2018 Leak Abatement Compliance Plan

SB 1371
SAN DIEGO GAS & ELECTRIC
Mr. Fred Hanes  
Senior Utilities Engineer  
Risk Assessment and Safety Advisory Section  
Safety and Enforcement Division  
California Public Utilities Commission  
505 Van Ness Avenue, 2nd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mr. Hanes:

San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) submits its 2018 Leak Abatement Compliance Plan per California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) Decision (D.) 17-06-015, Ordering Paragraph 6, implementing Senate Bill 1371. Per the Commission’s direction and in the May 8, 2017 letter, the operator must submit an overall program summary highlighting their major efforts to reduce methane emissions and estimated incremental costs where known. This Section should summarize the total anticipated emission reductions from the proposed practice projected for the two-year compliance period and, if possible though the year 2030.

SDG&E’s 2018 Leak Abatement Compliance Plan encompasses proposed activities to achieve methane emission reductions through the 26 Best Practices adopted in D.17-06-015. Proposed activities were evaluated for cost-effectiveness and emissions reduction opportunity, where data was available. Milestones were developed to achieve those emission reductions and develop a timeline for implementation. Activities include policy and procedure development, training development and deployment, increased leak surveys, installation of methane sensing technologies, faster leak repair times, capture of blowdown gas, replacement of high-bleed pneumatic devices, expansion of dig-alert programs, back-office information technology projects, and development of tools to support monitoring, record-keeping, and reporting.

Table 1, Major Efforts to Reduce Emissions, summarizes SDG&E’s proposed major activities, estimated costs, and estimated emissions reductions proposed in the 2018 SDG&E Leak Abatement Compliance Plan.
GRC indicates recovery for this activity is being requested in SDG&E’s 2019 General Rate Case Application.

Cost Benefits are based on gas savings resulting from reduced emissions, evaluated at WACOG forecasts published in the 2016 California Gas Report.

In addition to the major efforts in Table 1, a variety of research, development, and demonstration (RD&D) projects are referenced in specific Best Practices that are currently in progress, where SDG&E is a direct funder or provides in-kind support. These projects were proposed and initiated by leading industry organizations including SDG&E in response to this proceeding and other environmental regulations targeting overall reduction of natural gas emissions. Additional RD&D projects and pilot studies are also proposed where tools and technologies require further development, or where knowledge and information is needed to understand the potential for emissions reduction and to estimate the cost of implementation for SDG&E.

SDG&E appreciates the opportunity to submit its 2018 Leak Abatement Compliance Plan and looks forward to continuing to work with the CPUC and its staff to further the goals of Senate Bill 1371 in safe and cost-effective manner.

Sincerely,

/s/ Jimmie I. Cho
Jimmie Cho
Senior Vice President Gas Engineering & Distribution Operations

---

### Table 1: Major Efforts to Reduce Emissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Three Year Leak Surveys</td>
<td>7,394</td>
<td>$2,823,565</td>
<td>68,704</td>
<td>$16,941,936</td>
<td>$280,196</td>
<td>$243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-1986 Aldyl A</td>
<td>Annual Surveys on Pre-1986 Aldyl A</td>
<td>7,114</td>
<td>*GRC</td>
<td>106,000</td>
<td>*GRC</td>
<td>*GRC</td>
<td>*GRC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Annual Surveys on Pre-1950 Vintage Pipe</td>
<td>15,545</td>
<td>$581,072</td>
<td>13,515</td>
<td>$3,486,432</td>
<td>$54,797</td>
<td>$254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>Prioritizing Repair of Large Leaks</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>$1,121,412</td>
<td>1,944</td>
<td>$6,728,472</td>
<td>$7,722</td>
<td>$3,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Reduce Blowdown Emissions</td>
<td>204</td>
<td>$289,058</td>
<td>1,224</td>
<td>$1,734,348</td>
<td>$191,189</td>
<td>$1,413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Replacement of High Bleed Pneumatic Valves</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>$15,686</td>
<td>7,483</td>
<td>$94,116</td>
<td>$30,186</td>
<td>$9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Expand Public Awareness Program</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>$338,502</td>
<td>9,396</td>
<td>$2,031,012</td>
<td>$37,323</td>
<td>$212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>34,720</td>
<td>$5,169,295</td>
<td>208,266</td>
<td>$31,016,316</td>
<td>$601,413</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best Practice</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Compliance Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Methane GHG Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pressure Reduction Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Project Scheduling Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Methane Evacuation Procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Methane Evacuation Work Orders Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bundling Work Policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Company Emergency Procedures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Recordkeeping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Minimize Uncontrolled Natural Gas Emissions Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Methane Emissions Minimization Policies Training</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Knowledge Continuity Training Programs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Performance Focused Training Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Experienced, Trained Personnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Gas Distribution Leak Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Special Leak Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Enhanced Methane Detection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Stationary Methane Detectors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Above Ground Leak Surveys</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20a</td>
<td>Quantification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20b</td>
<td>Geographic Tracking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Find It, Fix It</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Pipe Fitting Specifications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Minimize Emissions from Operations, Maintenance, and Other Activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Dig-Ins and Public Education Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Dig-Ins and Company Standby Monitors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Dig-Ins and Repeat Offenders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Table of Attachments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment A: Red-lined Environmental Excellence Policy</td>
<td>145</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment B: Sempra Environmental Policy</td>
<td>147</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment C: Sempra Corporate Responsibility Report</td>
<td>149</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment D: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G7909</td>
<td>237</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment E: Gas Standard G8202</td>
<td>262</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment F: Gas Standard G8205</td>
<td>276</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment G: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G8145</td>
<td>288</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment H: California Energy Commission Notice of Proposed Awards</td>
<td>308</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment I: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G8135</td>
<td>315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment J: Pipeline Blowdowns in Transmission and Distribution</td>
<td>338</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment K: Public Awareness Plan for SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>346</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment L: Gas Standard G7451</td>
<td>415</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best Practice 1: Compliance Plan

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #1

Written Compliance Plan identifying the policies, programs, procedures, instructions, documents, etc. used to comply with the Final Decision in this Proceeding (R.15-01-008). Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB. Compliance Plans shall be signed by company officers certifying their company’s compliance. Compliance Plans shall include copies of all policies and procedures related to their Compliance Plans. Compliance Plans shall be filed biennially (i.e. every other year) to evaluate best practices based on progress and effectiveness of Companies’ natural gas leakage abatement and minimization of methane emissions.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.1

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline with high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring

---

materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.²

• **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.³

• **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  o Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  o Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  o Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  o Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent ejector.

---


compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shutdown compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.  

In addition to SDG&E’s work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states, “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;”5 A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

Since the final decision of this proceeding, SDG&E has been working with Subject Matter Experts to develop annual emissions reports as well as identify opportunities to implement the 26 Best Practices within operational practices with the goal of reducing methane emissions.

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

The proposed plan encompasses the development of the attached compliance plans to achieve methane emission reductions through the 26 Best Practices. Proposed activities were evaluated for cost-effectiveness and emissions reduction opportunity, where data was available. Milestones were developed to achieve those emission reductions and develop a timeline for implementation. Activities include policy and procedure development, training development and deployment, increased leak surveys, installation of methane sensing technologies, faster leak repair times, capture

of blowdown gas, replacement of high-bleed pneumatic devices, expansion of dig-alert programs, back-office IT projects, RD&D projects, and development of tools to support monitoring, record-keeping, and reporting.

d) **Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

All requests for cost recovery in this compliance plan are for activities that are incremental to safety and specific to the emission reduction goals of SB 1371. SDG&E currently has policies and procedures in place to meet environmental regulation implemented by California Air Resources Board, Environmental Protection Agency, Local Air Pollution Control Districts, And Department of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources. Some of these environmental policies overlap with SB 1371 requirements, and that overlap is addressed in the relevant Best Practices.

e) **What technology is required to implement the Best Practice and why?**

Several new technologies are recommended in this Compliance plan, including a new competency based training program, enhanced leak survey technology, state-of-the-art methane sensing technologies, blowdown capture technologies, replacement of high-bleed pneumatic devices, expansion of dig-alert programs, back-office IT projects, RD&D projects, and development of tools to support monitoring, record-keeping, and reporting.

f) **Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

Each Best Practice specifies incremental needs. SDG&E’ goal is to levelize labor needs where possible for continued improvement and to manage a stable workforce. The idea is to implement Best Practices that provide the highest emissions reductions first, and as those projects are completed, transfer employees onto the next project so work flow is stable and minimal incremental FTEs will be needed.

g) **What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

Several operational changes are proposed in this Compliance Plan, including training development and deployment, increased leak surveys, installation of methane sensing technologies, faster leak repair times, capture of blowdown gas, replacement of high-bleed pneumatic devices, expansion of dig-alert programs, IT projects, RD&D projects, and development of tools to support monitoring, record-keeping, and reporting.

h) **What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

New procedures are detailed in the respective Best Practices. Some draft procedural changes are provided as attachments. However, some proposed changes to operational changes will be labor
intensive to draft so SDG&E is choosing to await approval before investing time to develop scenarios that may not come to fruition.

**i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):**

SDG&E will submit the first Biennial Compliance Plan on March 15, 2018. Implementation of the activities for each Best Practice will begin after cost recovery is approved.

**j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:**

Cost-effectiveness evaluations were performed for activities where emissions reductions could be measured, specifically Best Practices 15, 16, 20a, 23, and 24. Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M, including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

The relevant emissions model was used to estimate emission reductions for each year through 2030. Annual emissions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve year program period.

Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual Weighted Average Cost of Gas (WACOG) published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343

Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.
k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

Cost benefits are itemized in each Best Practice.

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

N/A.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

Total estimated emissions reductions resulting from activities proposed in this compliance plan, compounded from 2018 to 2030 that are quantifiable are estimated at 208,266 MCF. Expected annual emissions in 2030, based on modeling and assumptions as stated in this Compliance Plan, are 263,311 MCF, an estimated 7% reduction.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

The 2015 baseline for the entire SDG&E system is 282,047 MCF per year

n) Calculation Methodology:

Emission reduction calculations vary by emission source, and are specific to each Best Practice.

o) Additional Comments:
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>p) Overlap with Safety:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a)</td>
<td><strong>Technology:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td><strong>Changes to Operations:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td><strong>Research or Studies:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d)</td>
<td><strong>Other:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**PART 1: OVERVIEW**

**a) Best Practice: 2**

Written company policy stating that methane is a potent Green House Gas (GHG) that must be prevented from escaping to the atmosphere. Include reference to SB 1371 and SB 1383. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of Compliance Plan filing.

**b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G**

**PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS**

**a) Historic work:**

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different Best Practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves. 
- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline with high leak rates.
- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. In 2004, an estimated 12 billion cubic feet (BCF) of methane was vented to the atmosphere during routine maintenance and pipeline upsets. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and

---

operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. On average, up to 90 percent of the gas in the pipeline can be recovered for sale instead of being emitted. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.7

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  
  o Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  o Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  o Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  o Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shutdown compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.8

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility

---

report states, “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards.” A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

| b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? | No |
| c) Proposed Plan: | |
| The existing SDG&E Environmental Excellence Policy has been red-lined to reflect that methane is a potent greenhouse gas and that reducing methane emissions is a priority for SDG&E. These are draft edits, and final language will be developed upon review and approval by the CPUC. |
| d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations? | |
| The company is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing. No existing policy explicitly states, “methane is a potent Green House Gas (GHG) that must be prevented from escaping to the atmosphere”. In that respect, this Best Practice will require updating the SDG&E Environmental Excellence policy to reflect that language, which is incremental beyond other existing regulations. |
| e) What technology is required to implement the Best Practice and why? | N/A |
| f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details. | No incremental personnel are needed for this activity. |

---

g) **What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

No operational changes are required as part of this Best Practice.

h) **What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

No procedural changes are required as part of this Best Practice.

i) **Timeline for implementation (Milestones):**

If the language in the attached draft red-lined SDG&E Environmental Excellence Policy is approved by the CPUC as meeting the requirements of this Best Practice, the updated language will be made published within one month of approval of this Compliance Plan. If changes are requested, it may take up to three months after approval to finalize new language in the policy.

j) **Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:**

There is insufficient data to calculate emission reductions for activities in this Best Practice.

k) **Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:**

There isn’t sufficient data to estimate cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice.

l) **Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?**

N/A

m) **Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:**

There is insufficient data to calculate emission reductions for activities in this Best Practice.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

N/A

n) **Calculation Methodology:**

N/A

o) **Additional Comments:**

N/A

p) **Overlap with Safety:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Technology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Changes to Operations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Research or Studies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d) Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Attachment A: Red-lined Environmental Excellence Policy
Attachment B: Sempra Environmental Policy
Attachment C: Sempra Corporate Responsibility Report
Best Practice 3: Pressure Reduction Policy

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #3

Written company policy stating that pressure reduction to the lowest operationally feasible level in order to minimize methane emissions is required before non-emergency venting of high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission and underground storage infrastructure consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of Compliance Plan filing.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.¹⁰

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that has high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring

Best Practice 3: Pressure Reduction Policy
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materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in
the future.  

- **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline
  systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide
  safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block
  the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the
  atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing
  maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant
  economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either
  alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always
  justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-
  effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends
  greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique
  selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is
  reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for
  larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance
  activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.  

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used
  throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites
  to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for
  maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result,
  methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor
  units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and
  associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a
  flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas
  from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices
  and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane
  blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be
  significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line
  include:

  - Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  - Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a
    portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  - Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  - Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are
no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown
vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the
compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static

---

seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shutdown compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.\[^{13}\]

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states, “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;”\[^{14}\] A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption?</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c) Proposed Plan:</td>
<td>SDG&amp;E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify policy and procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Overlap with other regulations?</td>
<td>What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


There are no technology needs to change the policy.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.</strong></td>
<td>No additional personnel is need to complete the activities in this Best Practice, this work fits within the scope of normal business activities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?</strong></td>
<td>No operational changes are required as part of this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</strong></td>
<td>SDG&amp;E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</strong></td>
<td>All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Policy review: 2 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Training development: 3-6 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Training of field department: 6-12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Publishing policy changes: 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Field implementation completion: 12 months</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</strong></td>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions as a result of the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</strong></td>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</strong></td>
<td>Training needs addressed in best practices 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 23 will be affected by policy changes in this best practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</strong></td>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions as a result of the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

N/A

#### n) Calculation Methodology:

N/A

#### o) Additional Comments:

N/A

#### p) Overlap with Safety:

N/A

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### a) Technology:

#### b) Changes to Operations:

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment D: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G7909

#### c) Research or Studies:

#### d) Other:
**Best Practice 4: Project Scheduling Policy**

**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**

### PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #4</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Written company policy stating that any high pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure project that requires evacuating methane will build time into the project schedule to minimize methane emissions to the atmosphere consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Projected schedules of high pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure work, requiring methane evacuation, shall also be submitted to facilitate audits, with line venting schedule updates TBD. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.¹⁵

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that with high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere.

---

atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.\textsuperscript{16}

- **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns;** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.\textsuperscript{17}

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices;** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  - Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  - Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  - Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  - Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the


compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shut down compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.  

In addition to SDG&E’s work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;” A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached. Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

SDG&E is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing.

---

**e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

There are no technology needs to change the policy.

**f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

No additional personnel is need to complete the activities in this Best Practice, this work fits within the scope of normal business activities.

**g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

In Best Practice 23 a centralized engineering group to coordinate methane emission minimization from operations is proposed. They would be responsible for coordinating cross-departmental efforts to build in time for methane evacuation.

**h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

SDG&E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached. Detailed project management will be developed based on these policy changes so compliance is met.

**i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):**

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved:

- Policy review: 2 months
- Training development: 3-6 months
- Training of field department: 6-12 months
- Publishing policy changes: 12 months
- Field implementation completion: 12 months

**j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:**

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice and therefore.

**k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:**

There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice.

**l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?**
Training needs addressed in best practices 11, 12, 13, and 23 will be affected by policy changes in this best practice.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice and therefore.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>n) Calculation Methodology:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>o) Additional Comments:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p) Overlap with Safety:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Technology:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b) Changes to Operations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment D: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G7909</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c) Research or Studies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d) Other:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Best Practice 5: Methane Evacuation Procedures**

### 2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

#### PART 1: OVERVIEW

| a) Best Practice: #5 | b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G |

Written company procedures implementing the BPs approved for use to evacuate methane for non-emergency venting of high pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure and how to use them consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

#### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) **Historic work:**

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that with high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packaging case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper maintenance.

---

monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.\textsuperscript{21}

- **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.\textsuperscript{22}

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high-pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  
  o Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  o Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  o Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  o Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static


seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a
compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent
compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shut down compressor into the
suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include
fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of
less than a year.  

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported
minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility
report states “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one
unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement
environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and
conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions,
air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;”  

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as
regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety
Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E is updating Gas Standards G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane
emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those
regulations?

SDG&E is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the
release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure
monitoring and testing.

23 “Reducing Emissions When Taking Compressors Off-Line.” Environmental Protection Agency, Natural
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There are no technology needs to change the policy.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No additional personnel is need to complete the activities in this Best Practice, this work fits within the scope of normal business activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In Best Practice 23 a centralized engineering group to coordinate methane emission minimization from operations is proposed. They would be responsible for coordinating cross-departmental efforts to build in time for methane evacuation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E is updating Gas Standard G-7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached. Detailed project management will be developed based on these policy changes so compliance is met.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Policy review: 2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Training development: 3-6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Training of field department: 6-12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Publishing policy changes: 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Field implementation completion: 12 months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training needs addressed in best practices 11, 12, 13, and 23 will be affected by policy changes in this best practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

N/A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>n) Calculation Methodology:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>o) Additional Comments:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>p) Overlap with Safety:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>a) Technology:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>b) Changes to Operations:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment D: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G7909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>c) Research or Studies:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>d) Other:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #6  
b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

Written company policy that requires that for any high pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission or underground storage infrastructure projects requiring evacuating methane, Work Planners shall clearly delineate, in procedural documents, such as work orders used in the field, the steps required to safely and efficiently reduce the pressure in the lines, prior to lines being vented, considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.  

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that with high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper

---

monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.26

- **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.27

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high-pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere ('blowdown') or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  - Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  - Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  - Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  - Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static emissions will be reduced by recovering the vented gas.

---

seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shutdown compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.28

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;”29 A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

SDG&E is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

Best Practice 6: Methane Evacuation Work Orders Policy
SDG&E
Submitted on March 15, 2018

There are no technology needs to change the policy.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

No additional personnel is need to complete the activities in this Best Practice, this work fits within the scope of normal business activities.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

In Best Practice 23 a centralized engineering group to coordinate methane emission minimization from operations is proposed. They would be responsible for coordinating cross-departmental efforts to build in time for methane evacuation.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

SDG&E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standard is attached. Detailed project management will be developed based on these policy changes so compliance is met.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Policy review: 2 months
- Training development: 3-6 months
- Training of field department: 6-12 months
- Publishing policy changes: 12 months
- Field implementation completion: 12 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice.

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

Training needs addressed in best practices 11, 12, 13, and 23 will be affected by policy changes in this best practice.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:
There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

| N/A |

**n) Calculation Methodology:**

| N/A |

**o) Additional Comments:**

| N/A |

**p) Overlap with Safety:**

| N/A |

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**a) Technology:**

**b) Changes to Operations:**

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment D: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G7909

**c) Research or Studies:**

**d) Other:**
Best Practice 7: Bundling Work Policy

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #7

Written company policy requiring bundling of work, whenever practicable, to prevent multiple venting of the same piping consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Company policy shall define situations where work bundling is not practicable. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that with high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring packing systems are used to replace old ones.  

---

materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.\footnote{31}

- **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.\footnote{32}

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  - Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  - Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  - Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  - Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static

seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shut down compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.33

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;”34 A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No
c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. Red-lined gas standards are attached.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

SDG&E is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

---

The IT systems proposed in Best Practice 9 will support the bundling of work as recommended in this Best Practice.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

No additional personnel is need to complete the activities in this Best Practice, this work fits within the scope of normal business activities. However, implementation will require additional personnel, which is covered in Best Practice 23.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

In Best Practice 23 a centralized engineering group to coordinate methane emission minimization from operations is proposed. They would be responsible for coordinating cross-departmental efforts to build in time for methane evacuation and bundle work when appropriate.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

SDG&E is updating Gas Standard G7909 to clarify procedural changes to minimize methane emissions. The red-lined gas standards is attached. Detailed project management will be developed based on these policy changes so compliance is met.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved:

- Policy review: 2 months
- Training development: 3-6 months
- Training of field department: 6-12 months
- Publishing policy changes: 12 months
- Field implementation completion: 12 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

Emission reductions resulting from the implementation of this policy change are captured in Best Practice 23.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

Cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice are captured in Best Practice 23.

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?
Training needs addressed in best practices 11, 12, 13, and 23 will be affected by policy changes in this best practice. Best Practice 9 includes IT systems that will enable bundling of work and Best Practice 23 includes labor for the incremental project management needs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emission reductions resulting from the implementation of this policy change are captured in Best Practice 23.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>n) Calculation Methodology:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>o) Additional Comments:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>p) Overlap with Safety:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>a) Technology:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>b) Changes to Operations:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment D: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G7909

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>c) Research or Studies:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>d) Other:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
**Best Practice 8: Company Emergency Procedures**

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

### PART 1: OVERVIEW

**a) Best Practice: #8**

Written company emergency procedures which describe the actions company staff will take to prevent, minimize and/or stop the uncontrolled release of methane from the gas system or storage facility consistent with safe operations and considering alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.

**b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G**

### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

**a) Historic work:**

SDG&E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:

- **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.\(^{35}\)

- **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that with high leak rates.

- **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring

---

materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.\textsuperscript{36}

- **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.\textsuperscript{37}

- **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  
  o Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  o Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  o Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  o Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static


seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shut down compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.  

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide.” (page 26)

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;” A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? Yes

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E has existing emergency operating procedures that prioritize actions taken during emergency situations. Gas Standards G8202 and G8205 are attached and document the actions taken during this type of situation, with safety as the highest priority. After reviewing Gas Standards G8202 and G8205, SDG&E did not identify any modifications to emergency procedures or opportunities for emissions reductions, as it could interfere with safety procedures. The intent of SB 1371 was not to deprioritize safety.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

SDG&E is subject to other regulations that require specific practices to reduce, mitigate or control the release of methane emissions. All company operations follow the U.S. Department of Transportation

---

Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There are no technology needs associated with this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No additional personnel is needed to complete the activities in this Best Practice, this work fits within the scope of normal business activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No operational changes are required as part of this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E has existing procedures in place, no procedural changes are needed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits associated with the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

| N/A |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional Comments:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) Overlap with Safety:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) Technology:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**

## PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: 9</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Written Company Policy directing the gas business unit to maintain records of all SB 1371 Annual Emissions Inventory Report methane emissions and leaks, including the calculations, data and assumptions used to derive the volume of methane released. Records are to be maintained in accordance with G.O. 112 F and succeeding revisions, and 49 CFR 192. Currently, the record retention time in G.O. 112 F is at least 75 years for the transmission system. 49 CFR 192.1011 requires a record retention time of at least 10 years for the distribution system. Exact wording TBD by the company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Developing the Annual Emissions Report required by SB 1371 requires querying various records which are currently stored in varying formats, locations, databases, and with various record owners. Different record keeping practices have evolved over time and as new record-keeping requirements emerge, various new systems have been developed. These different record-keeping systems are not compatible and data is not easily shared, integrated, or queried. This makes report generation a time-consuming manual process. An additional challenge is that these systems weren’t designed for generating reports for emissions, but rather for billing or operational recordkeeping. Because of this, the records may use varying types of nomenclature relevant to specific departments. Querying records from numerous departments in the company and combining them to generate a single report is quite challenging. To generate annual emissions reports, data is pulled from thirty-six separate reports, which are generated from fourteen different systems. This has essentially been a full-time job for four employees to generate an annual report and work with the various departments to compile and analyze the data so it is in the format needed for consistent report generation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Proposed Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Accurate reporting of methane emissions and leaks, including estimation methodologies and assumptions, is critical to provide regulatory compliance and respond to audits or data requests. A written company policy is needed so these records are maintained for all SB 1371 data. This includes measured emissions and leaks, as well as estimated emissions and leaks, including calculations, data,
and assumptions to derive the volume of methane released. This policy will be generated and stored in SDG&E’ Department Records Retention Schedule with User Records.

SDG&E proposes developing a centralized database to incorporate SB 1371 records. This will enable automation of reporting. Annual reporting is a compilation of thirty-six reports generated from fourteen different systems. SDG&E is exploring architecture of such a system and in 2019 will begin mapping out the scope of this project. Revenue requested in this compliance period will cover a project to automate four of the fourteen systems for reporting purposes. Funding to complete the project and tie in the remaining ten systems will be requested in the 2020 compliance plan. Estimated work for this project includes the following activities:

- Update existing forms
- Modify existing reports
- Integrate changes with other systems
- Gather data
- Application Development and Testing
- Training and Post-Support
- Project and Program Manager time

**d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

There are several regulations that require record keeping. This Best Practice will require records from varying departments be kept in a centralized location in the same units and on the same timeframes so they can be reported in a streamlined way, reducing the labor and manual adjustments to generate the annual emissions reports.

**e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

SDG&E proposes developing a centralized database to incorporate SB 1371 records. This will enable automation of reporting. Estimated work for this project includes the following activities:

- Update existing forms
- Modify existing reports
- Integrate changes with other systems
- Gather data
- Application Development and Testing
- Training and Post-Support
f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

One project manager is needed to manage data analytics and develop the system for tracking.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

No operational changes are expected. The activities being proposed for this Best Practice are essentially IT projects that will change the way data is recorded and reports are generated. This may result in updated forms and record-keeping activities for employees across the company.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

New procedures will need to be developed regarding departmental reporting requirements. Accurate reporting of methane emissions and leaks, including estimation methodologies and assumptions, is critical for regulatory compliance. A written company policy is needed so these records are maintained for all SB 1371 emission records. This policy will be generated and stored in SDG&E’s Department Records Retention Schedule with User Records.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Generate scope of work: 6 months
- Hire and train new employees: 9 months
- Draft and review policy updates: 9 months
- Training development: 9 months
- Complete for software automation project for four systems: 12 months
- Train employees on new reporting requirements: 12 months
- Update IT systems to capture emissions data required by SB 1371, incorporate remaining 10 systems. Development, testing, and reporting: 24 months
- Publish policy changes and implement new reporting policies: 24 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

Cost benefits for this Best Practice include an anticipated reduction in labor needs. There is insufficient data to quantify those benefits at this time.
**Best Practice 9: Recordkeeping**

**SDG&E**

Submitted on March 15, 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This Best Practice overlaps with Best Practices 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20a, 21, 23, 24, and 26. It creates a centralized system for operational records of methane emissions information to be stored and for operational activities to be coordinated to minimize emissions. The system proposed in Best Practice 26 will also support this activity by combining records from the Company Damage Report System with the Incident Management System.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>o) Additional Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p) Overlap with Safety:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Technology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Changes to Operations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Research or Studies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| d) Other: |
Best Practice 10: Minimize Uncontrolled Natural Gas Emissions Training

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #10

Minimize Uncontrolled Natural Gas Emissions - Training to ensure that personnel know how to use company emergency procedures which describe the actions staff shall take to prevent, minimize and/or stop the uncontrolled release of natural gas from the gas system or storage facility. Training programs to be designed by the Company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing. If integration of training and program development is required with the company’s General Rate Case (GRC) and/or Collective Bargaining Unit (CBC) processes, then the company shall file a draft training program and plan with a process to update the program once finalized into its Compliance.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

Minimization of the uncontrolled release of natural gas emissions and use of company emergency procedures is currently addressed in standard training courses for all operational employees. Training is based on the emergency incident response policies and procedures as stated in Gas Standards G8202 and G8205.

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? Yes

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E has existing training programs regarding emergency operating procedures that prioritize actions taken during emergency situations. Gas Standards G8202 and G8205 are attached and document the actions taken during this type of situation, with safety as the highest priority. After reviewing Gas Standards G8202 and G8205, SDG&E did not identify any modifications to emergency procedures or opportunities for emissions reductions, as it could interfere with safety procedures. The intent of SB 1371 was not to de-prioritize safety.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

Historically, Gas Operations Training has been driven by a strong emphasis on DOT safety regulations. SB 1371 will require an additional emphasis on the control of emissions. As changes in processes,
procedures, equipment and technology emerge due to implementation of Best Practices, existing training will need to be modified and new training modules developed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No technology is needed for this Best Practice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No incremental resources are needed for the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No changes are required to existing operations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No changes are required to existing procedures. Currently, Gas Operations training follows an established, systematic approach to training development and training conduct consisting of:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Needs assessment
- Training analysis
- Curriculum design
- Development of training materials
- Implementation of instruction
- Internal/external evaluation.

This process is “content neutral” and is applicable to any changes in the work environment that requires training to be developed or modified.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

NA

n) Calculation Methodology:

NA

o) Additional Comments:

NA

p) Overlap with Safety:

Gas Standards G8202 and G8205 are attached and document the actions taken during emergencies, with safety as the highest priority. After reviewing Gas Standards G8202 and G8205, SDG&E did not identify any modifications to emergency procedures or opportunities for emissions reductions, as it could interfere with safety procedures. The intent of SB 1371 was not to deprioritize safety.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

a) Technology:

b) Changes to Operations:

c) Research or Studies:

d) Other:

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment E: Gas Standard G8202
Attachment F: Gas Standard G8205
## PART 1: OVERVIEW

### a) Best Practice: #11

Ensure that training programs educate workers as to why it is necessary to minimize methane emissions and abate natural gas leaks. Training programs to be designed by the Company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing. If integration of training and program development is required with the company’s GRC and/or CBC processes, then the company shall file a draft training program and plan with a process to update the program once finalized into its Compliance Plan.

### b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

## PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

### a) Historic work:

SDG&E has a robust classroom training program facilitated at a centralized training facility. The training facility is equipped with an area known as Skill City where trainees can experience real world emergencies like a blowing high-pressure line with an ignition source, while in a safe and controlled environment. Training programs are focused primarily on DOT PHMSA safety regulations. Safety is a core value at SDG&E and all current training programs are focused around incorporating safety in all procedures as a primary goal.

### b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

### c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E proposes developing new training material and updating existing training material with a new focus on why it is necessary to minimize methane emissions and abate natural gas leaks. All operational employees will be given a one hour training seminar on emission reductions.

### d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

Historically, Gas Operations Training has been driven by a strong emphasis on DOT safety regulations. SB 1371 will require an additional emphasis on the control of emissions. As changes in processes, procedures, equipment, and technology emerge due to implementation of Best Practices, existing training will need to be modified and new training modules developed to support the new process and policies. Employee training will require an increased focus on the environmental impact of methane emissions on the atmosphere.
### e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

Gas Operations Training trains and Operationally Qualifies employees using the same or very similar equipment as used in the field per ASME B31Q requirements. As the scope of equipment requirements are established to implement SB 1371, Gas Operations Training will require the same equipment and technologies as what is being used in the field.

### f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

No incremental employees have been requested but some vendor work will be needed for instructional design and anticipated incremental time will be needed from existing employees for the following activities:

- 300 hours of training module development by agency instructional designer
- 50 hours of training module development consultation, writing – Subject Matter Experts
- 20 hours of work by agency instructional designer. Development of visual aids, handouts, course materials, tests.
- 1 Hour of training X 200 employees
- 10 Hours instructor time to conduct training (20 students per class X 10 classes = 200 employees)

### g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

No changes are required to existing operations.

### h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

No changes are required to existing procedures. Currently, Gas Operations training follows an established, systematic approach to training development. The development of training programs at SDG&E includes needs assessment and training analysis, which is essentially a scope of work development. Based on what is found, curriculum design and development of training materials will follow. When development is completed, implementation of instruction and internal/external evaluation begin. This process is “content neutral” and is applicable to any changes in the work environment that requires training to be developed or modified.

### i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved:

- Establish scope of work for training modifications: 1 month
- Instructional Design: 2 months
- Gas Standards reviewed: 2 months
- Development of training materials: 3 months
• Evaluations of training materials and train-the-trainer: 6 months
• Training Implementation: 6-12 months

**j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:**

There is insufficient data to quantify emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

**k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:**

There is insufficient data to quantify emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

**l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?**

This training will incorporate policy changes in Best Practices 3-7 and operational changes in Best Practices 15-25.

In Best Practice 13, SDG&E recommend implementing a competency based training program that will encompass training design for all new methane mitigation policy and procedural changes. This system, which is proposed in Best Practice 13, would support any training design associated with this Best Practice.

**m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:**

There is insufficient data to quantify emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

N/A

**n) Calculation Methodology:**

N/A

**o) Additional Comments:**

NA

**p) Overlap with Safety:**

Preventing, minimizing and/or stopping the uncontrolled release of natural gas is integral to safety. The two topics will be addressed in training in tandem.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**a) Technology:**
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Best Practice 12: Knowledge Continuity Training Programs

### 2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART 1: OVERVIEW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Best Practice: #12</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knowledge Continuity Training Programs - Knowledge Continuity (transfer) Training Programs to ensure knowledge continuity for new methane emissions reductions best practices as workers, including contractors, leave and new workers are hired. Knowledge continuity training programs to be designed by the Company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing. If integration of training and program development is required with the company’s GRC and/or CBC processes, then the company shall file a draft training program and plan with a process to update the program once finalized into its Compliance Plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Historic work:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E has a robust classroom training program facilitated at a centralized training facility. The training facility is equipped with an area known as Skill City where trainees can experience real world emergencies like a blowing high-pressure line with an ignition source, while in a safe and controlled environment. Training programs are focused primarily on DOT PHMSA safety regulations. Safety is a core value at SDG&amp;E and all current training programs are focused around incorporating safety in all procedures as a primary goal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDG&E is very focused on knowledge management and encourages leadership at all levels to focus on activities to increase knowledge transfer within their organization. SDG&E employs several mentoring programs, cross-departmental knowledge transfer activities, and process records to encourage knowledge management and knowledge transfer processes.

| **b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption?** | No |
| **c) Proposed Plan:** |
| SDG&E proposes developing new training modules and updating existing training programs with a focus on knowledge management and operational practices to minimize methane emissions. |

| **d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?** |
| Historically, Gas Operations Training has been driven by a strong emphasis on DOT safety regulations. SB 1371 will require an additional emphasis on the control of emissions. As changes in processes, |
procedures, equipment, and technology emerge due to implementation of Best Practices, existing training will need to be modified and new training modules developed to support the new process and policies. Employees will be trained with an increased focus on the environmental impact of methane emissions on the atmosphere.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

Gas Operations Training trains and Operationally Qualifies employees using the same or very similar equipment as used in the field per ASME B31Q requirements. As the scope of equipment requirements are established to implement SB 1371, Gas Operations Training will require the same equipment and technologies as in the field.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

No incremental employees have been requested but some vendor work will be needed for instructional design and anticipated incremental time will be needed from existing employees for the following activities:

- 500 hours of training module development by agency instructional designer
- 200 hours of training module development consultation, writing – Subject Matter Experts
- 20 hours of work by agency instructional designer. Development of visual aids, handouts, course materials, tests.
- 1 Hour of training X 200 employees
- 10 Hours instructor time to conduct training (20 students per class X 10 classes = 200 employees)

The following table summarizes the personnel needed for the training:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training Module Development</td>
<td>500 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Module Development Consultation</td>
<td>200 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subject Matter Experts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Visual Aids, Handouts, Course Materials, Tests</td>
<td>20 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Instruction</td>
<td>1 hour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor</td>
<td>10 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Personnel</td>
<td>991 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No additional personnel have been requested but some vendor work will be needed for instructional design and anticipated incremental time will be needed from existing employees for the following activities:

- Training module development
- Training module development consultation
- Subject Matter Experts
- Development of visual aids, handouts, course materials, tests
- Training instruction
- Instructor time


i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum Design and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Instruction and Internal/External Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

No changes are required to existing operations.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

No changes are required to existing procedures. Currently, Gas Operations training follows an established, systematic approach to training development. The development of training programs at SDG&E includes needs assessment and training analysis, which is essentially a scope of work development. Based on what is found, curriculum design and development of training materials will follow. When development is completed, implementation of instruction and internal/external evaluation begin. This process is “content neutral” and is applicable to any changes in the work environment that requires training to be developed or modified.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Start Date</th>
<th>End Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Needs Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curriculum Design and Development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Instruction and Internal/External Evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved:

- Establish scope of work for training modifications: 1 month
- Instructional Design: 2 months
- Development of training materials: 3 months
- Evaluations of training materials and train-the-trainer: 6 months
- Training Implementation: 6-12 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits from the activities in this Best Practice.

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

This training will incorporate policy changes in Best Practices 3-7 and operational changes in Best Practices 15-25.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

N/A

n) Calculation Methodology:

NA

o) Additional Comments:

NA

p) Overlap with Safety:

Preventing, minimizing and/or stopping the uncontrolled release of natural gas is integral to safety. The two topics will be addressed in training in tandem.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Technology:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best Practice 13: Performance Focused Training Program

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #13</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance Focused Training Programs - Create and implement training programs to instruct workers, including contractors, on how to perform the BPs chosen, efficiently and safely. Training programs to be designed by the Company and approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB, as part of the Compliance Plan filing. If integration of training and program development is required with the company’s GRC and/or CBC processes, then the company shall file a draft training program and plan with a process to update the program once finalized into its Compliance Plan.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E has a robust classroom training program facilitated at a centralized training facility. The training facility is equipped with an area known as Skill City where trainees can experience real world emergencies like a blowing high-pressure line with an ignition source, while in a safe and controlled environment. Training programs are focused primarily on DOT PHMSA safety regulations. Safety is a core value at SDG&amp;E and all current training programs are focused around incorporating safety in all procedures as a primary goal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Operations training follows an established, systematic approach to training development. The development of training programs at SDG&amp;E includes needs assessment and training analysis, which is essentially a scope of work development. Based on what is found, curriculum design and development of training materials will follow. When development is completed, implementation of instruction and internal/external evaluation begin. This process is “content neutral” and is applicable to any changes in the work environment that requires training to be developed or modified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E is very focused on knowledge management and encourages leadership at all levels to focus on activities to increase knowledge transfer within their organization. SDG&amp;E employs several mentoring programs, cross-departmental knowledge transfer activities, and process records to encourage knowledge management and knowledge transfer processes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption?</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Proposed Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SDG&E proposes updating existing operational training programs to reflect changes resulting from Best Practice activities. Training will focus not only on safety, but also on the important of minimizing methane emissions.

**d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

Historically, Gas Operations Training has been driven by a strong emphasis on DOT safety regulations. SB 1371 will require an additional emphasis on the control of emissions. As changes in processes, procedures, equipment, and technology emerge due to implementation of Best Practices, existing training will need to be modified and new training modules developed to support the new process and policies. Employees will be trained with an increased focus on the environmental impact of methane emissions on the atmosphere.

**e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

Gas Operations Training trains and Operationally Qualifies trainees using the same or very similar equipment as used in the field per ASME B31Q requirements. As the scope of equipment requirements are established to implement AB1371, Gas Operations Training will require the same equipment and technologies as in the field.

**f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

No incremental employees have been requested but some vendor work will be needed for instructional design and anticipated incremental time will be needed from existing employees for the following activities:

- 500 hours of training module development by agency instructional designer
- 200 hours of training module development consultation, writing – Subject Matter Experts
- 20 hours of work by agency instructional designer. Development of visual aids, handouts, course materials, tests.
- 1 Hour of training X 200 employees
- 10 Hours instructor time to conduct training (20 students per class X 10 classes = 200 employees)

**g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

No operational changes are expected from this activity.

**h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

No changes are required to existing procedures. Currently, Gas Operations training follows an established, systematic approach to training development. The development of training programs at SDG&E includes needs assessment and training analysis, which is essentially a scope of work
development. Based on what is found, curriculum design and development of training materials will follow. When development is completed, implementation of instruction and internal/external evaluation begin. This process is “content neutral” and is applicable to any changes in the work environment that requires training to be developed or modified.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Establish scope of work for training modifications: 1 month
- Instructional Design: 2 months
- Gas Standards reviewed: 2 months
- Development of training materials: 3 months
- Evaluations of training materials and train-the-trainer: 6 months
- Purchase and receive optical methane detector: 6 months
- Training Implementation: 6-12 months
- Purchase and receive mobile methane mapping vehicle: 18 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits from the activities in this Best Practice.

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

This training will incorporate policy changes in Best Practices 3-7 and operational changes in Best Practices 15-25.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

N/A

n) Calculation Methodology:

NA

o) Additional Comments:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>p) Overlap with Safety:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Preventing, minimizing and/or stopping the uncontrolled release of natural gas is integral to safety. The two topics will be addressed in training in tandem.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Technology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best Practice 14: Experienced, Trained Personnel

**PART 1: OVERVIEW**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #14</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create new formal job classifications for apprentices, journeyman, specialists, etc., where needed to address new methane emissions minimization and leak abatement best practices, and filed as part of the Compliance Plan filing, to be approved by the CPUC, in consultation with CARB.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E has proactively used internal policies and procedures that require operations to take measures to minimize methane emissions during venting. SDG&amp;E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices, including:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| - **Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M):** A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.  
  - **Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe:** Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline that with high leak rates.  
  - **Replace compressor rod packing systems:** Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future. |

---

• **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is almost always justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.

• **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shut down testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (‘blowdown’) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Simple changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line are discussed in this paper. These include:
  o Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  o Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  o Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  o Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a compressor is shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shut down compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include

fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.\(^{43}\)

In addition to SDG&E’ work to reduce emissions, corporate policy has historically supported minimizing emissions and protecting environmental resources. The Sempra Corporate Responsibility report states “Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of m

The Sempra Environmental Policy also supports methane emission reduction, and states “Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;”\(^{44}\) A copy of the Sempra Environmental Policy is attached.

Several company procedures and gas standards are in place to mitigate methane emissions, as well as regulations from other governing bodies, such as Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) and Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR).

### b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? Yes

### c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E has existing policies, procedures, and trainings that address methane emission reduction. After reviewing existing job profiles, SDG&E did not identify any needs to change job profiles.

### d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

N/A

### e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

N/A

### f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

N/A

### g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?


### Best Practice 14: Experienced, Trained Personnel

**SDG&E**

Submitted on March 15, 2018

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</td>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emissions reductions from activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</td>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits from activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</td>
<td>There is insufficient data to estimate emissions reductions from activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n) Calculation Methodology:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o) Additional Comments:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) Overlap with Safety:</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**
| a) Technology: |  |
| b) Changes to Operations: |  |
| c) Research or Studies: |  |
| d) Other: |  |
Best Practice 15: Gas Distribution Leak Surveys

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #15

Utilities should conduct leak surveys of the gas distribution system every 3 years, not to exceed 39 months, in areas where G.O. 112-F, or its successors, requires surveying every 5 years. In lieu of a system-wide three-year leak survey cycle, utilities may propose and justify in their Compliance Plan filings, subject to Commission approval, a risk-assessment based, more cost-effective methodology for conducting gas distribution pipeline leak surveys at a less frequent interval. However, utilities shall always meet the minimum requirements of G.O. 112-F, and its successors.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

Leak surveys on distribution lines are performed according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.723 for safety. Labor and non-labor expenses related to surveying the gas distribution system for leaks has historically been requested through the General Rate Case Application. SDG&E pipelines are typically leak surveyed at intervals of one, three, or five years. The frequency of this survey is determined by, among other things, the pipe material involved (i.e. plastic or steel), the operating pressure, whether the pipe is under cathodic protection, identified threats such as geological hazards, leak history, and the proximity of the pipe to various population densities. In the 2019 General Rate Case, SDG&E is requesting cost recovery to increase the survey cycle for all Pre-1986 Aldyl-A or Non-State-of-the-Art Plastic (NSOTA) pipe from 5-year to annual for pipeline safety and integrity reasons, which has a co-benefit of emission reductions.

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? Yes

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E proposes moving state-of-the-art plastic pipe and high performing protected steel pipe from a five-year leak survey interval to a three-year leak survey, in addition to the increased leak surveys on pre-1986 Aldyl A which are now being performed annually as a distribution integrity measure as requested in the 2019 General Rate Case. In Best Practice 16, SDG&E also proposes moving Pre-1950 steel pipe to annual leak surveys, as it has been found to have higher leak rates.
Table 1: Cost-effectiveness of Leak Surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Classification</th>
<th>Pre-1950 Vintage Steel (3-to-1-year surveys)</th>
<th>STOTA Plastic (5-to-3-year surveys)</th>
<th>Protected Steel (5-to-3-year surveys)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost-Effectiveness ($/MCF)</td>
<td>$254</td>
<td>$242</td>
<td>$243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The charts below show how time is invested in surveying each class of pipe compared with the emission rates. Efforts are somewhat disproportionate, as only 13% of time is spent surveying non-state of the art plastic, while 44% of emissions come from this class of pipe.

As shown in the charts below, SDG&E’s proposal to Best Practice 15, results in approximately 28% of leak surveys being performed on pipe that is contributing an estimated 22% of emissions. The charts below reflect SDG&E’s proposal to Best Practices 15 and 16, which recommends moving high performing protected steel pipe and state of the art plastic pipe to 3-year cycles while moving non-state of the art plastic and pre-1950 vintage steel to annual cycles. SDG&E’s proposal invests more time surveying pipe with higher leak rates, resulting in an increased emission reduction. In summary, emission reduction is achieved through detecting and repairing more leaks sooner in their life cycle.
Best Practice 15: Gas Distribution Leak Surveys
SDG&E
Submitted on March 15, 2018

**d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

Leak surveys on distribution lines are performed according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.723 for safety. The leak surveys recorded for this Best Practice are incremental to those currently performed for safety reasons.

**e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

Software changes to update work management and geographical information systems (SAP and GIS).

Each incremental field leak survey and quality assurance (QA) employee will require leak survey equipment and a company vehicle. The incremental supervisors will also need company vehicles.

**f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

To perform the required incremental work, SDG&E is requesting 2 incremental field leak survey FTEs, 1 incremental leak survey office employees, and one incremental leak survey supervisors. The field employees are specific to this best practice but the supervisor and office employee will support both this Best Practice and Best Practice 16.

**g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

Changes to how leak surveys will be performed are captured in Best Practice 20B, using new technology required for leak surveyors to geographically track their work. Changes captured in this Best Practice only cover the frequency in which the leak surveys will be performed. Those changes will be implemented by hiring and training new employees, updating software systems to meet compliance needs by changing requirements in SAP and GIS, and performing the incremental leak surveys.

**h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

Gas standards will need to be updated to reflect the increased leak survey intervals required by this best practice. Redlined gas standard G8145 attached. Updates to this document are based on the
proposed alternative and may require further updates based on decisions regarding implementation of this Best Practice.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- SAP/GIS updates: 3 months
- Equipment purchased and received: 6 months
- Policy review: 2 months
- Training development: 3-6 months
- Hiring and training new employees: 6-12 months
- Training of existing field employees: 6-12 months
- Publishing policy changes: 12 months
- Full implementation: 12 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M, including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

Annual emissions reductions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve-year program period.

Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.

**Cost-Effectiveness for Three-Year Cycles on SOTA Plastic and Protected Steel**

The average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $1,411,828. Over the twelve-year period, 2018-2030, the revenue requirement is estimated to be $16,941,936.

Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $280,196. Details are in section K.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for moving state of the art plastic and protected steel to three-year survey cycles are estimated at 68,704 MCF. Details are in section M.
Overall cost-effectiveness = ($16,941,936-$280,196)/68,704 MCF = $243/MCF

In addition to evaluating the cost-effectiveness of the Best Practice as a whole, the cost-effectiveness was broken down by each class of pipe to determine which surveys were more cost-effective. Reductions resulting from Aldyl-A were not incorporated in cost-effectiveness because funding for that was requested through the GRC, not through the SB 1371 program. To calculate the breakdown of cost-effectiveness by pipe class, the annual average revenue was prorated by incremental miles surveyed by pipeline class.

Cost-Effectiveness for State of the Art Plastic Pipe

State of the Art Plastic Pipe represents 67% of the incremental surveys covered by this Best Practice.

The prorated average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $690,997. Over the twelve-year period, 2018-2030, the revenue requirement is estimated to be $8,291,964.

Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $137,296.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for moving state of the art plastic to three-year survey cycles are estimated at 33,665 MCF.

Overall cost-effectiveness = ($8,291,964-$137,296)/33,665 MCF = $242/MCF

Cost-Effectiveness for Cathodically Protected Steel Pipe

Cathodically Protected Steel Pipe represents 33% of the incremental surveys covered by this Best Practice.

The prorated average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $720,831. Over the twelve-year period, 2018-2030, the revenue requirement is estimated to be $8,649,972.

Cost Benefits over the period from 2019-2030 are estimated at $142,900.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2019-2030 for moving protected steel to three-year survey cycles are estimated at 35,039 MCF.

Overall cost-effectiveness = ($8,649,972-$142,900)/35,039 MCF = $243/MCF

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

Cost benefits include the cost of gas saved by reducing emissions, estimated at $280,196 over 2018-2030. Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual Weighted Average Cost of Gas (WACOG) published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.
l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

The incremental supervisors, office employees, and quality assurance (QA) employees requested in this best practice will also be used for the work proposed in Best Practice 16. The system upgrades required for this work will also be used to cover the system upgrades needed for Best Practice 16.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

Assumption is all leaks will be repaired within 6 months after discovery, which is our current system leak repair average for plastic pipe. The gas standards require leaks on plastic pipe to be repaired within 15 months. The emissions expected to be achieved by moving all leak surveys from 5-year to three-year intervals is expected to provide a reduction of 68,704 MCF over the twelve-year period 2018-2030. Details of expected emissions reductions can be found in the tables below.
There are additional emission reductions achieved through the increased leak surveys on Pre-1986 Aldyl A Plastic pipe, from 5-year to annual cycles. Estimated emissions compounded over 2018-2030 are estimated at 106,000. Details of expected emissions reductions can be found in the table below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Year-of emissions reductions (MCF)</th>
<th>Compounded Emissions Reductions (MCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>2,555</td>
<td>2,555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>7,114</td>
<td>9,670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>19,303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>28,936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>38,569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>48,202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>57,835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>67,468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>77,101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>86,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>96,367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>9,633</td>
<td>106,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

2016 baseline emissions were used because the formula for calculating unknown leaks changed between 2015 and 2016. 2016 baseline emissions were 42,746 MCF for buried distribution main and service on a five-year leak survey interval. This number includes known and unknown leaks. The baseline for each class of pipe can be found in the table above.

n) Calculation Methodology:

The calculation methodology used to calculate the estimated reduction in emissions is the same methodology used to calculate emissions from the distribution system in the Annual Emissions Report.

