] Nuo Tang

thanks for the presentation so far Brent.

like 2

[12:05 PM] Nuo Tang

thanks for the presentation so far Brent.

like 2

[12:10 PM] Nick Pappas

For the 2pm discussion, sharing NRDC's 6/9 comments on the PD which discuss annual PRM versus monthly PRM.

<https://docs.cpuc.ca.gov/PublishedDocs/Efile/G000/M483/K864/483864781.PDF>

I agree with the trajectory towards using a single annual portfolio, and will share thoughts at 2pm on how to align the single annual portfolio with PRM setting.

The crux of the proposal is a 5th step beyond Brent's to stress test and adjust, if necessary, other critical summer months (July/August) to ensure the slice for day parameters and PRM align to achieve the identified annual portfolio.

like 1

[1:02 PM] Alexander, Maggie (she/her)

Welcome back to the second half of today's RA Reform Workshop. As a reminder, we will be recording this meeting.

[2:02 PM] Nick Pappas

For Jaime and ED, has a determination been made regarding updating ELCC / MCC for 2024 for the current compliance framework?

As I recall the last decision left 2024 updates ambiguous beyond a 17% PRM.

[2:08 PM] Gannon, Jaime Rose

Nick- that is my understanding.

like 1

[2:21 PM] Delphine Hou (CAISO) (Guest)

Default number only

like 1

[2:21 PM] Delphine Hou (CAISO) (Guest)

Only if LRA does not provide

like 2

[2:33 PM] Nick Pappas

Brent, thanks for giving the best walkthrough of the NRDC tool we've seen yet.

laugh 2

[3:01 PM] Cunningham, Patrick

Can proposals be submitted without having been presented at a workshop? Thinking of timing issues.

[3:01 PM] Cunningham, Patrick

(Submitted on Nov 15, that is)

[3:01 PM] Gregory Klatt

Staff's position is no. It needs to be presented to get into the workshop report.

[3:06 PM] Nick Pappas

Thanks Gregg, well-moderated and productive workshop!

[3:06 PM] CB Hall (Guest)

Thank you Gregg!