1. Derive the annual system leak rates by materials and facilities
2. Estimate the number of leaks detected and their associated emissions when shifting the survey cycle from 5-year to annual
3. Project emissions reduction in future years during and after implementation of this best practice

This methodology is based on the assumptions that:

- Leaks develop on the system at a linear rate over the entire leakage survey cycle
- The system performance is stable and the annual system leak rate is fairly constant over the entire system including the un-surveysed portion of the system
- The rate of leak repair is assumed to be constant throughout the year, which results in an average leak duration time of 6 months for all repaired leaks
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- O&M leaks are assumed will not have an impact in the emissions reduction estimation
- All leaks are assumed to have been leaking since the beginning of the year at the full emission factor leak rate
- Known system leaks are allocated to the various leak survey cycles based on the annual system leak rate
- The number of unknown leaks is assumed to be zero since there are no areas that are not surveyed during the year of interest
- The 2016 emissions inventory is used as the baseline due to changes in reporting templates made from the 2015

**o) Additional Comments:**

N/A

**p) Overlap with Safety:**

Leak surveys are currently performed to meet safety requirements as defined in 49 CFR 192.723. The activities in this Best Practice are incremental to safety.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

**a) Technology:**

**b) Changes to Operations:**

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment G: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G8145

**c) Research or Studies:**

**d) Other:**
**Best Practice 16: Special Leak Surveys**

**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**

### PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #16</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilities shall conduct special leak surveys, possibly at a more frequent interval than required by G.O. 112-F (or its successors) or BP 15, for specific areas of their transmission and distribution pipeline systems with known risks for natural gas leakage. Special leak surveys may focus on specific pipeline materials known to be susceptible to leaks or other known pipeline integrity risks, such as geological conditions. Special leak surveys shall be coordinated with transmission and distribution integrity management programs (TIMP/DIMP) and other utility safety programs. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan proposed special leak surveys for known risks and proposed methodologies for identifying additional special leak surveys based on risk assessments (including predictive and/or historical trends analysis). As surveys are conducted over time, utilities shall report as part of their Compliance Plans, details about leakage trends. Predictive analysis may be defined differently for differing companies based on company size and trends.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leak surveys on distribution lines are performed according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.723 for safety. Labor and non-labor expenses related to surveying the gas distribution system for leaks has historically been requested through the General Rate Case Application. SDG&amp;E pipelines are typically leak surveyed at intervals of one, three, or five years. The frequency of this survey is determined by, among other things, the pipe material involved (i.e. plastic or steel), the operating pressure, whether the pipe is under cathodic protection, identified threats such as geological hazards, leak history, and the proximity of the pipe to various population densities. In the 2019 General Rate Case, SDG&amp;E is requesting cost recovery to increase the survey cycle for all Pre-1986 Aldyl-A or Non-State-of-the-Art Plastic (NSOTA) pipe from 5-year to annual for pipeline safety and integrity reasons, which has a co-benefit of emission reductions. The leak survey cycle for Pre-1950 vintage steel is currently five years.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDG&E currently performs special leak surveys, such as:

- Ahead of street improvement surveys. SDG&E coordinates with cities and franchises so when they schedule street work, SDG&E proactively performs underground leak surveys and make repairs to underground leaks before the street is paved. This minimizes impact to ratepayers by reducing costs for repaving and labor, in addition to mitigating leaks.
- After the occurrence of any significant event such as an earthquake or landslide over or adjacent to high pressure pipelines or related facilities
- When increasing the maximum allowable operating pressure of a pipeline
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- When survey requirements are not considered adequate because of pipe condition or limited opportunity for gas to vent safely
- When there is need to monitor pipe condition for special situations, such as material evaluations.

These special leak surveys are performed to improve safety but provide the added benefit of reducing emissions by identifying leaks earlier than they would be based on routine leak survey schedules.

At a programmatic level, dynamic segmentation is being applied as a part of SDG&E’ early vintage replacement program analysis where we assess individual pipeline segments and relatively rank them by evaluating pipeline segment performance. This type of analysis identifies specific mitigation activities to better prioritize work.

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E recommends moving pre-1950 vintage steel from a five-year cycle to annual surveys. This class of pipe has been identified as having higher indications of leaks.

SDG&E is participating in a research projects led by the California Energy Commission related to this Best Practice:

- CEC – Natual Gas Pipeline Integrity Safety and Integrity Management Research Grants (GFO-15-506)
  Develop a spatial risk model for all fugitive leaks with the goal of identifying locations where Special Leak Surveys would result in meaningful emissions reduction. The model would be designed to integrate with Pipeline Integrity TIMP, DIMP, & SIMP risk models.

Moving forward from a Research & Development perspective, the results from this project will be reviewed and incremental R&D activities may be identified to meet the objectives of this Best Practice. In addition, demonstrations and pilot studies may also be needed to determine the cost of implementation and effectiveness of using the results of these projects for reducing natural gas emissions.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

Leak surveys on distribution lines are performed according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.723 for safety. The leak surveys recorded for this Best Practice are incremental to those currently performed for safety reasons.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?
Work will need to be performed in SAP and GIS systems to update compliance measures and requirements for all the line segments that will have changes in leak survey requirements. Funding for this work was incorporated into the system upgrades requested in Best Practice 15.

Each incremental field leak survey and QA employee will require leak detection equipment and a company vehicle. The incremental supervisors will need company vehicles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One incremental leak surveyor employee is needed to perform this work. In addition, the supervisor and office employee requested in Best Practice 15 will support the work proposed in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Changes to how leak surveys will be performed are captured in Best Practice 20B, using new technology required for leak surveyors to geographically track their work. Changes captured in this Best Practice only cover the frequency in which the leak surveys will be performed. Those changes will be implemented by hiring and training new employees, updating software systems to meet compliance needs by changing requirements in SAP and GIS, and performing the incremental leak surveys.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas standards will need to be updated to reflect the increased leak survey intervals required by this best practice. Redlined gas standard G8145 is attached.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Milestones for unprotected steel special leak surveys

- SAP/GIS updates: 3 months
- Equipment purchased and received: 6 months
- Policy review: 2 months
- Training development: 3-6 months
- Hiring and training new employees: 6-12 months
- Training of existing field employees: 6-12 months
- Publishing policy changes: 12 months
- Full implementation: 12 months
### Milestones for Pipeline Integrity Research Project: System Integrity Spatial Analysis of Risk & System Threats

- **CEC - Natural Gas Pipeline Safety and Integrity Management Research Grants (GFO-15-506)**
  - Notice of Proposed Award – April 18, 2016
  - Awarded to Det Norske Veritas Inc.
  - Anticipated Agreement Start Date: 6/30/2016
  - Anticipated Agreement End Date: 3/31/2018

### j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M, including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

Annual emissions reductions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve-year program period.

Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.

**Overall Cost-Effectiveness**

The revenue requirement for this Best Practice over the period from 2018-2030 is estimated at $3,486,432.

Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $54,797. Details are in section K.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2019-2030 for this activity are estimated at 13,515 MCF. Details are in section M.

Overall cost-effectiveness = ($3,486,432-$54,797)/13,515 MCF = $254/MCF

### k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:
Cost benefits are cost of gas saved by reducing emissions, estimated at $55,797 over 2018-2030. Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>WACOG ($/MCF)</th>
<th>Reduced Emissions</th>
<th>Cost Benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$2.664188</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>$1,249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$2.649745</td>
<td>1,076</td>
<td>$2,852</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$2.58593</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$3,575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$3.475680</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$4,161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$3.743690</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$4,481</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$3.952100</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$4,731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$4.224613</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$5,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>$4.525677</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$5,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$4.624728</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$5,536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$4.734834</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$5,668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$4.944888</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$5,919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$5.139493</td>
<td>1,197</td>
<td>$6,152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

I) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

The incremental supervisors, office employees, and quality assurance (QA) employees requested in Best Practice 15 will also be used for the work in Best Practice 16. The system upgrades requested in Best Practice 15 will also be used to cover the system upgrades needed for Best Practice 16.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

Estimated emission reductions compounded over 2018-2030 are estimated at 13,515 MCF.
2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

The baseline used is 2016 to maintain continuity of measurement, since there were changes to the way emissions were quantified between 2015 and 2016. In 2016 the baseline for pre-1950 vintage steel pipe is estimated to be 1,633 MCF.

n) Calculation Methodology:

The calculation methodology used to calculate the estimated reduction in emissions is the same methodology used to calculate emissions from the distribution system in the Annual Emissions Report.

1. Derive the annual system leak rates by materials and facilities
2. Estimate the number of leaks detected and their associated emissions when shifting the survey cycle from 5-year to annual
3. Project emissions reduction in future years during and after implementation of this best practice

The methodology is based on the assumptions that

- Leaks develop on the system at a linear rate over the entire leakage survey cycle
- System performance is stable and the annual system leak rate is fairly constant over the entire system, including the un-surveyed portion of the system
- The rate of leak repair is assumed to be constant throughout the year, which results in an average leak duration time of 6 months for all repaired leaks
- O&M leaks are assumed not to have an impact in the emissions reduction estimation
- All leaks are assumed to have been leaking since the beginning of the year at the full emission factor leak rate
- Known system leaks are allocated to the various leak survey cycles based on the annual system leak rate
- The number of unknown leaks is assumed to be zero since there are no areas that are not surveyed during the year of interest.
- The 2016 emissions inventory is used as the baseline due to changes in reporting templates made from the 2015.

o) Additional Comments:

N/A

p) Overlap with Safety:

Leak surveys on distribution lines are performed according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.723 for safety. Special leak surveys are performed to improve safety but provide the added benefit of reducing emissions by identifying leaks earlier than they would be based on routine leak survey schedules. These activities reduce methane emissions in addition to serving the primary goal of safety and system integrity.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Technology:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment G: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G8145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Best Practice 17: Enhanced Methane Detection

### 2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

### PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #17</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilities shall utilize enhanced methane detection practices (e.g. mobile methane detection and/or aerial leak detection) including gas speciation technologies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E currently uses a very robust laboratory known as the Engineering Analysis Center (EAC) at SoCalGas. When a methane source is in question, the EAC will dispatch a mobile gas speciation van to identify the chemical content of the gas (speciation) and identify if the gas is from the SDG&amp;E system. The EAC provides system-wide testing and engineering analysis primarily to internal departments such as Distribution, Transmission, Customer Services, &amp; Marketing, which are instrumental in making critical operational decisions. These testing and engineering analysis include:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Gas leak Investigations, migrations, and mitigation testing and analysis
- Environmental testing/ Waste characterization and disposal
- Gas quality and gas odorization
- Btu measurements for billing
- Material & Equipment testing and evaluations
- Pressure vessels inspections
- Failure Analysis/Contaminants identifications
- Vibration & Noise Analysis
- Corrosion analysis and control
- Gas Equipment evaluations & efficiency testing
- Source & emissions testing
- Engine & Compressor performance analysis
- Green House gas emissions testing
- NOx, Hydrocarbon, and VOC analyses

| b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No |
| c) Proposed Plan: |
| SDG&E plans to expand the capacity of the Engineering Analysis Center (EAC) to respond to requests from Operations for leak speciation where a methane source is in question. Current on-site identification of methane emissions is very robust. The lower detection limits of new advanced leak |
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detection instrumentation plus increased level of leak survey activities being driven by SB 1371 requires an expansion of these resources.

SDG&E is currently supporting two active research projects related to leak detection:

- **Aerial Leak Detection Research Projects**
  - PRCI – Fast Accurate Leak Detection (PRCI ROW-3H)
  - NYSEARCH - UAS Technology (M2014-001)

- **Methane Detection Sensor & Systems Research Projects**
  - OTD - Evaluation of Methane Detection Devices for Utility Operations (OTD 7.17.e)

Moving forward, incremental activities or changes in scope to these projects may be needed to assess the impact of the project on system methane emissions. Additional demonstrations and pilot studies may also be needed to determine the cost of implementation and effectiveness of using the results of these projects for reducing natural gas emissions.

SDG&E also proposes the following research, development, demonstration and pilot projects designed to further advance the integration and use of new leak detection technologies:

- **Aerial (UAS) Leak Detection Research Projects**
  Unmanned Aerial System (UAS, aka Drones) R&D projects to develop capability for aerial methane emissions detection and quantification from the Company’s above and below-ground facilities that are difficult or hazardous to access from the ground. The technology for both the aircraft and the technology payload(s) needed to perform the inspections are advancing and changing rapidly. Various software applications and associated business processes needed to manage the large volumes of data collected must be evaluated, demonstrated, and piloted. Management of FAA regulatory compliance requirements must also be developed.

- **Large Leak Detection & Quantification - JPL Basin Monitoring Research Projects**
  Collaborate with JPL to evaluate leveraging the JPL LA basin monitoring (Tower network and CLARS) plus airborne data (AVIRIS, HyTES, or new imaging technologies) to detect and rapidly respond to indications of potential large leaks on the Company system. This effort will also look at the possibility of leveraging other Company datasets such as leak data, geospatial asset information, geospatial and time-series events such as blowdowns, customer odor complaint data, and aggregated consumption data within geospatial quadrants from the Advanced Meter System to help refine top down and bottom up atmospheric measurements of various types used to derive methane inventory estimates.

- **Below Ground Methane “background” concentration Study Research Projects**
  Methane can occur naturally underground, and can vary in a similar manner to background levels of methane in the atmosphere that varies over time and are attributable to numerous sources. This study will investigate pipeline variables, variables in the operating environment, and pedology that may need to be considered by pipeline operators in deciding whether or not methane measurements are indicative of a leak from the natural gas piping system.
“Background” methane concentration below-ground (in soil) will be studied by measuring below-ground methane concentrations across the SDG&E service territory in locations without the presence of a leak. The results may be helpful in understanding better the influence of soil morphology in establishing lower threshold methane concentrations to assist with leak detection, or provide some insights about below-ground methane measurements related to soil types and history.

- **Methane Detection Sensor & Systems Research Projects**
  Assess the ability of integrating highly sensitive (ppb-level) backpack-style or handheld methane/ethane atmospheric measurement devices during walking leak survey to more quickly detect and locate system leaks. Leverage concept of tiered, multi-layered data analytics approach to integrate atmospheric methane concentration measurements, ground-surface-level measurements, and under-ground methane concentration measurements data.

- **Integrate Mobile Methane Mapping w/Mobile Leak Survey Research Project**
  Evaluate possibility of integrating GIS and wind (speed & direction) data into traditional mobile leak survey applications where mobile leak survey is conducted directly over the pipeline right-of-way. Increase the leak detection capabilities of mobile methane mapping by integrating multiple methane detection systems to increase lower detection limit and minimize false-positive indications.

d) **Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

N/A

e) **What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

There are numerous sources of methane within SDG&E’s service territory. While performing leak detection activities SDG&E routinely identifies methane emissions that are not associated with the company’s pipeline infrastructure and require the use of advanced technologies to speciate the natural gas and differentiate its possible source. Methane detection at lower detection levels compounds the problem with false-positive methane readings that can contribute to non-value-added activities. To perform this analysis mobile laboratory vans are needed. SDG&E has access to SoCalGas’ one gas speciation van but the increased leak surveys required by SB-1371 and the ability to detect methane at lower concentration levels requires an additional van and associated equipment.

f) **Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

Yes, one incremental employees will be needed to perform the gas speciation out of the Engineering Analysis Center.
**g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

No changes to operations are required, these are simply incremental activities to operational activities as they are currently performed.

**h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

N/A

**i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):**

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

Milestones for gas speciation van

- Purchase gas speciation van: 6 months
- Hire and train new employees: 9 months
- Purchase and install mobile gas speciation technologies in van: 18 months

Estimated Milestones for proposed research projects

- **Aerial Leak Detection Research Project**
  - PRCI – Fast Accurate Leak Detection (PRCI ROW-3H)
    - Project Start Date: Jan 2014
    - Anticipated Project End Date: Feb 2019
  - NYSEARCH - sUAS Technology (M2014-001)
    - Project Start Date: March 2015
    - Anticipated Project End Date: June 2018
  - In-House UAS Downward-Looking Laser Leak Detection Technology Development
    - Project Start Date – Jan 2018
    - Anticipated End Date – Q4 2018

- **Large Leak Detection - JPL Basin Monitoring Research Project**
  - Develop Project Scope and Agreement – Q3 2018
  - Anticipated Start Date – Q4 2018
  - Anticipated End Date – Q4 2021

- **Below Ground Methane "background" concentration Study Research Project**
  - Develop Project Scope, Solicitation, Award, & Agreement – Q2 2019
  - Anticipated Start Date – Q2 2019
  - Anticipated End Date – Q4 2021

- **Integrate Mobile Methane Mapping w/Mobile Leak Survey Research Project**
  - Develop Project Scope, Solicitation, Award, & Agreement – Q2 2019
  - Anticipated Start Date – Q2 2019
  - Anticipated End Date – Q4 2021
j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions for this activity.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits for the activities in this Best Practice.

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

The RD&D costs overlap with Best Practices 15, 16, 18, and 19 in that they evaluate technologies for methane detections and could ultimately change the operational activities for leak detection.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions for these activities.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

N/A

n) Calculation Methodology:

N/A

o) Additional Comments:

N/A

p) Overlap with Safety:

N/A

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

a) Technology:

b) Changes to Operations:

c) Research or Studies:

Attachment M: California Energy Commission Notice of Proposed Awards

d) Other:
Best Practice 18: Stationary Methane Detectors

PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #18</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Utilities shall utilize Stationary Methane Detectors for early detection of leaks. Locations include: Compressor Stations, Terminals, Gas Storage Facilities, City Gates, and Metering &amp; Regulating (M&amp;R) Stations (M&amp;R above ground and pressures above 300 psig only). Methane detector technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database, if appropriate for location.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E conducted a pilot in 2016 on remote methane sensor technology which can be integrated with their Advanced Meter (AM) radio network. The methane sensing system network would provide an early leak detection warning for the gas pipeline system serving the community so corrective or emergency response could be deployed in an efficient and timely manner based on situational awareness.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c) Proposed Plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Options 1:</strong> Because no data exists that is specific to leakage emissions from any of these types of facilities, it is difficult to generate an estimated emissions reduction or cost-effectiveness for this activity. Without the ability to provide a solid estimate of emissions reductions, SDG&amp;E recommends piloting some of these sensors for a two-year period to provide a better evaluation of cost-effectiveness. SDG&amp;E would like to propose installing 10-20 sensors in each of the locations recommended to evaluate the ongoing cost of maintaining the units, the accuracy or leak detection, and the amount of false alarms. SDG&amp;E would also like to compare this data to what is discovered as a result of the leak surveys completed in Best Practice 19 and identify how many more incremental emissions reductions these sensors provide when compared to the incremental cost. SDG&amp;E is prepared to move forward with this activity as outlined but recommends piloting the technology for cost-effectiveness before the program is fully deployed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SDG&E is proposing a series of five research projects to support this Best Practice.

- **Stationary Methane Detectors Research Project (5 RD&D Projects)** Evaluate advanced stationary methane monitoring systems (point sensors and distributed systems) for early
notification of leakage at above-ground facilities such as Compressor Stations, Metering & Regulating Stations, Residential Buildings, etc.

**Option 2**: SDG&E proposes to install the methane sensing system at Compressor Stations, Terminals, City Gates, and Metering & Regulating (M&R) Stations (M&R above ground and pressures above 300 psig only) leveraging the AM radio network or existing telemetry systems. The total number of installations in 2019 is anticipated to be 9, with 7 on Distribution M&R stations, and 2 at various transmission facilities. There will be two more deployments in 2020 and 2021 that will be discussed in the next compliance plan. The total number of above ground sensors to be installed over the three-year period is 40 sensors.

d) Overlap with other regulations? No

What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

N/A

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

SDG&E successfully integrated the Advanced Meter (AM) Infrastructure radio module with remote methane sensing technology. The AM module developed for the project can communicate with commercially available methane sensors. The AM radio module with communications can conduct reads at 5-minute intervals and provide 15-minute data reporting. In addition, the methane sensor system has alarming capabilities to the host system to send alarms when methane is being detected above specified levels for longer than a certain specified time. The methane sensor technology required is an infrared detector, powered by an internal battery power with solar panel backup, with serial output communication capabilities. The sensors in some locations will have units that can communicate with radio networks as there may not be access to the AM network in some remote locations. Leveraging the existing AM network benefits customers by using an existing investment for a dual purpose to serve public safety and environmental stewardship interests.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

Based on our experience with fenceline existing methane sensor installations, these units can be extremely maintenance heavy. They require regular calibration and adjustments to continue to perform in the elements. Additional employees will be needed for the ongoing maintenance of these units and to respond to alarms. We have estimated one incremental FTE in Transmission and one incremental FTE in distribution will be needed when all units have been deployed. Some locations may require contract support for installation of a 2” diameter pole for the mounting of the methane system, which includes a solar panel, AM radio module, and an enclosure housing the methane sensor.
g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

The local Transmission and Distribution districts will be required to perform annual O&M such as battery change out, methane sensor calibration, solar panel cleaning, and responding to alarms.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

Procedures and Gas Standards will need to be developed, reviewed, and published for the methane sensing system to document operational and maintenance procedures.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Order and receive methane sensors: 12 months
- Panel shop to construct sensor enclosures: 18 months
- Train employees on operational and maintenance procedures: 9 months
- Install first set of sensors in areas where AM network is available: 24 months
- Install second set of sensors: 36 months
- Install third set of sensors: 48 months

Milestones for Stationary Methane Detectors Research Project

- **Residential Methane Detector (OTD 1.14.g.4)**
  - Project Start Date – 11/1/2017
  - Anticipated End Date – 4/30/2018
- **Residential Methane Detector (NYSEARCH M2010-002)**
  - Project Start Date – 2/6/2016
  - Anticipated End Date – July 2018
- **State of the Art Methane Sensors (ODT 7.16.f)**
  - Project Start Date – 7/1/2016
  - Anticipated End Date – 10/30/2018

- Two additional studies are being developed but scope of work has not yet been clearly defined. Technology development is very dynamic and research will focus on identification of R&D objectives targeting emission reductions through above ground methane sensors to meet the unique needs of SDG&E.

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There isn’t sufficient data to estimate an emissions reduction from the activities in this Best Practice.

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:
There isn’t sufficient data to estimate cost benefits for the activities in this Best Practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient data to estimate an emissions reduction from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient data to generate a baseline of emissions in regard to the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>o) Additional Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p) Overlap with Safety:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Technology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Changes to Operations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Research or Studies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d) Other:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Best Practice 19: Above Ground Leak Surveys

## 2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART 1: OVERVIEW</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Best Practice: #19</strong></td>
<td><strong>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Utilities shall conduct frequent leak surveys and data collection at above ground transmission and high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig) facilities including Compressor Stations, Gas Storage Facilities, City Gates, and Metering &amp; Regulating (M&amp;R) Stations (M&amp;R above ground and pressures above 300 psig only). At a minimum, above ground leak surveys and data collection must be conducted on an annual basis for compressor stations and gas storage facilities.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Historic work:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Above ground leak surveys have historically been completed to meet the requirements of 49 CFR 192 and GO 112F. These surveys meet the requirement for this Best Practice but many of the surveys are not instrumented so leak concentrations are not recorded. Many of the leak surveys are performed using soap tests and by monitoring for sight, sound, and smell leak indications.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor above ground leaks are typically repaired the same day they are discovered if the technician who discovers the leak has the necessary tools on hand. These small easy repairs have not always been recorded and therefore ability to measure historic emissions from above ground minor leaks is limited.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA Subpart W leak surveys have been conducted annually for specific Compressor Stations and distribution M&amp;R Stations subject to the EPA Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c) Proposed Plan:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E proposes to provide Measurement and Regulation (M&amp;R) Technicians with Detecto-Pak Infrared (DPIR) instrumentation to begin performing and recording instrumented leak surveys. Data from the DPIRs will be uploaded into SDG&amp;E’ system using the Bluetooth breadcrumbing technology described in Best Practice 20b. These activities will require incremental training costs and some incremental ongoing labor as more detailed surveys and record keeping procedures will increase the amount of time required to perform above ground leak surveys.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SDG&E is also proposing to perform quarterly instrumented leak surveys at compressor stations. These surveys are also in line with what is required in the CARB Oil and Gas rule, which became effective January 1, 2018 and requires quarterly leak surveys on transmission compressor stations.

For 2019, SDG&E requested funding for these activities in its 2019 General Rate Case.

d) Overlap with other regulations?

This Best Practice is similar to the CARB Oil and Gas Rule which addresses Natural Gas Transmission compressor stations. Specifically, the rule calls for quarterly Leak Detection and Repair (§95669 Leak Detection and Repair - LDAR) using EPA Method 21.

Annual leak surveys are also required under EPA Subpart W for a portion of the company aboveground M&R stations. These are also tested for leak concentration per EPA Method 21.

Above ground surveys on M&R stations are performed according to 49 CFR 192.723 leak survey requirements.

What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

The requirement for frequent leak surveys and data collection activities to be conducted on an annual basis at aboveground M&R stations is incremental in that the instrumented surveys and record keeping requirements for SB 1371 will require additional labor, due to an increased amount of time required for surveys.

The requirement for frequent leak surveys and data collection activities to be conducted on an annual basis for compressor stations is not incremental beyond CARB Oil and Gas requirements.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

The standard technology or tool for conducting EPA Method 21 testing to obtain leak concentration is the Total Vapor Analyzer (TVA) and software that allows data to be entered into a database for retrieval and reporting purposes. Individual leak survey contractors may have proprietary software for data collection and storage, however the utilities require the ability for contractor’s software or data to be compatible with company enterprise systems such as Maximo, SAP, etc.

Leak surveys on above-ground facilities will require Detecto-Pak Infrared instrumentation.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

Since the CARB Oil and Gas Rule requires Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) effective January 1, 2018, the resources necessary to conduct annual leak surveys to satisfy SB 1371 requirements at compressor stations will already be in place by the time the Compliance Plans are submitted. The leak surveys at transmission stations will be performed by contractors. For stations that are non-operational, the company requests that exemptions be provided from leak survey requirements.
(based on appropriate justification and documentation). Leak survey contractors are currently used to execute the annual GHG surveys required by Subpart W.

No incremental resources are requested for SDG&E to conduct surveys leak surveys on M&R stations to perform instrumented surveys, the increased amount of time to perform this work is estimated at 700 hours per year which doesn’t justify an incremental FTE. SDG&E will monitor staffing needs and actual incremental time needed, and may adjust this request in the 2020 compliance plan.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

SDG&E proposes to provide Measurement and Regulation (M&R) Technicians with Detecto-Pak Infrared (DPIR) instrumentation to begin performing and recording instrumented leak surveys. Above ground leak surveys are currently performed at regular intervals at compressor stations, city gates, and M&R facilities with inlet pressures of above 300 psig. However, many of these facilities are gated for safety. The leak surveyors performing surveys on the pipelines leading to above ground M&R facilities may have limited access to perform instrumented surveys. Therefore, surveying responsibilities for above ground M&R stations is managed by the M&R technicians. These surveys are currently performed using soap tests and monitoring for sight, sound, or smell leak indications. If leaks are found, they are typically repaired on the spot and the minor leaks have not always been historically recorded if repair was made immediately.

SDG&E proposes to provide these M&R technicians with the proper equipment to perform instrumented surveys that could be uploaded into SDG&E’ system using the Bluetooth breadcrumbing technology described in Best Practice 20b. These activities will require incremental training costs and some incremental ongoing labor as these more detailed tests and record keeping procedures will increase the amount of time required to perform above ground leak surveys.

SDG&E is also proposing to perform quarterly instrumented leak surveys at compressor stations. These surveys are also in line with what is required in the CARB Oil and Gas rule, which became effective January 1, 2018 and requires quarterly leak surveys on transmission compressor stations. This work will be performed by contractors.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

Procedures to integrate the testing and data collection efforts across all business units is necessary to provide consistent and relevant data is being collected for SB 1371 reporting. Company procedures will be developed to provide consistent application across organizations.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Policy development and review: 3 months
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient data to estimate an emissions reduction from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient data to estimate cost benefits for the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient data to estimate an emissions reduction from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

There isn’t sufficient data to generate a baseline of emissions in regard to the activities in this Best Practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>o) Additional Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p) Overlap with Safety:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Above ground leak surveys are currently performed at regular intervals at compressor stations, city gates, and M&R facilities with inlet pressures of above 300 psig for safety reasons as required by 49 CFR 192.723. However, many of these facilities are gated for safety and integrity reasons. The incremental work required by this best practice, performing these leak surveys with instrumentation and generating a formal record keeping practice, is incremental to safety requirements.
## SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a) Technology:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b) Changes to Operations:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c) Research or Studies:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d) Other:</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Part 1: Overview

**a) Best Practice: #20a**

Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved quantification and geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall file in their Compliance Plan how they propose to address quantification. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve emissions quantification of leaks to assist demonstration of actual emissions reductions.

**b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G**

### Part 2: Best Practice Details

**a) Historic work:**

SDG&E has historically repaired leaks based on safety risk and has coded leaks as grades 1-3 based on proximity to buildings, population density, and concentration of the leak. Leak repair prioritization is based on safety and is not necessarily linked to emissions volume. SDG&E has used tracer and surface expression techniques in the past, including use of high flow samplers to evaluate leaks at compressor stations in previous research projects.

**b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No**

**c) Proposed Plan:**

SDG&E plans to develop a method to differentiate leak locations with potential larger leak rates and to conduct leak quantification resulting in repairs prioritized by leak rate. SDG&E plans to develop a method to identify and prioritize Code 3 leaks that have high flow leak rates, characterized by a leak rate of 10 CFH or greater. The requires development of a decision tree to triage leak data from recent leak surveys to identify leak with greatest likelihood of being a large leak. SDG&E will use surface expression measurement to measure leak rates and then prioritize “high flow” Code 3 leaks for more rapid time-to-repair. Technologies to be used and evaluated include:

- Any advancements in surface expression measurement tools
  - High Flow Sampler™
- Mobile Leak Quantification Technologies

SDG&E also proposes the following pilots and research projects associated with this best practice:

- PE Leak Growth Rate from Slow Crack Growth Research Project
Advance industry understanding of how leak rates tend to grow over time on plastic (PE) pipe once the leak has initiated. Prior work in this area was focused on the process of crack initiation up until a leak occurred. This knowledge will assist in improving system leakage estimate and emission factors, and help to optimize leak survey intervals based on projected emissions growth rates.

- **New Mobile Methane Quantification Technologies Research Project**
  Evaluate mobile methane detection and quantification technologies that can effectively quantify non-hazardous methane emissions in an urban environment. This will enable the prioritization of leak repairs based on emission rates.

- **System Emissions using mass balance with Advanced Meter Technology Research Project**
  Develop the ability to detect and quantify emissions from the Distribution Main and Service network by leveraging the Advanced Meter Analytics, comparing the gas supplied with the gas consumed for a defined service area.

- **Quantification of small leaks and define practical lower emission threshold Research Project (OTD 7.17.d)**
  Develop a simple method of quantifying methane emissions from small aboveground leaks using the response of the leak to a soap test. This may provide the basis for moving away from the current facility-based emission factor for MSAs to a leak-based factor.

- **CEC San Joaquin Valley Methane Study Research Project**
  Field study to identify, quantify and mitigate methane emissions in the southern part of the San Joaquin Valley.

- **Facility Emissions Quantification Study Research Project**
  Field studies to identify and quantify methane emissions from buried and aboveground facilities. Results may support refinement of emission factors to reduce the uncertainty of existing emission factors.

d) **Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

N/A

e) **What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

To perform surface expression measurements at identified leak sites, SDG&E requested funding for high flow samplers and mobile leak quantification devices. SDG&E will also need to modify data collection software to enable recording of leak size.

For the research projects, the following technologies are needed:

- JPL’s AVIRUS-NG
- Picarro’s Emissions Quantification (EQ™) ground-based mobile system for emissions quantification and emissions source pin pointing
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- SeekOps System using UAS (Drone) with JPL Methane Sensor payload for emissions quantification and emissions source pin pointing
- SDG&E System using UAS (Drone) with Pergam Methane Sensor payload for emissions source pin pointing
- Bacharach/Heath Hi Flow Sampler™ for direct measurement at source for emissions quantification

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

SDG&E requests 1 Project Manager to manage the overall program and data analytics and support research projects.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

SDG&E has historically repaired leaks based on safety risk and has coded leaks as grades 1-3 based on proximity to buildings, population density, and concentration of the leak. This prioritization is not necessarily linked to emissions volume. This Best Practice proposes to begin prioritizing leaks based on emissions after all safety concerns are met. SDG&E plans to develop a method to differentiate leak locations with potential larger leak rates and to conduct leak quantification resulting in repairs prioritized by leak rate. SDG&E will use surface expression measurement to measure leak rates and then prioritize “high flow” Code 3 leaks for more rapid time-to-repair.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

Gas Standards regarding leak surveys and leak repairs will need to be updated to reflect a method to differentiate leak locations with potential larger leak rates and to conduct leak quantification resulting in leak repairs prioritized by leak rate.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

Milestones for large leak prioritization decision tree and technology evaluation:

- Develop business process and decision tree to triage Code 3 leaks: 6 months
- Pilot process, data analysis, and evaluation of technologies: 12 months
- Policy development on leak detection and repair: 18 months
- Training development on new policy guidelines: 20 months
- Training of field employees: 24 months
- Publishing policy changes: 24 months

Milestones for research projects:

- PE Leak Growth Rate from Slow Crack Growth Research Project
  - Project Start Date – 2/1/2016
### Anticipated End Date – June 2018
- **System Emissions using mass balance with Advanced Meter Technology Research Project**
  - Anticipated Project Start Date – Q4 2018
  - Anticipated End Date – Q2 2020
- **Quantification of small leaks and define practical lower emission threshold Research Project (OTD 7.17.d)**
  - Project Start Date – 11/7/2017
  - Anticipated End Date – 3/31/2019
- **CEC San Joaquin Valley Methane Study Research Project (CEC GFO-17-502)**
  - Notice of Proposed Award – Feb 6, 2018
  - Awarded to Lawrence Berkley National Lab
  - Anticipated Agreement Start Date: 5/7/2018
  - Anticipated Agreement End Date: 12/31/2021
- **Facility Emissions Quantification Study Research Project**
  - Multi-tiered GHG Emissions Measurements of California’s Natural Gas Powered & Fueling Infrastructure-Group 2 (CEC GFO-15-507)
    - Notice of Proposed Award – Nov 22, 2016
    - Awarded to Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI)
    - Anticipated Agreement Start Date: 4/3/2017
    - Anticipated Agreement End Date: 3/31/2020
  - Field Measurement of Leak Flow Rate – Phase 2 (OTD 1.14.d.2)
    - Project Start Date – 1/1/2016
    - Anticipated End Date – 6/30/2018
  - Distribution System Characterization (OTD 7.16.h)
    - DOE Co-Funded Project (DE-FOA-0001538)
    - Project Start Date – 10/1/2016
    - Anticipated End Date – 3/31/2019
  - Research Leak Data Variables for Identification of Potential Large Leaks and Develop Preliminary Methodology to Triage Leak Data for Repair Prioritization
    - Research Project Start Date – 1/1/2017
    - Anticipated Pilot Study Start Date – Q1 2019
    - Anticipated Pilot Study and Project End Date – Q1 2020
- **Transmission New Mobile Methane Quantification Technologies Research Project**
  - Assessment of Commercially Available Technologies for Emissions Location Pin-Pointing and Facility Emissions Flux Rate Measurement within Complex Natural Gas Operational Facility Environments
  - Project Start Date – Nov 2017
  - Anticipated End Date – Q4 2019

---

**j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:**

Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M,
including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

Annual emissions reductions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve-year program period.

Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.

**Overall Cost-Effectiveness**

The average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $560,706. Over the twelve-year period from 2018-2030, the total revenue requirement is estimated at $6,728,472.

Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $7,722. Details are in section K.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for this activity are estimated at 1,944 MCF. Details are in section M.

Overall cost-effectiveness = ($6,728,472-$7,722)/1,944 MCF = $3,457/MCF

**k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:**

The cost of gas saved by reducing emissions, estimated at $5,806,462 over 2019-2030. Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.
I) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

The activities proposed in this Best Practice are complementary to Best Practices 15, 16, and 21 which set requirements for leak survey frequency and timeline for leak repair. There is no cost overlap identified.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

Assumptions:

- 5% of Code 2 + Code 3 leaks are anticipated to be large leaks based on measured system leaks.
- Time to repair the large leaks is assumed to be 10 days from date of discovery. Actual timeline to repair will vary based on the location of the leak, availability of crews, current workload, and operational constraints. Policy will be developed internally on actual repair requirements for large leaks.
- Average flow rate of large leak is 26 CFH based on the weighted average of 6 leaks 10 CFH or greater during pilot study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDG&amp;E</th>
<th>Code 2</th>
<th>Code 3</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Count of Leaks Discovered in the Year of Interest</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Days to Repair Leaks</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimated # of large leaks</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016 Baseline Emissions [MCF]</td>
<td>1,612</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>1,722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissions Reduction [MCF]</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:
2016 Baseline is 1,722 MCF

n) Calculation Methodology:

Emissions reduction estimate is based on preliminary study and the following assumptions:

- Approximately 5% of Code 2 and Code 3 leaks are expected to have flow rates of 10 CFH or greater (characterized as “high flow” leaks)
- Time to repair the large leaks is assumed to be 10 days from date of discovery. Actual timeline to repair will vary based on the location of the leak, availability of crews, current workload, and operational constraints. Policy will be developed internally on actual repair requirements for large leaks.
- Weighted average flow rate of large leaks will be approximately 26 CFH. This leak rate is based on findings in preliminary study.

o) Additional Comments:

N/A

p) Overlap with Safety:

SDG&E has historically repaired leaks based on safety risk and has coded leaks as grades 1-3 based on proximity to buildings, population density, and flow rate of the leak. This prioritization is based on safety and is not necessarily linked to emissions volume. Safety will continue to be SDG&E’s first priority but after all safety concerns have been addressed, leak repairs will be prioritized based on potential emission reductions.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

a) Technology:

b) Changes to Operations:

c) Research or Studies:

d) Other:
Best Practice 20b: Geographic Tracking

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #20b

Utilities shall develop methodologies for improved geographic tracking and evaluation of leaks from the gas systems. Utilities shall work together, with CPUC and ARB staff, to come to agreement on a similar methodology to improve geographic evaluation and tracking of leaks to assist demonstrations of actual emissions reductions. Leak detection technology should be capable of transferring leak data to a central database in order to provide data for leak maps. Geographic leak maps shall be publicly available with leaks displayed by zip code or census tract.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

In the 2016 General Rate Case, SDG&E requested to purchase 400 GIS-based Leak Survey trackers to enable surveyors to geo-tag the position of leaks as they are found. Upon further investigation, the IT support needed to implement this type of process with GIS needed to be developed before SDG&E could implement geographic tracking of leaks. SDG&E initiated a project through IT to develop the bread crumbing technology software support needed to implement geographic leak tracking. A summary of the project capabilities is below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functionality</th>
<th>Current State</th>
<th>Future State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Devices used for Leak Survey activities</td>
<td>Distribution currently uses mobile data terminals, transmission currently uses paper orders</td>
<td>Distribution and Transmission to use iPads for leak surveys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps of leak survey routes</td>
<td>Leak surveyors currently use paper maps</td>
<td>Distribution and Transmission will use electronic “maps” on tablets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking of leak surveys</td>
<td>Currently manually bracket paper maps for tracking</td>
<td>GPS enabled tracking will be utilized, using breadcumb technology enhancement to GIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracking of potential leaks</td>
<td>Currently no integration to DP-IR / OMD, leaks are tracked manually</td>
<td>Bluetooth enabled Detecto Pak-Infrared units and Optical Methane Detector units will be integrated to tracking software, reducing manual data entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capturing Leak Indications, other Abnormal Operating Conditions, Business Districts changes &amp; Encroachment Data</td>
<td>Currently limited integration between GIS Mobile and Click Mobile</td>
<td>Integration with mobile GIS solution providing more accurate X,Y location data for all field captured data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maintenance plans and order generation</td>
<td>Currently maintenance plans support existing paper maps and orders</td>
<td>Maintenance plans to support new electronic maps and orders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to track whether all pipeline assets have been appropriately Surveyed and all Leak Indications are captured appropriately.</td>
<td>Currently paper maps are reviewed manually, Limited or no integration with GIS in field.</td>
<td>Automated review of surveyed areas to improve ability to track whether pipeline assets have been appropriately surveyed. Tighter integration with GIS to better capture Leak Indications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integration with Mobile Maximo Solution</td>
<td>Currently transmission uses paper orders in the field</td>
<td>Provide Integration with Mobile Maximo Solution</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Geographic maps of leaks are currently available on SDG&E.com and have been since 2015. These maps are updated monthly and provide details regarding repair scheduling and leak status. The website for leak maps is [https://www.sdge.com/methane-gas/methane-emission-map](https://www.sdge.com/methane-gas/methane-emission-map)

![Figure 1: SDG&E public-facing leak map webpage](image)

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

No

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E plans to implement a geographically tracked leak survey program that transfers leak data to a central database in order to provide data for leak maps. An incremental IT project is required to tie in the work management systems (e.g. SAP), that will enable geographic tracking of leak survey compliance.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?
49 C.F.R. §192.723 (Distribution systems: Leakage surveys) requires SDG&E to survey its gas distribution system for leakage. SDG&E pipelines are typically leak surveyed at intervals of one, three, or five years. The frequency of this survey is determined by, among other things, the pipe material involved, the operating pressure, whether or not the pipe is under cathodic protection, identified threat, and the proximity of the pipe to various population densities.

49 C.F.R. §192.706 (Transmission lines: Leakage surveys) requires SDG&E to survey its gas transmission lines for leakages. SDG&E transmission pipelines are typically leak surveyed at intervals of three, six or twelve months. The frequency of this survey is determined by class location.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

Epoch is the vendor SDG&E selected for GIS software development, iPads are the selected handheld hardware, and Bluetooth enabled DPIRs are already being used in the field.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

Contractors are currently working on developing the software package to enable leak survey tracking into GIS. Going forward, although SDG&E isn’t requesting incremental FTEs, SDG&E will incur additional labor charges resulting from the shared system operation of the proposed systems with SoCalGas. SoCalGas is requesting 8 full time employees to manage this project with a 91%/9% split with SDG&E. 2 full time employees are needed to support the system, one business analyst and one developer. Four employees will be needed to manage the leak tracking, the customer facing website, data management, and instrument support. One trainer will be needed on a temporary basis for field training and one temporary for updating leak records into system.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

Leak surveyors will carry iPads programmed with a software package to use GIS-generated leak survey routes instead of paper maps. Bluetooth enabled DPIRs and bread crumbing technology will be used to track leaks, and leak data will be electronically uploaded into GIS.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

The Gas Standards regarding leak survey procedures will need to be updated to reflect the new processes when they are in place.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed are approximate and will vary based on when cost recovery is approved.

Milestones for geographic leak tracking project:

- iPad software components to be completed by the end of 2018
- SAP interface (Distribution) to be completed by the end of 2018
- Hardware purchase completed by end of 2018
- Maximo interface (Transmission) to be completed by Q2 of 2019
- Training to be completed by Q3 2019
- Full implementation is expected in Q4 of 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to quantify emissions reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>By identifying and tracking leaks using GIS technology, SDG&amp;E will improve efficiency of recording leaks, enable geographic tracking in real time, and will be able to coordinate leak repairs in a more efficient way. This project eliminates the need to print and review thousands of paper maps for distribution leak survey. The new system will improve the capture of Leak Indication and other Abnormal Operating Condition locational data, as well as improve the ability to track whether all pipelines have been appropriately surveyed or patrolled.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The technology discussed here overlaps with BPs 15, 16, and 19 which require increased leak surveys.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to quantify emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>o) Additional Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p) Overlap with Safety:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E anticipates an increase in safety resulting from technology improvements in tracking and recording leaks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>a)</strong> Technology:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>b)</strong> Changes to Operations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>c)</strong> Research or Studies:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>d)</strong> Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Best Practice 21: Find It, Fix It

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #21

Utilities shall repair leaks as soon as reasonably possible after discovery, but in no event, more than three (3) years after discovery. Utilities may make reasonable exceptions for leaks that are costly to repair relative to the estimated size of the leak.

b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

Leak repairs have been performed according to the requirements outlined in 49 CFR 192. SDG&E has Gas Standards documenting repair timelines for each class of pipe.

b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

In 2019 SDG&E plans to repair all code three steel leaks and above ground minor leaks discovered in 2016 or earlier. Going forward all code three steel leaks and above ground minor leaks will be repaired within three years. All other above ground leaks and leaks on buried pipe already require repairs be made before three years. Due to more frequent leak surveys at compressor stations, SDG&E expects to document and repair an increased amount of minor above ground leaks. Repairs to minor leaks on high pressure systems may have significant impacts to operations such as critical systems being taken out of service, system availability, operational costs, and labor needs. These repairs may require incremental blowdowns and emissions from these blowdowns may negate any savings resulting from minor leak repair, unless reasonable exceptions apply.

For example, since the CARB Oil and Gas Rule went into effect on January 1, 2018, in SoCalGas’ system, three areas with minor leaks that required immediate repair due to Leak Detection and Repair (LDAR) requirements.

Example 1: Two minor leaks were discovered on transmission line valves with an estimated combined emission of 56 MCF per year. The repair would require blowing down 11.4 miles of high pressure transmission pipeline and releasing an estimated 18,809 MCF.

Example 2: Two minor leaks were found on valve fittings on a transmission line with an estimated combined emission of 56 MCF per year. The repair would require blowing down 10.4 miles of high pressure transmission pipeline and releasing 37,398 MCF.
Example 3: Five minor leaks were found on a transmission line, 3 on pressure relief valves, one on a valve, and one on an elbow. The total estimated combined emissions for these minor leaks is 159 MCF per year. The repair would require blowing down 11.1 miles of high pressure transmission pipeline and releasing 17,136 MCF.

These situations are outlined to demonstrate that repair of minor leaks does not always result in an overall emission reduction. In each of these scenarios, it would take over 100 years of emissions from the minor leaks before they came anywhere near the emissions required for their repair. SDG&E advocates for sensible policy and takes the position that these examples fall into the reasonable exception criteria. SDG&E recommends a modification to the Annual Report Template to allow requests for reasonable exceptions to Best Practice 21 based on circumstances where leak repairs will cause incremental emissions or will be costly to repair compared with relative emissions. In these situations, repairs will be coordinated when they can be bundled with other required operational work.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

This BP overlaps with Best Practices 15, 16, 18 and 19. Due to the increased leak surveys in Best Practices 15 and 16, SDG&E expects to find more leaks, which in turn increases the number of leaks to be repaired as a result. The emission reductions for incremental leaks found in Best Practices 15, 16, 18, and 19 were accounted for in those respective compliance plans because it is difficult to separate the incremental leaks found because of increased surveys from the undiscovered leaks. The cost for the repairs is recorded in this best practice.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

An IT project will be needed for SAP to update changes to compliance periods for leak repairs.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

In 2019 SDG&E will require one incremental FTE for transmission to manage the incremental work required. There will also be needs for contractor work for some leak repair projects.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

The increased repair activity will take place using incremental FTEs. The operational procedures for making these repairs will not be changed. Required repairs will increase due to the policy change for minor above ground leaks repairs and code 3 steel leak repairs, as well as incremental leaks found due to increased leak surveys.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.
Gas Standard G8315 will be revised to reflect new leaks to be repaired as soon as reasonably possible after discovery, but in no event, more than three (3) years after discovery. A red-lined version is attached with proposed updates.

Additionally, changes to the SAP Database and compliance requirements as well as reporting tools will be updated to align and adhere with the new SB 1371 compliance windows.

### i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Update gas standard and submitted for review: 2 months
- Policy change will be reviewed with field employees and field training: 4 months
- Gas standard will be published: 4 months

### j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

There is insufficient historical information on emissions associated with above-ground and minor leaks.

Cost-effectiveness for leak repairs due to incremental leaks found due to increased leak surveys is accounted for in Best Practices 15 and 16.

### k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

There is insufficient historical information to estimate cost benefits for the activities in this Best Practice.

### l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

Incremental costs for repairing leaks found due to increased leak surveys required by Best Practices 15, 16, 18 and 19 are included in this best practice.

### m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

Emissions reduction from additional leaks found because of Best Practices 15, 16, 18 and 19 are captured in those Best Practices using the criteria that repairs are made within 6 months which is the current average leak repair time.

There is insufficient historical data to estimate emissions reductions for repairing above ground leaks at transmission. SDG&E does not have the historical information necessary to calculate the portion of emissions that are associated with minor leaks.

### 2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Emissions Affected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is insufficient historical information to estimate the emission baseline for this Best Practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>o) Additional Comments:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p) Overlap with Safety:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Leak repairs are currently performed to meet safety standards prescribed in 49 CFR 192. All repairs required by this Best Practice are incremental to safety requirements.

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Technology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment I: Red-lined draft of Gas Standard G8135

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Research or Studies:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Best Practice 22: Pipe Fitting Specifications

**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**

#### PART 1: OVERVIEW

**a) Best Practice: #22**

Companies shall review and revise pipe fitting specifications, as necessary, to ensure tighter tolerance/better quality pipe threads. Utilities are required to review any available data on its threaded fittings, and if necessary, propose a fitting replacement program for threaded connections with significant leaks or comprehensive procedures for leak repairs and meter set assembly installations and repairs as part of their Compliance Plans. A fitting replacement program should consider components such as pressure control fittings, service tees, and valves metrics, among other things.

**b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G**

#### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

**a) Historic work:**

SDG&E has a supply management department that work with vendors to specify requirements for all components. When equipment is received it is inspected at a warehouse facility to verify requirements are met. If there are any concerns regarding the quality of products, including the threaded components and fittings, the supply management department is engaged to correct the issue and either engage the current vendor to increase quality assurance standards or to begin contract negotiations with alternative vendors to confirm all concerns are addressed.

**b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No**

**c) Proposed Plan:**

SDG&E is working with SoCalGas on a research project to quantify and identify opportunity for reducing emissions from threaded components to investigate opportunities for emission reduction and identify if improving thread quality will reduce emissions from threaded fittings. If an opportunity exists to reduce emissions by improving thread count, SDG&E will provide an updated proposal in the 2020 compliance plan.

SDG&E is partnering with other utilities for an SB 1371 research project via NySearch to begin a comprehensive analysis of methane emissions through threaded components of MSAs and regulator stations. This study is to begin by the end of first quarter 2018.

SDG&E also plans to increase receiving inspection of threaded components used for Meter Set Assemblies (MSA) to improve assurance of compliance to pipe thread specification. SDG&E will work with component manufacturers to align gaging practices and manufacturing process controls to
Best Practice 22: Pipe Fitting Specifications
SDG&E
Submitted on March 15, 2018

maintain a high standard of pipe thread quality for products intended for use in Natural Gas applications. Review company material specifications (MSP) and revise, if necessary to specify consistent requirements across component categories.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?
N/A

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?
No technology is required at this time.

f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.
Yes, SDG&E is partnering with other utilities to commission a research project through NySearch to identify emissions reductions through threaded MSA components. One supply management FTE is requested to support additional quality control of incoming threaded materials, support material specification modifications and work with manufacturers.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?
SDG&E plans to increase receiving inspection of threaded components used for Meter Set Assemblies (MSA) to improve assurance of compliance to pipe thread specification. SDG&E will work with component manufacturers to align gaging practices and manufacturing process controls to maintain a high standard of pipe thread quality for products intended for use in Natural Gas applications. Review Company material specifications (MSP) and revise, if necessary to specify consistent requirements across component categories.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.
No procedure changes are required for the activities proposed in this Best Practice

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):
All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- The research project is expected to begin by the end of first quarter 2018 and will be completed by the end of 2019.
- Hire and train incremental employees: 9 months
- Implement receiving inspection process: 9 months
- Update material specs, if necessary: 18 months
| Best Practice 22: Pipe Fitting Specifications | SDG&E |
| Submitted on March 15, 2018 |

| j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined: |
| SDG&E is unable to estimate emission reductions for this Best Practice due to insufficient historical emissions information and therefore cannot estimate cost-effectiveness at this time. The proposed research projects will help identify what opportunities are available for emissions reductions in this. By cost-sharing research projects with other utilities through NYSEARCH, SDG&E has minimized the impact to customers. |

| k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known: |
| There is insufficient data to estimate cost benefits from the activities in this Best Practice. |

| l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap? |
| N/A |

| m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP: |
| SDG&E is unable to estimate emission reductions for this Best Practice due to insufficient historical emissions information. Potential for emission reductions will be reevaluated based on results from the proposed research projects. |

| 2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known: |
| N/A |

| n) Calculation Methodology: |
| N/A |

| o) Additional Comments: |
| N/A |

| p) Overlap with Safety: |
| N/A |

**SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION**

| a) Technology: |

| b) Changes to Operations: |
c) Research or Studies:

d) Other:
Best Practice 23: Minimize Emissions from Operations, Maintenance, and Other Activities

2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)

PART 1: OVERVIEW

a) Best Practice: #23  
b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G

Utilities shall minimize emissions from operations, maintenance, and other activities, such as new construction or replacement, in the gas distribution and transmission systems and storage facilities. Utilities shall replace high bleed pneumatic devices with technology that does not vent gas (i.e. no-bleed) or vents significantly less natural gas (i.e. low-bleed) devices. Utilities shall also reduce emissions from blowdowns, as much as operationally feasible.

PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

a) Historic work:

SDG&E has long recognized the need to voluntarily reduce methane emissions. The company has contributed to the elimination of methane emissions by employing several different best practices in both sectors combined as follows:

- Directed Inspection & Maintenance (DI&M); A DI&M program concentrates on components that are prone to leak enough methane to make repairs cost-effective. Such components include valve packing, pneumatic controllers and open-ended lines such as vent and drain connections, blowdown lines, pneumatic engine starter motors, and pressure relief valves.  

- Identify and rehabilitate leaky distribution pipe; Through regular leak surveys, SDG&E has identified and repaired or replaced pipeline with high leak rates.

- Replace compressor rod packing systems; Reciprocating compressors in the natural gas industry leak natural gas during normal operation. Areas of high leak frequency include flanges, valves, and fittings located on compressors. The highest volume of gas loss, however, is associated with piston rod packing systems. Packing systems are used to maintain a tight seal around the piston rod, preventing the gas compressed to high pressure in the compressor cylinder from leaking, while allowing the rod to move freely. Compressor rod packing consists of a series of flexible rings that fit around the shaft to create a seal against leakage. Packing rings are held in place by a set of packing cups, normally one for each pair of rings, and kept tight against the shaft by a surrounding spring. A “nose gasket” on the end of the packing case prevents leaks around the packing cups. Leaking gases are vented to the atmosphere through packing vents on the flange. Leakage can be reduced through proper

---

monitoring and a cost-effective schedule for replacing packing rings and piston rods. New ring materials and new designs for packing cases are emerging that should reduce emissions in the future.46

• **Reduce system pressure for maintenance blowdowns:** Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is generally justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.47

• **Redesign blowdown process in Emergency Shutdown practices:** Compressors are used throughout the natural gas industry to move natural gas from production and processing sites to customer distribution systems. Compressors must periodically be taken off-line for maintenance, operational stand-by, or emergency shutdown testing, and as a result, methane may be released to the atmosphere from a number of sources. When compressor units are shut down, typically the high pressure gas remaining within the compressors and associated piping between isolation valves is vented to the atmosphere (blowdown) or to a flare. In addition to blowdown emissions, a depressurized system may continue to leak gas from faulty or improperly sealed unit isolation valves. Some changes in operating practices and in the design of blowdown systems can save money and significantly reduce methane blowdown valve and from reciprocating compressor rod packing, total emissions can be significantly reduced. Four options for reducing emissions when taking compressors off-line include:
  - Keeping compressors pressurized when off-line.
  - Connecting blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system and recovering all, or a portion, of the vented gas to the fuel gas system.
  - Installing static seals on compressor rod packing.
  - Installing ejectors on compressor blowdown vent lines.

Keeping compressors fully pressurized when off-line achieves immediate payback—there are no capital costs and emissions are avoided by reducing the net leakage rate. Routing blowdown vent lines to the fuel gas system or to a lower pressure gas line reduces fuel costs for the compressor or other facility equipment, in addition to avoiding blowdown emissions. Static seals installed on compression rods eliminate gas leaking back through the rod packing while a

completely shutdown under pressure. An ejector uses the discharge of an adjacent compressor as motive to pump blowdown or leaked gas from a shut down compressor into the suction of an operating compressor or a fuel gas system. Benefits of these practices include fewer bulk gas releases, lower leak rates, and lower fuel costs, with a payback in most cases of less than a year.48

This best practice affects many areas of operations at SDG&E, as minimizing emissions from operations has a wide scope for implementation. The following categories are areas in which SDG&E has identified opportunity to implement additional activities to reduce emissions:

a. **Blowdown reduction:** SDG&E has documented use of cost effective methods to reduce blowdown since 1993 during operations on high pressure construction projects. Attached is a presentation by Deanna Haines referencing the various practices used by SDG&E to reduce blowdown emissions, including pressure reduction using mobile compressors, transfer of gas to lower pressure systems, and isolation of sections using stopples.49 Operators of natural gas pipeline systems routinely reduce line pressure and discharge gas from pipeline sections to provide safe working conditions during maintenance and repair activities. Typically, operators block the smallest possible linear section of the pipeline and depressurize it by venting gas to the atmosphere. Using pump-down techniques to lower gas line pressure before performing maintenance and repair activities is an effective way to reduce emissions and yield significant economic savings. Pipeline pump-down techniques involve using in-line compressors either alone or in sequence with portable compressors. Using in-line compressors is generally justifiable because there are no capital costs, and payback is immediate. The cost-effectiveness of also using a portable compressor to increase gas recovery, however, depends greatly on site-specific factors and operating costs. Regardless of the pump-down technique selected, emission reductions are directly proportional to how much pipeline pressure is reduced before venting occurs. Pipeline pump-down techniques are most economical for larger volume, higher pressure gas lines and work most effectively for planned maintenance activities and cases in which sufficient manifolding exists to connect a portable compressor.3

b. **Pneumatic Devices:** SDG&E has been addressing the replacement of high-bleed pneumatic devices since 1993 and has targeting the higher emission projects, requesting cost recovery for the replacement of some of the more cost effective high bleed pneumatic device projects through the General Rate Case (GRC). Pneumatic devices powered by pressurized natural gas are used widely in the natural gas industry as pressure regulators and valve controllers. Methane emissions from pneumatic devices are one of the largest sources of vented methane emissions from the natural gas industry. Reducing these emissions by replacing high-bleed devices with low-bleed devices, retrofitting high-bleed devices, and improving maintenance practices can be cost-effective. Individual savings will vary depending on the

---

Best Practice 23: Minimize Emissions from Operations, Maintenance, and Other Activities

**Exhibit 1: Pneumatic Device Schematic**

Exhibit 1 shows a schematic of a gas pneumatic control system. Clean, dry, pressurized natural gas is regulated to a constant pressure. This gas supply is used both as a signal and a power supply. A small stream is sent to a device that measures a process condition (gas pressure, flow, temperature). This device regulates the pressure of this small gas stream in proportion to the process condition. The stream flows to the pneumatic valve controller, where its variable pressure is used to regulate a valve actuator. To close the valve pictured in Exhibit 1, 20-psig pneumatic gas is directed to the actuator, pushing the diaphragm down against the spring, which, through the valve stem, pushes the valve plug closed. When gas is vented off the actuator, the spring pushes the valve back open. The weak signal continuously vents (bleeds) to the atmosphere. Pneumatic devices come in three basic designs:

- **Continuous** bleed devices are used to modulate pressure and will generally vent gas at a steady rate
- **Actuating or intermittent** bleed devices perform snap-acting control and release gas only when they stroke a valve open or closed or as they throttle gas flows
- **Self-contained** devices release gas into the downstream pipeline, not to the atmosphere

To reduce emissions from pneumatic devices the following options can be pursued, either alone or in combination:
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- Replacement of high-bleed devices with low-bleed devices having similar performance capabilities.
- Installation of low-bleed retrofit kits on operating devices.
- Enhanced maintenance, cleaning and tuning, repairing/replacing leaking gaskets, tubing fittings, and seals.

In general, the bleed rate will also vary with the pneumatic gas supply pressure, actuation frequency, and age or condition of the equipment. Due to the need for precision, controllers that must operate quickly will bleed more gas than slower operating devices. The condition of a pneumatic device is a stronger indicator of emission potential than age; well-maintained pneumatic devices operate efficiently for many years.\(^{50}\)

c. **Meter replacement policy:** Historically, if a meter failed the Meter Performance Control Program, an internal meter performance standard at SDG&E, that meter would be replaced as a Planned Meter Change (PMC). Associated emissions are roughly 1 to 6 SCF for small to large meter replacements, resulting from methane escape during the replacement operation.

d. **Research, Design, & Demonstration (RD&D):** SDG&E has historically leveraged research performed by the SoCalGas RD&D Department.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c) Proposed Plan:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a) <strong>Blowdown reduction:</strong> In addition to continuing using existing methods of blowdown reduction like cross-compression, pipeline pump-down, and isolation as described in the historical work section, SDG&amp;E proposes to capture blowdown gas into Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) pods or trailers. This methane capture system utilizes a mobile compressor to compress pipeline gas into a CNG trailer. The gas is then re-introduced into the pipeline when operation is completed. This method of capturing gas into CNG canisters was first trialed through the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Project (PSEP) and SDG&amp;E is proposing to expand this activity through SB 1371 with the goal of further reducing emissions. SDG&amp;E will also be implementing a system to coordinate pipeline projects across departments to perform all work with one blowdown. There are limitations to this practice such as compliance timelines to meet certain objectives and urgency of some projects. SDG&amp;E has identified 1 possible project in 2018 and 2 possible projects scheduled for 2019 where methane capture can be accomplished.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) <strong>Pneumatic Devices:</strong> SDG&amp;E has four high-bleed pneumatic devices in operation. SDG&amp;E proposes replacing all four high bleed pneumatic devices in 2018.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) <strong>Meter replacement policy:</strong> To reduce emissions, SDG&amp;E will explore using a billing calibration adjustment factor rather than replacing meters that would require replacement under the PMC. By keeping the meters in place and applying a billing calibration adjustment factor, there will be no emissions resulting from meter replacements. The billing system doesn’t currently support applying a billing calibration adjustment factor but SDG&amp;E is planning a replacement of the system in the near future. If and when that replacement billing system is implemented,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

using a billing calibration adjustment factor will be proposed in the compliance plan to reduce emissions from Planned Meter Changes.

d) RD&D: SDG&E has two research projects related to this Best Practice:

- **Develop Methods to Mitigate Gas Blown to Atmosphere Research Project (OTD 5.16.n)** Investigate traditional planned blowdown procedures of venting natural gas to the atmosphere and compare them to alternative methods such as flaring and re-capture of the blowdown gas, to determine viable options. Assessment includes environmental impacts.
- **Methane Oxidation Catalyst Research Project (NYSEARCH)** Design and test novel catalytic materials for low-temperature methane oxidation (combustion – thermal oxidizer) as an alternative to flaring of pipeline gas.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

Local Air Districts may be considering additional regulations that may cover this area.

e) What technology is required to implement the Best Practice and why?

- **Blowdown reduction**: Gas compressors, CNG pods and trailers, and portable generators are needed for CNG capture blowdown reduction.

  ![CNG Capture portable generator, mobile compressor, and CNG trailer](image)

  *Figure 3: CNG Capture portable generator, mobile compressor, and CNG trailer*

- **Pneumatic Devices**: Low bleed or no bleed pneumatic devices are needed to replace existing high bleed devices
c) **Meter replacement policy**: This will require an IT adjustment to update billing processes after a replacement billing system is implemented.

d) **RD&D**: Various catalytic materials, rod packing assemblies

---

**Methane Oxidation**

Figure adapted from Veldsink et al.

- **Physical properties of the catalyst material and reaction system**
  
  \[
  \begin{align*}
  \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{O}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \\
  \Delta H_f & = -8.03 \times 10^5 \text{ (J mol}^{-1}\text{)} \\
  D_f^{\text{methane, air}} & = 0.88 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (m}^2\text{ s}^{-1}\text{) at 773 K, 0.13 MPa} \\
  D_f^{\text{oxygen, air}} & = 1.08 \times 10^{-4} \text{ (m}^2\text{ s}^{-1}\text{) at 773 K, 0.13 MPa} \\
  \lambda_{\text{cat}} & = \lambda_{\text{y, alumina}} = 8 \text{ (W m}^{-1}\text{K}^{-1}\text{)} \\
  \eta_{\text{fed}} & = 0.43 \\
  D_f^{\Sigma_{\text{A}}} & = 0.1D_f \\
  r_p & = 7.2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ (m)} \\
  \rho_{\text{cat}} & = 1040 \text{ (kg m}^{-3}\text{)} \\
  F_{\text{gaseous}} & = 0.78 \text{ (cm}^2\text{ s}^{-1}\text{)} \\
  \text{Area} & = 163.6 \text{ (m}^2\text{)} 
  \end{align*}
  \]

---

f) **Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

No incremental personnel are being requested at this time.

g) **What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

  a) **Blowdown reduction**: In addition to continuing using existing methods of blowdown reduction like cross-compression, pipeline pump-down, and isolation as described in the

---

historical work section, SDG&E proposes to capture blowdown gas into CNG pods or trailers. This methane capture system utilizes a mobile compressor to compress pipeline gas into a Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) trailer. The gas is then re-introduced into the pipeline when operation is completed. This method of capturing gas into CNG canisters was first trialed through the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Project (PSEP) and SDG&E is proposing to expand this activity through SB 1371 with the goal of further reducing emissions. SDG&E will combine work on high pressure lines when it is practical to do so and will coordinate projects across departments. Gas Standards will need to be developed to implement these changes.

b) Pneumatic Devices: N/A
c) Meter replacement program: N/A
d) RD&D: N/A

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

a) Blowdown reduction: Gas Standards will need to be developed to implement these changes. Updated gas standards reflecting blowdown reduction are captured in Best Practices 3-8.
b) Pneumatic Devices: N/A
c) Meter replacement policy: N/A
d) RD&D: N/A

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- **Blowdown reduction:**
  - Begin capturing gas from blowdowns via CNG trailers: full implementation 6 months after cost recovery approval to develop internal policies and train employees

- **Pneumatic Devices:**
  - After cost recovery is approved, construction will be scheduled to replace pneumatic devices which will be prioritized based on cost-effectiveness emissions reduction opportunity. Assuming approval at the end of second quarter 2018, SDG&E anticipates being able to complete 8 replacements in 2018 and 8 replacements in 2019, in addition to the replacements requested through the General Rate Case Application.
  - Remaining replacement projects are staggered through 2022

- **Meter replacement policy:**
  - This activity cannot be implemented during the 2018-2019 compliance period

- **RD&D Projects:**
  - **Develop Methods to Mitigate Gas Blown to Atmosphere Research Project (OTD 5.16.n)**
    - Project Start Date – 8/1/2016
    - Anticipated End Date – 3/31/2018
  - **Methane Oxidation Catalyst Research Project (NYSEARCH)**
    - Project Start Date – 10/1/2017
    - Anticipated End Date – 3/31/2019
j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

   a) **Blowdown reduction:** Assumptions for emissions reductions for blowdown include an average blowdown of 73 MCF per event, which was the average over years 2016-2017. It also assumed an average reduction of 70% when blowdown capture is performed, and that opportunities for blowdown reduction will remain constant over years 2018-2030. Several variables affect if blowdown capture is an option, including system capacity issues, location of operation, permitting availability, timeline and urgency of operation, locations of valving, customer impact, weather, and availability of compressors and CNG trailers. If blowdown reduction is an option, variables affecting the volume of emission reduction include line pressure, pipe diameter, length of pipe being blown down, and duration of event.

   Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M, including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

   Annual emissions reductions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve-year program period.

   Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

   Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.

   **Cost-Effectiveness for Blowdown Reduction**

   The average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $144,529. Over the twelve-year period, 2018-2030, the total revenue requirement is estimated at $1,734,348.

   Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $4,862. Details are in section K.

   The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for this activity are estimated at 1,224 MCF. Details are in section M.

   Overall cost-effectiveness = ($1,734,348-$4,862)/1,224 MCF = $1,413/MCF

   b) **Pneumatic Devices:** Cost-effectiveness is evaluated for the replacement projects funded through SB 1371 and measures the estimated reductions in methane through year 2030 resulting from these projects.
Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M, including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

Annual emissions reductions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve-year program period.

Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.

**Cost-Effectiveness for Replacement of Pneumatics**

The average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $7,843. Over the twelve-year period, 2018-2030, the total revenue requirement is estimated at $94,116.

Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $30,186. Details are in section K.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for this activity are estimated at 7,483 MCF. Details are in section M.

Overall cost-effectiveness = ([$94,116-$30,186]/7,483 MCF = $9/MCF

c) **Meter replacement policy**: Cost effectiveness will be evaluated in a future compliance plan when this activity can be implemented.

**k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:**

a) **Blowdown reduction**: The cost of gas saved by reducing emissions, is estimated at $4,862 over 2018-2030. Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.
b) **Pneumatic Devices:** The cost of gas saved by reducing emissions, estimated at $30,186 over 2018-2030. Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

c) **Meter replacement policy:** Cost benefits will be evaluated in a future compliance plan when this activity can be implemented.

d) **RD&D Projects:** There isn’t sufficient data to quantify the cost benefits at this time.

**I) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?**

N/A

**m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:**

a) **Blowdown reduction:** Assumptions for emissions reductions for blowdown include an average blowdown of 73 MCF per event, which was the average over years 2016-2017. It also assumed an average reduction of 70% when blowdown capture is performed. The
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compound emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for this activity are estimated at 1,224 MCF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Year-of-eliminations reductions (MCF)</th>
<th>Compounded Emissions Reductions (MCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>408</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>612</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>714</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1,020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>1,224</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) **Pneumatic Devices**: Replacement of all high bleed pneumatics is expected to be completed in 2022. The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for this activity are estimated at 7,483 MCF.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Year-of-eliminations reductions (MCF)</th>
<th>Compounded Emissions Reductions (MCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>1,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>2,259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>2,912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>3,565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>4,218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>4,871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>5,524</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>6,177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>6,830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>7,483</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) **Meter replacement policy**: Emission reduction potential will be evaluated in a future compliance plan when this activity can be implemented.

**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

a) **Blowdown reduction**: Assumptions for emissions reductions for blowdown include an average blowdown of 73 MCF per event of this type. The number of events varies by year so the opportunity for reduction will vary. The 2015 baseline was 2,989 MCF. The activities contributing to these emissions include abandonment or isolation of pipeline, hydrotests, pipe section replacement, tie-in projects, valve replacement or installation, equipment maintenance, pigging operation launcher or receiver, and transmission odor intensity tests. Calculation of the average blowdown per event was based on emissions from abandonment
or isolation of pipeline, hydrotests, pipe section replacement, tie-in projects, and valve replacement or installation projects.

b) **Pneumatic Devices:** The 2016 baseline for high-bleed pneumatic devices is 653 MCF

c) **Meter replacement policy:** N/A

### n) Calculation Methodology:

Assumptions and methodologies are described in section M

### o) Additional Comments:

N/A

### p) Overlap with Safety:

N/A

### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

#### a) Technology:

#### b) Changes to Operations:

#### c) Research or Studies:

#### d) Other:

Attachment J: Pipeline Blowdowns in Transmission and Distribution
Best Practice 24: Dig-Ins and Public Education Program

**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**

### PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #24</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dig-Ins – Expand existing public education program to alert the public and third-party excavation contractors to the Call Before You Dig – 811 program. In addition, utilities must provide procedures for excavation contractors to follow when excavating to prevent damaging or rupturing a gas line.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Historic work:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SDG&E has a federally-mandated Public Awareness program, as prescribed in 49 CFR 192.616, which contributes to enhanced public safety by providing risk mitigation measures. SDG&E has a continuing awareness program to inform and educate its customers, affected public, appropriate public officials, and persons engaged in excavation-related activities on the prevention and recognition of gas pipeline emergencies. This program also includes the proper process for reporting an incident to SDG&E and the appropriate public officials including first responders. The program and the media used are as comprehensive as necessary to reach all areas in the service territory in which the Company transports natural gas and where Company facilities exist (e.g., pipelines, compressor stations).

Data shows the number of damages decrease while the number of calls to 811(Underground Service Alert) increases. Data also suggest that the number of locate and mark activities is directly correlated with SDG&E’ investment in the Public Awareness Program. Therefore, SDG&E requested money in the 2019 GRC to increase spending in these areas to further contribute to lowering the numbers of damage. Expansions from the GRC funding is to conduct surveys to raise safety awareness and better address how to implement the program to promote safety. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c) Proposed Plan:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To expand the existing public education program, SDG&amp;E proposes conducting incremental outreach and education to the general public, outreach to contractors and excavators, mailing safe digging procedures to contractors, and incremental FTEs for the public awareness program. The request for funding in the GRC for program expansion is targeted towards high consequence areas with the primary goal of safety. The SB 1371 funding for the public education program will allow us to expand the focus beyond high consequence areas with the goal of minimize emissions regardless of safety impact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Additional funding to expand this program from an emissions perspective is proposed in this Best Practice, focusing efforts to minimize emissions. Trending the relationship between investment in the Public Awareness Program and Third-Party Damages for years 2014-2017 shows that an increase in public awareness campaigns should result in decreased damages, and therefore, lower emissions.

![Relationship between Damages and Public Awareness Investment at SDG&E 2014-2017](image)

\[ y = -0.2635x + 389.13 \]

**d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

Other regulations regarding the Public Education Program include 49 C.F.R. § 192.616 and Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162. The requirements of these regulations are met and funded by the General Rate Case. The incremental funding requested in this program is to expand the public awareness program beyond what is required for safety so damages are minimized as much as possible with the added goal of reducing emissions.

**e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

Several forms of media communication will be used to communicate with contractors, excavators, and the general public. Additional outreach and education to general public includes media TV PSA spots, endorsements on mainstream, sports radio, and digital ads.
f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.

No incremental FTEs are requested for the activities in this Best Practice.

g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?

No operational changes are expected from the activities in this Best Practice.

h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.

No procedural changes are expected from the activities in this Best Practice.

i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Incremental communications, mailers, data gathering and messaging developed and implemented: 12 months

j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:

Cost-effectiveness evaluations were generated by calculating the cumulative revenue requirement for activities that directly contribute to emissions reductions. The activity costs used to calculate the revenue requirement include the fully loaded and escalated capital investment and associated O&M, including on-going O&M over the useful life of the related capital asset, if applicable. The cumulative revenue requirement was divided by the total years of useful life to generate an average annual revenue requirement. Multiplying this annual average revenue requirement by 12 gives the estimated total cost of implementation for the SB 1371 program from 2018 through 2030.

Annual emissions reductions were compounded and summed to generate a total emissions reduction over the twelve-year program period.

Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

Cost-effectiveness is generated by dividing the cost of implementation less any cost benefits by estimated emission reduction.

**Cost-Effectiveness for Expanded Public Awareness Program**

The average annual revenue requirement for this Best Practice is $169,251. Over the twelve-year period from 2018-2030, the total revenue requirement is estimated at $2,031,012.
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Cost Benefits over the period from 2018-2030 are estimated at $37,323. Details are in section K.

The compounded emissions reductions from 2018-2030 for this activity are estimated at 9,396 MCF. Details are in section M.

Overall cost-effectiveness = ($2,031,012-$37,323)/9,396 MCF = $212/MCF

k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:

The cost of gas saved by reducing emissions is estimated at $37,323 over 2019-2030. Cost benefits were evaluated at the forecasted average annual WACOG published in the 2016 California Gas Report, converted to cost per MCF using a BTU conversion factor of 1.0343.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>WACOG ($/MCF)</th>
<th>Reduced Emission (MCF)</th>
<th>Cost Benefit ($/MCF)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>$2.664188</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$2,086</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>$2.649745</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$2,075</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>$2.980593</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$2,339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2022</td>
<td>$2.475580</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$2,721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023</td>
<td>$3.743690</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$2,991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2024</td>
<td>$3.952100</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$3,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2025</td>
<td>$4.224613</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$3,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2026</td>
<td>$4.525677</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$3,544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2027</td>
<td>$4.624728</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$3,621</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028</td>
<td>$4.734834</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$3,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2029</td>
<td>$4.944888</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$3,872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2030</td>
<td>$5.139493</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>$4,024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?

The IT system proposed in Best Practice 26 can be used in conjunction with these efforts to track the effectiveness of certain outreach efforts and identify a relationship between communications with specific contractors, excavators, and the general public and the amount of emissions released as a result of damages. The more information available regarding damage prevention, the better equipped SDG&E will be to proactively prevent line damages.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

SDG&E trended the relationship between investment in the Public Awareness Program and Third-Party Damages for years 2014-2017, which shows that an increase in public awareness campaigns should result in decreased damages, and therefore, lower emissions. The regression between investment in public awareness is $y=-.2635x+389.13$. The average public awareness investment for years 2014-2017 was $172,208 per year. Using that as a baseline for investment, increasing by the proposed $98,640 request would put the annual investment at $270,848. Plugging that into the regression model gives us an estimated 318 damages. With an average of 20 MCF per damage (based
on emissions data from 2015 and 2016), estimated annual methane emissions is 6,352 MCF. The emission baseline from 2015 was 7,135 MCF, so this is an estimated reduction of 783 MCF per year.
**2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:**

The emission baseline from 2015 was 7,135 MCF.

**n) Calculation Methodology:**

SDG&E trended the relationship between investment in the Public Awareness Program and Third-Party Damages for years 2014-2017, which shows that an increase in public awareness campaigns should result in decreased damages, and therefore, lower emissions. The regression between investment in public awareness is $y=-0.2635x+389.13$. The average public awareness investment for years 2014-2017 was $172,208 per year. Using that as a baseline for investment, increasing by the proposed $98,640 request would put the annual investment at $270,848. Plugging that into the regression model gives us an estimated 318 damages. With an average of 20 MCF per damage (based on emissions data from 2015 and 2016), estimated annual methane emissions is 6,352 MCF. The emission baseline from 2015 was 7,135 MCF, so this is an estimated reduction of 783 MCF per year.

**o) Additional Comments:**

N/A

**p) Overlap with Safety:**

Safety regulations regarding the Public Education Program include 49 C.F.R. § 192.616 and Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162. The requirements of these regulations are met and funded by the General Rate Case. The incremental funding requested in this program is to expand the public awareness program beyond what is required for safety so damages are minimized as much as possible with the added goal of reducing emissions.
### SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Technology:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment K: Public Awareness Plan for SDG&E
# Best Practice 25: Dig-Ins and Company Standby Monitors

**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**

## PART 1: OVERVIEW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>a) Best Practice: #25</th>
<th>b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dig-Ins – Utilities must provide company monitors to witness all excavations near gas transmission lines to ensure that contractors are following utility procedures to properly excavate and backfill around transmission lines.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

### a) Historic work:

The State of California mandates a preconstruction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support and requires continuous monitoring of all excavations within ten feet of high priority subsurface installation per Cal. Gov’t Code § 4216.2. SDG&E has historically interpreted high priority subsurface installation to mean high pressure pipeline.

SDG&E has a federally-mandated Public Awareness program, as prescribed in 49 CFR 192.616, which contributes to enhanced public safety by providing risk mitigation measures. When excavators generate a ticket through Underground Service Alert, locate and mark employees identify gas lines in the delineated area and if a high-pressure line is identified, the excavator is contacted and instructed that a SDG&E employee must be on-site during all excavation activities in the vicinity of the pipeline. When the excavator confirms the timing of the excavation activity an observer is assigned to monitor the excavation.

### b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

### c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E already meets the minimum requirements for this Best Practice, but SDG&E sees an opportunity to expand the program to have excavation monitors over projects where there could be challenges to controlling a damage, causing higher levels of emissions. For example, pressure districts with a single feed may cause challenges to performing damage repairs without dropping service to customers. In a situation like this, repairs may be delayed while a bypass is built or supplemental alternative fuel is sourced. Providing standby monitors to observe excavation operations has been shown to significantly reduce the risk of damage, so it would be beneficial to expand this program to reduce emissions.
**d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?**

The State of California mandates a preconstruction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support and requires continuous monitoring of all excavations within ten feet of high-pressure pipelines per Cal. Gov’t Code § 4216.2. Other regulations regarding the Public Education Program include 49 C.F.R. § 192.616 and Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162. The requirements of these regulations are met and funded by the General Rate Case. The incremental funding requested in this program is to expand the monitoring program beyond what is required for safety so damages are minimized as much as possible with the added goal of reducing emissions.

**e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?**

The IT system proposed in Best Practice 26 can be used in conjunction with these efforts to track the effectiveness of certain outreach efforts and identify a relationship between communications with specific contractors, excavators, and the general public and the amount of emissions released as a result of damages. The more information available regarding damage prevention, the better equipped SDG&E will be to proactively prevent line damages.

**f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.**

No incremental personnel are requested at this time.

**g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?**

While monitoring incremental projects is an increase in work, it does not change the way the work is performed so no changes to operations are required.

**h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.**

Gas Standard G7451 will need to be updated to reflect incremental requirements for locate and mark activities that will require a standby monitor. High priority areas requiring standby will need to be redefined.

**i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):**

All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.

- Policy updates completed and reviewed: 9 months
- Incremental employees hired and trained: 9 months
- Field trained on updated policies: 9 months
- Policy changes published and full field implementation: 12 months
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient historical data to estimate emission reductions as a result of expanding the standby locate and mark activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient historical data to estimate emission cost benefits resulting from expanding the standby locate and mark activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The IT system proposed in Best Practice 26 can be used in conjunction with these efforts to track the effectiveness of the expanded standby program, certain outreach efforts and identify a relationship between communications with specific contractors, excavators, and the general public and the amount of emissions released as a result of damages. The more information available regarding damage prevention, the better equipped SDG&amp;E will be to proactively prevent line damages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There isn’t sufficient historical data to estimate emission reductions as a result of expanding the standby locate and mark activities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n) Calculation Methodology:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>o) Additional Comments:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>p) Overlap with Safety:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The State of California mandates a preconstruction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support and requires continuous monitoring of all excavations within ten feet of high-pressure pipelines per Cal. Gov’t Code § 4216.2. Safety regulations regarding the Public Education Program include 49 C.F.R. § 192.616 and Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162. The requirements of these regulations are met and funded by the General Rate Case. The incremental funding requested in this program is to expand the public awareness program beyond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
what is required for safety so damages are minimized as much as possible with the added goal of reducing emissions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Technology:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The accompanying attachments have been redacted to remove non-responsive, non-relevant employee information.

Attachment L: Gas Standard G7451
### PART 1: OVERVIEW

**a) Best Practice: #26**

Utilities shall document procedures to address Repeat Offenders such as providing post-damage safe excavation training and on-site spot visits. Utilities shall keep track and report multiple incidents, within a 5-year period, of dig-ins from the same party in their Annual Emissions Inventory Reports. These incidents and leaks shall be recorded as required in the recordkeeping best practice. In addition, the utility should report egregious offenders to appropriate enforcement agencies including the California Contractor’s State License Board. The Board has the authority to investigate and punish dishonest or negligent contractors. Punishment can include suspension of their contractor’s license.

**b) Status: Work pending approval of AL 2621-G**

### PART 2: BEST PRACTICE DETAILS

**a) Historic work:**

SDG&E has a federally-mandated Public Awareness program, as prescribed in 49 CFR 192.616, and Damage Prevention Program CFR192.614 which contribute to enhanced public safety by providing risk mitigation measures. When excavators generate a ticket through Underground Service Alert, locate and mark employees identify lines in the area and if a high pressure line is identified, an observer is assigned to monitor the excavation. Data shows that the more Underground Service Alert is used, the less damages occur.

Damage information is entered by hand into a form by the employee(s) dispatched to repair the damaged property. The information from this form is then manually transferred into the Company Property Damage Report System. SDG&E operates three separate data systems that store line damage information: 1) Incident Management System; 2) SAP; and 3) the Company Property Damage Report System. These systems currently do not have any synergy, which can generate challenges when reporting and requires employees to enter the same information three different times and three different ways.

SDG&E currently uses the Company Property Damage Report System to track repeat offenders, and any offender with more than two damages in the previous quarter will be added to a list that is provided on a quarterly basis to the CPUC. However, this list is over simplified because repeat offenders may have a multi-year history of damaging facilities, not only on SDG&E lines but on other utilities. An excavator may be damaging every utility in California once a quarter and based on this reporting standard, they would never be labeled as a “repeat offender”.

---

**2018 LEAK ABATEMENT COMPLIANCE PLAN TEMPLATE (D.17-06-015)**
b) Alternative Proposal to BP or exemption? No

c) Proposed Plan:

SDG&E is proposing to implement an IT project that will centralize data from damage claims and locate and mark activities, generating a report of repeat offenders. This project will provide synergy capabilities between the Incident Management System, SAP, and the Company Property Damage Report System. SDG&E proposes one incremental FTE that will oversee this activity and be responsible for coordinating trainings, communications, and reporting required. Enhanced IT capabilities would increase mobility on how SDG&E captures damages to better perform analytics, to put in place preventative measures to mitigate damages. System integration would improve data analytic capabilities, reduce labor, and enhance the success of the Public Awareness and Damage Prevention Programs goals of reducing methane emissions. This system would also be able to look at the damage history holistically and identify repeat offenders more readily.

d) Overlap with other regulations? What portion of the BP is incremental beyond those regulations?

The State of California mandates a preconstruction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support Cal. Gov’t Code § 4216.2 and the Company requires continuous monitoring of all excavations within ten feet of high-pressure pipelines. Other regulations regarding the Damage Prevention Program CFR192.614, Public Education Program include 49 C.F.R. § 192.61f and Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162. The requirements of these regulations are met and funded by the General Rate Case. The incremental funding requested in this program is to expand upon what is required for the damage prevention program to identify excavators that repeatedly damage lines, causing increased emissions, and implement consequences for doing so.

e) What technology is required to implement the best practice and why?

The IT system proposed in this Best Practice will be used to identify, track, and document repeat offender contractors, report repeat offenders to Contractors State Licensing Board, and work with new California Underground Facilities Safety Protection Board to go after repeat offenders. It will also be used in conjunction with Best Practices 24 and 25, as well as Best Practice 9 to track the effectiveness of certain outreach efforts and identify a relationship between communications with specific contractors, excavators, and the general public and the amount of emissions released as a result of damages. The more information available regarding damage prevention, the better equipped SDG&E will be to proactively prevent line damages.

This project will provide synergy capabilities between the Incident Management System, SAP, and the Company Property Damage Report System. Enhanced IT capabilities would increase mobility on how SDG&E captures damages to better perform analytics, to put in place preventative measures to mitigate damages. System integration would improve data analytic capabilities, reduce labor, and enhance the success of the Public Awareness and Damage Prevention Programs goals of reducing methane emissions.
methylene emissions. This system would also be able to look at the damage history holistically and identify repeat offenders more readily.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>f) Will work require additional personnel and/or contract support? If so, please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>One incremental FTE is requested to identify, track, and document repeat offender contractors, report repeat offenders to Contractors State Licensing Board, and work with new California Underground Facilities Safety Protection Board to go after repeat offenders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>g) What changes to existing operations are required? How will those changes be implemented?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>This Best Practice introduces new responsibilities to identify, track, and document repeat offender contractors, report repeat offenders to Contractors State Licensing Board, and work with new California Underground Facilities Safety Protection Board to go after repeat offenders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h) What are the new procedures to develop or existing procedures to modify? Please provide details.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New procedures will need to be developed to reflect incremental requirements to identify, track, and document repeat offender contractors, report repeat offenders to Contractors State Licensing Board, and work with new California Underground Facilities Safety Protection Board to go after repeat offenders.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i) Timeline for implementation (Milestones):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All milestones are listed as the approximate length of time to completion from the date cost recovery is approved.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Incremental employees hired and trained: 9 months
- Commission IT project and generate scope of work: 6 months
- Field trained on updated policies: 9 months
- Policy changes published and full field implementation: 12 months
- IT project completed and fully implemented: 24 months

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>j) Identify the range of factors or considerations used to determine cost-effectiveness of this measure, when costs estimates have been determined:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is insufficient data to calculate emissions reductions expected from the activities in this Best Practice.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>k) Identify any cost benefits from this BP, when cost estimates are known:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of this IT project may result in less labor needs for manual data entry. SDG&amp;E is not able to quantify this benefit until the scope of work is generated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>l) Do any incremental costs, if known, or benefits overlap with other BPs? If so, to which BP do they overlap, what are they, and how do they overlap?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
The IT system proposed in this Best Practice will be used to identify, track, and document repeat offender contractors, report repeat offenders to Contractors State Licensing Board, and work with new California Underground Facilities Safety Protection Board to go after repeat offenders. It will also be used in conjunction with Best Practices 24 and 25, as well as Best Practice 9 to track the effectiveness of certain outreach efforts and identify a relationship between communications with specific contractors, excavators, and the public and the amount of emissions released as a result of damages. The more information available regarding damage prevention, the better equipped SDG&E will be to proactively prevent line damages.

m) Anticipated Emissions Reductions from this BP:

There is insufficient data to estimate emission reductions from the activities in this Best Practice. However, SDG&E does believe there will be some emission reductions because excavators will become motivated to using locate and mark services to evade negative consequences associated with reporting repeat offenders.

2015 Baseline Emissions affected, where known:

N/A

n) Calculation Methodology:

N/A

o) Additional Comments:

N/A

p) Overlap with Safety:

The State of California mandates a preconstruction meeting with excavators requesting Locate and Mark support of high-pressure pipelines per Cal. Gov’t Code § 4216.2 and the Company requires continuous monitoring of all excavations within ten feet of a high pressure facility. Safety regulations regarding the Damage Prevention Program CFR192.614, Public Education Program include 49 C.F.R. § 192.61f and Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators, API RP 1162. The requirements of these regulations are met and funded by the General Rate Case. The incremental funding requested in this program is to expand the public awareness program beyond what is required for safety so damages are minimized as much as possible with the added goal of reducing emissions.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

a) Technology:
<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b) Changes to Operations:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Research or Studies:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Other:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENVIRONMENTAL EXCELLENCE POLICY

PURPOSE

Environmental excellence means being a responsible steward of the earth’s natural resources and conserving plant and animal species along with their natural habitats. SDG&E is a responsible steward by conducting our activities in a way that protects the wellbeing of our employees, the public, and the environment, and promotes sustainable energy production to meet the needs of the present without impacting the ability of future generations to meet their needs.

POLICY

Energy Efficiency & Air Quality /Climate Change

Energy efficiency is a fundamental element in the progress toward a sustainable energy future. SDG&E recognizes that meeting customer energy needs requires diversification of energy sources along with efficiency both in production and use of all energy resources. SDG&E is determined to produce cleaner energy and assist our customers in consuming less of it. SDG&E recognizes that methane is a potent Green House Gas that must be prevented from escaping to the atmosphere and supports the activities prescribed in Senate Bills 1371 and 1383 to reduce methane emissions. We will continue to focus on delivering a reliable energy supply that is competitively priced and uses a low-carbon model that includes natural gas, energy efficiency, renewable power, and innovative technologies while reducing the emission of greenhouse gases that contribute to climate change.

Biodiversity & Natural Resources

San Diego has more biodiversity than any other county in North America, and along with the rest of California is among the top ten biodiversity regions on earth. SDG&E recognizes the overall challenge of environmental sustainability is the protection of biodiversity and natural resources. SDG&E is committed to conducting its operations in a way that promotes the maintenance of our regional biodiversity and the habitat upon which it depends through a coordinated and comprehensive program of avoidance, minimization and/or mitigation of impacts. SDG&E is further committed to reducing freshwater consumption and preserving water quality through the design and operation of our facilities.

Lifecycle of Operations and Other Business Activities

SDG&E is committed to preventing pollution throughout the life cycle of our operations and business activities by improving our environmental management systems. This includes “greening” procurement practices, maintaining control over the chemical substances and materials used, reducing, substituting, and eliminating substances that have potentially significant impacts, and maximizing the recycling of wastes and byproducts.

RECORDS RETENTION GUIDANCE

For guidance as to the appropriate retention period for records related to this policy, please refer to the Standard Records Series in the Records Management intranet and the Records Management and Retention Policy.
ENVIRONMENTAL EXCELLENCE POLICY

POLICY QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS

Discuss questions or concerns about this policy with your immediate supervisor or the contact personnel below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Responsible Director / Officer</th>
<th>E-mail Address</th>
<th>Phone Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Scott Pearson, Director –</td>
<td><a href="mailto:SPearson@semprautilities.com">SPearson@semprautilities.com</a></td>
<td>858-654-3580</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Responsible Officer, Vice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>President – Environmental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp; Support Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ETHICAL QUESTIONS OR CONCERNS

Questions or concerns regarding ethical practices should be directed to Business Conduct or the Ethics & Compliance Helpline at (800) 241-5689; or 001-770-582-5249 for employees outside the U.S. The Ethics & Compliance Helpline is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. All calls to the Ethics & Compliance Helpline may be treated confidentially.
Environmental Policy

Purpose

To succeed and grow as a company, we must balance economic and environmental concerns with the need to deliver energy that is safe and reliable, yet also clean and affordable. That is why we are committed to operating our companies in a way that is sustainable and respectful of the environment – from energy, water and waste, to land use and biodiversity management. This commitment goes beyond our immediate impact and includes our approach: what we focus on and how we work to promote energy efficiency, renewable energy, natural gas and innovation.

Our environmental policy:

- Underscores our commitment to abide by applicable environmental laws, regulations and permit requirements as we build and operate energy infrastructure;
- Challenges our businesses and employees to operate our assets, buildings and facilities with efficiency in mind – from energy and water use to waste and recycling;
- Articulates our aspirations to help our customers save energy and money by promoting efficiency and sustainability and pursuing innovative approaches that will benefit the environment and communities where we operate; and,
- Underscores our employees’ and suppliers’ roles in protecting the environment, as outlined in our Code of Business Conduct.

Policy Statement

The Sempra Energy companies will work internally to:

- Abide by applicable environmental laws, regulations and permit requirements as we build and operate energy infrastructure and produce, deliver and use energy;
- Implement environmental practices where possible and economically prudent, including water reuse and conservation, recycling and waste minimization, greenhouse gas and other air emissions reductions, air quality improvements, and the adoption of building and facility standards;
• Encourage innovation and enhanced cost effectiveness in methods of compliance, using practical means to gauge our performance, and implement appropriate environmental education and training programs for employees; and

• Review results, existing operations and management practices to allow for continuous improvement.

The Sempra Energy companies will work externally to:

• Support public policies and reasonable regulations that promote energy efficiency, renewable energy, and emission performance standards that encourage the use of clean fuels such as natural gas and that reduce environmental impacts using science, cost-effective technology, and common sense as the basis for these policies;

• Support reasonable regulations that govern the production of natural gas that benefits consumers, reduces environmental impact, and facilitates our nation’s access to abundant supplies of natural gas;

• Implement appropriate environmental education and training programs for customers and other stakeholders;

• Work with business partners (including suppliers, vendors, and contractors) when possible to minimize impacts on the environment;

• Encourage the development and use of efficient, clean, and cost-effective technologies while helping our customers meet their energy needs in an environmentally responsible way;

• Share our goals, progress, and performance with stakeholders with transparency; and

• Promote sound and responsible stewardship of our environment in coordination with our customers, civic and community leaders.
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The 470-megawatt Flat Ridge 2 wind farm near Wichita, Kan., as shown on the cover.
Letter from our chairman, president and CEO

The roots of our company date back well over a century. Over time, we have grown and flourished by delivering safe, reliable and affordable energy to our customers.

While this is our core mission, we must do more. We must look to secure not only the sufficient energy resources, but also the public support, market demand and skilled employees we need.

This approach benefits both our business and our stakeholders.

• We minimize our environmental footprint. Our power generation emissions rate is roughly half the U.S. national average.

• We operate efficiently. In 2016, fresh water represented just 1 percent of our total water withdrawal.

• We evaluate potential projects based on a rigorous assessment of market trends. Most of our infrastructure assets are under contract for 20 years or longer.

• We respect our employees and their ideas. This report contains numerous examples of employee-driven innovation.

• We strive to improve our performance in a wide range of areas including safety, reliability, diversity, energy efficiency and customer satisfaction. As a result, independent third parties continue to rank our company high on their indexes and scorecards.

By the year 2050, experts believe that there will be nearly 10 billion people living on our planet. They will need water to drink, food to eat, clean air to breathe, jobs to support their families - and energy to power their lives.

We are building a company today that will help meet the energy needs of future generations.

This is what we mean by “sustainable growth.”

I welcome your comments and ideas as we continue our journey.

Debra L. Reed
Chairman, President and CEO

Note: Our chairman, president and CEO’s “Letter to Shareholders” in our 2016 Annual Report and the video “Balanced Growth” provide additional detail on our vision and strategic priorities.
In 2016, Sempra Energy® met key financial and operational targets while recording earnings of $1.37 billion on revenues of $10.2 billion.

In January, after more than a year and a half of construction activities, the Cameron LNG liquefaction project team erected structural steel for the first of three liquefaction trains. This massive construction project – the largest in our history – has an expected in-service date of mid-2018 for the first train and mid-2019 for all three trains.

The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) voted to maintain the state’s existing net energy metering program, a billing mechanism that credits owners of solar-power systems for the electricity they produce for the regional grid. San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E®) expressed concern with the program, which shifts the cost of maintaining the electrical grid to non-solar-owning customers, many of whom have lower incomes.

The CPUC approved SDG&E’s pilot project to install 3,500 electric vehicle charging stations at 350 locations in its service territory.

In February, state regulators confirmed that the well that had been leaking at the Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas®) Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility had been permanently sealed and taken out of service.
Sempra LNG & Midstream signed a project development agreement with Woodside Petroleum Ltd. to explore joint development of the proposed Port Arthur LNG natural gas liquefaction facility, to include two natural gas liquefaction trains with a total export capability of 698 billion cubic feet per year.

In March, SDG&E and other California investor-owned utilities asked that the CPUC revisit its decision on net energy metering. The CPUC agreed to do so in 2019.

SDG&E announced that it signed a contract for a new 20-megawatt energy storage facility. The utility also contracted for 18.5 megawatts of energy-efficiency projects.

Forbes magazine named Sempra Energy one of America’s best large employers for 2016.

In April, SoCalGas announced a plan to resume the injection of natural gas at its Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility.

SoCalGas opened a new compressed natural gas (CNG) vehicle-fueling station in Murrieta, Calif. The station is open 24 hours a day and is located near the intersection of two interstate highways, I-15 and I-215, convenient for trucks and other commercial vehicles.

SDG&E announced that its renewable meter adapter had saved private solar customers millions of dollars since being introduced in August 2015. (Please see sidebar on page 6 for more details.)

Sempra Energy Chairman, President and CEO Debra Reed launched an initiative to identify and explore opportunities to improve business processes, achieve efficiencies and support future growth. Hundreds of employees contributed their ideas.

Sempra Energy was named one of the 100 most trustworthy companies in America by Forbes magazine.

In May, Sempra LNG & Midstream completed the sale of its 25-percent interest in the Rockies Express natural gas pipeline.

SDG&E announced it would be investing $7.5 million over the next five years in an educational campaign to inspire drivers to switch to electric vehicles. The campaign complements SDG&E’s work to install 3,500 new electric vehicle charging stations at 350 locations in its service territory.

Cameron LNG construction, by the numbers

- Approximately 7,000 employees, contractors and temporary construction workers
- 21 million work hours to date
- 92 cranes spread over an area equivalent to 380 American football fields

1 as of April 2017
In June, our Mexico business IEnova was awarded a contract in partnership with TransCanada Corporation to build, own and operate an approximately 497-mile (800 kilometer), $2.1 billion natural gas pipeline in Mexico. The 42-inch diameter South Texas-Tuxpan pipeline will have a capacity of 2.6 billion cubic feet per day, supplying natural gas, instead of fuel oil, to new and existing power plants.

In July, SoCalGas opened a new compressed natural gas (CNG) vehicle fueling station in Pico Rivera, Calif., adding to the utility’s network of CNG stations.

The Cameron LNG liquefaction expansion project received authorization from the U.S. Department of Energy to expand the amount of LNG it may export to countries that do not have a free-trade agreement with the U.S. If the expansion project moves forward, it could add another two liquefaction trains to the Cameron LNG facility.

Sempra Renewables acquired the 100-megawatt Apple Blossom wind project in Michigan from Geronimo Energy LLC. When the facility is completed, Sempra Renewables, together with its partners, will have wind and solar facilities in 11 states, capable of generating nearly 2,400 megawatts of electricity.

In August, SDG&E received CPUC approval to build two energy storage projects with a combined capacity of 37.5 megawatts in San Diego County. Both projects have since been completed. Storage resources improve the reliability of the electric grid: batteries charge when there is an abundance of solar or wind power and can provide energy in the early evening when demand peaks.

In September, IEnova entered into an agreement to purchase the Ventika I and Ventika II wind-generation facilities in Nuevo León, Mexico. The project is the largest operating wind farm in Mexico, with 84 turbines and a combined electricity generation capacity of 252 megawatts. The acquisition was completed in December.

IEnova was awarded the rights to build two solar energy projects: The 41-megawatt La Rumorosa Solar complex in Baja California and the 100-megawatt Tepezalá II Solar complex in Aguascalientes, Mexico. As of June 15, 2017, both projects were in the final permitting stages.

IEnova acquired Petróleos Mexicanos’ (PEMEX’s) 50-percent equity interest in the Gasoductos de Chihuahua joint venture, increasing IEnova’s ownership interest to 100 percent. Assets involved in the acquisition included three natural gas pipelines, an ethane pipeline, and a liquid petroleum gas pipeline and associated storage terminal.

SoCalGas announced the successful test of a system that captures natural gas associated with pipeline testing or replacement. The system, now in use, allows the utility to save the gas for later use instead of venting it to the atmosphere. Approximately 108,000 cubic feet of natural gas was captured in the test - equivalent to the amount used in approximately 500 U.S. homes each day.

Sempra Energy was named to the Dow Jones Sustainability North America Index, which recognizes North American companies that are in the top 20 percent in terms of economic, environmental and social performance.
Sempra Energy also received an “A-” from CDP, formerly the Carbon Disclosure Project, for strong climate disclosure and performance.

Sempra LNG & Midstream sold EnergySouth, the parent company of natural gas utilities Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas, to Spire Inc., formerly known as The Laclede Group Inc.

In October, Sempra Renewables dedicated Mesquite Solar 3, a 150-megawatt solar facility in Tonopah, Arizona. The facility provides power to 14 Navy and Marine Corps installations in California.

SoCalGas began work on a $3.4 million valve replacement and upgrade project at a natural-gas-valve station near Palmdale, Calif. The work is part of the utility’s Pipeline Safety Enhancement Plan (PSEP), a multi-billion-dollar program that is testing and updating the region’s natural gas pipeline infrastructure.

In November, Sempra LNG & Midstream filed applications with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) seeking authorization to site, construct and operate the Port Arthur LNG natural gas liquefaction facility in Southeast Texas. The proposed project would include two natural gas liquefaction trains capable of producing approximately 698 billion cubic feet of natural gas per year; three LNG storage tanks; and associated storage and marine facilities.

SoCalGas requested regulatory approval to resume limited injection operations and replenish the natural gas supply at its Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility.

SoCalGas announced that it had successfully completed demonstration testing of new natural gas detection sensors, as part of its overall pipeline safety efforts. The sensors, now being installed in some locations, read concentration levels every five minutes and allow SoCalGas to remotely measure and monitor natural gas levels near high-pressure pipelines.

Sempra South American Utilities terminated negotiations to participate in the approximately $6.5 billion Gasoducto Sur Peruano (GSP) natural gas pipeline project, citing concerns related to project risk.

In December, the CPUC approved SDG&E’s proposal to construct the South Orange County Reliability Enhancement project which will improve electric reliability by adding a second power source for 300,000 residents in southern Orange County.
At year-end, half of the generating capacity across all Sempra Energy businesses came from solar, wind and hydroelectric power plants.

SDG&E announced that it signed a memorandum of understanding with XL Hybrids to purchase up to 110 plug-in systems that convert gasoline-powered trucks into electric hybrids. Both SDG&E and SoCalGas have set goals for their fleets: by 2020, 51 percent of SoCalGas’ fleet and 22 percent of SDG&E’s fleet are to run on alternative fuels.

SoCalGas issued a public advisory asking customers to immediately reduce their natural gas use to help lower the risk of natural gas and electricity shortages. The CPUC ordered the creation of this “SoCalGas Advisory program” to help address concerns about regional energy reliability stemming from the continuing moratorium on natural gas injection at the Aliso Canyon natural gas storage facility.

SoCalGas announced that its power-to-gas pilot program successfully converted surplus clean energy into hydrogen. Hydrogen can be blended with natural gas, providing a use for excess renewable electricity that would otherwise go to waste.

Sempra Renewables completed construction of Copper Mountain Solar 4 in Boulder City, Nev.; Mesquite Solar 2 in Tonopah, Ariz.; and Black Oak Getty Wind in Stearns County, Minn. Combined, these projects can produce 272 megawatts of clean, renewable power.

At year-end, half of the generating capacity across all Sempra Energy businesses came from solar, wind and hydroelectric power plants.
Strategy and assets

Sempra Energy, based in San Diego, is a Fortune 500 energy services holding company with 2016 revenues of more than $10 billion. The Sempra Energy companies’ more than 16,000 employees serve approximately 32 million consumers worldwide.

Sempra Energy is organized into two operating groups: Sempra Utilities and Sempra Infrastructure. Sempra Utilities includes SDG&E, SoCalGas and Sempra South American Utilities. Sempra Infrastructure includes Sempra Mexico, Sempra LNG & Midstream and Sempra Renewables.

We believe our balanced portfolio of businesses – long-term contracted energy infrastructure assets and regulated utilities – will continue to perform well in a variety of market conditions. A range of industry and market trends support this assessment:

- Increasing investment in utility safety and reliability;
- Electric grid modernization powered by new technology and additional renewable energy resources;
- Electrification of the transportation sector;
- Increasing worldwide demand for LNG; and
- Growing energy demand in Latin America, creating the need for new energy infrastructure.

Consolidated data

Dollars in millions, except per-share amounts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$11,035</td>
<td>$10,231</td>
<td>$10,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>$1,161</td>
<td>$1,349</td>
<td>$1,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted earnings¹</td>
<td>$1,182</td>
<td>$1,298²</td>
<td>$1,267</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Earnings per share of common stock:

- Basic               | $4.72 | $5.43 | $5.48 |
- Diluted             | $4.63 | $5.37 | $5.46 |
- Adjusted diluted¹    | $4.71 | $5.21² | $5.05 |

Weighted average number of common shares outstanding (diluted, in millions):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$39,651</td>
<td>$41,150</td>
<td>$47,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common dividends declared per share</td>
<td>$2.64</td>
<td>$2.80</td>
<td>$3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debt to total capitalization</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Book value per share</td>
<td>$45.98</td>
<td>$47.56</td>
<td>$51.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital expenditures &amp; investments</td>
<td>$3,363</td>
<td>$3,356</td>
<td>$5,796</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Sempra Energy adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted earnings per share are non-GAAP financial measures (GAAP represents accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America). For an explanation and reconciliation of these non-GAAP financial measures, see “Reconciliation of Sempra Energy Non-GAAP Earnings and Diluted Earnings Per Share (Unaudited)” on page 69 of this report.

² Adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted earnings per share for the year ended December 31, 2015 have been revised to include after-tax LNG development expenses of $10 million for consistency with 2016. LNG development expenses are included in adjusted earnings and adjusted diluted earnings per share in 2016.
Sempra Energy’s values and code of conduct (see p. 14) guide the implementation of our business strategy. We strive to be a responsible partner: ethical, respectful, high-performing and forward-looking. We engage with our stakeholders – our customers, employees, investors, business partners, regulators and the communities we serve – and consider and incorporate their feedback when we can, building trust and strengthening relationships.

**Sempra Utilities**

**Southern California Gas Company:** SoCalGas has the largest customer base of any U.S. natural gas distribution utility, providing safe, reliable and affordable service to 21.7 million consumers.

**San Diego Gas & Electric:** SDG&E is an electric and gas utility that provides safe and reliable energy to 3.6 million consumers in San Diego and southern Orange Counties.

**Sempra South American Utilities:** The Sempra South American Utilities are Chilquinta Energía in Chile and Luz del Sur in Peru. Both utilities invest in electric infrastructure that provides energy to more than 7.2 million consumers.

**Sempra Infrastructure**

**Sempra Mexico:** Sempra Mexico includes IEnova, one of the largest private energy companies in Mexico. IEnova develops, builds, operates and invests in energy infrastructure in Mexico.

**Sempra LNG & Midstream:** Sempra LNG & Midstream develops and builds liquefied natural gas facilities, midstream natural gas infrastructure and natural gas storage.

**Sempra Renewables:** Sempra Renewables is a leading U.S. developer of renewable energy. Together with its partners, the company owns and operates nearly 2,400 megawatts of renewable energy capacity.
Our energy assets

Energy Assets
(includes joint ventures)
- Gas/Electric Utility
- Solar
- Wind
- Pipeline
- LNG Terminal
- Natural Gas Power Plant
- Natural Gas Storage
- LPG Terminal
- Hydroelectric Power Plant
Nearly 70 percent of the members of our board of directors are women and/or people of color.

**Governance**

**Board of directors**

The business and affairs of Sempra Energy are managed under the direction of the Sempra Energy board of directors, our company’s highest governing body. The members of our board have a fiduciary responsibility to Sempra Energy and its shareholders to act in their best interests.

Our board provides diverse and independent leadership. With the exception of our CEO, all members of our board are independent according to the principles and standards established by the New York Stock Exchange.

As of December 31, 2016, six of the 11 members of our board were women or people of color. The average board tenure was 8.5 years: six of the 11 members had tenure of fewer than six years; one had tenure of eight years; and four had tenure exceeding 13 years.

In the first half of 2017, the gender, ethnic and tenure diversity of our board increased, as we appointed three new directors and one director retired. As of May 15, 2017, nearly 70 percent of the members of our board of directors were women and/or people of color.

Our board reviews business plans and performance; reviews succession planning; and establishes corporate governance policies that guide Sempra Energy’s operations. Our board has oversight of risk management with a focus on the most significant risks facing Sempra Energy, including strategic, operational, financial, legal and compliance risks. Throughout the year, the full board and its committees meet to review and discuss specific risk topics in greater detail.

The board is organized into five standing committees: the Audit Committee; the Compensation Committee; the Corporate Governance Committee; the Environmental, Health, Safety and Technology (EHS&T) Committee; and the Executive Committee. The EHS&T Committee is responsible for oversight of corporate responsibility, including review of environmental, health and safety programs and performance, as well as review of new technologies and topics such as cybersecurity management. The EHS&T Committee reviews Sempra Energy’s annual corporate responsibility reporting efforts and is briefed on sustainability disclosure trends and initiatives.
Our board members have the skills and experience relevant to managing a large multinational energy services holding company, including in the following areas:

- Energy distribution and generation
- Real estate
- International business
- Executive experience
- Oil and gas industry
- Engineering
- Public sector and regulation
- Information technology
- Infrastructure development
- Finance and investment
- Risk management
- Legislative and public policy

Shareholder engagement

Sempra Energy’s board is accountable to shareholders. Each year, in conjunction with our annual meeting, shareholders have the opportunity to elect each member of our board of directors; to approve the selection of our independent public accounting firm; and to cast an advisory vote on the company’s executive compensation program.

In addition to these recurring votes, a shareholder who has held $2,000 of voting shares of Sempra Energy stock for at least one year may submit one proposal per year with respect to how we conduct business. These proposals are either: published in our annual proxy statement and voted on by shareholders in conjunction with the annual meeting; excluded, according to U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines; or withdrawn by the shareholder. The board may also submit proposals for shareholder consideration.
In 2016, as part of our investor relations outreach, we met with shareholders representing 33 percent of our total outstanding shares (approximately 43 percent of our institutional share ownership) to discuss a range of environmental, social and governance issues, including the Aliso Canyon natural gas leak, methane emissions, executive compensation and the company’s long-term incentive plan.

We reviewed and clarified our approach to a variety of issues, including:

**Executive compensation:** Following the “say-on-pay” vote at our 2016 annual meeting, we conducted extensive shareholder engagement to gather feedback on our compensation program, and made a number of refinements. The Compensation Committee now uses two distinct peer groups for the purposes of determining Long Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) performance: the S&P 500 Utilities Index and the S&P 500 Index. The Committee also now excludes stock buybacks not contemplated in the company’s five-year financial plan from the earnings-per-share growth that is used to determine LTIP performance.

In addition to these changes to our compensation program, the committee also did not increase our CEO’s salary or total target compensation for 2017. More details can be found in our proxy statement.

**Board refreshment:** Our annual proxy statement now includes the number of members of our board with fewer than five years; five to 10 years; and more than 10 years of service. Prior proxy statements used broader categories.

**Values and code of conduct**

At Sempra Energy, our work is guided by our values. What we do is important, but how we do it is even more critical. We act with honesty and integrity. We listen to and engage with others and seek diverse perspectives. We set and achieve tough goals. And we think strategically and critically, with an eye toward the future.
Corporate values

Shape the future
- Think strategically and critically
- Anticipate market needs
- Actively pursue and create opportunities
- Implement with discipline, manage risks

People matter
- Listen, communicate clearly, be candid
- Embrace diversity of people and perspective
- Contribute individually, succeed as a team
- Treat safety as a way of life

Do the right thing
- Act with honesty and integrity
- Be open and fair
- Keep our commitments
- Earn people’s trust

Create positive relationships
- Engage others, seek feedback, collaborate
- Support our communities
- Be a responsible environmental steward
- Do what we say we’ll do

Deliver outstanding results
- Set tough goals and achieve them, act with urgency
- Reward superior performance, acknowledge success
- Learn and improve
- Be accountable

What we do is important, but how we do it is even more critical.
Every employee regularly completes ethics and compliance training, customized to their position and responsibilities.

We expect each Sempra Energy director, employee and supplier to abide by our values - and also to understand and comply with our Code of Business Conduct (Code).

Our Code covers a wide range of topics, including safety; discrimination- and harassment-free workplace; confidentiality and privacy; environmental protection; charitable activities; political participation; anti-trust, anti-corruption and bribery; fair competition; conflicts of interest; information management; and securities trading.

Our commitment to responsible and ethical behavior is further detailed in a range of corporate policies and position statements, including our Discrimination- and Harassment-Free Workplace Policy, our Environmental Policy, our Climate Change and Air Emissions Position Statement, our Political Engagement and Contributions Policy and many others.

Every employee regularly completes ethics and compliance training, customized to their position and responsibilities.

Employees, contractors, customers and suppliers can report a potentially unsafe, unethical or compliance-related concern without fear of retaliation. To encourage this, Sempra Energy provides a wide range of reporting channels.

Employees may report a concern to: their immediate supervisor; the next level of management above their supervisor; the corporate compliance department; the human resources department; our chief ethics officer, currently Senior Vice President, Chief Human Resources and Administrative Officer G. Joyce Rowland; or the Ethics & Compliance Helpline. Or they may take other actions as outlined in our Code of Business Conduct.

Any contractor, supplier, employee or member of management who does not comply with applicable laws or corporate policies is subject to disciplinary action, including termination.

Any stakeholder, including an employee, contractor, customer or supplier, may report a concern or grievance – anonymously, if desired – via the Ethics & Compliance Helpline, available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Every report made to the Ethics & Compliance Helpline is investigated. The helpline can be accessed in the following ways:

- SempraEthics.com
- United States: 800-241-5689
- Mexico: 001-770-582-5249
- Chile: 600-320-1700
- Peru: 0800-7-0690

Political involvement

Representatives from Sempra Energy and its businesses interact with policymakers at the federal, state and local level. They participate in meetings; testify before committees; write letters in support of, or in opposition to, proposed policies; and make political contributions as allowed by law.
The company and its businesses also maintain memberships in various business and trade associations that advocate on public policy.

In 2016, Sempra Energy reported aggregated lobbying expenditures across its companies, excluding political contributions, of $3,937,595 at all levels of government. Lobbying expenses include time and expenses incurred in the course of lobbying; expenses related to the operation of our offices in Washington, D.C., and Sacramento, Calif.; fees paid to lobbying firms; and the lobbying portion of fees we paid for membership in business or trade organizations. In addition to lobbying expenses, Sempra Energy and its companies made $995,689 in campaign contributions to state and local candidates and political committees and caucuses, as allowed by law. Sempra Energy does not make political contributions to federal candidates or outside the United States.

The Sempra Energy Employees’ Political Action Committee (SEEPAC) supports candidates and elected officials, regardless of political party, who are open to learning about and addressing the issues our industry faces. In 2016, SEEPAC made $232,250 in political contributions, in compliance with the requirements governing political action committees.

Twice a year, we publicly disclose, corporate and SEEPAC political contributions as well as fees of $20,000 or more that were paid for memberships in business and trade associations, specifying the amount of such fees that were attributable to lobbying.

In 2016, Sempra Energy received the highest score for transparency on the Center for Political Accountability’s CPA-Zicklin Index, a ranking that benchmarks the political disclosure and accountability policies and practices of leading U.S. companies.
Cybersecurity risk and mitigation

Cybersecurity is a priority at Sempra Energy. In addition to the cyber risks that all corporations face, the utility industry faces evolving cybersecurity risks associated with protecting confidential customer information and electric and gas system infrastructure. An attack on our information systems or the electric or natural gas system infrastructure could have a material adverse effect on our businesses, cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and/or prospects. The theft, damage or improper disclosure of sensitive electronic data could subject us to penalties for violation of applicable privacy laws; subject us to claims from third parties; require compliance with notification and monitoring laws, regulations and requirements; and harm our reputation.

Cybersecurity and related risks for the company are overseen by the company’s senior leadership through the Compliance and Enterprise Risk Committee. The Committee is chaired by Joe Householder, corporate group president of infrastructure businesses, and Steven Davis, corporate group president of utilities. In March 2017, Sempra Energy announced the appointment of P. Kevin Chase as chief information officer. Mr. Chase has responsibility for the physical and cyber security of the Sempra Energy family of companies.

Risk management

To develop and deliver safe, reliable and affordable energy and energy services to approximately 32 million consumers, our company and its businesses must prepare for adverse events and uncertainties. We take this responsibility very seriously.

Key risks

Sempra Energy identifies, assesses and, where possible, mitigates a broad and complex set of risks commonly associated with the energy industry, as well as risks specific to our company. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed each year with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, provides a description of these risks.

Types of risk assessed include financial risks; operational risks, including safety and cybersecurity risks; regulatory and compliance risks; and other risks. Examples are listed below.

- Safety risk – There are inherent public and employee safety risks associated with operating energy generation, processing, transmission and distribution facilities.

- Financial risk – Sempra Energy’s cash flows, ability to pay dividends and ability to meet its debt obligations largely depend on the performance of its businesses and the ability to utilize the cash flows from its businesses.

- Operational risk – Severe weather conditions, natural disasters, catastrophic accidents or acts of terrorism could materially adversely affect our businesses, financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and/or prospects. (SoCalGas President and Chief Operating Officer Bret Lane discusses the Aliso Canyon natural gas leak on page 36.)

- Cybersecurity risk – The malicious use of technology could present a risk to our information systems and the integrity of our energy grid and our natural gas pipeline infrastructure and storage facilities.

- Regulatory risk – Our businesses are subject to complex government regulations and may be materially adversely affected by changes in these regulations or in their interpretation or implementation.

- Reputational risk – The reputation of our companies is fundamental to our license to operate in or near communities. This includes impacting our ability to site projects and receive needed approvals and permits from local governments and regulatory and permitting agencies.

- Compliance risk – Our businesses incur environmental compliance costs, and future environmental compliance costs could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations.

- Climate change risk – A combination of other risks: A changing climate could have operational, regulatory and reputational impacts on our businesses. A more detailed description of climate risk is on page 23.
Risk management process

At Sempra Energy, we assess a risk based on its ability, probability and potential to have a significant adverse impact on our business.

We take a rigorous approach to risk management. We use a risk framework and risk registry to assign and track risks internally. We also use a range of tools and methods, including risk maps, risk composition, risk correlation and sensitivity analysis. We look to mitigate, share or transfer risk where appropriate through methods such as operational enhancements; sharing counterparty/liquidity risk in joint ventures; use of guarantees or long-term contracts; insurance; and risk indemnification.

Risk management teams from across the company use this approach. For each identified risk, the teams assess the potential impact, likelihood of the event and strength of controls. Once a risk has been assessed, risk managers work to mitigate it.

Each principal business’ risk management department reports directly to its CEO, chief operating officer and/or chief risk officer - and reports both risks and risk mitigation strategies to its board of directors. Sempra Energy’s corporate risk management department reports to the chief financial officer - and reports aggregated risks to the Sempra Energy board of directors.

Effective risk management is essential to maintaining the stable operation of our businesses - and to achieving strong and predictable business outcomes.

Risk mitigation in Cleveland National Forest

A team of hundreds of SDG&E employees are working to fire-harden portions of the utility’s electrical distribution system in an 880 square-mile high-risk fire area of San Diego’s backcountry. Similar work has been completed in other areas of the utility’s service territory.

Crews are replacing more than 2,200 existing wood poles with steel poles. These steel poles resist fire and also allow for increased spacing between wires, reducing the risk of fire from wire-to-wire contact caused by strong winds. Crews are replacing existing conductors (power lines) with stronger steel-core conductors. Crews are also placing approximately 13 miles of power lines underground. All of these activities improve system resilience and mitigate fire risk.

The project utilizes sophisticated Geographic Information System (GIS) technology to provide information on each specific area of the project to all the people working on it. Work is being overseen by more than 40 environmental monitors. The project even has its own fire prevention plan.
By tracking compliance performance and key metrics, we protect our company from exposure to unnecessary risk.

Compliance and management systems

As an energy services holding company, Sempra Energy expects its businesses to utilize effective processes and systems to optimize performance and ensure compliance with company policies and all applicable laws, rules and regulations. By tracking compliance performance and key metrics, we protect our company from exposure to unnecessary risk and help ensure strong performance.

At the core of our compliance processes is our “tone from the top,” which is highlighted in our Code of Business Conduct – integrity, honesty and respect. But we believe tone from the top is not enough. We expect all employees to embrace our values and our commitment to compliance and ethical behavior.

A wide range of processes and management systems help us achieve compliance. These are based on the following core elements:

- **Leadership oversight and accountability** - Our senior leadership team is committed to promoting and enhancing our culture of compliance. Our company has designated chief compliance officers and related oversight committees to oversee compliance programs at the parent company as well as at each principal business.

- **Standards of conduct, policies and procedures** - Our company has a Code of Business Conduct for directors, employees and suppliers. We also keep our policies up-to-date, and communicate on a regular basis to those impacted.

- **Education, communication and awareness** - Our company has implemented a risk-based program to provide education and communication on a variety of compliance topics. Training courses are customized according to each employee’s position and responsibilities. Compliance personnel can monitor employee comprehension of key compliance principles, and can make changes to course curricula to improve training effectiveness.

- **Risk assessments, auditing and monitoring** - Our company completes an enterprise-wide risk assessment each year. The risk assessment is one of our key inputs into the development of our annual internal audit plan. In addition, each compliance program designs and implements processes to monitor effectiveness and implement improvements.

- **Establishment of reporting processes and procedures** - Anyone may anonymously report ethics and compliance concerns, grievances or potential violations through our Ethics & Compliance Helpline, available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Every report made to the Helpline is investigated in a timely manner. More information on the Helpline is on page 16.

To support the production and publication of our corporate responsibility report, we use an enterprise-wide system to collect, aggregate and analyze emissions, environmental compliance, water, safety, diversity and other types of data from Sempra Energy’s businesses. These data are also used to develop and review companywide performance objectives, responsibilities and deadlines.
Examples of compliance and management systems

Sempra Energy and its businesses utilize many different programs, processes and management systems to optimize compliance performance.

- Our Audit Services department, which reports directly to the Sempra Energy board of directors, completed 120 audits in 2016, reviewing business practices and identifying possible improvements.
- Cybersecurity-focused employee communications, one-click reporting and other tracking and reporting tools help protect company assets.
- Our California utilities (SDG&E and SoCalGas, collectively) use an environmental and safety compliance management program to ensure compliance with environmental and safety laws; rules and regulations; and company standards. Our other businesses utilize ISO14001 and other international standards.
- Business resumption plans outline how we will recover and resume operations following a natural or human-caused disaster or other unforeseen disruption.
- We use a lobbying activity tracking system to manage political activity and meet local, state and federal political reporting requirements.

Supplier selection and monitoring

Supplier selection and monitoring* is an important aspect of risk management at Sempra Energy. Our businesses must provide reliable energy and energy services to their customers. They need suppliers that can deliver essential equipment, parts and services - even in adverse conditions.

Procurement procedures and policies guide our businesses as they select and monitor suppliers and business partners. Working with a wide range of suppliers (small, mid-sized and large companies; new as well as more established companies; and companies with operations in different locations) helps ensure system reliability, and results in better service and lower costs.

Once a supplier has been selected, supply chain managers monitor performance to assess whether a particular company delivers goods or services as expected and whether their operations are in alignment with Sempra Energy’s values and standards. This includes acting with integrity (suppliers are subject to anti-corruption review); complying with applicable laws and regulations; achieving strong health and safety performance; respecting employee rights; and minimizing impacts on the environment. We provide each of our suppliers with a copy of our Code of Business Conduct, which is also posted on sempra.com.

To complement the work of supply chain managers, our Audit Services group conducts supplier audits, reviewing safety procedures and performance; training programs; subcontracting policies; and other areas.

Information on how to do business with Sempra Energy companies can be found on sempra.com.

*Information on the impact of our supply chain may be found on page 44.
Sempra Energy is concerned about climate change. That’s why we’ve been developing low-carbon energy infrastructure and reducing emissions across our portfolio for more than a decade.

We see great opportunity in addressing climate change. A range of industry and market trends indicate that demand for energy, including lower-carbon energy and energy-related services, will continue to increase. These trends include:

- Increasing investment in utility safety and reliability;
- Electric grid modernization powered by new technology and additional renewable energy resources;
- Electrification of the transportation sector;
- Increasing worldwide demand for LNG; and
- Growing energy demand in Latin America, creating the need for new energy infrastructure.

As we work to meet the demands of this marketplace, we simultaneously reduce emissions. A description of the many ways we do this is on page 26. A list of our emissions-reduction milestones is on page 25.

We also manage a wide range of risks associated with climate change.
SDG&E customers can choose 100 percent renewable energy

With just a few clicks, and for just a few dollars more per month, SDG&E customers can now opt to have 100 percent of their electricity come from renewable sources through a program called EcoChoice.SM

Here’s how it works:

• An interested customer estimates their monthly cost using the calculator at sdge.com/EcoChoice and enrolls in the program online;
• SDG&E purchases renewable power; and
• The customer begins receiving power attributable to* renewable sources.

Customers can specify how much of their power will come from renewable sources – from 50 percent to 100 percent.

SDG&E already delivers power from renewable sources (43 percent at year-end 2016). EcoChoice provides a simple way for customers to be even greener, and increase that amount to 50 percent, 60 percent or even 100 percent.

* Note: SDG&E purchases this electricity specifically for EcoChoice customers, but delivers it using its electrical grid, a system that carries power from a range of sources.
Hurricanes and flooding can impact our operations in the Gulf Coast. Sea level rise can impact operations in both of these areas.

We mitigate these risks by strengthening our infrastructure. This includes repositioning electric lines underground (where they are not exposed to vehicles, tree branches or other potential sources of trouble); converting power poles from wood to steel; working to prevent wildfires, including vegetation management (tree trimming); monitoring and predicting the weather with company meteorologists and an extensive system of weather stations (in SDG&E’s service territory); preparing the communities where we work for possible disasters or unforeseen events; and training our employees.

We also prepare for possible longer-term impacts of climate change by incorporating climate change projections into our planning process for upgrading or building new facilities.

Reputational climate risk: At Sempra Energy, we set clean energy targets. We implement energy-efficiency incentives and technologies to help our customers minimize their emissions and reduce their costs. We also work to operate our infrastructure safely and efficiently.

We face reputational risk if we miss these targets; if new technologies do not perform as expected; or if we encounter unforeseen challenges as we integrate new types of energy into the grid (renewables, storage and customer-generated energy). Moreover, events such as changing or extreme weather conditions, natural disasters, equipment failures, catastrophic accidents or other events might impact our infrastructure, our customers and our reputation.

We mitigate these risks by identifying strategies, making investments and taking actions that help us meet our targets; by exploring and investing in many different technologies; and by encouraging regulators to allocate costs fairly given the rapid transformation that is occurring in our industry.

For a more detailed discussion of Sempra Energy’s climate risks and opportunities, please see our response to CDP’s annual climate change survey at www.cdp.net.
Emissions-reduction milestones

At Sempra Energy, we develop low-carbon energy infrastructure and reduce emissions.

- Coal has not been a part of our power-generation portfolio for over a decade.
- Sempra Renewables launched the first utility-scale photovoltaic (solar) generation facility in the U.S. in December 2008.
- SDG&E became the first fully smart-meter-enabled utility in the U.S. in 2012. Smart meters help customers become more energy-efficient.
- Sempra Renewables’ Auwahi wind farm, which went into service in 2012, was one of the first integrated storage-wind projects in the country.
- In 2015, SDG&E’s Borrego Springs microgrid became the first in the nation to leverage renewable energy to power an entire residential community.
- SDG&E was the first investor-owned utility to achieve California’s 33-percent renewable energy mandate. The utility met the target in 2015, a full five years before the deadline.
- SoCalGas worked with partners to develop a near-zero-NOx-emissions heavy-duty engine fueled by natural gas. The engine, the first of its kind, was deployed commercially in 2016.
- SDG&E, with partners, is responsible for one of the largest lithium-ion grid-connected battery systems of its kind in the world. The 30-megawatt system became operational in early 2017.
- Our California utilities have installed advanced meters throughout their service territories. These meters gather data remotely, eliminating the fleet vehicle emissions associated with in-person meter reading.
- At year-end 2016, half of the generating capacity across all Sempra Energy businesses came from solar, wind and hydroelectric power plants.

In addition to these milestones, Sempra Energy’s businesses continue to innovate.

- SDG&E is involved in a demonstration project that would allow electric vehicle owners to provide battery power to the electrical grid.
- SoCalGas, in collaboration with the University of California at Irvine, is testing a power-to-gas system that creates hydrogen gas from water through a chemical reaction known as electrolysis. The hydrogen gas, which is carbon-free, can be blended with natural gas to create a lower-emissions fuel source.
- A Sempra Renewables start-up unit is developing software that allows utilities to more easily integrate renewable energy into the electric grid.
- SoCalGas is exploring ways to add dairy biogas to its natural gas distribution system. This would offset a significant amount of greenhouse gas emissions: California’s dairies release nearly 20 million metric tons of CO₂e into the atmosphere each year. (See p. 26 for definition of CO₂e.)
Actions to reduce emissions

We work to reduce our emissions and those of our customers.

We build and operate natural gas and LNG infrastructure. Natural gas is the cleanest fossil fuel and is increasingly available and affordable. Our Mexico business, IEnova, operates and is developing natural gas pipelines that will make natural gas a viable and cleaner option for industrial users and power generators in Mexico. Also, when it is liquefied, natural gas can be transported over long distances and provide end users with a cleaner alternative to fuel oil or coal. Our work with our partners to develop LNG infrastructure may bring this lower-carbon fuel to countries that currently rely on fuel oil or coal. Over time, these activities could contribute in a meaningful way to a reduction in global greenhouse gas emissions.

We use natural gas instead of coal in our power plants. In 2016, our businesses’ natural gas-fired power plants generated more than 6 million megawatt-hours of “always-on” electricity, emitting some 2.6 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (CO2e) – roughly half what would have been produced if that power had been supplied by coal-fired power plants. We have not owned coal-fired generation assets for more than a decade.

We generate energy using renewable sources. In 2016, our businesses, with their partners, generated about 6 million megawatt-hours of emissions-free renewable electricity. (Traditional power plants, producing the same amount of power, would have emitted more than 3 million metric tons of carbon dioxide.)

We purchase and deliver renewable energy. In 2016, 43 percent of the energy SDG&E delivered to its customers came from renewable sources, far exceeding the regulatory requirement that it deliver 33 percent renewable energy by 2020.

We build and invest in emissions-free energy infrastructure. Since 2008, we have developed or invested in projects in North America that can produce more than 2,700 megawatts of renewable energy. We anticipate that projects representing an additional 274 megawatts will begin operation by year-end 2019. Our Luz del Sur subsidiary in Peru operates the Santa Teresa hydroelectric plant which can produce 100 megawatts of clean energy. As the cost of developing renewable energy continues to fall, it will represent a greater proportion of the energy mix.

We improve the efficiency of energy infrastructure, including our natural gas pipelines and storage facilities. We minimize the amount of energy and water needed in operations. And our businesses inspect and repair or replace natural gas pipelines and related equipment to improve safety and reduce emissions.

We encourage our customers to save energy or to shift their energy use to off-peak hours. Energy-efficiency measures save hundreds of thousands of megawatt hours of electricity and tens of millions of therms of natural gas each year. Improving energy efficiency is one of the easiest and lowest-cost ways of reducing energy use and associated greenhouse gas emissions. In some of our utility operations, we also implement time-of-use rates for customers, offering incentives to use energy when demand is low, and minimizing the need to deploy higher-emission peaker power plants to generate energy.

What is CO₂e?

Not all greenhouse gases have the same impact on the environment. For example, one unit of methane has approximately 25 times the impact of one unit of carbon dioxide. To make it easier to quantify greenhouse gas emissions, organizations and businesses calculate and report their emissions as carbon-dioxide equivalent (CO₂e) to accurately describe the cumulative impact of the different types of greenhouse gases they emit.
Growing tomatoes, with an assist from SoCalGas

New technologies are changing the way our customers use electricity and natural gas. One example comes from Houweling’s Tomatoes and Nursery in Camarillo, Calif. Houweling’s 125-acre greenhouse uses a combined heat-and-power system that generates electricity, heat and condensed water, and repurposes carbon dioxide to benefit crop production.

Here's how it works: Three on-site internal-combustion engines generate heat and electricity for the greenhouse, including its 24/7 grow lights. Excess heat from the engines heats the greenhouse. Carbon dioxide from the exhaust system increases crop production. And condensed water produced by the exhaust system (up to 9,500 gallons per day) helps water the plants. The nursery also sells excess electricity from the system back to the grid.

SoCalGas demonstrated this system to show agricultural companies how they can meet California’s stringent air quality compliance regulations, while increasing efficiency and cutting operational costs.
Climate change resilience at SDG&E

SDG&E’s energy infrastructure is subject to many weather-related impacts, projected to intensify in the coming years. To protect its ability to continue to deliver gas and electricity, SDG&E is strengthening its system. This includes incorporating the probability of sea-level rise into its planning process for coastal facilities; ensuring that electricity and natural gas distribution systems are prepared for both drought conditions and extreme rainfall; making the electric system more resistant to wildfire impacts; and ensuring that its systems can deliver electricity to cool homes and businesses during periods of extreme temperatures and high demand.

Individuals from 15 different departments participate in internal climate advisory group that evaluates and monitors climate-related risks. SDG&E also is collaborating with the U.S. Department of Energy and 18 other utilities through the Partnership for Energy Sector Climate Resilience.

Since 2006, we have publicly disclosed our greenhouse gas emissions. In 2016, we received an “A-” on our disclosure, reflecting the fact that Sempra Energy is utilizing best practices in reporting on greenhouse gas emissions and climate change risk.

At year-end, half of the generating capacity across all Sempra Energy businesses came from solar, wind and hydroelectric power plants.

Sempra Energy generating capacity by energy source

*Includes all generation capacity planned or under construction as of June 15, 2017.
Sempra Energy’s position on U.S. energy policy

Our position on U.S. energy policy is based on the following principles:

• We advocate for a balanced policy approach that ensures consumers have access to safe, clean, affordable and reliable energy. We support national energy policies that promote supply diversity, technological innovation, energy efficiency and sound environmental stewardship.

• We believe that we can develop energy resources while also protecting the environment. Both of these objectives can and must be achieved to help power our national economy, preserve and create jobs and protect our quality of life.

• We believe U.S. policy should address climate change and energy in a coordinated manner. Natural gas, renewable energy and the development of new energy technologies like batteries should play a central role in U.S. climate and energy policy.

• We support the efficient use of energy, including in the transportation sector where electric and natural gas vehicles play an increasingly important role. Greater energy efficiency improves energy security and reduces environmental impacts.

• We believe that government support of technology development is essential. Government investment in technical education as well as research and development encourages the advancement of emerging energy technologies, which often have a high level of technical risk and long lead times to market.

• We support the implementation of stable and sensible tax policies that encourage investment in energy infrastructure and spur innovation in nascent technologies.

• We advocate for sensible and consistent regulation of our industry. Changing, excessive, duplicative or potentially conflicting regulations can increase costs, delay government approvals and adversely impact investment decisions; all of which increase consumer energy prices.

• We advocate for a free- and fair-trade policy that breaks down foreign barriers to U.S. goods and services and addresses unfair foreign trade practices and imports. Our economy and national security benefit from the export and import of energy resources such as LNG.
Our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions decreased **20 percent**, year-over-year.

---

**Emissions**

At Sempra Energy, we work to reduce emissions and to identify and mitigate climate-change related risks.

In 2016, our scope 1 and scope 2 emissions (see page 33 for definitions) were approximately 4.9 million metric tons of carbon-dioxide equivalent, also known as CO\(_2\)e (defined on page 26). This represents a year-over-year decrease of about 20 percent*, primarily due to less energy production at SDG&E’s natural gas-fired power plants and the sale of the Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas utilities. We reported all emissions from the Aliso Canyon natural gas leak, which took place from October 2015 to February 2016, in our 2015 report - these emissions totaled approximately 2.1 million metric tons of CO\(_2\)e.

Sempra Energy’s 2016 scope 3 emissions (emissions not directly associated with our operations) were approximately 52.8 million metric tons of CO\(_2\)e (see page 33 for a definition of “scope 3” and page 26 for a definition of “CO\(_2\)e”). This figure includes emissions from the generation of electricity that SDG&E purchased and delivered to its customers; emissions from our customers’ combustion of natural gas delivered to them by our SoCalGas, SDG&E and Ecogas utilities; and emissions from employee air travel. Our reported scope 3 emissions do not include upstream emissions from natural gas production wells.

As required by state law, our California utilities purchase emissions allowances and offsets to cover emissions from power plants, natural gas compressor stations, purchased power imported from out of state and customer use of natural gas. When feasible, the utilities purchase offsets within the State of California.

*Excluding emissions from the Aliso Canyon natural gas leak
Encouraging energy efficiency through our utilities

Under California state law, utility profits are not driven by the amount of energy sold. So SDG&E and SoCalGas work with their residential, business and industrial customers to determine ways they can save energy and reduce their energy bills. In 2016 alone, these energy-efficiency programs saved approximately 346,000 megawatt-hours of electricity, enough to power 57,627 homes for a year; and nearly 40 million therms of natural gas, enough to serve nearly 80,000 homes for a year. Both utilities are incentivized by regulators to meet or exceed energy-efficiency goals.

In Chile, our Chilquinta Energía business continued its energy-efficiency program named "Iluminados." Customers in the cities of Valparaiso, Quilpué and Villa Alemana can have advanced meters installed in their homes or businesses – and can exchange older inefficient refrigerators for a reduced price on a new, more efficient (A+ or A++ rated) refrigerator.

Nearly 400 customers have benefited from Iluminados, achieving an average energy savings of 15 percent per household.

---

**Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas emissions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Scope 1 emissions</th>
<th>Scope 2 emissions</th>
<th>Aliso Canyon leak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Emissions from electric utility Luz del Sur are not included. Only some of the emissions from Cameron LNG and Chilquinta Energía are included. These entities do not currently track all of their emissions.
2. 2016 emissions data are undergoing third-party verification and may be updated. 2015 emissions data have been updated following an independent verification.
3. The leak at the Aliso Canyon storage facility resulted in the loss of approximately 4.62 billion cubic feet of natural gas. Using the 100-year global warming potential (GWP) value of 25 for methane, this is equal to 2.1 million metric tons of CO₂ equivalent. This GWP comes from the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and is consistent with the GWP used for estimates produced by the California Air Resources Board. We remain committed to fully mitigating the emissions impact of the natural gas lost.

---

**2016 Scope 1 and 2 greenhouse gas emissions by source**

- **58% Stationary combustion**
- **35% Fugitive emissions**
- **3% Power line losses**
- **2% Process emissions**
- **1% Facility electricity use**
- **1% Fleet vehicles**

1. Emissions from electric utility Luz del Sur are not included. Only some of the emissions from Cameron LNG and Chilquinta Energía are included. These entities do not currently track all of their emissions.
2. 2016 emissions data are undergoing third-party verification and may be updated.
3. Emissions primarily from our natural gas power plants.
4. Emissions from leaks or other unintended releases of natural gas, excluding emissions from the Aliso Canyon leak. The leak at the Aliso Canyon storage facility resulted in the loss of approximately 4.62 billion cubic feet of natural gas. Using the 100-year global warming potential (GWP) value of 25 for methane, this is equal to 2.1 million metric tons of CO₂ equivalent. This GWP comes from the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and is consistent with the GWP used for estimates produced by the California Air Resources Board. All emissions from the leak were included in our 2015 numbers.
5. Emissions from the generation of electricity that we lose during transmission and distribution.
6. Emissions from physical or chemical processes related to combustion.
Reducing methane emissions at SoCalGas

Since the company joined the Natural Gas Star program in 1993, SoCalGas has implemented practices that have resulted in the reduction of more than 800,000 metric tons of CO₂e, the equivalent of removing 169,000 cars from the road for a year. As a result of these efforts, SoCalGas has one of the lowest methane emission rates of natural gas utilities in the U.S. (See sidebar on page 55 to learn more about natural gas pipeline testing and methane sensors at SoCalGas.)

Natural gas-fired power plants operated by Sempra Energy businesses represent our most significant source of direct (scope 1) greenhouse gas emissions. Yet these power generation operations are very efficient: In 2016, we emitted 561 pounds of carbon dioxide per megawatt-hour of electricity generated. This rate is half of the average U.S. emissions rate for power generation.

As we continue to develop and operate additional renewable energy resources, we expect that our total energy mix will become even cleaner and our power-generation CO₂ emissions rate will continue to decline.

In 2013, we set a target of achieving a rate of 658 pounds per megawatt hour or less by 2016, a 10-percent decrease compared with our 2010 baseline. We achieved this goal in 2015. We are now aiming to achieve a rate of 475 pounds per megawatt hour or less by 2021, a 35-percent decrease compared with our 2010 baseline. We are also looking into developing a science-based emissions-reduction target, aligned with the level of carbon-emissions reduction required to keep global temperature increase below 2 degrees Celsius compared with pre-industrial temperatures. More information on science-based targets is available at sciencebasedtargets.org.

Fugitive emissions (natural gas/methane emissions from leaks or other types of unintended or irregular releases) are our second most significant type of greenhouse gas emissions, behind emissions from stationary combustion. In 2016, fugitive emissions accounted for 94 percent of our methane emissions. Process emissions accounted for the remaining 6 percent. Our companywide methane emissions were 1.8 million metric tons of CO₂e; 1.5 million metric tons from SoCalGas; 0.15 million metric tons from SDG&E; and 0.12 million metric tons from our other businesses.
Categorizing greenhouse gas emissions

Greenhouse gas emissions are categorized as follows: scope 1 or direct emissions are emitted by the reporting company; scope 2 and scope 3 emissions are emitted by other companies or customers, as a result of the reporting company’s activity.

- **Scope 1 emissions** – Emissions from sources that are owned or controlled by the reporting company. For Sempra Energy, these include emissions from natural gas-fired power plants, natural gas pipelines and fleet vehicles.

- **Scope 2 emissions** – Emissions emitted by another company to generate electricity, heating/cooling or steam that the reporting company purchases and then uses in its own operations. For Sempra Energy, these include emissions from electricity purchased and used in our own facilities, as well as emissions from the electricity purchased for our customers but lost during transmission and distribution.

- **Scope 3 emissions** – Emissions (excluding those already reported in scope 2) that are a result of the reporting company’s activity, but occur at sources owned or controlled by others. For Sempra Energy, these include emissions from customer use of our services (such as customers burning natural gas we have delivered); emissions from the generation of electricity purchased for and delivered to our customers; emissions from the production of natural gas purchased for and delivered to our customers; and emissions from the production and delivery of the raw materials we need for our business (pipes, wires, meters, office supplies). Note that due to the complexity involved in tracking or estimating emissions from some sources, Sempra Energy does not report on all of types of scope 3 emissions.

These descriptions are based on definitions provided in the World Resources Institute’s Greenhouse Gas Protocol.
Capturing – and then using – dairy biogas

According to the California Air Resources Board, California’s dairies release approximately 19.6 million metric tons of CO₂e into the atmosphere each year. By capturing and conditioning this biogas, then putting it into the natural gas distribution system and delivering it to customers, we can offset a significant amount of greenhouse gas emissions. This also helps California meet its renewable-energy goals, as biogas is considered a renewable resource. SoCalGas’ biogas conditioning service helps customers use biogas produced in their own operations. The company is exploring other ways to increase the supply of biogas in California.

SoCalGas and SDG&E have been focused on measuring, monitoring and reducing methane emissions for many years. All of our operations in the U.S. follow the U.S. Department of Transportation Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) regulations for infrastructure monitoring and testing. To facilitate our compliance with all federal and state regulations, we have implemented or are taking the following actions to help detect and reduce methane emissions:

- We implement best management practices through programs such as the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency’s Natural Gas STAR program, of which SoCalGas has been a member for nearly two decades;
- We are proposing to capture bio-methane (renewable gas) from dairies and other sources, and add it to our natural gas distribution system;
- We eliminated all cast-iron pipe from our natural gas distribution system more than 20 years ago;
- We prioritize replacement of pipelines that do not have current corrosion-prevention technologies;
- We capture natural gas during pipeline testing instead of venting it to the atmosphere;
- We conduct leakage surveys using unmanned aerial vehicles (drones), fiber optic cable and point sensors;
- We implement new advanced monitoring technologies and practices in all natural gas storage operations; and
- We are piloting a power-to-gas system that uses surplus renewable energy to drive a chemical reaction known as electrolysis that creates carbon-free hydrogen gas from water. This hydrogen gas can be blended with natural gas to create a lower-emissions fuel source.
Helping customers reduce their emissions

In July, SoCalGas opened a compressed natural gas (CNG) station in the heart of a warehouse and distribution district east of Los Angeles.

The new station is open to the public, and strengthens the network of CNG stations across a key regional goods-movement corridor, providing owners and operators of natural gas-fueled trucks and other vehicles with a convenient place to refuel.

Newly available heavy-duty CNG trucks can reduce smog-forming nitrogen oxide emissions 90 percent below California Air Resources Board 2010 emissions standards, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 15 percent. This can help improve the quality of life for families in communities near transportation corridors. An expanded network of CNG stations gives companies the confidence to invest in more CNG vehicles.
Q: It’s been a little over a year since the Aliso Canyon incident. Have you learned what caused the leak?
A: While we know generally that the failure came from the well casing in one of the wells, we do not know the specific cause of the failure. About a month before the well was permanently sealed, the California Department of Conservation’s Division of Oil, Gas and Geothermal Resources (DOGGR) and the CPUC hired Blade Energy Partners (Blade) to conduct an independent investigation to determine the root cause of the leak. The timing of the root cause analysis is under the control of Blade, DOGGR and the CPUC.

Q: From a risk management standpoint, what has the company been doing to make sure something like this doesn’t happen again?
A: Since the well was sealed, SoCalGas has not stopped working to enhance the infrastructure, technology and safety at Aliso Canyon and our other three storage fields.

At Aliso Canyon, we are conducting comprehensive testing of the wells in two phases involving a battery of six different types of tests, some of which are similar to the testing we do on our pipelines. We test the conditions of the well, including the integrity of the well’s casing. We install and pressure-test brand new steel tubing, which goes inside the casing. (We've installed more than 40 miles of new tubing so far.) And we monitor the pressure on the tubing and the casing from a control room, 24 hours a day, seven days a week.

Going forward, we will withdraw and inject natural gas at the Aliso Canyon storage field through the newly installed inner steel tubing, and only at wells that have passed all tests and have been approved for use by DOGGR. In addition, we are also now operating wells at a reduced pressure, further increasing the margin of safety.

We are also implementing a suite of advanced monitoring technologies and practices that will allow for early detection of leaks at all of our storage fields. These include in-person patrols of every well several times each day; increased training for our employees and contractors; and daily scans of each well with infrared thermal-imaging cameras, which can detect even the tiniest leak by sensing minute temperature differences.

We submitted a risk management plan to DOGGR that includes ongoing physical assessments and monitoring of each well at Aliso Canyon.
Q: Since the leak, SoCalGas has not been allowed to add (inject) natural gas to the Aliso Canyon storage field and yet there have been no major interruptions in service. Is natural gas storage still needed, especially given the growing amount of battery storage in California?

A: The short answer is yes, gas storage is still critical. While we have not been injecting gas, it has sometimes been necessary to use the natural gas already stored in the field. As an example, although this past winter was quite mild, we did have a period of particularly cold weather. During that time, the demand for natural gas was so high that, for several days, we needed to withdraw some of the gas remaining at Aliso to meet natural gas and electric reliability needs in our service territory.

We also take a longer view. As the state of California moves to 33-percent and, ultimately, 50-percent renewable power, we need to be able to continue supplying energy when the sun goes down and solar energy rapidly drops from the electric grid. Quick-starting, efficient natural gas-fired power plants meet these energy needs. And natural gas storage has played – and will continue to play – a vital role in supplying the natural gas needed by these power plants. Battery storage just hasn’t been developed to the point where it will be able to meet that huge demand.

In fact, we’re developing our own battery. We are working with the University of California at Irvine to test a “power-to-gas” system which uses excess renewable energy to create hydrogen. We can mix hydrogen, which is carbon-free, into our natural gas pipelines and storage fields to create a lower-emissions fuel source. So in a way, SoCalGas’ natural gas infrastructure could be thought of as the world’s largest renewable-energy battery.

Q: SoCalGas has made a commitment to fully mitigate the actual natural gas lost from the Aliso Canyon leak. Have you made any progress on this?

A: We remain committed to fully mitigate the emissions impact of the actual natural gas lost during the leak. We’re working with various regulatory agencies and are looking at different solutions, including capturing fugitive methane from active waste sources such as dairies and wastewater facilities.

“We need to be able to supply energy when the sun goes down and solar energy rapidly drops from the electric grid.”
Fresh water represents just one percent of our total water withdrawal.

---

**Water**

We use billions of gallons of water, primarily to regasify LNG and cool our power plants. We minimize our use of fresh water, particularly in areas where water availability is a concern. Our water policy may be found at sempra.com.

In 2016, Sempra Energy and its businesses withdrew 21.9 billion gallons of water: 19.7 billion gallons of salt/brackish or seawater, primarily used to support LNG operations; 2 billion gallons of reclaimed or recycled water, primarily used to support power generation operations; and 200 million gallons of fresh water, primarily used in employee-occupied facilities and to support our Midstream operations. Fresh water represents just one percent of our total water withdrawal.

We returned 90 percent of the water we withdrew to the source.

We have minimized our need for fresh water in our power generation operations by using dry-cooling technology and reclaimed or recycled water:

- SDG&E’s 566-megawatt Palomar Energy Center in Escondido, Calif., uses reclaimed water (treated wastewater) in the electric generation process. This saved 680 million gallons of fresh water in 2016.

- SDG&E’s 485-megawatt Desert Star power plant near Boulder City, Nev., uses dry-cooling, which requires only 10 percent of the water used by traditional wet-cooled power plants.

- IEnova’s 625-megawatt Termoeléctrica de Mexicali power plant in Mexicali, Mexico, uses treated sewage, cleaned in our own water treatment facility, to cool the plant. As a result, we saved more than 1.3 billion gallons of fresh water in 2016.
Xeriscape project cuts water use 60 percent at SoCalGas facility

In August 2016, SoCalGas celebrated the completion of its San Dimas Customer Call Center’s new landscaping project. The utility replaced water-intensive turf with drought-tolerant plants such as agaves, kangaroo paws and red yucca. These colorful shrubs and plants provide a vivid contrast to boulders and a dry stream bed, designed to capture storm water run-off.

As a result of the new xeriscape landscaping, the facility expects to reduce its yearly water usage 60 percent - a savings of 1.6 million gallons of water annually.

While we continue to improve data collection related to water use, these numbers do not yet account for all aspects of our operations, including natural gas pipeline testing at our California utilities.

These operations do not have a significant impact on water supplies, because the vast majority of this water is withdrawn from and returned to the ocean.
We are committed to reducing hazardous waste, and expect to see significant reductions over time.

Waste and recycling

At Sempra Energy, we reduce our waste, reuse materials, extend the life of equipment and expand our recycling programs.

In 2016, Sempra Energy and its businesses generated and disposed of 97,585 tons of waste. Our waste and recycling programs diverted nearly 14,350 tons of material from landfills, generating more than $3.8 million in revenue. Electric transformers, meters and other metals constituted 84 percent of this total by weight.

In 2016, we generated 5,575 tons of hazardous waste and managed and disposed of it according to applicable laws. We are committed to reducing hazardous waste, and expect to see significant reductions over time. The amount of hazardous waste we generate fluctuates from year to year as we complete the clean-up of historic manufactured gas sites, and replace other energy infrastructure.

Sempra Energy businesses encourage customers to switch to paperless billing (e-billing) to reduce the amount of paper we use. As of December 31, 2016, 3.1 million, or 34 percent, of our customers have opted for paperless billing.
Reducing waste and improving efficiency at Sempra Energy headquarters

In mid-2015, Sempra Energy moved into a new 16-story headquarters in downtown San Diego. In 2016 we began to realize many of the benefits of the LEED-Gold* structure:

• A 58-percent reduction in electricity use. The building includes a 52-kilowatt solar panel system and abundant natural light.

• A 19-percent reduction in water use. The building was designed for optimal water efficiency, with drought-tolerant landscaping, irrigation efficiency technologies and a bio-filtration system to process storm water.

• A central location, close to public transportation options; dedicated parking for electric vehicles and carpools; and numerous bike-friendly features (the building was certified “bicycle-friendly” by the League of American Bicyclists in 2016).

In addition, employees eliminated more than 200,000 single-use plastic water bottles from the waste stream by using water-bottle refilling stations.

These efficiencies and other operational savings make Sempra Energy’s new building cost-neutral, and are a point of pride for headquarters-based employees.

*Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design, or LEED, is a rating system devised by the United States Green Building Council to evaluate the environmental performance of a building.
In 2016, our businesses made $53 million in capital expenditures to comply with environmental laws and regulations.

Environmental compliance

Every Sempra Energy business is accountable for following all applicable environmental regulations and laws, and for obtaining required permits and fulfilling the requirements of such permits. Environmental compliance programs include detailed plans; extensive training and monitoring; and performance evaluation.

In 2016, our businesses made $53 million in capital expenditures to comply with environmental laws and regulations. This included costs to mitigate or prevent future environmental contamination or extend the life, increase the capacity, or improve the safety or efficiency of existing operations.

In 2016, 97 percent of all agency inspections resulted in no notice of violation (NOV). We received 22 NOVs and paid $9,012 in fines and penalties, not including settlements. Six of the NOVs were related to operational protocols; five were related to air quality and emissions; five were related to permitting and reporting; four were related to waste; and two were related to water discharge. Compliance personnel at our businesses review, respond to, correct, or, in some cases, challenge the NOVs they receive.

In February 2017, SoCalGas announced that it would pay $8.5 million as part of a settlement with the South Coast Air Quality Management District to resolve a dispute related to the Aliso Canyon natural gas leak. A description of SoCalGas' response to this incident can be found in our 2015 corporate responsibility report. Additional detail on Aliso Canyon leak-related fines, penalties and settlements may be found in our 2016 10-K.

Environmental compliance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agency inspections</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>563</td>
<td>638¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal compliance assessments and audits²</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>422</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notices of violation (NOV)³</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of agency inspections with no NOV issued</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fines and penalties⁴</td>
<td>$1,734</td>
<td>$1,810</td>
<td>$50,343</td>
<td>$9,012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Agency inspections increased after the leak at SoCalGas' Aliso Canyon natural gas storage field.
² 2013 number updated due to a reporting error. The number of internal compliance assessments and audits may vary from year-to-year due to adjustment of inspection cycles as determined by risk assessments.
³ Self-reported violations are not included.
⁴ Does not include settlements. The amount of fines and penalties paid varies from year to year depending on the nature of the violation and the timing of its resolution.
Biodiversity

At Sempra Energy, we are committed to protecting and preserving biodiversity in the areas where we do business, and have restored or protected more than 13,000 acres of land.

We work to meet or exceed laws and regulations related to biodiversity. Our biodiversity policy articulates how we integrate biodiversity considerations into the planning, construction and operation of energy facilities, balancing the protection of sensitive plant and animal life with our needs as a business. We also work with independent organizations to verify sustainable practices related to land use and biodiversity.

Sempra Renewables’ protection of birds and bats provides a good example of how our businesses protect biodiversity.

During project planning, employees identify major biodiversity issues that might have an adverse impact on plant or animal species. They meet with regulatory agencies such as the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, Federal Aviation Administration, relevant state agencies and local land use authorities to gain understanding of agency concerns. They initiate field studies (raptor nesting surveys, wetland studies and habitat assessments) and provide input on construction plans, including the need for buffers around areas of concern, such as nests. Project employees also prepare a bird and bat conservation strategy to ensure compliance with federal and state laws and regulations throughout the life of the project. All of these activities take place before construction begins.

During construction, the project group monitors construction activities to ensure protection of biological resources. This includes training construction personnel; minimizing disturbance of critical habitat, roosting areas or wetlands; and ensuring that a biologist or environmental health and safety specialist is present to monitor construction activities, particularly during nighttime work.

During operation, the project group assesses the ongoing impact of the project and makes operational adjustments. Changing conditions, such as new weather patterns, might impact the facility - and might consequently impact plant and animal life. Employees visit the site to help ensure compliance, and stay up-to-date on regulatory changes that might impact the project.

Our other businesses implement similar conservation plans, protecting a wide range of animal species including the desert tortoise, Belding’s savanna sparrow, snowy plover, California least tern, light-footed clapper rail, coastal California gnatcatcher, least-Bell’s vireo, southwestern willow flycatcher, arroyo toad, Peninsular bighorn sheep and many plant species.
Supply chain impacts

Sempra Energy’s largest supply chain impacts* are from the natural gas and electricity we procure. Our core business is delivering energy to the approximately 32 million consumers served by our five utilities.

In 2016, of the electricity they delivered, SDG&E purchased 78 percent; Chilquinta Energía purchased 100 percent; and Luz del Sur purchased 95 percent.

Our businesses purchase natural gas through short- or long-term contracts that specify the source of the gas - as well as from supply aggregation points, exchanges and electronic bulletin boards that do not specify the source of the gas. Given the complexity of the natural gas supply chain, Sempra Energy advocates for a consistent set of standards for all natural gas producers.

Thousands of suppliers provide goods and services (beyond electricity and natural gas) to Sempra Energy and its businesses. They provide pipelines and cable to deliver natural gas and electricity; steel and wood for electric towers and poles; meters to measure customer usage; and office supplies and equipment. They also provide tree trimmers, construction workers, security guards, accountants and other professionals.

What impact do our suppliers have on the environment? And how can we encourage them to minimize this impact?

At our California utilities, prospective suppliers bidding on requests for proposals (RFPs) over a specific dollar amount are required to answer sustainability-related questions, and their responses are factored into the decision-making process. We continue to work to find new ways to help suppliers reduce their impact on the environment.

*Supplier selection and monitoring is on page 21; Supplier diversity is on page 60.
Responsible natural gas production

Hydraulic fracturing is the process of using pressurized fluid to fracture rock formations and extract natural gas or oil. The use of hydraulic fracturing has expanded in recent years due to technological advances.

Sempra Energy businesses purchase, store, transport and distribute natural gas. We do not extract, or produce, natural gas in any significant quantities. Nevertheless, we support reasonable rules and regulations to ensure that all natural gas producers are operating to a standard that protects consumers, the environment, the energy industry and our nation’s access to this abundant supply of domestic energy. Our hydraulic fracturing position statement outlines this view.

Our Responsible Natural Gas Production Working Group is a group of company experts evaluating how Sempra Energy and its businesses can work with key suppliers to minimize the impact of natural gas extraction. We are evaluating existing industry partnerships, voluntary standards and other initiatives to determine how this can inform our practices and purchasing policies.

In addition, SoCalGas is a member of the Natural Gas Collaborative for Responsible Supply, a group of natural gas purchasers interested in promoting the safe and sustainable development of natural gas. The group is working to develop a common set of questions to evaluate and publicly report on the environmental performance of natural gas producers, addressing stakeholder concerns about hydraulic fracturing.

Purchased power¹

1. Purchased power does not include power that the utility generated and delivered to its customers.
2. Contracts with fuel sources that include natural gas, coal or diesel are collectively referred to as thermal.
Employees

Sempra Energy’s more than 16,000 employees serve approximately 32 million consumers worldwide. When our employees are trained, challenged and empowered to take initiative, our business thrives.

Engagement

What determines how our employees work; whether they want to stay at Sempra Energy; and whether they recommend our company as a desirable place to work?

Employee engagement is a combination of satisfaction, loyalty and pride. Every other year, our employees are asked to complete a survey to assess their engagement. Employee confidentiality is maintained: The survey is administered by a third party, and results are aggregated, shared and discussed with supervisors who have five or more direct reports. Supervisors with fewer direct reports receive higher-level results.

Employees at all levels from across the company look closely at survey results and take action to make changes or improvements where needed.

Results from the 2015 survey were published in our 2015 report, and indicated strong engagement: Eighty-five percent of respondents stated they “agree” or “strongly agree” with the statement “Overall, I am extremely satisfied with this company as a place to work.” Results from 2017 will be published in our 2017 report, to be released in 2018.
Safety

At Sempra Energy, we are not satisfied unless each employee and contractor returns home safely after every workday. We encourage a safety-focused culture in which each individual feels responsible for their own safety as well as the safety of their co-workers.

In 2016, our employee safety performance continued to improve, and we saw decreases in both the rate of recordable injuries and illnesses and the rate of injuries that resulted in time away from work.

The most common employee injuries at Sempra Energy's businesses are body sprains and strains. We work to minimize these types of injuries through specific training programs on body mechanics and ergonomics. We also focus on safety during pre-work briefings before crews head out to the field. And at safety stand-downs, we review safety lessons learned.

Safety best practices, near misses, alerts and messages are shared within and across our businesses.

Safety performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee work-related fatalities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee OSHA recordable injury rate(^1)</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee lost work time case rate(^2)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor work-related fatalities</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractor OSHA recordable injury rate(^3)</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) The number of recordable injuries or illnesses per 100 full-time workers.
\(^2\) The number of lost time cases per 100 full-time workers.
\(^3\) Data from 2013-2015 are not available.

SDG&E launches, expands safety programs

San Diego Gas & Electric launched or expanded several safety programs in 2016.

The utility increased its use of certain types of safety communications, including safety-related e-mails, printed bulletins with safety awareness messages and digital signage. It expanded the Environmental and Safety Compliance Management Program (ESCMP) training to include site managers, with the purpose of supporting the required year-end ESCMP certification of compliance with training and inspections.

SDG&E also tested a fleet telematics program which monitors the location, movement, status and behavior of fleet vehicles. Driver safety remains a critical component of both employee and customer safety at the utility.
Employee benefits and wellness

Sempra Energy offers its employees* a highly competitive compensation and benefits package:

- Market-competitive base pay plan
- Performance-based incentive program
- Flexible benefit program that allows employees to choose the benefits that best meet their needs and the needs of their families, including:
  - Medical, dental and vision insurance;
  - Life insurance, long-term disability, parental leave, long-term care and accidental death & dismemberment insurance;
  - A cash balance pension plan;
  - A 401(k) savings plan with company match;
  - Tuition reimbursement of up to $5,250 per year;
  - Paid time off including vacation, flex days, holidays and sick leave;
  - An employee assistance program that includes the opportunity for clinical counseling, financial consultation, pre-retirement counseling, child-care consultations, elder-care consultations and legal counseling;
  - Volunteer/giving incentive programs; and
  - A mass-transit/parking subsidy.

Employee wellness improves recruitment, employee retention and performance. We provide a range of resources and programs to help our employees live healthier lives. Programs and amenities vary by location, but are more widely available at facilities with more employees:

- On-site fitness facilities, lockers and showers and subsidized fitness classes encourage employees to incorporate exercise into their workday routine.
- Bicycle-friendly amenities and financial incentives promote the use of biking to work as a healthy, lower-stress alternative to commuting by automobile.

*Sempra Energy businesses offer similar benefits, as detailed on their websites.
Mentoring Moments

In 2016, the company launched a speed-mentoring program called “Mentoring Moments.” The program, based on a similar national “minute mentoring” program, was championed by Sempra Energy’s Chairman, President and CEO Debra Reed.

At the first “Mentoring Moments” program, employees were divided into small groups. Each group spent 10 minutes with each of the 14 diverse company executives who had volunteered for the program. Employees had the opportunity to access a range of company leaders; get exposure to meaningful advice; and build relationships across the company.

Mentoring can play a critical role in career development. The wisdom gained from such interactions can be instrumental for both personal and professional growth.

Sempra Energy’s wellness, by the numbers* (2016)

- 2,928 flu shots administered
- 27 fitness classes offered weekly
- $1.7 million invested in wellness programs

*Includes California operations only.

When feasible, flexible work schedules, including the option to telecommute, allow for a beneficial balance between work and personal commitments. Backup dependent care provides a safety net for employees experiencing a scheduling conflict with regular childcare or eldercare providers.

Occasional lunch and learn sessions teach employees about topics such as stress management, heart health and nutrition.

Ergonomics consultations and free on-site flu vaccinations protect employee health and reduce sick days. Sit-stand desks are available at many locations.
Achieving diversity and inclusion in the workplace requires commitment, hard work and effort at every level. More than 300 employees serve on company diversity and inclusion councils.

Diversity and inclusion

At Sempra Energy, we are a stronger company when we value, respect and include people with different perspectives and diverse backgrounds. A wide range of factors influence and impact every one of our employees, including race, color, national origin, ancestry, ethnicity, education, age, marital status, veteran status, sexual identity and orientation, gender, gender identity or expression, religion, spiritual beliefs, mental and physical capabilities, and life experiences.

By respecting each employee, we create a workplace where unique perspectives yield new ideas – and stronger business performance becomes possible.

Our Discrimination- and Harassment-Free Workplace Policy formalizes our approach. Our executive commitment is a signed statement of our leadership’s belief in the importance of diversity and inclusion. Our chairman, president and CEO is a signatory to a “CEO Action for Diversity and Inclusion” pledge, and has committed that we will welcome different points of view, discuss tough issues and share successes and challenges in our workplace.

Achieving diversity and inclusion in the workplace requires commitment, hard work and effort at every level. More than 300 employees serve on our corporate-wide diversity council or on one of 13 local diversity and inclusion councils. These councils establish priorities and develop employee-focused programs and initiatives. They work to build diversity awareness, celebrate differences and foster an environment of acceptance, respect and inclusion. In 2016, in seminars, meetings (including at Sempra Energy’s annual Diversity and Inclusion Summit) and at lunchtime gatherings, employees discussed a wide range of diversity- and inclusion-related topics including: working across generations; an introduction to LGBT; disability in the workplace; bipolar disorder; gender and gender identity; faith in the workplace; diversity and innovation; diversity and safety; and implicit bias.

Our workforce demographics provide strong evidence of our commitment to, and success with, diversity and inclusion. When job openings occur, we cast a wide net to build a diverse pool of candidates.

U.S. workforce diversity (2016)
Across the company, women make up 29 percent of the workforce and 33 percent of management. (By comparison, across the utility industry in the U.S., women make up 25 percent of the workforce and 21 percent of management.) Since 2010, the percentage of people of color in our U.S. workforce has increased from 53 percent to 58 percent; the U.S. utility average is 25 percent. Sempra Energy has received several awards for its approach to, and record of achievement on, diversity and inclusion issues.

Training and development

Employee development at Sempra Energy is an employee-driven process utilizing company-provided tools and resources. We encourage each employee to create a career development plan, including both short- and long-term goals, and discuss it with their manager.

MyInfo is an online portal and one-stop shop for any learning or development an employee needs. It includes performance reviews, short- and long-term career goals, required and completed training, compensation, benefits and other information. Using MyInfo, employees may also indicate their career interests and receive notification when matching jobs are posted.

For training, employees may access a menu of online and instructor-led courses that strengthen competencies in areas critical to the company’s continued success, as identified in the Sempra Energy Leadership Model. These include leading change, inspiring trust, building talent, acting strategically and exercising good judgment. We also encourage employees to pursue educational opportunities outside of work; our Professional Development Assistance Program provides up to $5,250 per year to cover the educational expenses of employees working toward a degree or certificate. More than 450 employees participated in this program in 2016.
In 2016, Sempra Energy tested a suite of tools to assess the effectiveness and value of employee training and talent-development programs. Human resources personnel used pre- and post-training surveys to measure changes in quality, productivity, customer satisfaction, employee engagement and costs. Managers participating in the pilot program reported that training was responsible for an improvement of approximately 10 percent in their employees’ job performance. The company plans to expand the use of these analytical tools in 2017.

The company also supports mentoring including through its “M-Power” program, a diversity-focused mentoring program designed to help employees set professional goals, work in a diverse workplace, network, transfer knowledge and prepare for career advancement.

**Labor relations**

Nearly one-half of Sempra Energy’s U.S. employees, and 27 percent of its non-U.S. employees, are represented by labor unions. We respect our partnerships with unions and work with them to achieve business results that benefit our employees, our businesses and the communities we serve. We also seek opportunities to collaborate with our unions.

More information on the labor unions representing employees at each of our businesses may be found in our 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Chilquinta Energía recognized for safety culture based on respect and transparency**

Sempra Energy utility Chilquinta Energía was recognized in 2016 for its excellent safety culture. The company received the Preventive Management Award from the Carlos Vial Espantoso Foundation and the Chilean Safety Association for its commitment to the health and safety of its employees as demonstrated by a culture focused on accident prevention. The distinction celebrates companies that build labor relations based on respect and transparency.

Chilquinta Energía’s safety management is based on a system of inspections, preventive meetings, drills and training via the Center of Applied Technical Competencies (CCTA). All Chilquinta Energía employees and contractors must become certified by the CCTA. As a result of this program, accident rates at the company are well below industry standards.
Collaborating with labor unions on employee safety and health at SoCalGas

Working with employees and employee organizations is a critical part of our approach to safety throughout the Sempra Energy family of companies.

At SoCalGas, “safety champions” committees convene at the operating base or regional level and discuss operations-related issues and opportunities for improvement. The companywide Safety Leadership Team is made up of labor union officers and members of safety departments. They discuss topics of concern to the represented employees. The SoCalGas Executive Safety Committee meets quarterly at locations around the service territory with all levels of management and employees to discuss safety issues.

All safety committees have the same objectives:

• To provide continuous focus on employee safety and health as a high priority;
• To empower all employees to take an active role in managing safety;
• To clearly define and then promote (through education and training) each employee’s responsibility and accountability for safe behaviors and work practices;
• To educate all employees about the impacts of unsafe behavior on the individual, family, co-workers and the company;
• To identify company-wide injury and accident trends and recommend best safety practices for implementation;
• To improve the effectiveness of district and department joint safety committees; and
• To form closer alliances with customers about safety hazards employees face in the work environment.

Safety committees discuss operations-related issues and opportunities for improvement.
Customers and communities

Sempra Energy’s businesses serve approximately 32 million consumers worldwide. Our businesses operate utilities in California, Mexico, Chile and Peru, meeting the energy needs of a wide range of residential, commercial and industrial customers.

Engagement

Our reputation depends on strong customer and community relationships throughout our operations. Company leaders work with public affairs and community relations personnel to ensure the strength of these relationships.

Our utilities connect with their customers through mail, email, door hangers, advertising, social media and news media. They provide information and answer questions through websites and customer call centers. They review customer research and satisfaction-survey results; host community forums or information sessions; and arrange face-to-face meetings. Information on customer-assistance programs may be found on page 56.

Our infrastructure businesses also engage with people and communities. Project construction provides a good example: Beginning in the early stages of project development, they make sure local residents and business owners have an opportunity to ask questions and make suggestions. As development continues, they keep them informed through face-to-face meetings, community open house events and project update newsletters and other communications. Once development is complete, they continue to engage with stakeholders to ensure community needs are being met.

In addition to these ongoing activities, Community Advisory Councils made up of a cross section of community leaders meet periodically to provide input on topics relevant to a specific business or project.

Human rights

Throughout all of our operations, and across all stakeholder groups, Sempra Energy respects human rights. We engage with stakeholders to listen to their concerns and incorporate their suggestions and ideas whenever and wherever feasible.

Our approach to human rights is specified in several corporate policies, including our Discrimination and Harassment-Free Workplace policy. We are also in the process of developing a human rights policy.

We recently completed a human rights assessment which included benchmarking and an analysis of our operations for areas of potential risk and opportunity. According to the assessment, the siting and operation of certain energy infrastructure projects might have a moderate impact on local communities, property owners and in some cases indigenous peoples. Local, regional and national governments and permitting agencies in the countries where we operate (U.S., Mexico, Chile and Peru) require us to follow specific protocols and to have appropriate public outreach and mitigation plans in place to account for these potential impacts.
Pipeline testing and methane sensors at SoCalGas

In September 2016, SoCalGas announced the successful test of a system that captures natural gas associated with pipeline testing and replacement - natural gas that previously would have been vented to the atmosphere. The system, now in use, uses a gas compressor to move gas from the pipeline into a mobile compressed natural gas (CNG) storage system, also known as a “tube trailer.” SoCalGas has been able to collect or mitigate an average of 85 percent of the gas per testing event.

SoCalGas also completed the successful test of sensors that read methane levels every five minutes near high-pressure pipelines. The prototype module utilized commercially available sensors and sent signals through SoCalGas’ advanced meter radio system to communicate with the testing operations center to improve early leak detection. Sensors are now being installed at certain locations around SoCalGas’ service territory.

Public safety

At Sempra Energy, our top priority is safety. Nothing is more important to us than keeping our employees and customers safe.

As of December 31, 2016, our operations span 15 U.S. states, four countries and two continents. We operate five energy utilities, 119,500 miles of natural gas pipeline and 49,881 miles of electric transmission and distribution lines. We also operate two LNG receipt terminals, six underground storage facilities capable of storing 179 billion cubic feet of natural gas, and five natural gas-fired power plants. With our partners, we operate more than 850 wind turbines and nearly 6,800 acres of photovoltaic solar facilities.

Protecting the public from dangerous contact with energy facilities is an important objective and an ongoing challenge - we do not control the actions of third parties which may place them in such contact. In 2016, there were 79 injuries and six fatalities alleged to involve company pipes, poles and wires, construction areas, motor vehicles and other facilities.* Due to pending litigation and the confidential nature of settlements, Sempra Energy cannot provide further information on these incidents.

Our businesses manage the safe operation of their assets, with oversight provided by their own boards of directors, as well as the Environmental, Health, Safety and Technology Committee of Sempra Energy’s corporate board of directors. Public safety-related areas of focus include, but are not limited to:

- Educating customers about energy safety: Customers should avoid contact with electric and natural gas equipment, including poles, transformers, pipes and wires. We produce and disseminate safety education materials and encourage customers to “Dial 8-1-1 before you dig,” so our U.S. utility personnel can mark the location of buried utility-owned gas pipelines or electric lines free of charge;
- Testing and replacing natural gas pipelines; retrofitting or replacing valves to enable automatic or remote controlled response; and installing new technology for better system monitoring;
- Replacing and upgrading electrical cables, wires and other equipment;

* Does not include incidents alleged to involve the Aliso Canyon leak.
“Stop the job”

At SoCalGas, a safety best practice is “Stop the job.” This means that anyone has the power to stop a job— at any time— if they feel something is not right or if they see a condition that might be unsafe. The job can only be restarted once all concerns have been addressed and safety precautions have been taken.

Employees are encouraged to share and report safety issues because the culture at SoCalGas promotes an approach of continuous learning: Something bad could have happened, how can we learn from it? This focus can be empowering because employees know they can get involved in problem solving in a positive way.

• Installing smart-grid devices to help identify the location of an outage;
• Repositioning electric line underground (where it is not exposed to vehicles, tree branches, Mylar balloons or other potential sources of trouble); and converting power poles from wood to steel, further improving system strength, safety and reliability;
• Engaging in wildfire prevention and preparedness, including vegetation management (tree trimming); extensive weather forecasting; and employee training programs; and
• Assessing and mitigating vulnerabilities related to deliberate cyber or physical attacks on energy infrastructure.

It is vital that our utilities restore natural gas and electric service quickly and safely in the aftermath of a major disaster or emergency. Employees train for such events alongside government officials and first responders. They develop and update contingency plans and emphasize the importance of emergency preparedness to their customers: Uninterrupted access to energy is not guaranteed, so they encourage each customer to develop a written emergency plan and practice implementing it.

Energy affordability and customer-assistance programs

Public agencies, such as the CPUC, make the rules that determine how our utilities may operate, including what rates they may charge. These regulators try to balance the growing needs and demands of utility customers with the utilities’ obligation to earn a reasonable rate of return.

Sempra Energy’s utility businesses abide by these rules and regulations. They offer programs that help both business and residential customers use less energy: Energy-efficiency retrofits, appliance upgrades and on-bill financing of energy upgrades are a few examples. Level-payment plans help customers smooth out monthly volatility in energy bills. Time-of-use rates, “Reduce your Use” days, and other programs and options provide utility customers with additional money-saving options.

In addition to these customer choices, our California utilities also provide customer-assistance programs to help low-income or medically qualified customers pay their energy bills and/or reduce their energy use. The CPUC establishes enrollment targets for these programs, which include California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE) ratepayer assistance, the Medical Baseline Allowance program and the Energy Savings Assistance Program (ESAP). Utility performance against these targets is detailed in our “Goals & results” chart on page 65.

Our South American utilities also provide customer assistance. In 2016, Chilquinta Energía made more than 28,000 payment agreements with customers who were having trouble paying their energy bills. Luz del Sur provides a 30- to 40-percent discount for three to six months to approved low-income customers.
Storing energy improves reliability

In March 2016, SDG&E announced that it signed a contract with Hecate Energy Bancroft LLC for a new 20-megawatt energy storage facility. And in August, the utility received regulatory approval to build two energy storage projects with a combined capacity of 37.5 megawatts in San Diego County.

Energy storage improves grid reliability: Batteries charge when there is an abundance of solar or wind power and provide energy in the early evening when demand for electricity peaks.

The CPUC has set energy storage targets for SDG&E: 165 megawatts of energy storage must be operational by 2024; 330 megawatts must be operational by 2030.

Reliability

Our utilities build, operate, maintain and improve their energy infrastructure to provide electricity and natural gas service to their customers. When service interruptions occur, our utilities identify the location or source of the outage and work to restore service quickly and safely. Vehicle crashes, equipment failure and construction activity are some common causes of power outages and natural gas service disruptions.

SDG&E has been recognized for 11 consecutive years with the “Best in the West” award for electric reliability from PA Consulting, an independent consulting firm. A typical SDG&E customer experiences one power outage every other year. On average, an outage lasts about one hour.

Both Chilquinta Energía and Luz del Sur provide service reliability that far exceeds standards established by local regulators. In 2016, for the sixth consecutive year, Chilquinta Energía ranked No. 1 in terms of quality electricity supply among electric distribution utilities in Chile with more than 120,000 customers.

Electric reliability performance (2016)¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>utilities</th>
<th>SAIDI²: (Average outage duration, in minutes)</th>
<th>SAIFI³: (Average number of outages per customer, per year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chilquinta Energía</td>
<td>649</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luz del Sur</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ System operating conditions and methodology for calculating performance vary significantly from country to country.
² System Average Interruption Duration Index.
³ System Average Interruption Frequency Index.

Reliability is also important to our natural gas utilities. They develop short- and long-term demand forecasts to help ensure that they are prepared to meet the needs of their customers. As an example, SoCalGas delivers natural gas to companies that own and operate natural gas-fired power plants. If SoCalGas does not have an adequate supply of natural gas, these power plants might need to curtail their operations, leading to widespread electricity outages.
Economic impact

A company’s financial performance matters, not just to its employees and shareholders, but also to its suppliers, contractors, customers and communities it serves, as well as the governmental jurisdictions where it does business. The economic value a company creates is distributed to these stakeholders in the form of wages and benefits; payments for operating costs; dividends to shareholders; payments to governments in the form of fees or taxes; and contributions to community organizations.

In 2016, Sempra Energy generated direct economic value of nearly $11 billion,* of which $8.8 billion* was distributed to stakeholders:

---

**Economic value**

For year ended December 31, 2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic value generated</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues</td>
<td>$10,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest and dividend receipts</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proceeds from sale of assets and investments</td>
<td>763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$10,997</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic value distributed</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operating costs</td>
<td>$4,922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee wages and benefits</td>
<td>1,971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholders and providers of capital</td>
<td>588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Payments to government</td>
<td>517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shareholder dividends</td>
<td>749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community investments</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$8,762</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Economic value retained (generated-distributed) | $2,235 |

* Mobile Gas and Wilmut Gas data are included through the date of sale.

---

Philanthropy and community involvement

Sempra Energy’s philanthropy and employee volunteerism are aligned with our business priorities. We focus on the environment because we recognize that our business operations have an impact. We contribute to community development and education because strong economies support a higher quality of life—and effective schools can develop skilled workers and wise leaders. And we prioritize emergency preparedness to help make sure our communities are ready to respond to unforeseen events.

---

* These figures were determined according to the guidelines provided by the Global Reporting Initiative.
We contribute to community development and education because strong economies support a higher quality of life – and effective schools can develop skilled workers and wise leaders.

Examples of community involvement include:

• As part of its Environmental All-Stars program, nearly 100 SDG&E volunteers worked with local residents to repair and renovate nine homes during the annual City Heights Facelift. The team painted, cleaned parkways and planted drought-resistant succulents and trees, helping to improve the neighborhood.

• Employees from the Gas Engineering division at SoCalGas supported the Team Science Summer Science Camp. Employees helped design and teach several science workshops where students applied scientific, technical, engineering and mathematical principals to real-world situations. A contribution from the company also helped provide scholarships for area children to attend the camp.

• The Mesquite Solar Wildlife Oasis is located adjacent to Sempra Renewables’ Mesquite Solar complex. A donation from the company, in partnership with the education nonprofit Wildlife for Tomorrow, allowed more than 3,000 K-12 students from the Phoenix area to visit this living lab, where they had the opportunity to learn about the desert habitat and wildlife.

• 150 employees from across the Sempra Energy family of companies biked more than 2,000 miles, gave more than 500 hours of their time and raised more than $185,000 to fund cancer research in the San Diego area, in collaboration with the nonprofit Pedal the Cause.
In 2016, the company recorded $3.3 million in employee giving and employee volunteer time of 22,000 hours.

The Sempra Energy Foundation encouraged employees to contribute to relief agencies in the wake of three natural disasters in 2016: the floods in Louisiana, the earthquake in Ecuador and Hurricane Matthew in the Southeastern U.S. The Foundation and employees gave $140,000 to help people impacted by these events.

Sempra Energy business IEnova’s foundation donated school supplies, toys and clothing, and provided financial support to foster homes in Mexicali, Ensenada, Hermosillo, Chihuahua, Torreón, Monterrey and Mexico City.

Sempra Energy supports employee giving through programs like the Sempra Energy Giving Network, a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization that allows employees to set up direct payroll contributions to charities of their choice. The company also supports employee volunteerism through programs such as the Volunteer Incentive Program, which allows employees who give at least 10 hours of their personal time to a nonprofit organization or school to request a grant from the Sempra Energy Foundation to that nonprofit organization or school.

In 2016, the company recorded $3.3 million in employee giving and employee volunteer time of 22,000 hours.

**Business partners and suppliers**

Business partners and suppliers are critical to Sempra Energy’s success. We often submit bids in collaboration with business partners who can play an important role in managing or implementing different phases of a project. We depend on suppliers for equipment, parts and services essential to system reliability.

Once a supplier has been selected, supply chain managers in our businesses monitor their performance and work with them to find ways to limit their environmental impact. For a description of how we engage with suppliers, please see the Supplier selection and monitoring section of this report on page 21. For a description of how we manage the environmental impacts of our suppliers and supply chain, please see the Supply chain impacts section of this report on page 44.

At our California utilities, supplier diversity includes working with Diverse Business Enterprises (DBEs). It is important that the companies that provide materials and support to SoCalGas and SDG&E reflect the communities these utilities serve. In 2016, 42 percent* and 43 percent of total spending at SoCalGas and SDG&E, respectively, went to DBEs, far exceeding the guidelines established by the CPUC.

---

*Excludes highly specialized companies brought in to help stop the Aliso Canyon leak. Including leak-related expenditures, SoCalGas’ DBE spend was 35 percent.
Regulators

Sempra Energy's utility customers want safe, clean, reliable and affordable energy. Our utilities want to provide this service, while earning a reasonable rate of return for their efforts. Regulators work to balance these sometimes-competing requirements: Although our utilities may have the exclusive right to provide energy service to their customers, regulators set rules that specify where that energy comes from; how much infrastructure is needed to deliver it; and how much it should cost. Regulators review project proposals, issue permits and oversee utility procurement and delivery of natural gas and electricity.

Regulatory affairs, government affairs and other employees work to ensure regulators understand our company's perspective on a wide range of relevant issues. They participate in public meetings, provide testimony and interact with regulators via phone, email or in-person meetings. Strict rules of conduct govern how we engage with regulators and how these interactions must be reported.

For more information on how our utilities are regulated, please see page 9 of our 2016 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Investors and shareholders

A description of how we engage with shareholders can be found on page 13 of this report, in the Governance section.
About this report

Sustainable Growth is Sempra Energy’s corporate responsibility report for the year 2016.

To sustain our growth, we must serve our customers while ensuring we have the raw materials, the public support, the market demand and the skilled employees we will need over the long term.

Reporting framework and materiality

This report has been prepared in accordance with the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) Standards: Core option. A detailed GRI index can be found on page 71.

Report data includes all businesses and facilities where we have operational control. Additionally, report data includes Cameron LNG, a joint venture that we do not control but that will have a significant impact on our earnings. Data are based on our percent ownership. Report data does not include the Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas utilities; the sale of these facilities was announced in April 2016 and completed in September. Other data exclusions or additions are noted.
Sempra Energy’s corporate responsibility report focuses on material issues.

In 2016, we reviewed industry-specific materiality assessments conducted by the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB) and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and updated the material issues we address (developed in 2014, based on feedback from approximately 400 stakeholders) as follows:

1. Employee engagement and safety (p. 46-47)
2. Ethics and governance (p. 12-16)
3. Rates and reliability (p. 56-57)
4. Customers and communities (p. 54-56, 58-60)
5. Compliance (p. 20, 42)
6. Water (p. 38-39)
7. Climate change and emissions (p. 22-35)
8. Environmental impact (p. 30-34, 38-45)
9. The future (p. 4-9, 19, 22-28, 34-35, 41, 45, 46-52, 55, 57, 58)
10. Supply chain (p. 21, 44-45, 60)

This report provides detailed descriptions of our approach and performance related to each of these topics.

Please let us know how we can improve our sustainability reporting to better meet your needs.

Contact:

Molly Cartmill
Director, Corporate Social Responsibility
619-696-2000
corporateresponsibility@sempra.com.

Data verification and report review

We use an online system to collect performance data and supporting documentation from our corporate headquarters and principal businesses. We conduct periodic internal audits to review data accuracy. We report some data publicly to government agencies, and obtain third-party verification of a subset of this data in the year following publication.

Greenhouse gas emissions for 2015 were verified as follows: SDG&E, by GHD Services, Inc.; SoCalGas, by Lloyd’s Register Quality Assurance, Inc.; and Termoeléctrica de Mexicali, by Cameron-Cole, LLC. The verification process for 2016 greenhouse gas emissions will be completed later in 2017.

The Environmental, Health, Safety and Technology Committee of Sempra Energy’s board of directors reviewed this report prior to its publication.
## Performance data

### Business and governance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Revenues (millions of dollars)</td>
<td>10,557</td>
<td>11,035</td>
<td>10,231</td>
<td>10,183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings (millions of dollars)</td>
<td>1,001</td>
<td>1,161</td>
<td>1,349</td>
<td>1,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings per diluted share (dollars)</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets (millions of dollars)</td>
<td>37,165</td>
<td>39,651</td>
<td>41,150</td>
<td>47,786</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of board directors</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of independent board directors</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent board directors that are women or minorities (% of independent directors)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ethics and compliance helpline calls

- 2013: 167
- 2014: 202
- 2015: 260
- 2016: 232

### Environment

#### Renewable energy deliveries (% of previous year total sales)

- 2013: 23.6%
- 2014: 31.9%
- 2015: 35.2%
- 2016: 43%

#### Agency inspections

- 2013: 395
- 2014: 443
- 2015: 563
- 2016: 638

#### Notices of violation (NOV)

- 2013: 8
- 2014: 10
- 2015: 22
- 2016: 22

#### Inspections with no NOV issued (% of total inspections)

- 2013: 98%
- 2014: 98%
- 2015: 96%
- 2016: 97%

#### Fines and penalties (dollars)

- 2013: 1,734
- 2014: 1,810
- 2015: 50,343
- 2016: 9,012

#### Internal compliance assessments and audits

- 2013: 569
- 2014: 422
- 2015: 422
- 2016: 325

#### Scope 1 greenhouse gas emissions (million metric tons CO$_2$e)

- 2013: 7.5
- 2014: 6.7
- 2015: 8.1
- 2016: 4.7

#### Scope 2 greenhouse gas emissions (million metric tons CO$_2$e)

- 2013: 0.226
- 2014: 0.308
- 2015: 0.250
- 2016: 0.212

#### CO$_2$ emissions rate for power generation (lbs CO$_2$/megawatt-hour)

- 2013: 708
- 2014: 694
- 2015: 649
- 2016: 561

#### NO$_x$ emissions from power generation (tons)

- 2013: 98
- 2014: 98
- 2015: 96
- 2016: 97

#### SO$_2$ emissions from power generation (tons)

- 2013: 98
- 2014: 98
- 2015: 96
- 2016: 97

#### Total water withdrawal (billions of gallons)

- 2013: 31.9
- 2014: 31.4
- 2015: 27.9
- 2016: 21.9

#### Returned water (billions of gallons)

- 2013: 28.7
- 2014: 28.2
- 2015: 25
- 2016: 19.7

### Our stakeholders

#### Number of employees

- 2013: 17,100
- 2014: 17,000
- 2015: 17,400
- 2016: 16,600

#### Employee work-related fatalities

- 2013: 1
- 2014: 0
- 2015: 1
- 2016: 0

#### Recordable injury case rate (per 100 full-time workers)

- 2013: 2.41
- 2014: 2.41
- 2015: 2.35
- 2016: 2.31

#### Employee lost work time case rate (per 100 full-time workers)

- 2013: 0.88
- 2014: 0.80
- 2015: 0.77
- 2016: 0.73

#### Women in workforce (% of total workforce)

- 2013: 29
- 2014: 29
- 2015: 28
- 2016: 28

#### Women in management (% of management employees)

- 2013: 33
- 2014: 33
- 2015: 33
- 2016: 33

#### People of color in workforce (% of U.S. employees)

- 2013: 56
- 2014: 56
- 2015: 57
- 2016: 58

#### People of color in management (% of U.S. management)

- 2013: 47
- 2014: 48
- 2015: 50
- 2016: 51

#### Spending with diverse business enterprises (% of total spending)

- 2013: 45
- 2014: 46
- 2015: 44
- 2016: 43

#### Community giving (millions of dollars)

- 2013: 15.4
- 2014: 18.6
- 2015: 18.9
- 2016: 19.6

---

1. Power delivered to SDG&E customers only, based on SDG&E’s renewable-portfolio-standard reporting, subject to CPUC revision.
2. Agency inspections increased after the leak at SoCalGas’ Aliso Canyon natural gas storage field.
3. Self-reported violations are not included.
4. Does not include settlements. The amount of fines and penalties paid varies from year to year depending on the nature of the violation and the timing of its resolution.
5. 2013 number updated due to reporting error. The number of internal compliance assessments and audits may vary from year-to-year due to adjustment of inspection cycles as determined by risk assessments.
6. 2015 greenhouse gas emissions data have been updated following an independent verification of the data.
7. 2016 greenhouse gas emissions data are undergoing third-party verification and may be updated upon completion of the analysis.
8. Includes an estimated 2.1 million metric tons CO$_2$e equivalent from the Aliso Canyon leak.
9. Data includes emissions from power purchased and delivered to SDG&E customers and emissions from our customers’ combustion of natural gas. The 2016 number also includes employee air travel.
10. Emissions rate for power generation on an equity-share basis. Data from Chilquinta Energía’s 8-megawatt peaker plant are not included.
11. While we continue to improve data collection related to water use, these numbers do not yet account for all aspects of our operations, including natural gas pipeline testing at our California utilities.
12. Excludes highly specialized companies brought in to help stop the Aliso Canyon leak. Including leak-related expenditures, the utilities’ overall DBE spend was 38 percent.
## Goals & results

**2016 Goals**  | **2016 Results**  | **2017 Goals**
--- | --- | ---
### Emissions reduction
Decrease our CO₂ emissions rate for power generation by at least 10 percent by 2016 compared to a 2010 baseline. | ● Decreased rate by 23 percent | Decrease our CO₂ emissions rate for power generation by at least 35 percent by 2021 compared to a 2010 baseline.

### Renewable energy and innovation
Provide an average of 25 percent of customers' electricity from renewable sources of energy by 2016 and 33 percent by 2020 (SDG&E) | ● Provided 43 percent from renewable sources of energy | Provide an average of 50 percent of customers' electricity from renewable sources by 2030 (SDG&E)

Invest in 2,028 megawatts of renewable power by 2018 (Sempra Renewables) | ● Completed 422 megawatts, bringing the company's wholly and jointly owned operating renewables portfolio up to 2,297 megawatts | Invest in 2,945 megawatts of renewable power by the end of 2021 (68 percent of our generation portfolio) (Sempra Renewables & IEnova)

| n/a | n/a | Develop or interconnect at least 165 megawatts of energy storage on the system by 2024 and 330 megawatts by 2030 (SDG&E)

| n/a | By 2025, develop the charging infrastructure to support 150,000 electric vehicles (SDG&E)

| n/a | By 2020, 51 percent of fleet will run on alternative fuels (SoCalGas)

| n/a | By 2020, 22 percent of fleet will run on alternative fuels (SDG&E)

Install approximately 6 million natural gas smart meters by end of 2017 (SoCalGas) | ● 5.8 million meters installed | Install approximately 6 million natural gas smart meters by end of 2017 (SoCalGas)

| n/a | n/a | By 2030, facilitate the conversion of 160,000 heavy-duty trucks from diesel to natural gas (SoCalGas)

| n/a | n/a | Decrease carbon in SoCalGas' pipelines by introducing renewable natural gas to the Core natural gas procurement portfolio by 2025

### Energy efficiency
Aim for the following, through customer energy efficiency programs (SDG&E): | Saved: | Aim for additional savings through customer energy efficiency programs (SDG&E):
--- | --- | ---
324 gigawatt-hours in energy savings | ● 346 gigawatt-hours | 304 gigawatt-hours in energy savings
57 megawatts of demand reduction | ● 93 megawatts | 50 megawatts of demand reduction
3.2 million therms of natural gas saved | ● 3.6 million therms | 3.3 million therms of natural gas saved

Aim for the following, through customer energy efficiency programs (SoCalGas): | Saved: | Aim for additional savings through customer energy efficiency programs (SoCalGas):
--- | --- | ---
29.1 million therms of natural gas saved | ● 36 million therms | 30.3 million therms of natural gas saved

Reduce facility electricity consumption per square foot compared to 2015 usage (SDG&E) | ● Reduced consumption 0.4 percent over 2016, a nearly 30 percent reduction from the 2003 baseline | Reduce facility electricity consumption per square foot compared to 2016 usage, while adding infrastructure to charge 300 employee electric vehicles (SDG&E)

Reduce facility electricity consumption 5 percent in 2016 compared to 2015 (SoCalGas) | ● Reduced consumption 3 percent | Reduce facility electricity consumption 5 percent in 2017 compared to 2016 (SoCalGas)
### Goals & results¹ (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 Goals</th>
<th>2016 Results</th>
<th>2017 Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce facility water consumption compared to 2015 levels and 20 percent less than baseline year of 2010 (SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>☐ Increased consumption 1.8 percent</td>
<td>Reduce consumption compared to 2016 and use 20 percent less than consumed in our baseline year of 2010 (SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce facility water consumption 5 percent compared to a 2007 baseline (SoCalGas)</td>
<td>☐ Reduced consumption 11 percent</td>
<td>Maintain at least a 5 percent reduction in facility water consumption compared to a 2007 baseline (SoCalGas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety and Public Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Achieve a consolidated recordable injury rate³ of 2.31 cases per 100 full-time workers</td>
<td>☐ Achieved rate of 1.78 cases</td>
<td>Maintain a culture of safety, striving for zero injuries⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Decrease overall pipeline damage rate (per 1,000 service tickets) by 15 percent compared to a 2016 baseline (SoCalGas &amp; SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete enhanced well integrity inspections on 100 percent of underground storage wells by the end of 2019 (SoCalGas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Replace approximately 800 miles of pipeline at SoCalGas and 100 miles at SDG&amp;E by 2021 as part of the Pipeline Safety Enhancement Program (PSEP) (SoCalGas &amp; SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complete high pressure pipeline inspections on 1,700 miles of pipeline at SoCalGas and 120 miles at SDG&amp;E by 2021 as part of the PSEP (SoCalGas &amp; SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n/a</td>
<td></td>
<td>Install and retrofit more than 100 automated control valves and test/replace more than 180 miles of high pressure pipeline by 2021 as part of the PSEP (SoCalGas &amp; SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reliability</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit average duration of electricity outages (SAIDI) to:</td>
<td>Limit average duration of electricity outages (SAIDI) to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 minutes (SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>☐ 72 minutes</td>
<td>63 minutes (SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>553 minutes (Chilquinta Energía)</td>
<td>☐ 649 minutes</td>
<td>553 minutes (Chilquinta Energía)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>643.1 minutes (Luz del Sur)</td>
<td>☐ 540 minutes</td>
<td>390 minutes (Luz del Sur)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limit average number of electricity outages (SAIFI) to:</td>
<td>Limit average number of electricity outages (SAIFI) to:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.51 outages (SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>☐ 0.61 outages</td>
<td>0.51 outages (SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.11 outages (Chilquinta Energía)</td>
<td>☐ 3.98 outages</td>
<td>5.11 outages (Chilquinta Energía)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.9 outages (Luz del Sur)</td>
<td>☐ 2.34 outages</td>
<td>3 outages (Luz del Sur)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Customer assistance programs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enroll 90 percent of eligible customers in California Alternate Rates for Energy program (SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>☐ Enrolled 77 percent</td>
<td>Enroll 90 percent of eligible customers in the California Alternate Rates for Energy program (SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enroll 90 percent of eligible customers in California Alternate Rates for Energy program (SoCalGas)</td>
<td>☐ Enrolled 82 percent</td>
<td>Enroll 90 percent of eligible customers in California Alternate Rates for Energy program (SoCalGas)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weatherize 20,316 homes through the Energy Savings Assistance Program (SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>☐ Weatherized 19,792 homes</td>
<td>Weatherize 20,316 homes through the Energy Savings Assistance Program (SDG&amp;E)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Goals & results (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2016 Goals</th>
<th>2016 Results</th>
<th>2017 Goals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weatherize <strong>136,836 homes</strong> through the Energy Savings Assistance Program (SoCalGas)</td>
<td>Weatherized <strong>69,811 homes</strong></td>
<td>Weatherize <strong>110,000 homes</strong> through the Energy Savings Assistance Program (SoCalGas)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Diverse Business Enterprises (DBEs)

| Aim for **40 percent** in spending with diverse business enterprises (DBEs) (SDG&E) | Achieved **43 percent** | Aim for **40 percent** in spending with diverse business enterprises (DBEs) (SDG&E) |
| Aim for at least **38 percent** in spending with diverse business enterprises (DBEs) (SoCalGas) | Achieved **42 percent**<sup>5</sup> | Aim for at least **38 percent** in spending with diverse business enterprises (DBEs) (SoCalGas) |

### Community Giving

| Contribute **1 percent** of annual pretax income to our communities | Contributed **1.07 percent** | Contribute **1 percent** of annual pretax income to charities<sup>6</sup> |

---

1. If goal is not Sempra-wide, the relevant business unit is indicated in parentheses in the Goals columns.
2. These results subject to review and audit by the CPUC and other regulatory agencies.
3. Goal includes not only employees, but also contractors at our utilities in Mexico, Chile and Peru, where they perform a very substantial proportion of the work.
4. Year-to-year safety performance can be found in the Performance data table on page 64.
5. Excludes the highly specialized companies brought in to help stop the Aliso Canyon leak. Including leak-related expenditures, SoCalGas’ DBE spend was 35 percent.
6. Our methodology has changed and going forward our goal will be to give one percent of pretax income to fully charitable entities.
Disclaimers

Forward-looking statements

We make statements in this report that are not historical fact and constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements are based upon assumptions with respect to the future, involve risks and uncertainties, and are not guarantees of performance. These forward-looking statements represent our estimates and assumptions only as of the date that this report was first published. We assume no obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statement as a result of new information, future events or other factors.

In this report, when we use words such as “believes,” “expects,” “anticipates,” “plans,” “estimates,” “projects,” “forecasts,” “contemplates,” “assumes,” “depends,” “should,” “could,” “would,” “will,” “confident,” “may,” “can,” “potential,” “possible,” “proposed,” “target,” “pursue,” “outlook,” “maintain,” or similar expressions, or when we discuss our guidance, strategy, plans, goals, opportunities, projections, initiatives, objectives or intentions, we are making forward-looking statements.

Factors, among others, that could cause our actual results and future actions to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements include actions and the timing of actions, including decisions, new regulations, and issuances of permits and other authorizations by the California Public Utilities Commission, U.S. Department of Energy, California Division of Oil, Gas, and Geothermal Resources, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration, Los Angeles County Department of Public Health, states, cities and counties, and other regulatory and governmental bodies in the United States and other countries in which we operate; the timing and success of business development efforts and construction projects, including risks in obtaining or maintaining permits and other authorizations on a timely basis, risks in completing construction projects on schedule and on budget, and risks in obtaining the consent and participation of partners; the resolution of civil and criminal litigation and regulatory investigations; deviations from regulatory precedent or practice that result in a reallocation of benefits or burdens among shareholders and ratepayers; modifications of settlements; delays in, or disallowance or denial of, regulatory agency authorizations to recover costs in rates from customers (including with respect to regulatory assets associated with the San Onofre Nuclear Generating Station facility and 2007 wildfires) or regulatory agency approval for projects required to enhance safety and reliability; the availability of electric power, natural gas and liquefied natural gas, and natural gas pipeline and storage capacity, including disruptions caused by failures in the transmission grid, moratoria on the withdrawal or injection of natural gas from or into storage facilities, and equipment failures; changes in energy markets; volatility in commodity prices; moves to reduce or eliminate reliance on natural gas; the impact on the value of our investment in natural gas storage and related assets from low natural gas prices, low volatility of natural gas prices and the inability to procure favorable long-term contracts for storage services; risks posed by actions of third parties who control the operations of our investments, and risks that our partners or counterparties will be unable or unwilling to fulfill their contractual commitments; weather conditions, natural disasters, accidents, equipment failures, computer system outages, explosions, terrorist attacks and other events that disrupt our operations, damage our facilities and systems, cause the release of greenhouse gases, radioactive materials and harmful emissions, cause wildfires and subject us to third-party liability for property damage or personal injuries, fines and penalties, some of which may not be covered by insurance (including costs in excess of applicable policy limits) or may be disputed by insurers; cybersecurity threats to the energy grid, storage and pipeline infrastructure, the information and systems used to operate our businesses and the confidentiality of our proprietary information and the personal information of our customers and employees; capital markets and economic conditions, including the availability of credit and the liquidity of our investments; and fluctuations in inflation, interest and currency exchange rates and our ability to effectively hedge the risk of such fluctuations; changes in the tax code as a result of potential federal tax reform, such as the elimination of the deduction for interest and non-deductibility of all, or a portion of, the cost of imported materials, equipment and commodities; changes in foreign and domestic trade policies and laws, including border tariffs, revisions to favorable international trade agreements, and changes that make our exports less competitive or otherwise restrict our ability to export; the ability to win competitively bid infrastructure projects against a number of strong and aggressive competitors; expropriation of assets by foreign governments and title and other property disputes; the impact on reliability of San Diego Gas & Electric Company’s (SDG&E) electric transmission and distribution system due to increased amount and variability of power supply from renewable energy sources;
the impact on competitive customer rates due to the growth in distributed and local power generation and the corresponding decrease in demand for power delivered through SDG&E’s electric transmission and distribution system and from possible departing retail load resulting from customers transferring to Direct Access and Community Choice Aggregation; and other uncertainties, some of which may be difficult to predict and are beyond our control.

We caution you not to rely unduly on any forward-looking statements. You should review and consider carefully the risks, uncertainties and other factors that affect our business as described herein and in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K and other reports that we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Reconciliation of Non-GAAP Measures (Unaudited)

Reconciliation of Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings and Diluted Earnings Per Share (EPS) to Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings and Adjusted Earnings Per Share (Unaudited)

We prepare the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP. However, management may use earnings and earnings per share adjusted to exclude certain items (adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share) internally for financial planning, for analysis of performance and for reporting of results to the Board of Directors. We may also use adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share when communicating our financial results and earnings outlook to analysts and investors. Adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share are non-GAAP financial measures. Because of the significance and/or nature of the excluded items, management believes that these non-GAAP financial measures provide a meaningful comparison of the performance of Sempra Energy’s business operations to prior and future periods.

Non-GAAP financial measures are supplementary information that should be considered in addition to, but not as a substitute for, the information prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The table that follows reconciles adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share to Sempra Energy Earnings and Diluted Earnings Per Common Share, which we consider to be the most directly comparable financial measures calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP, for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.
## Sempra Energy adjusted earnings and adjusted earnings per share

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax amount</th>
<th>Income tax expense (benefit)(1)</th>
<th>Non-controlling interests</th>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Diluted EPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings</strong></td>
<td>$1,370</td>
<td>$5.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excluded items:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remeasurement gain in connection with GdC</td>
<td>$(617)</td>
<td>$185</td>
<td>$82</td>
<td>(350)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of EnergySouth</td>
<td>(130)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent release of pipeline capacity</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>(83)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDG&amp;E tax repairs adjustments related to 2016 GRC FD</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SoCalGas tax repairs adjustments related to 2016 GRC FD</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>(34)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of investment in Rockies Express</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>(17)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impairment of TdM assets held for sale</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>(20)</td>
<td>(21)</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred income tax expense associated with TdM</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(3)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings</strong></td>
<td>$1,267</td>
<td>$5.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands) $251,155

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax amount</th>
<th>Income tax expense (benefit)(1)</th>
<th>Non-controlling interests</th>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Diluted EPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings</strong></td>
<td>$1,349</td>
<td>$5.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excluded items:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gain on sale of Mesquite Power block 2</td>
<td>$(61)</td>
<td>$25</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SONGS plant closure adjustment</td>
<td>(26)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>(15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings</strong></td>
<td>$1,298</td>
<td>$5.17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands) 250,923

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretax amount</th>
<th>Income tax expense (benefit)(1)</th>
<th>Non-controlling interests</th>
<th>Earnings</th>
<th>Diluted EPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sempra Energy GAAP Earnings</strong></td>
<td>$1,161</td>
<td>$4.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excluded item:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SONGS plant closure loss(2)</td>
<td>$6</td>
<td>$15</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sempra Energy Adjusted Earnings</strong></td>
<td>$1,182</td>
<td>$4.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weighted-average number of shares outstanding, diluted (thousands) 250,655

---

1. Income taxes were calculated based on applicable statutory tax rates, except for adjustments that are solely income tax. Income taxes on the impairment of TdM were calculated based on the applicable statutory tax rate, including translation from historic to current exchange rates.

2. After including a $17 million charge to reduce certain tax regulatory assets attributed to SONGS, the adjustment to loss from plant closure is a $21 million charge to earnings.
Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) index

Sempra Energy follows the GRI standards, an internationally-recognized standardized framework for disclosing economic, environmental and social performance. The 2016 report qualifies at the in accordance-core level. We also provide information on additional standard disclosures where data is available.

General standard disclosures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>102-1</td>
<td>Name of the organization</td>
<td>Sempra Energy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-2</td>
<td>Primary brands, products, and services</td>
<td>Strategy and assets 2016 10K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-3</td>
<td>Location of organization's headquarters</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-4</td>
<td>Number and name of countries where the organization has significant operations</td>
<td>We have operations in the United States, Mexico, Chile and Peru (4).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-5</td>
<td>Nature of ownership and legal form</td>
<td>Sempra Energy is an investor-owned corporation, Common shares trade on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “SRE”.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-6</td>
<td>Nature of markets served (including geographic breakdown, sectors served, and types of beneficiaries)</td>
<td>Strategy and assets 2016 Annual Report 2016 Statistical Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-7</td>
<td>Scale of the reporting organization (employees, operations, net sales, capitalization, quantity of products/services)</td>
<td>Strategy and assets Performance data 2016 Statistical Report</td>
<td>Employees by employment type and by gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-8</td>
<td>Workforce</td>
<td>Contractors perform a variety of services for our companies. This includes office support services and field support including vegetation management, construction, trenching, etc. In the U.S. approximately 550 of our 13,000 employees work a part-time schedule. Data related to our workforce is compiled through the annual corporate responsibility data collection process. In general human resources information is available in a system called MyInfo which houses a variety of data and information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-9</td>
<td>Describe supply chain</td>
<td>Business partners and suppliers Supply chain impacts</td>
<td>Data for diverse supplier spend is currently only available for our California utilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-10</td>
<td>Significant changes from previous report regarding size, structure, and ownership</td>
<td>Year in review 2016 10K In late 2016 we reorganized our businesses into a new structure: Sempra Utilities and Sempra Infrastructure. Sempra Utilities includes SDG&amp;E, SoCalGas, Chilquinta Energia and Luz del Sur. Sempra Infrastructure includes our business in Mexico, IEnova, Sempra LNG &amp; Midstream and Sempra Renewables. Sempra Renewables acquired the 100-megawatt Apple Blossom wind project in Michigan from Geronimo Energy, LLC. In September, IEnova entered into an agreement to purchase the Ventika I and Ventika II wind-generation facilities in Nuevo León, Mexico. Sempra LNG &amp; Midstream sold EnergySouth, the parent company of natural gas utilities Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas, to Spire Inc., formerly known as The Laclede Group, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-11</td>
<td>Explanation of whether and how the precautionary approach or principle is addressed by the organization</td>
<td>Risk management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-12</td>
<td>External charters, principles, initiatives</td>
<td>These are referenced throughout the 2016 Corporate Responsibility Report.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-13</td>
<td>Memberships in associations</td>
<td>On sempra.com we publish [<a href="http://www.sempra.com/about/governance/political-engagement/">http://www.sempra.com/about/governance/political-engagement/</a>] a list of trade organizations and business memberships which received annual dues and payments of $20,000 or more.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-14</td>
<td>Statement from senior decision-maker</td>
<td>Letter from our Chairman, President and CEO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-15</td>
<td>Key impacts, risks and opportunities</td>
<td>Risk management The environment Performance data Goals and Results 2016 10k</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-16</td>
<td>Values, principles, standards and norms of behavior such as code of conduct and code of ethics</td>
<td>Codes of conduct: - Board of directors and senior officers - Employees - Standards for an ethical workplace - Suppliers - Extension of Sempra conduct standards Corporate values</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-17</td>
<td>Mechanisms for advice and concerns about ethics</td>
<td>Values and code of conduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-18</td>
<td>Governance structure of the organization, including committees under the highest governance body responsible for specific tasks, such as setting strategy or organizational oversight</td>
<td>Governance 2017 Proxy Statement Board Committee Charters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-20</td>
<td>Identify executive-level position with responsibility for economic, environmental and social topics and reporting to highest governance body.</td>
<td>Dennis Arriola, Executive Vice President - External Affairs and Corporate Strategy, also serves as Sempra Energy's Chief Sustainability Officer. Arriola reports directly to Debra Reed, Chairman and CEO of Sempra Energy.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-21</td>
<td>Mechanisms for consultation between stakeholders and highest governance body on economic, environmental and social topics</td>
<td>2017 Proxy Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-22</td>
<td>Composition of the highest governance body and its committees</td>
<td>2017 Proxy Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-23</td>
<td>Indicate whether the Chair of the highest governance body is also an executive officer, and if so, reason for this arrangement.</td>
<td>Sempra Energy shareholder proposals have included the request that the company adopt a policy that our chairman of the board be independent and not a current or former executive of the company. Our board of directors believes we are best served by retaining the board’s flexibility to determine on a case-by-case basis whether the chief executive officer or an independent director should serve as chairman of the board. In November 2012, our board of directors elected CEO Debra Reed as chairman of the board. During those periods in which our chairman is not independent, an independent lead director is appointed by the independent members of our board. William C. Rusnack has served in this role since 2009. Sempra Energy has established a strong lead director role, consistent with input from shareholders.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-24</td>
<td>Process for determining the qualifications and expertise of the members of the highest governance body for guiding the organization's strategy on economic, environmental, and social topics</td>
<td>Corporate Governance Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-25</td>
<td>Processes in place for the highest governance body to ensure conflicts of interest are avoided</td>
<td>Corporate Governance Guidelines</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Proxy Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-26</td>
<td>Role of highest governance body in setting purpose, values, and strategy</td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-27</td>
<td>Collective knowledge of highest governance body</td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-28</td>
<td>Process for evaluating the board's own performance</td>
<td>Corporate Governance Committee Charter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-32</td>
<td>Highest governance body's role in sustainability reporting</td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-35</td>
<td>Remuneration policies for highest governance body and senior executives; Linkage between compensation for members of the highest governance body, senior managers, and executives, and the organization's performance</td>
<td>2017 Proxy Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-36</td>
<td>Process for determining remuneration</td>
<td>2017 Proxy Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-37</td>
<td>How stakeholders' views are sought and taken into account regarding remuneration and whether they are independent of management</td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Proxy Statement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-40</td>
<td>List of stakeholder groups engaged by the organization</td>
<td>Engaging, building trust and fostering relationships with our stakeholders leads to a more stable and predictable business environment. These stakeholders include: our 16,600 employees; the 32 million consumers we serve; the hundreds of communities where we do business; regulators, policymakers and concerned leaders in the jurisdictions where we operate; and our shareholders. Governance Employees Customers and communities About this report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-41</td>
<td>Percentage of employees covered by collective bargaining agreements</td>
<td>Labor relations Field employees and some technical, administrative and clerical employees are represented by labor unions in their respective countries. Nearly one-half of Sempra Energy’s U.S. employees, and 27 percent of our non-U.S. employees, are represented by labor unions. 2016 10K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-42</td>
<td>Basis for identification and selection of stakeholders with whom to engage</td>
<td>Governance Customers and communities About this report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-43</td>
<td>Approaches to stakeholder engagement, including frequency of engagement by type and by stakeholder group</td>
<td>Governance Customers and communities About this report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102-44</td>
<td>Key topics and concerns that have been raised through stakeholder engagement, and how the organization has responded to those key topics and concerns</td>
<td>Governance Customers and communities About this report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 102-45          | Entities included in financial statements, and specify which are included/excluded from this report. | Sempra Energy’s principal operating units are: **Sempra Utilities**  
- SDG&E and SoCalGas, which are separate, reportable segments;  
- South American Utilities which includes Chilquinta Energía in Chile and Luz del Sur in Peru  
**Sempra Infrastructure**  
- Sempra Mexico includes IEnova, one of the largest private energy companies in Mexico  
- Sempra LNG & Midstream develops liquefied natural gas facilities, midstream natural gas infrastructure and natural gas storage  
- Sempra Renewables is a leading U.S. developer of renewable energy. Together with its partners, the company owns and operates nearly 2,300 megawatts of renewable energy capacity.  
Information and data on all operating units is included in this report. Limitations are noted per metric within the Content Index omissions column or as footnotes throughout the report. |-----------|
| 102-46          | Process for defining report content and topic boundaries                      | About this report                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Partial response. |
| 102-47          | List all material topics identified in the process for defining report content | About this report                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |-----------|
| 102-48          | Explanation of the effect of any restatements of information provided in earlier reports | 2015 greenhouse gas emissions data was updated following an independent review. In addition, we updated the number of internal assessments and audits related to the environment in 2013 upon discovery of a reporting error.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |-----------|
| 102-49          | Significant changes from previous reporting periods in the scope, boundary, or measurement methods applied in the report | On October 1, 2014, Sempra Natural Gas and its joint venture project partners completed the formation of a joint venture for their investment in the development, construction and operation of a natural gas liquefaction export facility. Our 50.2-percent retained equity in the joint venture, Cameron LNG Holdings, was derived from the contribution of our existing Cameron LNG regasification facility in Hackberry, Louisiana to the joint venture. Given the significance of this project to our future earnings we will report 50.2 percent of the data associated with this facility even though we do not have operational control. 2018 is expected to be the first year of full operations of the liquefaction facility.  
Report data does not include the Mobile Gas and Willmut Gas utilities; the sale of these facilities was announced in April 2016 and completed in September  |-----------|
| 102-50          | Reporting Period                                                             | Calendar year 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |-----------|
| 102-51          | Date of most recent previous report                                          | June 2016, covering calendar year 2015                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |-----------|
| 102-52          | Reporting cycle                                                              | Annual                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |-----------|
| 102-53          | Contact information                                                          | Molly Cartmill, Director, Corporate Social Responsibility corporateresponsibility@sempra.com                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |-----------|
| 102-54          | "In accordance" option                                                       | About this report                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |-----------|
| 102-55          | Location of GRI Index                                                        | GRI Index                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |-----------|
| 102-56          | Assurance                                                                    | Greenhouse gas emissions for 2015 were verified as follows: SDG&E, by GHD Services, Inc.; SoCalGas, by Lloyd’s Register Quality Assurance, Inc.; and Termoeléctrica de Mexicali, by Cameron-Cole, LLC. The verification process for 2016 greenhouse gas emissions will be completed later in 2016. We are working towards assurance for other data in our corporate responsibility report in future years.                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |-----------|
### Standard number: EU1

**Description**: Installed capacity, broken down by primary energy source and by regulatory regime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Source</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Chile</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,194</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td></td>
<td>658</td>
<td>329.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td></td>
<td>732</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Response**

### Standard number: EU2

**Description**: Net energy output broken down by primary energy source and by regulatory regime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy Source</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Chile</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Thermal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural Gas</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,678,063</td>
<td>2,697,445</td>
<td>7,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wind</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,957,516</td>
<td>211,411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solar</td>
<td></td>
<td>994,091</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydro</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>648,527</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Response**

### Standard number: EU3

**Description**: Number of residential, industrial, institutional, and commercial customer accounts

**Response**

2016 Statistical Report

### Standard number: EU4

**Description**: Length of above and underground transmission and distribution lines by regulatory regime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulatory Regime</th>
<th>U.S.</th>
<th>Mexico</th>
<th>Chile</th>
<th>Peru</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Above ground (miles)</td>
<td>25,359</td>
<td>10,470</td>
<td>13,957</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under ground (miles)</td>
<td>14,432</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>8,187</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Response**

### Standard number: EU5

**Description**: Allocation of CO₂e emissions allowances or equivalent, broken down by carbon trading framework

**Response**

As part of the effort to meet California’s legal requirement that GHG emissions be reduced to 1990 levels by 2020, a cap and trade program was adopted. We participate in the program, which is now linked with Québec’s cap and trade system. The first auction of vintage 2013 and 2016 allowances took place in November 2012 and quarterly auctions began in February 2013. Cap and trade compliance began in 2013, with the first compliance period covering electric generators, electricity importers and industrial sources that emit more than 25,000 metric tons of CO₂e per year. Phase 2 began in January 2016 and expanded to include distributors of fuels.

See [https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/capandtrade.htm](https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/capandtrade/capandtrade.htm) for more information.

---

### Specific standard disclosures

**Category: Economic**

**Economic performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Sempra Energy combines deep industry expertise with rigorous risk management to deliver superior shareholder returns. A company’s financial performance matters, not just to its employees and shareholders, but also to its suppliers and contractors; to the customers it serves; and to the communities and governmental jurisdictions where it does business. &lt;br&gt;Year in Review &lt;br&gt;2016 Annual Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-1</td>
<td>Direct economic value generated and distributed, including revenues, operating costs, employee compensation, donations and other community investments, retained earnings, and payments to capital providers and governments</td>
<td>Customers and communities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-2</td>
<td>Financial implications and other risks and opportunities for the organization's activities due to climate change</td>
<td>Risk management Climate change Sempra’s response to the CDP’s climate change survey also covers this in detail. Please see <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a> 2016 10K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-3</td>
<td>Coverage of the organization's defined benefit plan obligations</td>
<td>2016 Annual Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201-4</td>
<td>Significant financial assistance received from government</td>
<td>No significant financial assistance was received from any of the governments in countries where we have operations. 2016 Annual Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Market presence:** This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality

### Indirect economic impacts

**103-2** Management approach  
Energy is vital to the communities we serve. We engage with customers and community leaders to identify and discuss potential infrastructure needs and impacts and learn about ways to mitigate them.

**203-1** Development and impact of infrastructure investments and services supported  
Customers and communities  
http://www.semprarenewables.com/our-commitment/community/  

**203-2** Significant indirect economic impacts, including the extent of impacts  
Customers and communities  
http://www.semprarenewables.com/our-commitment/community/  
http://sempraing.com/community/

### Procurement practices

**103-2** Management approach  
Supply chain impacts Business partners and suppliers  
Partially reported, only data from California utilities is included.

**204-1** Proportion of spending on local suppliers at significant locations of operation  
At our California utilities, 66 percent of total supplier spend in 2015 was with suppliers headquartered in California.

### EU Sector Topic: Availability and Reliability

**EU10** Planned capacity against projected electricity demand over the long term, broken down by energy source and regulatory regime  
2016 Annual Report SDG&E Long-Term Procurement Plan  
Partially reported, only data from California utilities is included.

### EU Sector Topic System efficiency

**EU11** Average generation efficiency of thermal plants by energy source and by regulatory regime  
Natural gas U.S. 7,410 Mexico 7,292  
Partially reported, data from 8-megawatt power plant in Chile is not included.

**EU12** Transmission and distribution losses as a percentage of total energy  
Transmission losses U.S. 2.04% Chile 1.12% Peru 1.94%  
Distribution losses U.S. 3.06% Chile 7.9% Peru 4.68%

### Anti-corruption

**103-2** Management approach  
Code of Business Conduct Values and codes of conduct

**205-1** Total number and percentage of operations assessed for risks related to corruption and the significant risks identified  
All business units are analyzed for risks associated with corruption.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>205-2</td>
<td>Communication and training on anti-corruption policies and procedures</td>
<td>To emphasize the importance of ethics and compliance, we require all employees to complete a training curriculum each year, customized according to their position and responsibilities. The courses address topics such as insider trading; Sarbanes-Oxley regulations; anti-corruption, including local laws and the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act; Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Standards of Conduct; California Public Utilities Commission affiliate-compliance rules; safety; harassment-free workplace and workplace violence. Governance Risk management Code of Business Conduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>205-3</td>
<td>Confirmed incidents of corruption and actions taken</td>
<td>No incidents of corruption identified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Anti-competitive behavior:** *This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality, but we are providing some information because of its importance to some stakeholders*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Federal and state antitrust laws were enacted to promote competition, preserve our private enterprise system and protect the public, including companies like Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries, from predatory conduct and unfair competition. It is the long established policy of Sempra Energy and its subsidiaries (the “Companies”) to comply with all laws applicable to their conduct and, specifically, with the antitrust laws. Compliance with the antitrust laws can only further the Companies’ goals since those laws are intended to protect and preserve a competitive economy in which private enterprise can flourish. Code of Business Conduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206-1</td>
<td>Total number of legal actions for anti-competitive behavior, anti-trust, and monopoly practices and their outcomes</td>
<td>There were no legal actions taken for anti-competitive behavior in 2016.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Category: Environmental**

**Materials:** *This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality*

**Energy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>At Sempra Energy, our business strategy is directly linked to our forecast that demand for lower-carbon sources of energy will continue to rise. Our commitment to respecting the environment is aligned with our commitment to delivering shareholder value. We promote energy efficiency; develop and operate lower-carbon energy infrastructure; and embrace innovation because these activities position us to succeed in a low-carbon world and help the environment. Strategy and assets Climate change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302-1</td>
<td>Energy consumption within the organization</td>
<td>See our response to the CDP climate change survey at <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302-2</td>
<td>Energy consumption outside of the organization</td>
<td>As an energy utility we work to safely and reliably deliver electricity and natural gas. - Kilowatt-hour sales (millions of hours): 36,810 - Total natural gas throughput (billion cubic feet): 1,004</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302-3</td>
<td>Energy intensity</td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302-4</td>
<td>Reductions in energy consumption</td>
<td>Goals and results Only data for electricity reduction at SDG&amp;E and SoCalGas employee-occupied facilities is included.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Water</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Water Policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303-1</td>
<td>Total water withdrawal by source</td>
<td>Sempra’s response to the CDP’s water survey also covers this in detail. Please see <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a>. All numbers in billions of gallons: Surface water: 19.7, Ground water: 41, Rainwater: 0, Waste water: 0, Municipal water: 19.</td>
<td>We continue to improve data collection around our water use, but these numbers do not yet account for all of our operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>303-3</td>
<td>Percentage and total volume of water recycled and reused</td>
<td>Several of our facilities utilize recycled water in their operations. For example, SDG&amp;E’s 566-megawatt Palomar Energy Center uses reclaimed water (treated wastewater) to generate electricity and Sempra International’s 625-megawatt Termoeléctrica de Mexicali power plant uses treated sewage, cleaned in our own water treatment facility, to cool the plant.</td>
<td>Partially reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Biodiversity Policy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304-1</td>
<td>Operational sites owned, leased, managed in, or adjacent to, protected areas and areas of high biodiversity value outside protected areas</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>Partially reported, not all data available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304-2</td>
<td>Description of significant impacts of activities, products, and services on biodiversity in protected areas and areas of high biodiversity value outside protected areas</td>
<td>Biodiversity</td>
<td>Partially reported, not all data available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304-3</td>
<td>Habitats protected or restored</td>
<td>2016 Annual Report SDG&amp;E preservation properties IEnova Sustainability Report</td>
<td>Partially reported, not all data available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304-4</td>
<td>Number of IUCN Red List species and national conservation list species with habitats in areas affected by operations, by level of extinction risk</td>
<td>- Coastal California gnatcatcher: Federal – Threatened; California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) - Species of Special Concern - Quino checkerspot butterfly: Federal - Endangered - Arroyo toad: Federal - Endangered; CDFW - Species of Special Concern - Least Bell’s vireo: Federal and State - Endangered - Southwestern willow flycatcher: Federal and State - Endangered - Barefoot banded gecko: State – Threatened - Peninsular bighorn sheep: Federal and State - Threatened; CDFW - Fully Protected</td>
<td>Data reported is only for our SDG&amp;E operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emissions</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Climate change Emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305-1</td>
<td>Direct greenhouse gas emissions (Scope 1)</td>
<td>Emissions Sempra’s response to the CDP’s investor survey also covers this in detail. Please see <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a>.</td>
<td>Emissions from electric utility Luz del Sur are not included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305-2</td>
<td>Indirect greenhouse gas emissions (Scope 2)</td>
<td>Emissions Sempra’s response to the CDP’s investor survey also covers this in detail. Please see <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a>.</td>
<td>Emissions from electric utility Luz del Sur and Cameron LNG are not included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305-3</td>
<td>Indirect greenhouse gas emissions (Scope 3)</td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td>Sempra’s response to the CDP’s investor survey also covers this in detail. Please see <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305-4</td>
<td>GHG Emissions intensity</td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305-5</td>
<td>Reduction of greenhouse gas emissions</td>
<td>Emissions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>305-7</td>
<td>NOx, SOx, and other significant air emissions by type</td>
<td>Performance data table</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effluents and waste**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>103-2</th>
<th>Management approach</th>
<th>Waste and recycling Environmental Policy</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306-1</td>
<td>Total water discharge by quality and destination</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Sempra’s response to the CDP’s water survey also covers this in detail. Please see <a href="http://www.cdp.net">www.cdp.net</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>306-2</td>
<td>Total weight of waste by type and disposal method</td>
<td>2016 waste disposal (in short tons)</td>
<td>Non-hazardous waste recycled: 9,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-hazardous composted: 56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-hazardous waste recovered: 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-hazardous waste incinerated: 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-hazardous waste disposed of through deep well injection: 1,834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Non-hazardous waste disposed of in a landfill: 17,434</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste recycled: 704</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste composted: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste recovered: 29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste incinerated: 191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste disposed of through deep well injection: 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hazardous waste disposed of in a landfill: 4,384</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 306-3           | Total number and volume of significant spills    | Sempra Energy did not experience any significant spills in 2016.          |                                                                            |

**Environmental compliance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>103-2</th>
<th>Management approach</th>
<th>Governance</th>
<th>Risk management</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>307-1</td>
<td>Monetary value of significant fines and total number of non-monetary sanctions for non-compliance with environmental laws and regulations</td>
<td>Environmental compliance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Transport: This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality**

**Supplier environmental assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>103-2</th>
<th>Management approach</th>
<th>Supply chain impacts</th>
<th>Business partners and suppliers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>308-1</td>
<td>Percentage of new suppliers that were screened using environmental criteria</td>
<td>At our California utilities, SDG&amp;E and SoCalGas, all new suppliers are screened using environmental criteria.</td>
<td>Partially reported. Other U.S. and international operations are not included in this response, we are working to expand our reporting in this area in future years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>308-2</td>
<td>Significant actual and potential negative environmental impacts in the supply chain and actions taken</td>
<td>We are unaware of any actual or potential negative environmental impacts in our supply chain.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Environmental grievance mechanisms: This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category: Social</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401-1</td>
<td>Total number and rates of new employee hires and employee turnover by age group, gender and region</td>
<td>Employee turnover: U.S. 13% Voluntary turnover: 6%</td>
<td>Partially reported. While international operations are not included, we are working to expand our reporting in this area in future years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU15</td>
<td>Percentage of employees eligible to retire in the next 5 and 10 years broken down by job category and region</td>
<td>Eligible to retire in 5 years: U.S. 36% Eligible to retire in 10 years: 48%</td>
<td>Partially reported. While international operations are not included, we are working to expand our reporting in this area in future years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU18</td>
<td>Percentage of contractor and subcontractor employees that have undergone relevant health and safety training</td>
<td>Sempra Energy is committed to the health and safety of its employees, customers, suppliers and the communities in which we operate. Our suppliers are expected to provide a safe working environment that supports accident prevention and minimizes exposure to health risks. It is the supplier's responsibility to know and understand the health and safety laws and regulations impacting the goods and services they provide.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Labor/Management relations</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Nearly one-half of Sempra Energy employees are represented by labor unions. We value our association with the unions that represent our employees and work collaboratively with them to achieve results that are beneficial to employees, customers and the Sempra Energy family of companies. At Sempra Energy, we are not satisfied unless every employee and contractor returns home safely after every workday. Our culture of personal responsibility is a critical part of safety performance. Our goal is for each employee and contractor to feel personally responsible and empowered to take care of their safety as well as the safety of those around them.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>402-1</td>
<td>Minimum notice regarding operational changes, including whether it is specified in collective agreements</td>
<td>2016 Annual Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occupational health and safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403-1</td>
<td>Workers representation in formal joint management-worker health and safety committees</td>
<td>Safety Labor relations</td>
<td>Percentage of workers represented by committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403-2</td>
<td>Type of injury and rates of injury, occupational diseases, lost days and absenteeism, and total number of work-related fatalities by region and gender</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403-4</td>
<td>Health and safety topics in formal agreements</td>
<td>2016 Annual Report</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training and education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Delivering safe, clean, reliable, affordable energy requires significant human capital, creativity and care. When our people are trained, challenged and empowered to take initiative, our business thrives. Employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404-1</td>
<td>Average hours of training per year per employee by gender and employee category</td>
<td>Average hours of training and development per FTE in 2016 were 60.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404-2</td>
<td>Programs for skills management and lifelong learning</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404-3</td>
<td>Percentage of employees receiving regular performance reviews by gender and employee category</td>
<td>All employees receive regular performance reviews from their manager.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Diversity and equal opportunity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Employees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405-1</td>
<td>Composition of governance bodies and breakdown of employees per employee category according to gender, age, minority group member (other diversity)</td>
<td>Governance Employees</td>
<td>Partially reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-category: Human rights**

**Non-discrimination:** *This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality.*

**Freedom of association and collective bargaining**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Supplier Code of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>407-1</td>
<td>Operations and suppliers identified in which the right to exercise freedom of association and collective bargaining may be violated or at significant risk, and measures taken to support these rights</td>
<td>No operations or suppliers identified. 2016 Annual Report Supplier Code of Conduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Child labor:** *Although this topic did not meet our threshold for materiality, we are providing some information because of its importance to some stakeholders.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Throughout all of our operations, and across all stakeholder groups, Sempra Energy respects human rights. We recently completed a human rights assessment, which included peer benchmarking as well as an analysis of our worldwide operations for areas of potential risk and opportunity.</td>
<td>Customers and communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Forced or compulsory labor:** *This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality.*

**Security practices:** *This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality*

**Rights of indigenous peoples**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Customers and communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>411-1</td>
<td>Violations of indigenous peoples rights and response and actions taken</td>
<td>No violations have been identified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Human rights assessment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103-2</td>
<td>Management approach</td>
<td>Code of Business Conduct</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Subcategory: Society

#### Local communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>412-1</td>
<td>Percentage and total number of operations that have been subject to human rights reviews and/or impact assessments</td>
<td>Sempra has adopted Business Codes of Conduct that cover human rights, environment, information disclosure, combating bribery, consumer interests, science, and technology, competition, and taxation. We are also currently completing a human rights mapping and assessment project of our operations that will inform future company actions in this area.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Supplier social assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>413-1</td>
<td>Percentage of operations with implemented local community engagement, impact assessments, and development programs</td>
<td>Given the nature of our business, our subsidiaries are deeply engaged and connected with all of the communities we serve.</td>
<td>Partially reported, not all data available.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Supplier Code of Conduct

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>414-1</td>
<td>Total and percent of new suppliers and contractors that have undergone human rights screening</td>
<td>All suppliers are expected to comply with Sempra’s Supplier Code of Conduct and all applicable employment laws and regulations, including, but not limited to state, federal and applicable in-country laws and regulations regarding: equal employment opportunity; compensation and benefits; child labor; freedom of association; forced or compulsory labor; workplace harassment and discrimination; working hours; payment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Public policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>415-1</td>
<td>Total value of political contributions by country and recipient/boundary</td>
<td>Political contributions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Customer health and safety

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Omissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>416-1</td>
<td>Percentage of significant product and service categories for which health and safety impacts are assessed for improvement</td>
<td>Sempra Energy’s subsidiaries provide gas and electric services to customers. Impacts of both of these products are assessed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard number</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Omissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>416-2</td>
<td>Total number of incidents of non-compliance with regulations and/or voluntary codes concerning health and safety impacts of products and services during their life cycle, by type of outcomes</td>
<td>No incidents identified.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU25</td>
<td>Number of injuries and fatalities to the public involving company assets, including legal judgments, settlements and pending legal cases of diseases</td>
<td>Customers and communities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Marketing and labeling: This topic did not meet our threshold for materiality

Customer privacy

103-2  Management approach

Cybersecurity includes the protection of our own operations and activities and the protection of sensitive customer data. The utility industry faces new cybersecurity risks associated with automated metering and smart grid infrastructure. Virtually all SDG&E customers have smart meters. Advanced meter deployment will be completed by 2017 in SoCalGas’ service territory. While these new technologies will provide many benefits to customers, including access to their own energy-usage data, both utilities actively monitor, assess and update their systems to avoid cyber breaches.

Sempra Energy 2016 10K

418-1  Total number of substantiated complaints regarding breaches of customer privacy and losses of customer data

No substantiated complaints identified.

Socioeconomic compliance

103-2  Management approach

Governance
Environmental compliance
Code of Business Conduct

419-1  Monetary value of significant fines and total number of non-monetary sanctions for non-compliance with laws and/or regulations

Environmental compliance

EU sector topic Access

EU26  Percentage of population unserved in licensed distribution or service areas

Access to electricity is also an issue in some areas served by our South American utilities, where not everyone is connected to the grid. Peruvian utility Luz del Sur has brought electricity to thousands of Peruvians who live in underprivileged areas through participation in a government program intended to improve economic development and productivity by connecting those communities to electric service.

Partially reported, not all data available.

EU27  Number of residential disconnections for non-payment, broken down by duration of disconnection and by regulatory regime

Number of residential disconnections for non-payment is provided for Sempra’s electric and/or natural gas utilities.

Chilquinta Energía: 114,457
Ecogas: 3,099
Luz del Sur: 795,325
SDG&E: 40,067
SoCalGas: 129,130

Partially reported, duration of disconnection is not included.

EU28  Power outage frequency

Goals and results

EU29  Average power outage duration

Goals and results

EU30  Average plant availability factor by energy source and by regulatory regime

Natural gas: United States 86% Mexico 94%
### Appendix: 103-1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material issue for Sempra</th>
<th>Corresponding GRI Standards topic</th>
<th>Boundary within Sempra</th>
<th>Boundary outside Sempra</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Climate change and emissions</td>
<td>Emissions; Energy; Products and services</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance</td>
<td>Environmental compliance; Overall; Biodiversity; Effluents and waste; Public policy; Socioeconomic compliance</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers and communities</td>
<td>Customer health and safety; Customer privacy; Economic performance; Indirect economic impacts; Rights of indigenous peoples; Human rights assessment; Local communities; Access (EU)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee engagement &amp; safety</td>
<td>Occupational health and safety; Labor-management relations; Training and education; Diversity and equal opportunity; Freedom of association and collective bargaining</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental impact</td>
<td>Emissions; Energy; Products and services; Water; Biodiversity; Effluents and waste</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethics and governance</td>
<td>Local communities; Anti-corruption; Customer privacy; Labor/management relations; Diversity and equal opportunity; Non-discrimination; Freedom of association; Indigenous rights; Assessment; Access (EU)</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates and reliability</td>
<td>Local communities; Access (EU); Availability and reliability (EU); System efficiency (EU)</td>
<td>All utilities</td>
<td>Customers; Elected officials, community leaders, investors and regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain</td>
<td>Procurement practices; Supplier environmental assessment; Supplier social assessment</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Select external stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The future</td>
<td>Training and education; Employment</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Select external stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>Water; Effluents and waste</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Select external stakeholders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The natural text of the document is not clearly legible due to the quality of the scan or the OCR process. It appears to be a list or index of topics or sections. Without clearer visibility, it's challenging to transcribe accurately.
PURPOSE  To provide guidelines and procedures for safely purging natural gas pipelines above 60 psig. All company and contract employees shall follow these guidelines when purging pipeline systems.

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

   1.1. Pipelines are purged to prevent the presence of a combustible mixture of gas and air. Failure to abide by the guidelines and procedures of this Gas Standard may result in serious or catastrophic consequences.

   1.2. This procedure does not include purging operations that utilize air movers. For these purges, see STANDARD G7910, Purging Pipelines Using Air Movers For Cold Tie Operations. For more specific purging information regarding purging into service medium pressure pipelines, see STANDARD D7911, Purging of Distribution Gas Lines of 60 PSIG.

   1.3. Written procedures shall be understood and approved by the Purging Operation Lead so as to assure the safe and successful completion of the job. See Section 4.2.9 for further details about the written plan.

   1.4. The Purging Operation Lead shall conduct a meeting, prior to a purging activity, to ensure all personnel engaged in purging operations understand the procedures involved. The Purging Operation Lead shall ensure that all employees and contractors involved in purging understand the potential hazards of improper operation. If changes in operations occur, all personnel will be informed of the changes before proceeding.

   1.5. The Purging Operation Lead shall make the final determination on the adequacy of the purge before proceeding with any hot-work.

   1.6. Any project that requires gas blown to atmosphere will build time into the project schedule to reduce methane consistent with safe operations and consider alternative potential sources of supply to reliably serve customers and maintain feasibility. Operating pressure should be reduced to the lowest operationally feasible level in order to minimize methane emissions before non-emergency venting of high-pressure distribution (above 60 psig), transmission infrastructure consistent with safe operations.

   1.7. Limit access to the work area of the purging operation to only those persons who are necessary to perform the activity, keeping all-non-essential personnel and the public clear of harm’s way.

   1.8. Employees are responsible for adhering to company procedures and shall wear appropriate personal safety equipment during any and all duties performed as outlined in Rule 4100 of Manual ESHSD-4100, Gas Distribution and Transmission.
1.9. Gas shall be vented to atmosphere without hazard to workers, public, and property. See Section 1.15.5.

1.10. Considerations must be given to the public with regard to objectionable noise and odor as well as any noise or pollution abatement requirements. Such considerations may include the use of noise suppression equipment, notification of law enforcement, Fire Department and Air Pollution Control District.

1.11. All parts and equipment involved in the purging operation shall be in proper working condition and are visually inspected before use.

1.12. Adequate visual and/or radio communications shall be established between all work locations including the injection and venting points.

1.13. Employees are responsible for ensuring that an approved extinguisher with a minimum of 40 BC is readily accessible and its location known to all employees at the work site. Fire extinguishers are manned and readily available at each end of the job, and upwind of the purge location.


1.15. When purging into service a new steel pipeline, the pipeline must be odor conditioned (also known as seasoned or pickled) to minimize a reduction in the odor content of natural gas due to interaction of gas odorant with new steel. See STANDARD G8132, Odor Conditioning of New Steel Lines.

1.16. Sources of Ignition.

1.16.1. Eliminate all sources of ignition. Extinguish any open flames (smoking is prohibited). Do not carry any items designed to produce sparks such as but not limited to: matches, cigarette lighters, welding torch igniters, cell phones or any other electrical devices in the immediate vicinity any time while working in a gaseous atmosphere. See Manual ESHSD-4100, Gas Distribution and Transmission, and STANDARD G8169, Prevention of Accidental Ignition of Natural Gas. Cathodic protection rectifiers shall be turned off.

1.16.2. Ground all machinery, pipes, and other equipment where static electricity might accumulate. See STANDARD G8169, Prevention of Accidental Ignition.

1.16.3. Pipelines are bonded or grounded before purging, cutting, or disconnecting. See STANDARD G8169, Prevention of Accidental Ignition.
1.16.4. When purging, especially with old piping, it shall be kept in mind that purging removes only gaseous or volatile materials. Undetected liquid or solid combustibles can be ignited by sparks carried back into a purged pipeline when it is cut. Take necessary precautions to ensure removal of difficult to detect combustibles. Consider purging using the Total Displacement Method with nitrogen if the presence of liquids or solids exists. See Section 3.5 for definition of Total Displacement Purge.

1.16.5. When selecting venting locations, care is taken to prevent accidental ignition during purging operations. Avoid venting under or in close proximity to overhead power lines.

1.16.6. Never discharge purging medium through a plastic vent pipe.

1.17. Isolation

1.17.1. Before purging, completely isolate piping from the system.

1.17.2. Isolation may be accomplished by one or more methods including the use of blind flanges, closing valves, placing blanking discs between flanges, pressure control fittings or physically disconnecting laterals or other sources of gas.

1.17.3. If valves are used to isolate the purged section from the energized system, they should be verified to operate properly and not to leak.

1.17.4. A thorough physical check shall be made to ensure that isolation is prepared as planned and free of leakage before the start of the purging operation.

1.18. Nitrogen

1.18.1. When using nitrogen as a separating medium, practicality, availability and economics determine whether to use cylinders (bottles) or a tank truck. A tank truck is normally the less costly option when a large volume of nitrogen is required.

1.18.2. Standard cylinders have 250 standard cubic feet of nitrogen at 2265 psig.

1.18.3. Nitrogen Gas Safety- Be aware that the accumulation of large quantities of nitrogen gas can present an asphyxiation hazard to personnel. In trenches or confined spaces where nitrogen is being purged and can accumulate, keep ventilated and check for oxygen level before personnel enters the space.

1.19. Venting Through Wet Canvas Temporary End Closures
1.19.1. If a new Transmission pipeline assembly is enclosed with wet canvases, the assembly may be directly purged into service using one canvas end as a vent provided that:

1.19.1.1. When purging through a wet canvas, the canvas opening should be approximately 1/3 of the cross-section of the pipe. The opening is at the bottom when purging into service. See STANDARD D7114, Pipe End Closures.

1.20. Any deviation from this gas standard shall be reviewed and approved by Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Region Engineering Miramar or Transmission Operations Manager shall prepare the written purging procedures. See Section 4.2.9 for further requirements.

2.2. Purging Operation Lead shall be responsible for supervising purging operations. This lead shall have thorough technical knowledge and previous purging experience. This lead is also responsible for ensuring that all aspects of this standard are being followed.

2.3. Distribution Region, Transmission District, and GTS Miramar personnel performing purging activities shall be Operator Qualified. See STANDARD G8113, Operator Qualification Program for requirements.

2.4. Gas Operations Training - Skills is responsible for training, qualification and all related certification and documentation for company and contract personnel.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. “CGI” – Combustible Gas Indicator

3.2. “Purge” – The act of removing all of the air from a pipeline and replacing it with natural gas or removing all natural gas from a pipeline and replacing it with air or nitrogen.

3.3. “Direct Purge” – The act of either directly purging gas with air or air with gas at high velocities without a nitrogen slug.

3.4. “Indirect Purge” – The act of either purging from gas to air or from air to gas with a nitrogen slug between the air and gas to prevent the formation of a combustible mixture.
3.5. “Total Displacement Purge” – The act of purging from gas to nitrogen by injecting an amount of nitrogen slightly greater than the entire internal volume of the pipeline or facility.

3.6. “Slug” – A quantity of injected nitrogen gas interposed between the gas and air during an indirect purge. The slug moves through the pipe as a distinct mass to minimize mixing of the gas and air.

3.7. "Blow-down" - To reduce pipeline pressure to atmospheric pressure.

3.8. "Purging out of service" – (Gas to Air/Nitrogen) The process of replacing natural gas content in a pipeline with air/nitrogen by injecting air or nitrogen at sufficiently high flow rates.

3.9. “Purging into Service” – (Air/Nitrogen to Gas) The process of replacing air or nitrogen content in a pipeline with natural gas by injecting natural gas at sufficiently high flow rates.

3.10. “Orifice” – A reduced opening which reduces flow rate.

3.11. “BC” – Fire extinguisher rating effective for flammable liquid fires and “live” electrical equipment.

4. PROCEDURE

4.1. Select the proper purging procedure with the given combination of pipe diameter and length using Table 1 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diameter (in)</th>
<th>Length (ft)</th>
<th>Purging Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D ≤ 4</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Direct (Section 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D ≥ 6</td>
<td>L &lt; 500</td>
<td>Direct (Section 5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D ≥ 6</td>
<td>L ≥ 500</td>
<td>Indirect (Section 7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:

1. The Total Displacement Method (Section 9) shall be used when:
   - A potential hazard exists due to the presence of liquids or solids.
A potential hazard exists due to a complex piping situation, such as with stubs, or in compressor and regulator stations.

Permanently abandoning a pipeline or main that is not free of liquids or solids, or if required by the permitting agency. (See STANDARD D7381, Abandonment or Inactivation of Gas Distribution Pipelines, or STANDARD T7381, Abandonment, Conversion and Reinstatement of Transmission Pipelines).

2. Air Movers may be used for purging large diameter (≥ 8") pipelines out of service; see STANDARD G7910, Purging Pipelines Using Air Movers For Cold Tie Operations.

3. The indirect method can be substituted for the direct method.

4. For Abandonment of Distribution Mains and Services see STANDARD D7110, Abandonment of Gas Services and Gas Light Tap Assemblies and STANDARD D7381, Abandonment or Inactivation of Gas Distribution Pipelines for diameters and lengths of piping that do not require purging prior to abandonment.

4.2. Planning a Purge

4.2.1. Use Table A1 in Appendix A to obtain the standard purging parameters for particular pipe diameters. These parameters include the standard injection fittings, injection pressures, vent sizes and flow rates. If orifices are to be utilized, use the required minimum flow rates from Table A1*. Select the appropriate orifice size and inlet pressure based on required flow rates. Place the orifice immediately upstream of the injection fitting to eliminate any potential pressure drop. Personnel can utilize a tapped abandonment fitting as an orifice. Injection and bypass fittings selected shall not have an internal diameter smaller than the hose or orifice to be used. See Figure 6 for a typical orifice set up.

4.2.1.1. When using an orifice, the pressure gauge to measure the minimum required pressure should be installed just upstream of the orifice. The tapped diameter when using an abandonment fitting needs to be equal to or greater than the orifice size.

4.2.1.2. When using a 50 foot hose to measure and maintain minimum flow rates as required in Table A1, the pressure gauge must be installed at the upstream end of the 50 foot hose connected to the injection point.
4.2.2. Use Table A2 in Appendix A to obtain an approximate arrival time at particular lengths of pipe when using a standard set up. When purging by the indirect method, this approximate time indicates the arrival of the nitrogen slug.

4.2.3. When using an Indirect Purge (with a slug of nitrogen) it is important to maintain the minimum slug speed (minimum injection flow rate) as indicated by the use of Table A1 to minimize the mixing of the gas interface to maintain the slug.

4.2.4. When purging out of service using an air compressor, make certain that the selected compressor is rated with at least 15% more flow rate capacity than the minimum flow rate listed in Table A1.

4.2.5. When possible, purge from air/nitrogen to gas downhill, and purge from gas to air/nitrogen uphill.

4.2.6. A piping system containing loops or branches requires a detailed evaluation to ensure each pipe section is properly isolated and purged which typically requires isolating and purging in stages.

4.2.7. Venting

4.2.7.1. See Table A1 for vent stack sizing.

4.2.7.2. The steel vent stack should consist of a full opening tap in the pipeline to be purged.

4.2.7.3. When a vent valve is used, it shall be full opening.

4.2.7.4. If a steel vent stack is to be assembled on an existing blow-off that does not meet size and full opening description, Gas Engineering- Pipeline Engineering, will determine the adequacy of the blow-off.

4.2.8. Nitrogen Volumes

4.2.8.1. If an Indirect Purge is required, use Table A3 in Appendix A to determine the minimum number of cylinders required. If the use of a nitrogen truck is desired, such as when large volumes are required, see Table A5 in Appendix A to obtain the required nitrogen volumes.

4.2.8.2. If a Total Displacement Purge is required or desired, use Table A4 in Appendix A to determine the minimum...
number of cylinders required, or use Table A6 to determine the total volume of nitrogen.

4.2.9. Written Plan

4.2.9.1. An approved written plan should be available for all purging procedures.

4.2.9.2. Service lines and small diameter pipelines can be purged using the general procedures of this gas standard as the written plan. More complex purging operations require a specific detailed written plan.

4.2.9.3. The written plan should include, but is not limited to, the required purging method, location of isolation points, injection set up, injection pressures and flow rates, venting location and stack size, operational sequences, an equipment list (Combustible gas indicator, air compressor, etc.) and provisions for a communication system.

4.2.10. Non-Typical Purging Operations

4.2.10.1. If a standard indirect purge is not practical or possible, in cases such as long pipeline lengths yielding unreasonable operation times or if the use of larger injection fittings and/or vents is desired, contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering for analysis.

4.2.10.2. All non-standard purges require a written plan approved by Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering.

5. Purging Out of Service using the Direct Purge Method (Gas to Air)
5.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See Section 4.2.9.

5.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with Section 1.15.

5.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See Section 1.16.

5.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft. See Figure 1.

5.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. Connect air hose and valve to pressure gauge. See Figure 1.

5.6. Connect gauge and valve end of air hose to air compressor and attach other end of hose to injection fitting. See Figure 1.

5.7. Open valve on vent stack and blow down line.

5.8. With the air compressor valve open, gradually open the valve on injection fitting and inject air. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure, see Table A1. Injection of air shall be continued without interruption until the pipeline is purged of all gas. Control pressure with valve attached to compressor end of air hose. See Figure 1.
5.9. Stop injection of air when pipeline is purged of all gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% purged of all gas.

6. Purging into Service using the Direct Purge Method (Air/Nitrogen to Gas)

   Figure 2 - Arrangement for Directly Purging Pipelines into Service.

   ![Diagram](image)

   6.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See Section 4.2.9.

   6.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with Section 1.15.

   6.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See Section 1.16.

   6.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft. See Figure 2.

   6.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical to, but not more than 5 feet away from the injection end of pipeline. See Figure 2. If available, gas may be injected by opening a line valve instead of using a bypass, however, contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering to obtain the downstream pressure needed to control the purge.

   6.6. If needed, install bypass fitting on live pipeline for gas source. See Figure 2.
6.7. Connect gauge and valve to bypass fitting. Connect an air hose or high pressure hose from pressure gauge end to injection fitting. See Figure 2.

6.8. Open valve on vent stack.

6.9. Gradually open valve on injection fitting and inject gas. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure. Injection of gas shall be continued without interruption until the pipeline is purged of all air. Control pressure with valve attached to bypass fitting. See Figure 2.

6.10. Stop injection of gas when pipeline is purged of air. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% gas. 100% gas is considered when a pipeline being purged into service attains a 95% or greater gas reading on the combustible gas indicator.

6.11. A cursory odor sniff test (a quick release of natural gas into the atmosphere that is sniffed to determine if odorant is detectible by smell) shall be performed immediately after the purging process and verifying 100% gas is obtained.

6.12. Direct purging of gas services less than 2” steel can be accomplished using a service tee or pin-off tee as the purge source.

7. Purging Out of Service using the Indirect Purge Method (Gas to Air)

Figure 3. Arrangement for Purging Out of Service using Indirect Method
7.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See Section 4.2.9.

7.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with Section 1.15.

7.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See Section 1.16.

7.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft.

7.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. See Figure 3.

7.6. Connect gauge and valve to air compressor and attach hose from the other end of the injection fitting. See Figure 3.

7.7. If nitrogen cylinders are to be used, connect the nitrogen cylinders indicated in Table A3 to the manifold. Close valve on manifold and open valves on nitrogen cylinders. See Figure 3.

7.8. Connect manifold hose or high pressure hose to injection fitting. See Figure 3.

7.9. Open valve on vent stack and blow-down the pipeline.

7.10. Open valve on injection fitting. Be sure this valve is open to prevent damage to the gauge on the manifold.

7.11. Inject nitrogen by gradually opening manifold valve. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure as indicated in Table A1 to maintain minimum flow rate controlling pressure with the manifold valve. See Figure 3.

7.12. Begin injecting air as soon as the minimum gauge pressure of nitrogen, cannot be maintained. Close valve on nitrogen manifold immediately after air injection has started. Air must be injected at or above the minimum gauge pressure as indicated in Table A1 to maintain minimum flow rate. Control pressure with valve attached to compressor end of air hose. See Figure 3.

7.13. Stop injection of air when pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is purged of all gas.

8. Purging Into Service using the Indirect Purge Method (Air/Nitrogen to Gas)
8.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See Section 4.2.9.

8.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with Section 1.15.

8.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See Section 1.16.

8.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft.

8.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. See Figure 4. If available, gas may be injected by opening a line valve instead of using a bypass, however, contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering to obtain the downstream pressure needed to control the purge.

8.6. If needed, install bypass fitting on pipeline as a gas source. See Figure 4.

8.7. Connect gauge and valve to bypass fitting. Connect an air hose or high pressure hose from pressure gauge end to injection fitting. See Figure 4.
8.8. Connect nitrogen cylinders as indicated in Table A3 to the manifold. Close valve on manifold and open valves on nitrogen cylinders.

8.9. Connect manifold hose or high pressure hose to injection fitting. See Figure 4.

8.10. Open valve on vent stack.

8.11. Inject nitrogen by gradually opening manifold valve. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure as indicated in Table A1 to maintain minimum flow rate controlling pressure with the manifold valve. See Figure 4.

8.12. Begin injecting gas as soon as the minimum gauge pressure of nitrogen, cannot be maintained. Close valve on nitrogen manifold immediately after gas injection has started. Gas must be injected at or above the minimum gauge pressure as indicated in Table A1 to maintain the minimum flow rate. Control pressure with valve attached to bypass fitting. See Figure 4.

8.13. Stop injection of gas when pipeline is purged of air. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is 100% gas. 100% gas is considered when a pipeline being purged into service attains a 95% or greater gas reading on the combustible gas indicator.

8.14. A cursory odor sniff test (a quick release of natural gas into the atmosphere that is sniffed to determine if odorant is detectible by smell) shall be performed immediately after the purging process and verifying 100% gas is obtained.

9. Purging Out of Service using the Total Displacement Purge Method (Gas to Nitrogen)
9.1. The Purging Operation Lead reviews the approved Written Plan and takes necessary actions to ensure all company policies are adhered to. See Section 4.2.9.

9.2. Remove all ignition sources in accordance with Section 1.15.

9.3. Isolate section of line to be purged. See Section 1.16.

9.4. If a properly sized vent is not available, install one as close as practical, but not more than 5 feet from venting end of the pipeline. Stack must extend to a safe location, which is a minimum of 7 ft.

9.5. Install injection fitting as close as practical from the injection end of pipeline, but not more than 5 feet from the injection end of pipeline. See Figure 5.

9.6. If nitrogen cylinders are to be used, connect the nitrogen cylinders to the manifold. Close valve on manifold and open valves on nitrogen cylinders. See Figure 5.

9.7. Connect manifold hose or high pressure hose to injection fitting. See Figure 5.

9.9. Open valve on injection fitting. Be sure this valve is open to prevent damage to the gauge on the manifold. See Figure 5.

9.10. Inject nitrogen by gradually opening manifold valve. Inject at or above the minimum injection pressure as indicated in Table A1 to maintain minimum flow rate controlling pressure with the manifold valve. See Figure 5.

**NOTE:** When abandoning a pipeline using the Total Displacement Method stop injection once pipeline is completely purged of gas then proceed in capping the pipe.

9.11. Stop injection of nitrogen when pipeline is 100% purged of all gas. Use approved CGI device to determine if pipeline is purged of all gas.

9.12. Sections with pipe left with 100% nitrogen must be stenciled “Nitrogen”. Also adjoining valves must be stenciled “Nitrogen”.

10. Typical Orifice Set Up

**Figure 6. Direct Method with Orifice and pressure gauge relocated closer to orifice.**

(Direct Purging)
11. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS
   (See STANDARD G8113, Operator Qualification Program, Appendix A, Covered Task List)
   - Task 07.01 - 49 CFR 192.629 – Purging pipelines
   - Task 16.02 - 49 CFR 192.745 – Inspecting, operating, and maintaining transmission pipeline valves
   - Task 16.03 - 49 CFR 192.747 – Inspecting, operating, and maintaining distribution system valves

12. EXCEPTION PROCEDURE
   (See STANDARD G7007, Exception Procedure for Company Operations Standards)
   12.1. An exception to this standard shall be considered only after practical solutions have been exhausted. Safety issues shall be given primary consideration, while adhering to governing codes before an approval of an exception is granted.
   12.2. An exception from a standard shall not be allowed unless GS G7007 is followed and approval is given by the Responsible Person (RP) for the standard or by someone in that person’s organization that has been granted authority, and by others as required by G7007, and if specified in the standard from which the exception is requested.

13. RECORDS
   Not Applicable.

14. APPENDICES
   14.1. Appendix A
### Company Operations Standard
#### Gas Standard
Gas Engineering

**Purging Pipelines and Components**

**APPENDIX A**

Table A1
Minimum Equipment Requirements for Purging Pipeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal Pipe Diameter (inches)</th>
<th>Hose Diameter ID** (inches)</th>
<th>Minimum Nominal Vent Stack Size*** (inches)</th>
<th>Minimum Gauge Pressure * (psig)</th>
<th>Minimum Injection Flow Rate (SCFM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 and less</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>1 1/2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>1 1/4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pressures listed are based on placing a pressure gauge on 50 feet of hose at the upstream end of the injection point. Shorter distances yield greater injection rates and shorten purge durations. Contact Gas Engineering – Pipeline Engineering if hose distances are greater than 50 feet.

** If it’s necessary to use a larger diameter hose larger specified, contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering for the lower required minimum gauge pressure.

*** For vents in excess of 10 ft. long, go to next larger pipe size. Multiple vents stacks are allowed if a single vent stack does not meet the minimum diameter requirements. The total internal flow area of the multiple vents needs to be greater than the internal flow area of the required vent size. Contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering for guidance on correct combinations of vent stacks.

Note: The diameter of manifolds should be at least equal to the size of the hose diameter required for purging.
# Purging Pipelines and Components

## Gas Standard

### Gas Engineering

---

**Table A1**

Measuring Rates through Orifices

Use these figures for measuring the injection rates while purging.

(Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)

## Pressure Upstream of Orifice (psig)

### Orifice Size (inches)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orifice Size (inches)</th>
<th>3/8</th>
<th>1/2</th>
<th>5/8</th>
<th>3/4</th>
<th>7/8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Attachment D*
Table A1 (continued)*
Measuring Rates through Orifices
Use these figures for measuring the injection rates while purging.
(Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure Upstream of Orifice (psig)</th>
<th>Orifice Size (inches)</th>
<th>1-1/8</th>
<th>1-3/8</th>
<th>1-1/2</th>
<th>1-3/4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>Gas</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110</td>
<td>120</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>140</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>170</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>180</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td>190</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>190</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>210</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Note: All Hose and Orifice Sizes are Internal Diameters)
## Table A2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Size (in.)</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>5000</th>
<th>6000</th>
<th>8000</th>
<th>10000</th>
<th>20000</th>
<th>50000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4 and less</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>159</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The time for lengths not shown may be interpolated. For assistance with interpolation, contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Engineering.*
### Table A3
Number of Nitrogen Cylinders (250 Cubic Feet Each) Required To Form the Minimum Slug Size in a Pipeline

**Indirect Method**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Size (inches)</th>
<th>500**</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>5000</th>
<th>7500</th>
<th>10,000</th>
<th>20,000</th>
<th>50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pipelines less than 500 ft. may be displaced directly with air or gas. Refer to Table 1 “Purging Method” for additional guidance or when indirect purge is to be used.**
### Table A4
Number of Nitrogen Cylinders (250 Cubic Feet Each) Required To Fill Pipeline
Total Displacement Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Size (inches)</th>
<th>500</th>
<th>1000</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>3000</th>
<th>4000</th>
<th>5000</th>
<th>6000</th>
<th>8000</th>
<th>10,000</th>
<th>20,000</th>
<th>50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>*42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>*35</td>
<td>*74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>*52</td>
<td>*116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>*73</td>
<td>*164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>*42</td>
<td>*254</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>*36</td>
<td>*45</td>
<td>*58</td>
<td>*72</td>
<td>*143</td>
<td>*325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>*36</td>
<td>*45</td>
<td>*54</td>
<td>*72</td>
<td>*89</td>
<td>*178</td>
<td>*405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>*33</td>
<td>*44</td>
<td>*55</td>
<td>*66</td>
<td>*87</td>
<td>*109</td>
<td>*217</td>
<td>*494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>*46</td>
<td>*62</td>
<td>*77</td>
<td>*92</td>
<td>*123</td>
<td>*154</td>
<td>*307</td>
<td>*696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>*42</td>
<td>*62</td>
<td>*83</td>
<td>*103</td>
<td>*124</td>
<td>*165</td>
<td>*206</td>
<td>*411</td>
<td>*934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>*54</td>
<td>*80</td>
<td>*107</td>
<td>*133</td>
<td>*160</td>
<td>*213</td>
<td>*266</td>
<td>*531</td>
<td>*1206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>*60</td>
<td>*90</td>
<td>*120</td>
<td>*150</td>
<td>*179</td>
<td>*239</td>
<td>*299</td>
<td>*597</td>
<td>*1556</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Consider using a nitrogen truck for purges. See Table A6 for volume in SCF.

### Table A5
Volume (SCF) of Nitrogen Required To Form the Minimum Required Slug Size in Pipeline
Indirect Method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pipe Size (inches)</th>
<th>2000</th>
<th>3500</th>
<th>5000</th>
<th>7500</th>
<th>10,000</th>
<th>20,000</th>
<th>50,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>673</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>368</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>712</td>
<td>802</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>1632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>777</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>1030</td>
<td>1387</td>
<td>2097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>844</td>
<td>968</td>
<td>1139</td>
<td>1283</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>2611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>1017</td>
<td>1168</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>1548</td>
<td>2085</td>
<td>3151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>1162</td>
<td>1424</td>
<td>1633</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>2165</td>
<td>2916</td>
<td>4406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>1546</td>
<td>1895</td>
<td>2173</td>
<td>2561</td>
<td>2880</td>
<td>3880</td>
<td>5863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>2722</td>
<td>3137</td>
<td>3711</td>
<td>4189</td>
<td>5677</td>
<td>8630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>3067</td>
<td>3531</td>
<td>4179</td>
<td>4716</td>
<td>6391</td>
<td>9714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Consider using bottles for smaller diameters and shorter lengths.
### Purging Pipelines and Components

#### SDG&E: G7909

**Table A6**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Volume (SCF) of Nitrogen Required to Fill Pipeline</th>
<th>Total Displacement Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pipe Size</strong></td>
<td><strong>Pipe Length in Feet</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(inches)</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>*116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>*202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>*320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>*451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>*699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>*894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>*1,112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>*1,357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>*1,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>*2,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>*3,316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>*3,729</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Consider using bottles for purges.
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PURPOSE  To provide guidelines and requirements for Field Operation’s (Distribution and Customer Service organizations) activities related to emergency incidents.

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. To ensure public and employee safety, protection of property and prompt efficient control of an incident, all leak complaints received by the Company shall be properly classified and responded to appropriately.

1.2. All responses to emergency incidents shall utilize the Incident Command System (ICS) as outlined in STANDARD G8216, Incident Command System (ICS) For Emergency Incidents.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Districts shall provide immediate response to an emergency incident day or night.

2.2. Hazardous leaks require prompt action, immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous. Refer to STANDARD G8135, Leakage Classification and Mitigation Schedules for information on hazardous below and aboveground gas leakage.

Note: A company employee finding hazardous leak indications must remain at the location performing activities to their ability and training in an effort to keep themselves, the public and the area safe until the responding employee(s) to correct the leak has arrived.

2.3. This document is reviewed annually with field employees or when significant revisions are made.

2.4. Gas Operations Training -Skills is responsible for ensuring the equipment and facilities used by an Operator for training and qualification of employees must be identical, or very similar in operation to the equipment and facilities which the employee will use, or on which the employee will perform the covered task.

2.5. Field employees are responsible for adhering to company procedures and shall wear appropriate personal safety equipment during any and all duties performed as outlined in Manual ESHSD-4100, rule 4100 of the Employee Safety Hand Book.

2.6. Gas Emergency Center - (GEC) to provide operational support in an emergency.
3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. **Emergency incidents** - As it relates to this document, an unsafe condition involving, or suspected to involve, natural gas and customer or Company facilities or personnel. The incident may be a fire, damage to underground facilities, explosion, gas leak, injury, death, gas outage, district pressure problem, hazardous toxic material spills or other emergency incident as determined by the supervisor. Emergency incidents also include response requested by fire, police or other agencies.

3.2. **HCA** - High Consequence Area, as defined in STANDARD G8170, Procedure for HCA Segment Identification.

3.3. **GEC** - Gas Emergency Center

3.4. **Hazardous Leak** – A Leak that represents an existing or probable hazard to persons or property.

4. PROCEDURE

4.1. **Factors In Determining Field Action**

4.1.1. Consider the following factors when determining the action to be taken:

4.1.1.1. **Public Safety** - Including, but not limited to, evacuating and restricting people from any hazardous area or buildings. Proper liaison with police and fire departments is essential.

4.1.1.2. **Employee Safety** - Perform all Company work with the maximum regard for safety.

4.1.1.3. **Protection of Property** - Second only to the safety of the public and employees.

4.1.1.4. **Inconvenience to Public** - Consider the effects of interruption of service to hospitals, schools and similar institutions; however, at no time is inconvenience given priority over public safety. Prolonged blowing of gas, noise at night in residential areas, blocking of street traffic, etc., are factors to be considered.

4.1.1.5. **Relative Costs** - Savings in costs is a factor; however, it is never sufficient reason to risk personal injury.
4.2. Gas Leak Emergencies

4.2.1. The first qualified Company employee dispatched to the scene shall immediately conduct an on-site evaluation of the potential hazards to life and property resulting from escaping gas. The findings shall be reported to Service Dispatch - SD (Trouble). Any significant action or control of escaping gas on the part of on-site personnel shall be reported to Service Dispatch - SD (Trouble).

4.2.2. Requests Assistance - When conditions warrant such action, immediately advise Service Dispatch SD of needed personnel and equipment. This includes but is not limited to notifying 911 emergency services if it is determined by the Company representative that the gas leak incident may endanger life or cause serious bodily harm or damage to property.

4.2.3. Area Limits - Determine the area limits where escaping gas is present. Although gas from a leak or line break may appear to be venting safely to the atmosphere, it may also be migrating underground. Make a perimeter check with an approved combustible gas indicator (CGI) to determine if gas is migrating into substructures or surrounding buildings, either through the ground or through the air. (Air intakes for commercial or home air conditioners are possible routes for gas to enter buildings).

4.2.4. Concentration of Gas - Determine if the concentration of escaping gas is sufficient to make ignition a possibility, especially in or under structures, whether from underground migration or air movement. Check and monitor perimeters of the area of hazard to determine if gas is migrating into surrounding buildings. If an approved combustible gas indicator is not immediately available, a judgment decision should be made on the need to evacuate the area.

4.2.5. Evacuation of People - Evacuate and restrict people from any hazardous area, particularly buildings, if the concentration of gas indicates ignition is a possibility. Determine the need for rerouting or blocking of vehicular and pedestrian traffic.

4.2.6. Sources of Ignition - Eliminate and keep all sources of ignition from the restricted area. Close gas meters within the area and warn persons against operating electric switches, smoking, internal combustion engines, electric motors, etc.

Note: If working on a potentially flammable leak at night and lighting is required, use only Class 1 Division 1 (explosion proof) lighting.
4.2.7. When necessary, contact the local electric company for assistance in their service territory if any of the following conditions exist:

4.2.7.1. An electrical service should be de-energized to a building or group of buildings to mitigate danger of explosion, fire, or other threats to public or employee safety.

4.2.7.2. To prevent re-energizing of electrical service to a building or group of buildings until danger of explosion, fire or other threats to safety have passed.

4.2.7.3. Damaged gas line is in joint trench with a local electrical line.

4.2.7.4. In a situation where there is a possibility that assistance by the local electric company may be required, but no immediate action is necessary.

4.2.8. **Report to Dispatch** - Report factual information to the Service Dispatch SD as soon as the situation permits.

4.2.8.1. Identify any information which may involve assumption. In addition, determine and report:

- Cause of damage?
- Contractor/Company & type of equipment used?
- Size, type and pressure of line, if known?
- Approximate depth of facility?
- Any known injuries?
- Damage to company property?
- Damage to other property as a result of the line break?
- Need for Fire Department, Police Department, etc.?
- Any special crew requirements?
- Was pipeline marked out? Correctly?
- In case of MSA, were there barricades?

4.2.8.2. Request Service Dispatch - SD to obtain help from fire, police and/or additional Company personnel, if needed. Refer to **STANDARD G8221, Gas Incident Notification** for reporting criteria, procedures and responsibilities for reportable incidents.
4.2.9. **Police and Fire Departments** - Establish and maintain contact with police and/or fire personnel on scene. Explain situation and plan for control of the area and give and/or ask for assistance. Exchange contact information and confirm on site command post location and incident commander for the incident.

4.2.10. **News Media** - Refer questions from the news media to a Media Relations Representative. If a Media Relations Representative has not arrived, advise the news media that a Media Relations Representative will contact them as soon as possible.

4.2.11. **Gas Migration** - Check and monitor perimeters of the area of hazard with an approved combustible gas indicator to determine if gas is migrating into surrounding buildings.

4.2.12. **Maintains Surveillance** – Continue to maintain surveillance of uncontrolled escaping gas using an approved combustible gas indicator to minimize the potential hazard to the general public until assistance arrives. Continually monitor and review the situation to ensure it does not escalate to a greater hazard. Keep Service Dispatch SD (Trouble) informed of conditions.

4.3. **Emergency Procedures - Response Crew**

4.3.1. The response crew leader shall review the status of the incident with the responsible Company employee on the scene or perform the action and evaluation procedures specified under Sections 4 and 5. The response crew upon arrival at the scene shall immediately assess the potential hazards of the escaping gas. Precautions are taken as outlined for SDG&E; refer to **STANDARD G8169, Prevention of Accidental Ignition of Natural Gas**.

4.3.1.1. The incident command organization shall be established.

4.3.1.2. Establish a command post.

4.3.1.3. Update communication with police and fire department.

4.3.1.4. Establish communication with GEC (if the GEC has been activated).

**Note:** Minimum personal protective requirements must be met whenever working in an environment involved with leaking gas. Cotton coveralls with sleeves rolled down and cuffs/ pants secured, along with gloves and safety glasses must be worn.
4.3.2. The response crew shall proceed with the safest method available given the factors and conditions of the damage location to control the escape of gas. Various methods for control of the gas may be used. Consideration shall be given in the following order:

4.3.2.1. Valves may be available on a piping system to control the escape of gas. Valves shall not be operated until their use is verified and approved by SDG&E M&R/System Protection Section personnel.

4.3.2.2. Consideration shall be given to the use of remote/ control holes to control the escape of gas and keep personnel clear of a potentially hazardous atmosphere. When employing this method to gain control of the leaking gas, the remote hole(s) must be periodically monitored to ensure that no gas is migrating from the leak into the remote/ control hole. If gas indications are noted and they reach a level of greater than 2.7% (in the area that work will be performed), appropriate respiratory protective equipment and Gas Extraction Suit™ are required in the remote/ control hole. See STANDARD G8320, Working in Flammable Atmospheres.

4.3.2.3. Control of gas at the point of discharge is to be performed by trained personnel only, using appropriate respiratory protective equipment and Gas Extraction Suit™ with all required personal protective equipment and under the following conditions:

4.3.2.3.1. The gas is blowing freely into the atmosphere, the work can be performed safely and the escaping gas can safely be controlled with approved tools and equipment. This equipment may include the “halt” emergency shut-off device, clamps, redwood plugs, various approved steel squeezing devices, various approved plastic squeezing devices, etc.

Note: Special precautions must be taken when working around blowing gas on plastic facility. See STANDARD D7279, Squeezing Polyethylene Pipe ½” Through 8”.
4.3.2.4. Prior to using engine-operated equipment to excavate around or near blowing gas, and to prevent ignition by an engine spark, a combustible gas indicator must be used to ascertain that no concentration of gas is blowing or migrating up and under the equipment. The atmosphere must be continually monitored. If an atmosphere free of gas cannot be verified or maintained and changing wind conditions create a potential hazard of ignition, the equipment must not be used.

4.3.3. Consider activation of the GEC for assistance on feeds and dead ends for pressure control.

4.3.4. **Recheck of Area** - After escaping gas is controlled, recheck the restricted area with an approved combustible gas indicator for additional leakage, residual accumulations of gas in street openings, sewers, and drains and in, under and around buildings before removing restrictions. Take appropriate action to clear residual gas from aboveground and belowground structures.

4.3.4.1. Update police or fire department command on status of incident.

4.3.4.2. The response crew shall give special consideration to any possible secondary pipeline system damage and resulting leakage underground such as a service which might be pulled out of the main some distance away, etc. Conduct a bar hole survey back towards the source of gas to locate and eliminate the possibility of secondary underground leakage. Gas leakage underground and subsequent migration can represent a potential hazard to the safety of the public.

4.3.4.3. A layout of the gas system piping should be obtained to expedite surveillance of piping in the area for other possible leakage problems.

4.3.5. **Repair Reports** - The leak repair report on the incident shall include all information pertaining to the repair, any special leak surveillance performed by the response crew, on-scene arrival time, and the times at which major control actions were performed.

4.3.6. **Permanent Repairs** – Permanent repairs shall be made as soon as possible. Initiate normal procedures to permanently repair leaks and restore service when the situation is under control.
4.4. Seismic Activity

4.4.1. District Supervision in conjunction with Technical Services is responsible for monitoring seismic activity within their respective areas, and determines when such activity is considered to warrant further system evaluation.

4.4.2. The following steps, may be considered when further system evaluation is warranted:

- Assessment within the affected area:
  - Verify system pressures are within normal operating levels.
  - Special Patrols.
  - Special Leak Surveys
  - Communication with local Emergency Response Agencies.
  - Review Customer complaints for affected area.
  - Review Regional/District emergency guidelines.
  - Additional considerations identified in Section 4.5.

Note: For the latest information concerning recent Earthquake activity for California and Nevada, the following reference may be used:

http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/

4.5. Severe Damage Resulting From Natural Causes, Accidents Or Sabotage

4.5.1. If it is apparent, or suspected, that severe damage has occurred to the gas mains and/or services, it is the responsibility of the District to promptly assess the general extent of the damage and immediately shut off the gas supply where a hazard to life or property exists.

4.5.2. The gas supply is not restored until leaks and breaks in mains and services are repaired, isolated or until it has been determined that mains can be re-pressured on a controlled basis.

4.5.3. It is important to keep the Service Dispatch - SD (Trouble) updated of the conditions of the system and the action taken during the emergency. See STANDARD SDSD2003, Gas Curtailment Notification for SDG&E – Service Dispatch if gas curtailment notification is necessary.
4.6. Emergency Shutdown, Pressure Reduction or Overpressure

4.6.1. In response to meet the requirements contained in California Public Utility Code, section 956, Emergency Shutdown and Pressure Reduction related to “Emergency Incidents” as defined within this document (see section 3.1) - Notification shall be communicated to the appropriate first responders (Fire Department) of an emergency shutdown or emergency pressure reduction on a system operating above 60 psig.

4.6.1.1. Document on the work order that notification was made.

4.6.1.2. Situations where an EIR (Emergency Incident Report) has been opened, verify with dispatch that notification to the appropriate first responders have been made and ensure that the notification is documented in the EIR.

4.6.2. Verification of Interruption or Overpressure - An interruption of gas supply, or overpressure, is assumed to exist if alarms are called out by electronic pressure recorders or electronic pressure monitors or, if several customers within a related area report they have no gas, their pilots are out or the gas flames are high. Immediately dispatch personnel to check pressures at regulator stations and established terminals in the affected area.

4.6.2.1. Check pressures at feed points to determine if regulator outlet pressures can maintain proper supply at the extremities of the area. The required pressure varies according to the size of the network and the load in the area.

4.6.2.2. The pressures in the affected area are checked to determine the existence, and extent, of the overpressure or gas outage. If the pressures at feed points and established terminals are found to be proper, the overpressure or interruption to supply may be a local condition.

4.6.2.3. When pressure has significantly exceeded MAOP in a pressure area or customer meter set assembly, take appropriate remedial action. Remedial action may include, but is not limited to:

4.6.2.3.1. Take pressure area readings to determine geographical extent of the overpressure and whether the amount of overpressure may indicate other action is necessary.

4.6.2.3.2. Check with Service Dispatch SD for reports of high flames at appliances or aldehyde odors.
4.6.2.3.3. A special leakage survey may be warranted. Refer to the leakage history/condition of the affected area to help determine the extent of the survey.

4.6.2.4. Check regulators in the affected area. Domestic non-overpressure protection regulators must be changed out after being subjected to 125 PSIG. Check manufacturer's literature to determine emergency inlet rating for other regulators.

4.6.2.5. Check meter set assemblies for leakage and pressure correctors for accuracy.

4.6.2.6. Certain over-pressure and under-pressure conditions require immediate notification to the CPUC. See “Reportable Gas Incidents to CPUC and PHMSA” Section 4.10 for details.

4.6.2.7. If further investigation is warranted to determine if the cause was a result of regulation station failure or malfunction, contact the Region Measurement & Regulation department for assistance.

4.6.3. Shutdown of Area - Give consideration to possible hazards versus the inconvenience to the customers when supply to the area is shut down. See STANDARD G7605, Valving Responsibility - Distribution.

4.6.4. Restoration of Gas Supply in Mains

4.6.4.1. Gas supply to an area is turned back on only after Field Services has reported that the service valve to each meter set in the area is closed.

4.6.4.2. Construction Managers are responsible for directing the restoration of gas supplies in distribution facilities for major outages. Every practical and safe means is used to restore the gas supply at the earliest possible time. Pressure in the area is increased gradually to the normal operating level.

4.7. Fires or Explosions

4.7.1. The field employee dispatched to the scene contacts the fire department official in charge (when conditions permit) in order to determine that gas service to the affected structure is closed, if necessary. Full cooperation is extended to public officials who request information or assistance in determining cause.
4.7.2. A meter clock test and a pressure test are required whenever damage or injury is claimed or suspected to be the result of gas leakage or there has been a fire or explosion within the premises or structure. Do not make an effort to secure such tests until fire officials deem it safe to do so.

4.7.3. A further test may be required to determine if underground leakage exists. Whenever isolated sections of underground main or service piping are to be pressure tested in relation to emergency incidents, they must first be tested at existing line pressure. A higher pressure test may compromise the integrity of the piping system by creating conditions that did not exist prior to the incident. Once the existing line pressure test has been made, and repairs, if necessary, are completed, refer to STANDARD D7265, Pneumatic Test Requirements for Pipelines Operating at 60 PSIG or Less for testing procedures prior to restoring isolated sections to active service.

4.7.4. All risers must be inspected to see if they have been subjected to Excessive Heat Exposure. This exposure can be, but is not limited to, smoke or burn damage to: vegetation, fences, walls, and structures, wrap, locating wire, meter indexes or MSA parts. If any service terminating with either an Anodeless Riser or a No Stress/Service Head Adaptor shows this type of exposure, it must be replaced.

Note: Anytime routine work is performed on a riser or MSA (such as: turn-ons, closes, no gas, investigations of disconnected or missing meters, MSA rebuilds, service restorations, leak surveys or other miscellaneous maintenance or inspection orders) and possible smoke or burn damage is suspected, the preceding steps must be followed. A pressure test may be required. The type of riser must be accurately identified and all Anodeless Risers or Service Head Adaptors must be replaced regardless of whether they are currently leaking or not.

4.7.5. For pipelines subjected to fire, if the coating of the piping or components has experienced discoloration or coating loss due to the heat from the fire, contact Gas Engineering - Pipeline Design to provide a more detailed evaluation.

4.8. Discharge of Pipeline Liquids

4.8.1. In the event pipeline liquids are released to the atmosphere and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) are suspected, it is important that this information be reported immediately to the Service Dispatch. Consider all liquids hazardous.
4.8.2. A supervisor at the scene arranges to notify the Service Dispatch. Information includes the location (residential, commercial or rural) and the extent of liquid sprayed on people, buildings, vehicles, etc. See Gas STANDARD G8741, Notification Requirements for Release/Spill Events.

4.8.3. A supervisor on the scene remains available for additional follow-up contact. For additional information on clean up instructions see STANDARD G8743, PCB Spill Clean-up and Decontamination.

4.9. Inter-Region Mutual Assistance

4.9.1. Notify the Transmission Operating Organization (or other company) when the report or investigation reveals that their facilities are involved. Dispatch appropriate Distribution Field Operations personnel immediately when the report does not definitively pinpoint whose facilities are involved or when requested by the Transmission Operating Organization.

4.9.2. Action by Field Personnel - Field personnel take action to control hazards. Such action may include, but is not limited to, shutting off gas meters in immediate vicinity; evacuation of buildings and control of traffic; shutoff, squeezing or plugging of broken services and venting of gas with bar holes. Main valves are not operated except when instructions are received from a responsible supervisor of the affected Operating Organization.

4.9.3. Dispatch of Crews and Equipment – Distribution crews and equipment are dispatched to incidents involving a Transmission Operating Organization’s facility when requested because of distance or availability of material or equipment. The Distribution crew proceeds with repair work under Transmission supervision if warranted by existing conditions and requested by a supervisor of the Transmission Operating Organization.

4.9.4. Liaison with Transmission — Distribution personnel maintain continued contact with pertinent Transmission Operating Organization to exchange information on mutual aid and to keep notification procedures current.

4.10. Reportable Gas Incidents to CPUC and PHMSA

4.10.1. For comprehensive details on CPUC and PHMSA reporting requirements, see STANDARD G8221, Gas Incident Notification.
5. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS
(See STANDARD G8113, Operator Qualification Program, Appendix A, Covered Task List).

- Task 09.01 – CFR 192.706 - Performing leakage surveys: transmission lines.
- Task 09.02 – CFR 192.723 - Performing leakage surveys: distribution systems.
- Task 09.05 – CFR 192.703 and 192.723 (b) - Leakage Assessment.
- Task 09.06-9999 – CFR 192.703 - Above-Ground Leakage.

6. RECORDS

6.1. Records of annual review are documented and retained in the Region file for 3 years.

6.2. For all pipelines, including transmission pipelines in an HCA (as defined by STANDARD G8116, Pipeline and Related Definitions), that have been damaged due to fire exposure (refer to Section 10.5 of this standard), Pipeline Design shall prepare a report on the inspection of the fire damage using appropriate sections of FORM 677-1SD, Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report. The report shall be filed in accordance with filing instructions contained in FORM 677-1SD.
NOTE: Do not alter or add any content from this page down; the following content is automatically generated.
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PURPOSE  This Standard sets forth the policy and procedures to be followed in response to a gas incident involving the transmission pipeline system.

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. Reports of leakage shall be handled in accordance with GS G8137, Underground Leak Investigation and GS G8135, Leakage Priority Classification.

1.2. Existing industrial safety regulations pertaining to work areas, safety devices and safe work practices shall be maintained.

1.3. Each organization’s emergency shutdown plan is modified to meet the needs of each situation and to assure the facility is back in service as soon as possible.

1.4. Gas incidents that are reportable to CPUC and/or DOT must be reported in accordance with GS G8221, Gas Incident Notification, which outlines reporting criteria, procedures, and responsibilities.

1.5. “Communications” between SDG&E emergency response crews and outside agencies while performing response procedures to a gas incident can be verbal, by land based or cellular telephone, or by company radio. It is recognized that during a city-wide emergency, cellular and land based telephone service may be limited. For this reason, SDG&E emergency response crews shall be equipped with company radios to provide both primary and back up communications during response procedures.

1.6. For pipelines subjected to fire, if the coating of the piping or components has experienced discoloration or coating loss due to the heat from the fire, contact Pipeline Engineering to provide a more detailed evaluation.

1.7. To minimize the hazard resulting from a gas pipeline emergency the Company shall train appropriate operating personnel to assure that they are knowledgeable of the emergency procedures. The effectiveness of this training is verified through a number of methods. Method choices include hands-on and written testing, emergency exercise reviews and critiques, reviews of emergency response to incidents, and other methods deemed appropriate.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES & QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Transmission shall follow the procedures in this standard to minimize the hazard to life and property that may result from a gas incident on the high-pressure pipeline system.
2.2. **Transmission** shall provide the latest edition (hard copy or electronic) of the emergency procedures (i.e. Gas Standards, GEC Binder, and other appropriate emergency procedures) to the supervisors who are responsible for emergency action.

2.3. Field employee(s) activities during major emergencies are reviewed and evaluated to ensure safety and procedures are effectively followed.

3. **DEFINITIONS**

3.1. **Curtailment Zone** – any section of pipeline facility that is physically shutdown in an emergency.

3.2. **EOC** – Emergency Operations Center for Company.

3.3. **Gas Incident** – Any emergency involving gas pipeline facilities that results in uncontrolled escape of gas outside of a building or gas that is inside or near a building, fire, explosion, injury, fatality, or property damage, or which presents a potential hazard to public safety.

3.4. **GEC** – Gas Emergency Center for Region.

3.5. **HCA** – High Consequence Area, as defined in GS G8170, *Geographic Services Procedure for HCA Segment Identification*.

3.6. **Isolation Area** – a pre-established operating area that can be physically shutdown by the closing of isolation valves in the event of an emergency.

3.7. **Transmission Pipeline** – See GS G8116, *Pipeline and Related Definitions*.

4. **PROCEDURE**

**Initial Notification of Gas Incident**

4.1. Initial notification of an actual or potential gas incident will generally be received from customers, contractors, fire or police departments, other agencies, Pipeline Services patrolmen, or other Company employees.

4.2. Contact **Service Dispatch SD (Trouble)** to initiate an incident notification. See **GS G8221, Gas Incident Notification**.

4.2.1. The employee receiving the initial notification of a gas incident involving, or damage to, the gas pipeline system shall obtain and relay the following information to **Service Dispatch - SD (Trouble)** at (800) 611-7343 or (619) 725-5100.
Emergency Response Procedures for Gas Incidents - Transmission

- Nature of trouble
- Exact location, if known
- Date and time
- Caller's name, phone number and company

4.3. **Service Dispatch - SD (Trouble)** shall notify **Gas Control (Spence Street)**.

4.4. **Service Dispatch - SD (Trouble)** shall notify the **Customer Field Service Manager** if the incident involves major outages.

4.5. **Customer Field Service Manager** shall notify the **Mapping and Records Section** to assist in providing the restoration of service address information.

**Initial Emergency Procedures - First Qualified Company Employee on Scene**

4.6. The first qualified company employee dispatched to the scene shall immediately conduct an on-site evaluation of the potential hazards to life and property resulting from escaping gas. The findings shall be reported to **responsible supervisor** and **Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble)**. Any significant action or control of escaping gas on the part of on-site personnel shall be reported to **Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble)** and **Gas Control (Spence Street)**.

**Note:** The first qualified person on the scene has an important role. Their actions minimize or eliminate the potential for the severity of the hazards involved in an incident.

4.7. **Gas Incident Evaluation**

4.7.1. Determine the extent of the leak. Consider potential gas migration both above and below ground. Underground migration of gas may represent the greatest hazard.

4.7.2. Evaluate the possible migration of gas to a source of ignition, e.g., gas pilot, internal-combustion engines, electric motors, overhead electric transmission lines, etc.

4.7.3. Determine the need for evacuation of buildings due to gas migration and accumulation.

4.7.4. Determine the need for rerouting or blocking of vehicular and pedestrian traffic.
4.8. Gas Incident Action

4.8.1. In every way possible, minimize or eliminate sources of ignition (blocking or rerouting vehicles, electrical switches, smoking pedestrians, and operating equipment).

**Note:** If working on an explosive leak at night and lighting is required, use only the Class I, Division I (explosion proof) lights provided on each C&O crew truck.

4.8.2. In the event of a major, wide-spread emergency (i.e., earthquake, terrorist attack, flooding, firestorm, etc.) and a GEC and the EOC are both open and operational to respond to the event, the GEC should consider the following three (3) factors for alerting and involving the Executive-in-Charge at the EOC and Gas Control (Spence Street) before implementing a large isolation section in the gas system:

1. Size of Isolation Section
   - The isolated section is large enough to encompass an isolation area
   - The isolation section will impact 25,000 or more customers (restores)
   - The isolation section could result in displacement of one million cubic feet or more per hour on the flow of gas required from the transmission system or result in the shutdown of a transmission city gate station

2. Impacts to Sensitive/Critical Customers
   - Health/Safety
     - Hospitals
     - Schools
     - Stadiums/Large Public Gathering Sites/Arenas/Sports Centers that can be used for evacuation shelters
     - Municipal Gas Systems (e.g., Long Beach Gas)
     - City/County/State Emergency Operation Centers that are open and running
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- Economic
  - Non-core firm UEG customers
  - Refineries
  - Co-Generation Facilities (> 20 MW)
- Major Airports (e.g., Los Angeles International Airport (LAX), San Diego International Airport (SAN), John Wayne Airport (SNA), LA/Ontario International Airport (ONT), Bob Hope Airport (BUR))

3. Requiring Inter-Region Coordination or Mutual Assistance
- Response across multiple operating organizations or with assistance from outside the Company required to implement the isolation section

4.8.3. Establish methods for control and if possible, control escaping gas in accordance with Company procedures. If assistance is required, notify Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) as to the type of assistance needed, such as police or fire departments, additional crews, etc. Always give a complete description and location of the problem in order to expedite control procedures.

4.8.4. The responsible management person or the GEC (if operational) shall consult the Gas Control Supervisor to arrange the re-routing of gas flow and/or obtain permission to close off connections prior to shutting down.

4.8.5. Transmission and Distribution personnel may operate valves that affect gas flow without first clearing with the Gas Control Supervisor only when the responsible management person at the site determines either of the following:

- Injury or death has occurred or is imminent.

- Communications are not possible from the site and leaving the site would risk additional damage or injury. In such cases the Gas Control Supervisor is notified at the first opportunity directly or by GEC (if operational).
4.8.6. Maintain surveillance of uncontrolled escaping gas using a combustible gas detector to minimize the potential hazard to the general public until assistance arrives. Continually monitor and review the situation to ensure it does not deteriorate, and keep Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) informed of conditions. Continue rechecking for gas migration, ignition sources and the possible need for building evacuation.

4.8.7. If the escape of gas has been controlled, maintain continued surveillance of the immediate area to ascertain that no secondary underground leakage of gas exists. Conduct a combustible gas indicator survey of adjacent underground structures. Probe the general area, where possible, back to the source of leakage. Repeat this survey at frequent intervals and keep Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) informed of conditions.

4.8.8. In addition, determine and report to Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) the following information:

- Size, type and pressure of line, if known.
- Any known injuries.
- Damage to company property.
- Damage to other property as a result of the line break.
- Need for Fire Department, Police Department, etc.
- Any special crew requirements.
- Was the pipeline marked out?

4.8.9. If the gas incident is a reportable gas incident, see G8221, Gas Incident Notification.

Initial Emergency Procedures – Field Operations

4.9. Notify M&R/System Protection, to call out appropriate regulator crews. In the event the M&R/System Protection Supervisor cannot be contacted, the Dispatcher, can call out the appropriate regulator crews. In addition, notify the M&R/System Protection Manager.
4.10. **Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble)** shall notify the following:

- Vice President – Gas Transmission and Distribution
- Director – Transmission
- Field Operations Manager – Transmission
- Technical Services Manager – Transmission
- District Operations Manager (DOM) – Miramar
- Gas Control (Spence Street)
- Safety, Health and Emergency Services Department
- Sempra Energy Media Relations Department

4.11. Following initial field assessment, the District Operations Manager – Gas Transmission Miramar or Measurement & Control (M&C) Supervisor shall be notified by Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) for crew response assessment.

4.12. **Gas Control** Responsibilities

- 4.12.1. Re-routes supplies as required.
- 4.12.2. Post outages impacting capacity in Envoy
- 4.12.3. Notifies Energy Markets, when UEG and/or wholesale customers are affected.

4.13. A complete report of current area weather shall be made for key personnel.

4.14. Ensure the appropriate Field Operation Supervisor (FOS) is informed of all information available concerning the situation.

4.15. Notify the Operations Training Instructor Supervisor or the Senior Operations Training Instructor, who shall arrange for a Qualified Inspector to proceed to the emergency area to direct any required welding operations.

4.16. If conditions warrant, update Sempra Energy Media Relations and Director – Transmission concerning the situation. Refer any calls from newspaper or other media sources to Sempra Energy Media Relations.
4.17. In the event heavy specialized construction equipment is needed, call Fleet Services.

4.18. If the need develops, contact the company contracted helicopter service.

4.19. Notify the following agencies that an emergency exists, and that they shall be advised of progress:

- **Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble)** if the incident is on a Transmission facility or Transmission Operations if the incident is on a Distribution facility
- Local Police Department or California Highway Patrol
- Local Fire Department

**Emergency Procedures - Construction and Operations On-Duty Supervisor**

4.20. The Construction and Operations Center On-Duty Supervisor shall be notified of the emergency by Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble).

4.21. The Construction and Operations Center On-Duty Supervisor may call out the FOS of the Construction and Operations Center nearest the incident and have him report to the scene of the incident (See Section 4.2.3).

**Emergency Procedures - Measurement & Control (M&C) Supervisor**

4.22. The M&C Supervisor, if called out, shall proceed to the scene of the emergency.

**Emergency Procedures – Field Operations Supervisor (FOS)**

4.23. The FOS shall, if called out, proceed to the scene of the emergency. It will be the responsibility of the FOS to:

- Give a detailed report of the situation to Gas Transmission as soon as possible.
- Make arrangements for traffic controls.
- Scout the approach route for the Repair Crew. If necessary, a Regulator Technician may be called to guide the Emergency Repair Crew to the scene.
- Advise Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) if specialized equipment or if additional manpower is needed.
Emergency Procedures - Construction and Operations Dispatcher

4.24. On notification from Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble), the Construction and Operations Dispatcher is to call out a storeroom employee to open the Construction and Operations Center storeroom, call out the Repair Crew and open the Construction and Operations Center Headquarters for assembly of the crew.

4.25. If Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) is calling out the Repair Crew and storeroom employee, then the Construction and Operations Dispatcher shall proceed to open the Construction and Operations Center.

4.26. Welders from other Construction and Operations Centers will be advised to report to the crew assembly point.

4.27. When the crew has assembled, the Repair Crew Leader shall take to the scene of the emergency a fully equipped gas leak crew truck (radio, compressor, generator, etc.).

Emergency Procedures - Repair Crew Call Out

4.28. Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) shall call out a Repair Crew and any operators or specialized equipment needed.

- Advise any newspaper or other reporters to contact the Sempra Energy Media Relations Department (877) 866-2066 for official statements. If necessary, a request may be made for Media Relations to report to the incident site.

- If the Police and/or Fire Department are on the scene, advise them of any area evacuation, traffic control, etc., required to safeguard the public.

- Keep Gas Transmission advised of job progress on a regular basis.

- Upon arrival at the scene of the emergency, the Repair Crew Leader shall be briefed by the FOS.

- In the event it becomes necessary for the FOS to leave the scene, the Repair Crew Leader shall direct overall repair operations.

- Personnel, including those at the Construction and Operation Centers headquarters, working under the FOS, can be released only by him/her, after confirming they are not needed elsewhere.
4.28.1. If Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) is doing the call out, they shall also call out the Construction and Operations Dispatcher to open the Construction and Operations Center Headquarters for assembly of the crew.

4.29. Call out four arc welders from the list of Qualified Pipeline Arc Welders maintained by Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) (exceptions below). These welders are to report to the Construction and Operations Center Headquarters being opened for assembly of the Repair Crew.

4.29.1. For steel pipe less than 10 inches in diameter call out two arc welders.

4.29.2. In the event the required number of arc welders is not obtained, notify Shop Services to call out additional welders.

4.30. Five helpers, Laborers, or fields technicians will be attached to the Construction and Operations Center Headquarters opened during an emergency.

4.31. The Repair Crew Leader shall advise Service Dispatch – SD (Trouble) by telephone or radio when the Repair Crew is leaving the Construction and Operations Center Headquarters, and shall receive instructions on how to get to the scene. If necessary, guide service can be requested.

4.31.1. The pipeline patrolman or one of the Regulator Technicians may be requested to guide the crew.

Emergency Procedures – Operations Training Instructor (Welding Inspector)

4.32. After being notified of the emergency situation and location, the designated Welding Inspector shall advise Gas Transmission by radio or telephone that he has been notified and is proceeding to the scene.

4.33. Upon arrival at the scene, the Welding Inspector shall examine the damaged pipe, as soon as conditions are safe, to determine appropriate repairs.

**Note:** If a pipe segment is to be replaced, tested pipe shall be used. If the pipe segment is to be sleeved, the Welding Inspector shall determine if tested or untested pipe is to be used.

4.34. At the scene, the Welding Inspector shall supervise all welding operations.

4.35. The Welding Inspector shall notify the Gas Transmission when welding begins and again when welding has been completed.
Emergency Procedures - Emergency Material Procurement

4.36. The FOS in charge shall arrange for any special emergency material to be picked up or transported to the designated area.

4.37. In the event emergency materials are needed from any of the designated storage areas, call out the appropriate personnel.

Emergency Response Communications

4.38. The following information will meet the essential needs for communication between SDG&E emergency response crews and other emergency response agencies.

4.38.1. If the Fire Department or Police Department etc. are at the emergency site prior to SDG&E emergency crews, the first qualified company employee to arrive (Gas crew, Regulator Technician, Electric Troubleman, or Customer Service Technician) should report to the Fire or Police Officer in charge to establish a liaison and discuss actions taken and additional emergency planning.

4.38.2. If SDG&E personnel arrive at the emergency site prior to the emergency agencies, then the SDG&E person in charge should inform and appraise the agency of the current status of the situation at hand, the potential for hazards, and actions that should be taken to minimize them.

4.38.3. All SDG&E emergency response crews shall be equipped with company radios to provide primary or back up communications during emergency response procedures. (See Policy Section 1.5)

5. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS
(See GS G8113 Operator Qualification Program, Appendix A, Covered Task List)

• Task 9.1. – 49 CFR 192.706 – Performing leakage surveys: transmission lines

6. RECORDS

For all pipelines, including transmission pipelines in an HCA (as defined by GS G8116, Pipeline and Related Definitions), that have been damaged due to fire exposure (see Section 1.6), Pipeline Design shall prepare a report on the inspection of the fire damage using appropriate sections of Form 677-1SD, Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report. The report shall be filed in accordance with filing instructions contained in Form 677-1SD.
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PURPOSE To describe the methods, required intervals, and record keeping requirements for leakage survey on Company facilities. The objective of a leakage survey is to conduct a thorough search for gas indications in an assigned area and report all detectable indications using an approved survey method.

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. Leakage surveys are conducted on Transmission and Distribution gas facilities at specified intervals by using the methods specified in this Gas Standard. This document establishes the frequency of leak surveys and specifies record keeping procedures to comply with Company and regulatory requirements.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES & QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Field Organizations (Gas Transmission and Leakage Mitigation – Miramar) are responsible for conducting leak surveys per this procedure at the minimum intervals identified in Section 4. Surveys may be performed at more frequent intervals.

2.2. Field Organizations (Gas Transmission and Leakage Mitigation- Miramar) are responsible for selecting the appropriate leak survey method for each portion of their facilities per Table 3 of this procedure.

2.3. Field Organizations (Gas Transmission and Leakage Mitigation-Miramar) are responsible for notifying the appropriate scheduler of maintenance inspections of any field conditions which may warrant a change in the leak survey schedule.

2.4. The employee conducting the leakage survey must be qualified per GAS Standard G8113, “Operator Qualification Program.”

2.5. If a boat is required for conducting a leakage survey, the watercraft used must comply with the governmental regulations and licensing requirements for its type.

2.5.1. The operator of any rented or owned Company boat must first complete and successfully pass a Boating Safety Course approved by the California Department of Boating and Waterways (CDBW).

2.5.2. The CDBW offers a boating course at no charge. See the website at http://www.dbw.ca.gov/BoaterInfo/BoatSafeCourse.aspx.
2.5.2.1. Personnel working in watercraft MUST wear a Coast Guard-approved life vest as a personal protective equipment (PPE)
Other recommended PPE:
• Mosquito repellant.
• Sunscreen.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. HCA – High Consequence Area. Refer to GS G8170, Operations Technology for HCA Segment Identification.

3.2. Location Class – See GS G8121, Location Class – Determination and Changes

3.3. Department of Transportation Defined Transmission Line (DOT-T) – See GAS STANDARD GS G8116, Pipeline and Related Definitions.

3.4. Business District is an area identified on a leak survey map that depicts where distribution facilities are located within 100 feet of the property line of a land parcel that has been identified as being a potential commercial gathering place, a church, a school, a hospital or is location where people have limited mobility. The extent of the business district boundaries have been determined per the procedure outlined in STANDARD G8136, Maintenance of Leak Survey Maps.

3.5. Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure (MAOP) See GAS STANDARD G8116, Pipeline and Related Definitions.

3.6. Barhole: Probing or drilling holes in the surface (approximately 18 inches deep) to identify leakage using an approved leak detection instrument.

3.7. DP-IR: The Detecto Pak-Infrared® is a portable optical-based methane gas detector to sample the atmosphere for gas near the ground surface using Infrared Controlled Interference Polarization Spectrometry. For additional instrumentation specifications, see GS G8182, DP-IR Health Detecto Pak-Infrared.

3.8. RMLD: The Remote Methane Leak Detector – used as a portable “line of sight” laser based methane gas detector to detect gas leaks from a remote distance (up to 100’) by passing a laser through a gas plume. See GS G8192, RMLD-Remote Methane Leak Detector.

3.9. OMD: The Optical Methane Detector method uses an optical-based methane detector mount in front of a vehicle to detect gas that passes between the light transmitter and receiver. The presence of methane is displayed in analog and digital form inside the vehicle. GS G8138, Optical Methane Detector Operation and Maintenance.
3.10. **Laser Methane Detector (LMD) Instrument Survey** – This method uses a portable laser-based methane gas detector to sample the atmosphere for gas near the ground surface in the vicinity of buried Company facilities.

3.11. **Trak-IT III** – is a portable combustible gas indicator used to detect natural gas indications. See [GS G8194, Trak-IT III Combustible Gas Indicator](#).

3.12. **Non-State-of-the-Art Pipe (NSOTA)** – Steel pipe, bare or coated, without cathodic protection (CP), and all DuPont Aldyl-A (PE) pipe installed before 1986. See [GAS STANDARD D8146, Replacement Criteria for Distribution Mains and Services](#).


4. **PROCEDURE**
4.1. Table 1 is a summary of the minimum leak survey frequencies for pipe based upon location and operating status. See the referenced section of this procedure listed in Table 1 under ‘Additional Requirements’ for detailed requirements.

**Table 1: Leak Survey Frequencies**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pressure</th>
<th>Operating Location or Operating Status</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Additional Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium Pressure</td>
<td>All mains located Within a Business District</td>
<td>At least once each calendar year</td>
<td>see Sect. 4.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All Non-State-of-the-Art PE pipe &amp; Pre-1950 Steel pipe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Pressure (over 60 psig)</td>
<td>All high pressure not including DOT-Pipe</td>
<td>At least once each calendar year</td>
<td>see Sect. 4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT Defined Transmission Pipe (DOT-T)</td>
<td>Located in Non-HCA, Class 3</td>
<td>At least twice each calendar year</td>
<td>see Sect. 4.4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Located in Non-HCA, Class 4</td>
<td>At least 4 times each calendar year</td>
<td>see Sect. 4.4.2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cathodically Unprotected Pipe, located in All Classes</td>
<td>At least 4 times each calendar year</td>
<td>see Sect. 4.4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All other DOT-T Pipe</td>
<td>At least twice each calendar year</td>
<td>see Sect. 4.4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2. Medium Pressure Pipelines (Operating at 60 psig or Less)

4.2.1. Survey all pipe (including services) in business districts and adjacent schools, hospitals, and churches at intervals not exceeding 15 months, but at least once each calendar year.

4.2.1.1. All Non-State-of-the-Art PE pipe & Pre-1950 Steel pipe
4.2.2. Survey all cathodically unprotected main or other medium pressure protected steel & state-of-the-art PE pipe (including services), where electrical surveys for corrosion are impractical, at least once every 3 calendar years at intervals not exceeding 39 months.

4.2.3. Survey all pipe (including services) not covered under the safety or regulatory requirements of Section 4.2.1 and 4.2.2 of this document at least once every 5 calendar years at intervals not exceeding 63 months.

4.3. High Pressure Pipelines (Operating over 60 Psig) not including DOT-Transmission Pipelines

4.3.1. Survey all pipelines and associated services every 15 months; but at least once every calendar year annually for all location classes.

4.4. DOT-T Transmission Pipelines

4.4.1. Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline Segments in Location Class 3* and all DOT-T pipe not covered in Section 4.4.2.1 and 4.4.3

4.4.1.1. Survey every 7½ months; but at least twice each calendar year

4.4.2. Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline Segments in Location Class 4* and Transmission Pipelines in all Location Class without CP

4.4.2.1. Survey Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline in Location Class 4 every 4½ months; but at least 4 times each calendar year

4.4.3. If no CP is on a transmission pipeline (in any Location Class) or if electrical surveys are impractical, then survey every 4½ months; but at least 4 times each calendar year.

*Note: The implementation deadline to schedule future surveys for all non-HCA transmission pipelines according to the requirements in 49 CFR 192.935 is December 17, 2007. From this date forward surveys shall be performed in accordance with this survey-interval requirement.

4.5. Special Survey

4.5.1. Perform leak survey when:

4.5.1.1. Upon discovery that the MAOP of a pipeline is exceeded by 10% or more at any time during the life of the pipeline.
Note: When the MAOP of a pipeline is exceeded by 10% or more, contact Engineering for guidance concerning any additional actions to be taken that could facilitate further analysis of the longer term impact on the integrity of the pipe.

4.5.1.2. After the occurrence of any significant incident (e.g., train derailment, explosion, earthquake, flooding, landslides, etc.) over or adjacent to high pressure pipelines or related facilities. See STANDARD G8202, Field Guidelines – Emergency Incident Distribution/Customer Service or STANDARD G8205, Emergency Response Procedures for Gas Incidents- Transmission.

4.5.1.2.1. For Earthquakes, see Operations Emergency Manuel (OEM) 01.040- SD Earthquake –Special Procedures

4.5.1.3. There is the danger of public exposure to leaking gas; the special survey is conducted using the appropriate leak detection method shown in Table 3. Document the reason, location, limits, and results of all special leak surveys on the appropriate Company inspection record.

4.5.1.4. In the case of blasting, an inspection, including leakage survey, may be required based upon recommendation from the Region Engineer.

4.5.1.5. When increasing the MAOP of a pipeline, per GS G8115, Changing Maximum Allowable Operating Pressure and Maximum Operating Pressure.

4.5.1.6. When minimum survey requirements are not considered adequate because of pipe condition, limited opportunity for gas to vent safely, or other reasons.

4.5.1.7. There is a need to monitor pipe condition for special situations, such as:

4.5.1.7.1. Material evaluations.

4.5.1.7.2. Proposed street improvement projects.

4.5.1.7.3. As a mitigative measure for the Integrity Management Program.

4.5.1.8. Survey at the frequency listed in Table 2 based upon the location of the known shorted casing, confirmed to be shorted through
inspection and testing and have not been repaired/cleared according to GS G8027, Cathodic Protection – Electrical Isolation

Table 2: Known Shorted Crossing Survey Frequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location Class</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highway and Railroad Crossings</td>
<td>7½ months; but at least twice each calendar year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Other Locations</td>
<td>15 months; but at least once every calendar year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.5.2. A special leak survey may require special accounting; contact Field Operations Supervisor for proper account numbers.

4.5.3. Survey may also be considered in conjunction with major underground construction projects, see GS G8122, Prevention of Damage to Company Facilities.

5. APPLICATION OF LEAK SURVEY METHODS

5.1. Field Organizations must follow Table 3 when selecting an approved method for conducting leakage surveys of Transmission and Distribution Facilities.
Table 3: Approved Leak Survey Method by Facility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>DP-IR</th>
<th>OMD</th>
<th>RMLD</th>
<th>Barhole Track-IT</th>
<th>LMD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Med Press. Pipe (Annual and 3yr)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>*X</td>
<td>*X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Press. Pipe Over 60 psig (Annual)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>*X</td>
<td>*X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT-T Transmission (Class 1, 2)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOT-T Transmission (Class 3, 4)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shorted Casing</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe over Waterways</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*see sub-section for limitations

5.2. INSTRUMENTED SURVEY ROUTINE SURVEY METHOD

5.2.1. The method consists of using an approved leak survey instrument listed in Table 3 to sample the atmosphere near the surface of the ground in the vicinity of buried company facilities, and in street openings and other accessible crevices and locations where gas is likely to vent.

5.2.2. Survey shall include visual examinations of all Company above ground facilities. Search along the route of the pipe at all locations where gas is most likely to vent. Determine pipe location as accurately as possible using map, existing paint marks, old patches, etc.

5.2.3. Choose locations such as loose earth, paving cracks, old bar holes, repair patches and around the base of poles, trees, fence posts, etc., if they are near the pipe.
5.2.4. Watch for, and check areas where vegetation appears to be affected by gas leakage.

Note: Grass and vegetation areas can be affected in several ways: There may be patches of brown, dry, even dead grass. In some instances, affected vegetation and grass may appear very green compared to surrounding areas.

5.2.5. Search along the route of all services at locations where gas is most likely to vent.

5.2.5.1. Determine the service location as accurately as possible using the map, curb markings, meter location, etc. If any doubt exists as to route of the service such as at corner lots, check both possible routes.

5.2.5.2. Search as close to the service location as practical, over earth, at building foundations or at cracks and/or paving edge if service is under paving.

5.2.5.3. Search along all services from the curb or pavement edge to the riser. Check at service-to-main connections if traffic permits.

5.2.5.4. Check all manholes and other street openings such as valve casings, curb meter vaults, drains, water valves, meter boxes, street lighting, power, telephone, etc.

5.2.5.5. For long-side services it is necessary to visibly look for indications of possible leakage under the street such as: evidence of recent construction, foreign trench marks, pavement cuts, bar holes, etc. along the service route. Where visible indications are present, use approved ground leak detection equipment such as DP-IR or RMLD.

Note: When casing vents are presents they must be inspected to ensure they are in satisfactory condition and designed to prevent entry of water, insects, and other foreign matter. Vents should extend at least four feet above finished grade and at least four feet below overhead electric wires. Vents shall be located in an area away from traffic and other hazardous locations.
5.2.5.6. Survey all risers and other above ground Company Infrastructure including meters set assemblies. If a riser and connected facility is not readily accessible by customer contact or other means during the regular survey, and the survey cannot be completed using the RMLD (see 5.2.5.7 below), the “cannot get in” (CGI) must be documented for a follow-up to complete the survey. Check the riser and any portion of the service that was not surveyed. The follow-up shall be completed within the established compliance window for the inspection.

5.2.5.7. Districts have the option of utilizing a Remote Methane Leak Detector (RMLD) to check services up to the riser when access is restricted. See G8192, RMLD-Remote Methane Leak Detector.

**Note:** Districts are responsible for tracking and completing services that are not accessible at the time of survey (commonly referred to as ‘Can’t Get Ins” (CGI’s). Records should be kept per the retention schedule identified Section 11.

5.2.5.8. Check the casing end inside the building when a service enters a building. Reseal the casing end.

5.3. **OMD MOBILE SURVEY METHOD**

5.3.1. This method consists of driving a vehicle along the route of the underground gas piping and sampling the atmosphere near the earth or paving over the pipe or paving edge with sensitive continuous sampling leak detection equipment especially designed and engineered for mounting on a vehicle. See Standard G8138, Optical Methane Detector Operation and Maintenance.
5.3.2. For **Distribution** Leak Survey, the OMD is acceptable for use on Annual Survey of 20% or greater lines and for Special Surveys.

5.3.3. The OMD is to be used to perform leakage survey on buried piping that can be directly driven over with a vehicle equipped with an OMD. Associated services, crossovers and other buried infrastructure that cannot be driven over shall be surveyed using appropriate instrumentation (See section 5.2). OMD mobile leak survey is typically used on high pressure and medium pressure pipelines that have few and/or infrequent taps/services. Any services, taps, or other pressure carrying facilities that are part of the survey work order and are not suitable for survey by OMD must be surveyed with an appropriate device (see Section 5.2).

5.4. **BARHOLE**

5.4.1. Prior to drilling bar holes, notify Underground Service Alert (USA). See [GS G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking](#).

5.4.2. Drill a hole over the suspected leak area and surrounding facilities for the specific purpose of testing for subsurface gas indications per [GS G8194, Optical Methane Detector Operation and Maintenance](#).

5.4.3. Use an instrument probe, such as the combustible gas indicator, e.g., Trak-IT. Read gas indications.

5.5. **WATER CROSSING**

5.5.1. **SAFETY**

5.5.1.1. Serious bodily injury could occur when entering waterways without proper training and personal protective equipment (PPE). See sections 2.5 for required and recommended PPE.

5.5.1.2. The following are examples of hazards impacting this work:

5.5.1.2.1. Weather and waterway conditions

5.5.1.2.2. Fast currents

5.5.1.2.3. Tripping and slipping hazards.

5.5.1.2.4. Sunburn from water reflection.

5.5.1.2.5. Drowning

5.5.1.2.6. Hypothermia.
5.5.1.2.7. Other watercraft.

5.5.1.2.8. Wildlife

5.5.1.2.9. Environmental surroundings.

5.5.2. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS

5.5.2.1. Use only approved leak survey instruments listed in Table 3.

5.5.2.2. If using RMLD, see the additional requirements listed in the attached document.

5.5.3. For Distribution Piping Crossing the Bay

5.5.3.1. Use the following:

6. BUSINESS DISTRICT

6.1. A business district is an area that is 100 feet from the property line of a parcel of property that has been identified as significant commercial gathering point, a school, a hospital, a church or is a place where inhabitants have limited mobility.

6.2. Leak survey any distribution mains and associated services that have been identified as being within a business districts at the frequency established per Table 1.

6.3. The procedure for determining the business district is detailed in STANDARD G8136, Maintenance of Leak Survey Maps.

6.4. If during the survey, the leak surveyor identifies land uses that could potentially trigger a business district determination that is not currently depicted upon the leak survey map; they should identify this location for additional evaluation. The surveyor should document as follows:
6.4.1. The surveyor should circle the land parcel that potentially has triggered the business district and denote the following on the map cover sheet:

6.4.2. Select the checkbox identifying a potential business district was found on the leak survey map and the appropriate box in the Click Mobile Form.

6.4.3. In the Comment Section of the Map Coversheet, describe the land use of the parcel that should be evaluated for meeting the business district designation (i.e. business, hospital, school, church, a significant commercial gathering point).

6.4.4. Return the completed survey map and comments to Leakage Mitigation for processing.

7. **ABNORMAL OPERATING CONDITIONS**

7.1. Issue orders for investigation and correction when any abnormal conditions or when the following conditions, but not limited to, are encountered:

7.1.1. Meters in prohibited or hazardous meter locations, damaged, or corroded meter sets and meters buried in earth or paving.

7.1.2. Regulators in confined areas not vented to a safe location.

7.1.3. Broken or missing curb meter vault or curb valve lids.

7.1.4. Service cocks not readily accessible or otherwise inoperable.

7.1.5. Pipe (including services) having buildings constructed over them.

7.1.6. Pipe (including services) that are endangered by foreign construction.

7.1.7. Curb valves not readily accessible on services to schools, hospitals or churches.

7.1.8. Exposed piping showing evidence of atmospheric corrosion, chemical corrosion and other conditions that warrant concern.
7.1.9. Stress on exposed piping facilities as a result of earth movement or other causes.

7.1.10. When MSA protection (barricades or barriers) are required per D7115, *Barricades for Gas Meter Sets*.

7.1.11. Missing, broken and damaged casing vents.

8. EVALUATION OF LEAKAGE

8.1. The **Gas Patroller** evaluates all gas indications found and assigns an appropriate leakage priority classification based on potential hazard. See **G8135, Leakage Priority Classification**.

8.1.1. When a Code 1 Leak is identified by a Patroller (Gas) the Patroller will maintain surveillance (remain on-site) until one of the following occurs:

8.1.1.1. A Gas Repair Crew arrives on scene and releases the Patroller.

8.1.1.2. The originating Patroller is relieved by a relief Patroller.

8.1.1.3. The Patroller is released by either the M&R/System Protection Manager or the Leakage Mitigation Supervisor once they have responded to the location and determined a release is appropriate.

8.1.2. When an AG Hazardous Leak is identified by a Patroller (Gas) the Patroller will remain on-site until one of the following occurs:

8.1.2.1. If the Hazardous Leak is on the RISER, until a Gas Repair Crew arrives on scene and releases the Patroller.

8.1.2.2. If the Hazardous Leak is on a Customer Service Field (CSF) MSA, until a CSF representative arrives and releases the Patroller.

8.1.2.3. If the Hazardous Leak is on an M&R (Pipeline Operations) MSA, until a Pipeline Ops repair crew arrives.

8.1.2.4. The originating Patroller is relieved by a relief Patroller.

8.1.2.5. The Patroller is released by either the M&R/System Protection Manager or the Leakage Mitigation Supervisor once they have responded to the location and determined a release is appropriate.
8.2. Any gas indication that is investigated and presumed to be an outside company or agency should be promptly reported to the company or agency.

8.3. When a Gas Transmission District detects gas indications on a Distribution Region facility, promptly contact Gas Technical Services – Miramar.

8.4. When a Distribution Region detects leakage on a Transmission Operated facility, promptly contact the Transmission District.

8.5. The survey person will confirm any gas indication with a combustible gas indicator; see G8194, Trak-IT III Combustible Gas Indicator.

8.6. If the gas indication is located under street or paving, a hole must be drilled to take the read.

8.7. When gas indications are suspected to be from field or swamp gas:

8.7.1.1. The gas indication will be evaluated with an electronic ethane detector first. If ethane is not detected the crew contacts Environmental Analysis Services (EAS) and arranges for the testing of a gas sample to determine if the indications are the Company’s responsibility.

8.7.1.2. When a suspected safety-related condition is found, report it to the immediate supervisor the same day the condition is discovered. See G8229, Region Reports of Safety-Related Pipeline Conditions.
9. **REPORTING**

9.1. When a suspected safety-related condition is found, report it to the immediate supervisor the same day the condition is discovered.

9.2. Report all leaks and corrosion on **DOT-T Transmission lines** as outlined in **G8229, Region Reports of Safety-Related Pipeline Conditions.**

9.3. To ensure a safe response, communicate emergency incident as outlined in **GS G8202, Field Guidelines – Emergency Incident Distribution/Customer Service** or **GS G8205, Emergency Response Procedures for Gas Incidents- Transmission.**

10. **RECORDS**

10.1. Documentation on the Leak Survey Map

10.1.1. The Gas Patroller performing the leak survey is provided with maps of the areas to be surveyed. The maps used for survey will depict pipeline location to be surveyed and the surrounding streets.

10.1.1.1. The Gas Patroller is required to bracket, initial, and date all completed areas and/or segments they surveyed for that day on the Leakage Survey map using a blue pen.

10.1.1.2. All below ground leak indications are noted in red, marked with an “X”, and tallied on the Leak Survey Map Cover Sheet.

- New below ground leaks are identified using the location (sequence) number.
- Above ground leaks are identified using the location (sequence) number

10.1.1.2.1. If leakage spread is twenty (20) feet or more use dotted red line to indicate spread on map.

10.1.2. Document potential business district changes per Section 6.4 (Distribution Only)
10.2. Electronic Data Collection

10.2.1. Gas Transmission

10.2.1.1. Schedule, track, and document all routine leakage surveys on an approved computerized maintenance management system (i.e., MAXIMO).

10.2.1.2. Document all leak indications and leak repairs on Form 677-1SD, Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report (Transmission).

10.2.2. Distribution

10.2.3. Click Mobile forms should be used to:

- Document Leak Investigation on form 4030 in click Mobile
- Document Leak Indication on form 4040 in click mobile
- Document Distribution leak repair on form 4050 in click mobile
- Use Excavation form in click mobile to document pipe conditions

10.2.4. If Click Mobile forms are unavailable, record leak repairs on medium pressure SDG&E Distribution lines on Form 108-00200, Gas Leak Repair/Pipe Inspection Report. For leak repairs on high pressure SDG&E Distribution pipelines, prepare both Form 677-1SD and Form 108-00200 and forward to Gas Engineering - Pipeline Integrity. Also forward a copy of the completed Form 108-00200 to GTS Miramar – Leakage Mitigation Clerk.

11. RECORDS RETENTION

11.1. Records covering leakage surveys, leaks discovered, and repairs made are filed by the appropriate Transmission District or by Gas Technical Services – Miramar (Distribution), and maintained for the life of the pipeline plus six years.
11.2. Records covering leakage surveys, leaks discovered, and repairs made on transmission pipelines are documented using an approved computerized maintenance management system (e.g., MAXIMO or SAP) and filed by the appropriate Gas Transmission District, Storage Field, or Distribution Region, and must be retained per Records Management Retention Schedule. See Records Retention Standards on Sempra Net, http://home.sempranet.com/rm/.

11.3. In addition to the other recordkeeping requirements of these rules, each Operator shall maintain the following records for transmission lines for the periods specified:

   A. The date, location, and description of each repair made to pipe (including pipe-to-pipe connections) must be retained for as long as the pipeline remains in service or there is no longer pipe within the system of the same manufacturer, size and / or vintage as the pipeline on which repairs are made, whichever, is longer.

   B. The date, location, and description of each repair made to parts of the pipeline system other than pipe must be retained for at least 75 years. Repairs or findings of easement encroachments, generated by patrols, surveys, inspections, or tests required by subparts L and M of 49 CFR Part 192 must be retained in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

   C. A record of each patrol, survey, inspection, and test required by subparts L and M of this part must be retained for at least 75 years.

12. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS
(See GS G8113, Operator Qualification Program, Appendix A, Covered Task List)

   Task 09.01. – 49 CFR 192.706 – Performing leakage surveys: transmission lines

   Task 09.02 – 49 CFR 192.723 – Performing leakage surveys: distribution systems

   Task 02.13 – 49 CFR 192.481 – Monitoring for atmospheric corrosion
Brief: There were no changes to the duties performed. The Policy was revised for clarity and to meet the requirements contained in GO 112 F. Reformatted to comply with document outline requirements. Section 4 Procedure: Revised Table 1 Leakage Survey Frequencies to meet GO 112F leakage survey requirements. Revised section 4.4 "High Pressure Pipelines (Operating over 60 Psig) not including DOT-Transmission Pipelines" Revised section 4.5.1"Non-HCA Transmission Pipeline Segments in Location Class 3* and all DOT-T pipe not covered in Section 4.4.2.1 and 4.4.3. Section 5, APPLICATION OF LEAK SURVEY METHODS: Revised Table 3 ground patrol was removed from the approved method table. Revised 5.2.1- by removing "such as, but not limited to those" when referring to approved leak survey instruments. Regulatory change Section 5.2.5.5 -Added "Note: When casing vents are presents they must be inspected to ensure they are in satisfactory condition and designed to prevent entry of water, insects, and other foreign matter. Vents should extend at least four feet above finished grade and at least four feet below overhead electric wires. Vents shall be located in an area away from traffic and other hazardous locations". Revised Section 5.2.5.6 - Added "Note:When a service enters a building (basement, underground parking facility, enclosed structure etc.) attempt to gain safe entry and survey the portion of the service that can be surveyed inside the building up to the riser including meter set assemblies. If unable to access these types of locations while performing leakage survey, follow guidelines in Section 5.2.5.6 above". Numbering change section 5.5 Barhole is now 5.4. Section 5.6 WATER CROSSING is now section 5.5. Regulatory Change- Added section 7.1.10 - Missing, broken and damaged casing vents. GO 112F Record retention requirements revisions made to section 11.1-

Records covering leakage surveys, leaks discovered, and repairs made on distribution pipelines are documented using SAP and maintained for the life of the pipeline plus six years. Section 11.2 - Records covering leakage surveys, leaks discovered, and repairs made on transmission pipelines are documented using an approved computerized maintenance management system (e.g., MAXIMO or SAP) and filed by the appropriate Gas Transmission District, Storage Field, or Distribution Region, and maintained for the life of the pipeline plus five years or 75 years, whichever is longer.
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NOTICE OF PROPOSED AWARDS (NOPA)

Enhancing Safety, Environmental Performance, and Resilience of California’s Natural Gas System

GFO-17-502

February 6, 2018

On September 11, 2017, the California Energy Commission (Energy Commission) released a competitive solicitation to fund Applied Research and Development (AR&D) projects that develop innovative methods to protect natural gas infrastructure; enhance features of the next-generation version of Cal-Adapt; improve life cycle accounting for imported natural gas; increase cost effectiveness of methane leak detection; and identify building stock in disadvantaged communities that would benefit the most from retrofitting activities. Up to $8.9 million of Natural Gas Research Program funding is available to fund applications in five project groups:

- **Group 1**: Exploratory Study of Innovative Methods to Assess Structural Integrity of Levees Protecting Natural Gas Infrastructure in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta
- **Group 2**: Developing Next-Generation Cal-Adapt Features to Support Natural Gas Sector Resilience
- **Group 3**: Chemical and Isotopic Fingerprints of Natural Gas Basins to Support Full Fuel Cycle Accounting
- **Group 4**: Field Study to Identify and Mitigate Methane Emissions in the Southern Part of the San Joaquin Valley
- **Group 5**: Identification of Potential Retrofit Opportunities of Buildings in Disadvantaged Communities in an Urban Area in the San Joaquin Valley

The Energy Commission received nine proposals for Groups 1, 2, 3, and 4 by the due date of November 17, 2017. No applications were received under Group 5. All submitted proposals passed the Stage One Application Screening. All passing proposals were screened, reviewed, evaluated, and scored according to the solicitation’s criteria.

The attached “Notice of Proposed Awards” identifies each applicant selected and recommended for funding by Energy Commission staff under Groups 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively and includes the recommended funding amount and score. The total amount recommended for Groups 1 through 4 is $8,849,978.

Funding of proposed projects resulting from this solicitation is contingent upon the approval of these projects at a publicly noticed Energy Commission business meeting and execution of a grant agreement. If the Energy Commission is unable to timely negotiate and execute a funding agreement with an Applicant, the Energy Commission, at its sole discretion, reserves the right to cancel or otherwise modify the pending award, and award the funds to another applicant.
In addition, the Energy Commission reserves the right to add to, remove, or shift funding to make additional awards; negotiate with successful applicants to modify the project scope, schedule, and level of funding.

This notice is being mailed to all parties who submitted an application to this solicitation and is also posted on the Energy Commission’s website at www.energy.ca.gov/contracts/.

For information, please contact Commission Agreement Officer Andrea Hoppe at (916) 651-0588 or andrea.hoppe@energy.ca.gov.
## California Energy Commission
### GFO-17-502
#### Enhancing Safety, Environmental Performance, and Resilience of California’s Natural Gas System

**Notice of Proposed Awards**

**Project Group 1: Exploratory Study of Innovative Methods to Assess Structural Integrity of Levees Protecting Natural Gas Infrastructure in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta**

2/6/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank Number</th>
<th>Project Applicant</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Requested</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Recommended</th>
<th>Match Funds</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Award Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Awards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infraterra, Inc.</td>
<td>Development of an Integrated Methodology for Assessing Integrity of Levees Protecting Natural Gas Infrastructure</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passed But Not Funded</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Institute of Gas Technology</td>
<td>Field Testing of Non-Invasive Levee Assessment Methods</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$41,350</td>
<td>78.8</td>
<td>Finalist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**

$1,100,000 | $550,000 | $41,350
## California Energy Commission

### GFO-17-502

**Enhancing Safety, Environmental Performance, and Resilience of California’s Natural Gas System**

**Notice of Proposed Awards**

**Project Group 2: Developing Next-Generation Cal-Adapt Features to Support Natural Gas Sector Resilience**

*2/6/18*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank Number</th>
<th>Project Applicant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Requested</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Recommended</th>
<th>Match Funds</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Award Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The Regents of the University of California, Berkeley</td>
<td>Developing Next-Generation Cal-Adapt Features to Support Natural Gas Sector Resilience</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>87.9</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Eagle Rock Analytics</td>
<td>Developing Next-Generation Cal-Adapt Features to Support Natural Gas Sector Resilience</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>84.1</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Grand Total**

- $2,400,000
- $1,200,000
- $0
# California Energy Commission

**GFO-17-502**

Enhancing Safety, Environmental Performance, and Resilience of California’s Natural Gas System

**Notice of Proposed Awards**

Project Group 3: Chemical and Isotopic Fingerprints of Natural Gas Basins to Support Full Fuel Cycle Accounting

2/6/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank Number</th>
<th>Project Applicant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Requested</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Recommended</th>
<th>Match Funds</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Award Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</td>
<td>Chemical and Isotopic Analyses to Improve Life-Cycle Assessments of Natural Gas Consumed in California</td>
<td>$549,978</td>
<td>$549,978</td>
<td>$53,633</td>
<td>78.01</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Regents of the University of California, Irvine</td>
<td>Chemical and Isotopic Fingerprinting Technique to Determine Composition of Natural Gas Consumed in California</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$550,000</td>
<td>$84,989</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,099,978</td>
<td>$1,099,978</td>
<td>$138,622</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Did Not Pass**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Applicant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Requested</th>
<th>Match Funds</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Award Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GeoMechanics Technologies</td>
<td>Advanced Geochemical Analysis of Consumed and Sourced Natural Gas to Quantify Greenhouse Gas Emissions by Basin</td>
<td>$546,105</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$45,150</td>
<td>Did Not Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1,646,083</td>
<td>$1,099,978</td>
<td>$183,772</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## California Energy Commission

**GFO-17-502**  
Enhancing Safety, Environmental Performance, and Resilience of California's Natural Gas System  
Notice of Proposed Awards  
Project Group 4: Field Study to Identify and Mitigate Methane Emissions in the Southern Part of the San Joaquin Valley  
2/6/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank Number</th>
<th>Project Applicant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Requested</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Recommended</th>
<th>Match Funds</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Award Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposed Awards</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory</td>
<td>SUper EMitters of Methane Detection Using Aircraft, Towers, and Intensive Observational Network (SUMMATION)</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$14,425,970</td>
<td>96.1</td>
<td>Awardee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$14,425,970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Passed But Not Funded</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>The Regents of the University of California, Davis</td>
<td>Measurement, Characterization and Mitigation Strategies for Methane Emissions in the Southern San Joaquin Valley</td>
<td>$5,979,755</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$650,000</td>
<td>83.2</td>
<td>Finalist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Grand Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,979,755</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>$15,075,970</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
California Energy Commission  
GFO-17-502  
Enhancing Safety, Environmental Performance, and Resilience of California’s Natural Gas System  
Notice of Proposed Awards  
Project Group 5: Identification of Potential Retrofit Opportunities of Buildings in Disadvantaged Communities in an Urban Area in the San Joaquin Valley  
2/6/18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank Number</th>
<th>Project Applicant</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Requested</th>
<th>Energy Commission Funds Recommended</th>
<th>Match Funds</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Award Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

No proposals were received for this group.
PURPOSE  To establish guidelines and requirements for assessing the degree of hazard and
classification of leaks or leak indications found on Company piping system, and
actions required to provide for public safety and repair of the leak.

1.  POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1.  Leak indications on Company facilities are classified by trained and qualified
employees according to location, spread, concentration of gas, possibility for
accumulation of gas, possible sources of ignition, potential migration and imminence
of hazard to people or property.  Classifications of leaks or leak indications are based
on a relative degree of hazard and examples listed are intended only as a guide.  The
judgment of the person evaluating the leak or leak indication, after consideration of
all factors involved, is the primary criterion for classification and mitigation.

1.2.  Hazardous indications of underground leaks are reported and action is taken
according to this Gas Standard until the hazard has been eliminated and the leak has
been either temporarily or permanently repaired; or until it is determined that the leak
is from a source other than the Company piping system.

1.2.1.  When leak indications are suspected to be from field or swamp gas, the leak
will be evaluated with an electronic ethane detector first.  If ethane is not
detected the crew contacts Environmental Analysis Services (EAS) and
arranges for the testing of a gas sample to determine if the leakage is the
Company's responsibility.

1.3.  Classification of a leak or leak indication establishes a maximum time limit from date
of detection for taking corrective action.  Dates may be set for action prior to the
maximum time limit for safety, public relations reasons, or other special
considerations by trained and qualified employees.  Nothing in this standard shall be
used to discourage the leak repair prior to the maximum duration allowed.

Note:  In a situation where a leak requires an earlier scheduled repair, the employee must
contact supervision and share all pertinent information by the end of that working
day.  The Supervisor must take the necessary actions to bring these situations to the
attention of the individual responsible for scheduling leakage repair to expedite the
leak repair.

Note:  Although a repair of a classified leak may be expedited for a variety of reasons, the
original classification of the leak shall not be changed.

1.4.  In the event that leakage is discovered in the vicinity of a pipeline operating at greater
than 60 PSIG, refer to GS G8229, Reports of Safety-Related Pipeline Conditions, to
determine any additional reporting requirements and actions.
Note: Liquid Natural Gas facility piping under CFR Section 193 is not subject to these policies. DOT-defined Distribution piping includes the meter set assembly (MSA) up to the inlet of the Customer's piping.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Pipeline Integrity is responsible for the specific guidelines as it relates to regulatory requirements and ensuring compliance with the Company’s Integrity Management Plan.

2.2. Leakage Mitigation, Distribution and Transmission field employees are required to code all leak indications in the vicinity of buried DOT Transmission and Distribution defined Company pipelines and facilities in accordance with this gas standard.

2.3. Leakage Mitigation, Distribution, Transmission, M&R and Customer Services qualified field employees are required to classify all leaks identified on above ground (not buried) DOT Transmission and Distribution defined Company pipelines and facilities in accordance with this gas standard.

2.4. When any Company department detects a non-hazardous leak or leak indications on a facility operated by another Company department, notification to that department shall be made the same day or within one business day.

Note: A company employee finding hazardous leak indications must remain at the location performing activities to their ability and training in an effort to keep themselves, the public and the area safe until the responding employee(s) to correct the leak has arrived.

2.5. Assigning leakage classifications must be performed by qualified individuals, refer to GS G8113, Operator Qualification Program.

2.6. Gas Operations Training -Skills is responsible for ensuring the equipment and facilities used by an Operator for training and qualification of employees must be identical, or very similar in operation to the equipment and facilities which the employee will use, or on which the employee will perform the covered task per GO112-F 143.4.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. Explosive Limits for Natural Gas - 4.5% to 15% Gas Volume (gas / air mixture).

3.1.1. Lower Explosive Limit (LEL) – 4.5% Gas Volume (100% of the LEL) indicates the lower explosive range of gas.
3.2. **Repair** - As it relates to this Gas Standard it is defined as a permanent modification to the gas facility that eliminates the natural gas leak.

3.3. **Temporary Repair** - As it relates to this Gas Standard is defined as a temporary modification to the gas facility that eliminates the natural gas leak and will require a return visit to complete a permanent repair.

**Note:** Drilling and purging bar holes (sometimes is referred to as aeration) is not considered a temporary repair. This process is utilized for verifying and centering below ground leak indications. Refer to **GS G8137, Leak Investigation – Distribution** for drilling and purging of bar holes.

3.4. **Remote location** - As it relates to this Gas Standard it is defined as a company facility that is located a sufficient distance from any building or structure intended for human occupancy, roadways, and walkways (excluding roadways and walkways within Company facilities that are restricted from public access).

3.5. **Leak** – A leak is defined as an unintentional escape of gas from a Gas facility.

3.6. **Leakage Coding** – As it relates to GO 112 F within this document – A “Grade 1” leak is referred to as a Code 1 leak, a “Grade 2” leak is referred to a as Code 2 leak, and a “Grade 3” leak is referred to as a Code 3 leak.

3.6.1. Below ground leak indications are coded.

3.6.2. Above ground leak indications are classified.

3.7. **Leak Concentration** - The amount of leakage registered on the leak detection instrument.

3.8. **MSA Leaks** – Leaks on the above ground piping, downstream of the riser and including the service valve.

**Note:** Service valve leaks or service valve replacements that require modification to the riser shall be classified as a riser leak, such as but not limited to, cut and thread repair due to corrosion.

3.9. **Riser Leaks** – Leaks on the above ground portion of service piping between the ground and service valve.

**Note:** Any leak that can be resolved by service valve replacement or adjustment that does not require modification to the riser shall be classified as a MSA leak. Service valve leaks repaired by lubrication, tightening or adjustment shall be considered part of the MSA.
3.10. **Buried Service Leaks** – Leaks on service piping below ground, including the vertical buried portion of the service pipe. These leaks should be coded 1, 2 or 3.

**Note:** Below ground leaks are never classified as Hazardous, Non-Hazardous or Minor.

3.11. **BELOW GROUND LEAK INDICATIONS**

3.11.1. **CODE 1 LEAK INDICATION** - a leak that represents an existing or probable hazard to persons or property, and requiring prompt action, immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous.

**Note:** Temporary repairs may be made and documented to eliminate the immediate hazard however; permanent repairs must be scheduled and completed per section 4.1.1 of this Gas Standard.

3.11.1.1. Examples of Code 1 leak Indications include, but are not limited to:

3.11.1.1.1. Blowing gas that can be seen, heard, or felt.

3.11.1.1.2. Escaping gas that has ignited unintentionally.

3.11.1.1.3. An indication of gas which has migrated into or under a building or tunnel; or at the outside wall of a building, or where gas could potentially migrate to an outside wall of a building.

3.11.1.1.4. A leak with gas indications of 3% gas/air mixture or greater in substructures that people can enter.

3.11.1.1.5. A leak with gas indications of 80% LEL (3.6% gas / air mixture) or greater in an enclosed space.

3.11.1.1.6. A leak with gas indications of 3% gas/air mixture or greater in enclosures containing electrical equipment.

3.11.1.1.7. A leak with gas indications of 80% LEL (3% gas / air mixture) or greater in small substructures not associated with gas facilities where the gas could potentially migrate to the outside wall of a building.

3.11.2. **CODE 2 LEAK INDICATION** - a leak that is recognized as being not-hazardous at the time of detection, but justifies scheduled repair based on the potential for creating a future hazard.
3.11.2.1. Examples of Code 2 leak Indications include, but are not limited to:

3.11.2.1.1. A leak with gas indications near buildings or structures within 5 feet if unpaved that does not qualify as a Code 1 leak and where it is unlikely gas could potentially migrate to the outside wall of a building.

3.11.2.1.2. Any reading of 40% LEL to 80% LEL (1.8% to 3.6% gas / air mixture) under a sidewalk in a wall-to-wall or continuously paved area that does not qualify as a Code 1 leak and where it is unlikely gas could potentially migrate to the outside wall of a building.

3.11.2.1.3. Any reading of 100% LEL (4.5% gas / air mixture) or less under a street in a wall-to-wall paved area that does not qualify as a Code 1 leak and where it is unlikely gas could potentially migrate to the outside wall of a building.

3.11.2.1.4. A leak with gas indications of less than 3% gas/air mixture in substructures that people can enter.

3.11.2.1.5. A leak with gas indications of less than 80% LEL (3.6% gas / air mixture) in an enclosed space.

3.11.2.1.6. A leak with gas indications of less than 3% gas/air mixture in enclosures containing electrical equipment.

3.11.2.1.7. A leak with gas indications of less than 80% LEL (3% gas / air mixture) in small substructure not associated with gas facilities and where it is unlikely gas could potentially migrate creating a probable future hazard.

3.11.2.1.8. Any reading on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG that is not a Code 1 leak.

**Note:** For Transmission, pipelines operating at greater than 60 PSIG may be assigned a Code 3 leak category when the leak is confined to a valve casing and not in the surrounding soil. See Code 3 leak indications.
3.11.3. **CODE 3 LEAK INDICATION** - a leak that is not-hazardous at the time of detection and can reasonably be expected to remain not-hazardous.

**Note:** Permanent repairs must be scheduled and completed per section 4.1.3 of this Gas Standard.

3.11.3.1. Leak indications that do **not** meet Code 1 or Code 2 criteria should be classified as a Code 3.

**Note:** Includes leak indications that involve plastic pipe.

3.11.3.2. Examples of Code 3 leaks include, but are not limited to:

3.11.3.2.1. Any gas indications of less than 80% LEL (3.6% gas / air mixture) in small gas associated substructures and **in the surrounding soil**, such as but not limited to small curb meter boxes or gas valve boxes.

**Note:** Any gas indications of less than 80% LEL (3.6% gas / air mixture) in small gas associated substructures and **NOT in the surrounding soil**, such as but not limited to small curb meter boxes **will be classified** in accordance to section 3.12 and 4.2.

3.11.3.2.2. Any reading under a street in areas without wall-to-wall paving where it is unlikely the gas could migrate to the outside wall of a building.

3.11.3.2.3. **For Transmission**, leaks confined to a valve casing and not in the surrounding soil involving a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG may be assigned a Code 3 leak category provided that the indications do not meet Code 1 or Code 2 criteria.

**Note:** Permanent repairs must be scheduled and completed per section 4.1.3.2 of this Gas Standard.

3.12. **ABOVE GROUND (NOT BURIED) LEAKS**

3.12.1. **HAZARDOUS LEAK** - an above ground leak that represents an existing or probable hazard to persons or property, and requiring prompt action, immediate repair or continuous action until the leak is repaired and the conditions are no longer hazardous.
3.12.2. **NON-HAZARDOUS LEAK** - an above ground leak that is recognized as being not-hazardous at the time of detection, but justifies scheduled repair based on probable future hazard.

**Note:** Leaks at ground level (where buried pipe comes out of the ground) may be classified as an "above ground" leak, provided the area around the pipe is not paved, gas has not migrated away from the pipe, and the entire leaking area of pipe can be exposed by moving away top soil.

3.12.3. **MINOR LEAK** - An above ground leak determined to be non-hazardous and can be eliminated by tightening, lubrication, or adjustment.

**Note:** Mains, Services, or Riser leaks caused by corrosion shall NOT be classified as Minor leaks. Service valve leaks where the Service Valve must be replaced, the leak shall NOT be classified as a Minor leak.

Leaks can be classified as Minor even if company personnel elect to reconstruct the piping or replace parts; this includes activities such as replacing stem packing, gaskets, etc.
### Table A: BELOW GROUND LEAK INDICATION CODING CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEAK INDICATION CODING</th>
<th>The corresponding leak indication coding applies to the conditions and actions listed below:</th>
<th>CONDITIONS / ENVIRONMENT</th>
<th>ACTIONS (One or more actions may be required)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CODE 1</td>
<td>- Ignited leak. - Leak is in a location where the gas could be ignited and pose an immediate danger to public or property.</td>
<td>- Requires prompt action, immediate repair or continuous action until the leak is repaired and the conditions are no longer hazardous; - Evacuation; - Delineation to control public access; - Traffic delineation to control vehicular access; - Eliminating source of ignition; - Venting the area; - Stand-by; - Stopping the flow of gas by closing valves or other means; or - Notifying police and fire departments.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CODE 2</td>
<td>- Leak is not ignited. - Does not pose an immediate danger to public or property. - Is not hazardous at the time of detection but justifies scheduled repair based on the potential for creating a future hazard.</td>
<td>Follow procedures in section 4.1.2.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CODE 3</td>
<td>- Does not pose an immediate danger to public or property. - Is not hazardous and is not expected to become hazardous.</td>
<td>Follow procedures in section 4.1.3.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**
- The condition of the facility does not factor into the classification of the leak; however, pipe condition and structural integrity should be considered when determining the repair schedule. For Transmission and Distribution employees working on a system operating at greater than 60 PSIG, the pipe and facility condition shall also be assessed per Company Form Instruction 677-1SD, *Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report*.
Table B: ABOVE-GROUND LEAK INDICATION CLASSIFICATION CRITERIA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEAK INDICATION CLASSIFICATION</th>
<th>CONDITIONS / ENVIRONMENT</th>
<th>ACTIONS (One or more actions may be required)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HAZARDOUS</td>
<td>- Ignited leak.</td>
<td>- Requires prompt action, immediate repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Is in a location where</td>
<td>or continuous action until the leak is</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the leak could be</td>
<td>repaired and the conditions are no longer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ignited and pose an</td>
<td>hazardous;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>immediate danger to</td>
<td>- Evacuation;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>public or property.</td>
<td>- Delineation to control public access;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Leaks within 3ft of a</td>
<td>- Traffic delineation to control vehicular</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>building or structure</td>
<td>access;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>that, when assessed by</td>
<td>- Eliminating source of ignition;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>soap test blows off</td>
<td>- Venting the area;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>leak soap. (Refer to</td>
<td>- Stand-by;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GS D7265, for soap test</td>
<td>- Stopping the flow of gas by closing valves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>information).</td>
<td>or other means; or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Notifying police and fire departments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NON-HAZARDOUS</td>
<td>- Leak is not ignited</td>
<td>Follow procedures in section 4.2.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Does not pose an</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>immediate danger to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>public or property.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Leaks within 3ft of a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>building or structure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>that, when assessed by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>soap test forms soap</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bubble(s). (Refer to GS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D7265 for soap test</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>information.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINOR*</td>
<td>- Leaks or releases that</td>
<td>Follow procedures in section 4.2.3.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>are non-hazardous at the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>time of detection and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>can be repaired by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tightening, lubrication,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>or adjustment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mains, Services, or Riser leaks caused by corrosion are not classified as Minor Leaks. Service Valve leaks where the Service Valve must be replaced, the leak shall not be classified as a Minor Leak.

Note:
- For Transmission and Distribution employees working on a system operating at greater than 60 PSIG, the pipe and facility condition shall also be assessed per Company Form Instruction 677-1SD – Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report.
4. PROCEDURE

4.1. Below Ground Leak Classification, Response and Mitigation

Note: All below ground leaks on DOT-defined Transmission and Distribution piping shall be coded and documented according to the definitions and criteria requirements within this gas standard.

4.1.1. Code 1 Leak Indications

4.1.1.1. All Code 1 leak indications require prompt action, immediate repair or continuous action until the conditions are no longer hazardous. Temporary repairs may be made and documented to eliminate the immediate hazard however, permanent repairs must be scheduled and completed per section 4.1 to 4.1.2 of this Gas Standard.


Note: The Supervisor of the organization repairing the leak must be notified for all Code 1 leaks.

4.1.1.2. Distribution

4.1.1.2.1. Temporary repaired Code 1 leaks must be reevaluated using an approved Combustible Gas Indicator (CGI) at least once every 6 months.

4.1.1.2.1.1. Temporary leak repairs on pipelines operating at 60 PSIG or less must be permanently repaired no later than 15 months from the original date detected.

4.1.1.2.1.2. Temporary leak repairs on pipelines operating at greater than 60 PSIG must be permanently repaired within 1 year from the original date detected.
4.1.1.3. Transmission

4.1.1.3.1. When a Code 1 leak is temporarily repaired on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG, a permanent repair must be scheduled and completed within 6 months from the original date detected.

**Note:** In situations where permanent repairs cannot be completed within the six-months, the reason for delay and the steps taken to ensure public safety shall be documented monthly until the leak is permanently repaired not to exceed one year from the original date detected.

4.1.2. Code 2 Leak Indications

4.1.2.1. Distribution

4.1.2.1.1. Code 2 leak indications must be reevaluated, using an approved Combustible Gas Indicator (CGI), at least once every 6 months. The frequency of reevaluation should be determined by the location and magnitude of the leakage condition.

4.1.2.1.1.1. Leaks on a pipeline operating at 60 PSIG or less must be permanently repaired or cleared within 15 months from the original date detected.

4.1.2.1.1.2. Leaks on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG must be permanently repaired or cleared within 1 year from the original date detected.

4.1.2.1.1.2.1. When a temporary repair is made on a Code 2 leak, the leak must be reevaluated using an approved Combustible Gas Indicator (CGI) at least once every 6 months.

4.1.2.1.1.2.2. Temporary leak repairs on a pipeline operating at 60 PSIG or less must be permanently repaired no later than 15 months from the original date detected.
4.1.2.1.2.3. Temporary leak repairs on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG must be permanently repaired within 1 year from the original date detected.

4.1.2.1.2. In determining the repair schedule, the following criteria should be considered:

4.1.2.1.2.1. Amount and migration of gas.

4.1.2.1.2.2. Proximity of gas to buildings and subsurface structures.

4.1.2.1.2.3. Extent of pavement.

4.1.2.1.2.4. Soil type, and soil conditions (e.g., frost cap, moisture, natural venting).

4.1.2.1.3. Code 2 leak indications may vary greatly in degree of potential hazard and may justify a reason to expedite scheduled repair.

**Note:** In a situation where the Code 2 requires an earlier scheduled repair, the employee must contact supervision and share all pertinent information by the end of that working day. The Supervisor must take the necessary actions to bring these situations to the attention of the individual responsible for scheduling leakage repair to expedite the leak repair.

4.1.2.1.4. When leak indications are found in a Company-owned or controlled gas vault, entry into the vault is to be done in accordance with **GS G8315, Confined Space Operations**.

4.1.2.2. Transmission

4.1.2.2.1. An investigation of a Code 2 leak indication shall be conducted within 6 weeks of the date detected, and repaired within 6 months of the date detected using normal operational methods.
4.1.2.2.2. Code 2 leak indications in the upper range of the lower explosive limit (2.5% - 3% gas / air mixture) shall be monitored pending the leak repair. The frequencies for monitoring shall be defined by the supervisor.

Note: In situations where permanent repairs cannot be completed within the six-months, the reason for delay and the steps taken to ensure public safety shall be documented monthly until the leak is permanently repaired not to exceed one year from the original date detected.

4.1.2.2.3. When leak indications are found in a Company-owned or controlled gas vault, entry into the vault is to be done in accordance with GS G8315, Confined Space Operations.

4.1.3. Code 3 Leak Indications

4.1.3.1. Distribution

4.1.3.1.1. Code 3 leak indications must be reevaluated, using an approved Combustible Gas Indicator (CGI) and on intervals based on the piping material in the area of the leak indication:

Steel: At least once every calendar year, not to exceed 15 months until the leak is repaired. The leak must be repaired or cleared no later than 3 years after discovery.

Plastic: At least once every 6 months until a permanent repair is completed, leak is cleared. The leak must be repaired or cleared no later than 15 months from the original date detected.

4.1.3.2. Transmission

4.1.3.2.1. Leaks confined to a valve casing and not in the surrounding soil may be assigned a Code 3 leak category provided that the indications do not meet Code 1 or Code 2 criteria.
4.1.3.2.1.1. Code 3 leak indications must be permanently repaired or cleared upon discovery or within one year from the original date detected.

4.2. Above Ground Leak Classification, Response and Mitigation

Note: Above ground leaks on DOT-defined Transmission and Distribution piping shall be classified according to the definitions and criteria specified within this gas standard as Hazardous, Non-Hazardous, or Minor. The response and mitigation schedule for leaks on Above Ground Facilities shall be as follows:

4.2.1. Hazardous Leaks On Above Ground Pipelines

4.2.1.1. All Hazardous leak indications require prompt action, immediate repair or continuous action until the leak is repaired and the conditions are no longer hazardous.

4.2.1.1.1. Hazardous Riser and MSA leaks require an immediate response and continuous action until a permanent repair is made.

4.2.1.2. Distribution

4.2.1.2.1. Temporary repaired Hazardous leaks must be reevaluated at least once every 6 months.

4.2.1.2.1.1. Temporary leak repairs on pipelines operating at 60 PSIG or less must be permanently repaired no later than 15 months from the original date detected.

4.2.1.2.1.2. Temporary leak repairs on pipelines operating at greater than 60 PSIG must be permanently repaired within 1 year from the original date detected.

4.2.1.3. Transmission

4.2.1.3.1. When a Hazardous leak is temporarily repaired on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG, a permanent repair must be scheduled and completed within 6 months from the original date detected.
Note: In situation where permanent repairs cannot be completed within the six-months, the reason for delay and the steps taken to ensure public safety shall be documented monthly until the leak is permanently repaired not to exceed one year from the original date detected.

4.2.1.3.2. The Supervisor of the organization repairing the leak must be notified for all Hazardous leaks. The Supervisor shall notify management immediately for all Hazardous leaks.

4.2.2. Non-Hazardous Leaks

4.2.2.1. When determining repair schedule for non-hazardous leaks, the proximity of gas to buildings and structures shall be considered.

4.2.2.2. Distribution

4.2.2.2.1. Leaks within 3 feet of a building or structure, shall be repaired within 2 business days (see Exception and Note below) from the date the leak was detected.

Exception: For leaks that require excavation to repair, the repair schedule shall be adjusted in accordance with company operations practices as soon as practical, not to exceed 10 business days. CSF employees shall refer to GS C5510, Leak Investigation.

Note: One-Call / USA notification requires 2 business days for non-emergency response by other utilities before excavating. Refer to GS G8123 Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking for more information.

CA law AB1937 requires a notification of 3 business days to qualifying School, Hospital and / or Registered Licensed Day Care Facility within 500 feet proximity prior to planned construction excavation activity on gas facilities.

4.2.2.2.2. Leaks greater than 3 feet from a building or structure that is not in a remote location, the leak must be repaired within 6 months from the date the leak was detected.

4.2.2.2.3. Leaks in remote locations that are considered non-hazardous must be permanently repaired within 15 months from the date the leak was detected.
4.2.2.4. Non Hazardous leak indications on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG must be repaired within one year from the original date detected.

4.2.2.4.1. When a temporary repair is made on a Non Hazardous leak, the leak must be reevaluated at least once every 6 months.

4.2.2.4.2. Temporary leak repairs on pipelines operating 60 PSIG or less must be permanently repaired no later than 15 months from the original date detected.

4.2.2.4.3. Temporary leak repairs on pipelines operating at greater than 60 PSIG must be permanently repaired within 1 year from the original date detected.

4.2.2.5. All non-hazardous steel risers leaks may be temporarily repaired using approved company clamps.

4.2.2.5.1. When temporary leak repairs are made on a steel riser, the leak must be reevaluated at least once every 6 months and a permanent repair must be scheduled and completed within 15 months from the date the leak was detected.

4.2.2.6. Shorter time frames for the response to Non-Hazardous leaks may be scheduled when in the opinion of the responsible employee it is prudent for managing safety, public relation reasons, or other special considerations.

4.2.2.7. When leak indications are found in a Company-owned or controlled gas vault, entry into the vault is to be done in accordance with GS G8315, Confined Space Operations.

4.2.2.3. Transmission

4.2.2.3.1. When determining repair schedule for all non-hazardous leaks, the proximity of gas to buildings and structures shall be considered.

4.2.2.3.2. An investigation of a Non-Hazardous leak indication shall be conducted within 6 weeks of the date detected, and repaired within 6 months of the date detected using normal operational methods.
Note: Leaks within 3 feet of a building or structure shall be repaired within 2 business days (see Exception and note after section 4.2.2.2.1) from the date the leak was detected.

4.2.2.3.3. Non-Hazardous leak indications in the upper range of the lower explosive limit (2.5% - 3% gas / air mixture) shall be monitored pending the leak repair. The frequency for monitoring shall be defined by the supervisor.

Note: In situations where permanent repairs cannot be completed within the six-months, the reason for delay and the steps taken to ensure public safety shall be documented monthly until the leak is permanently repaired, not to exceed one year from the original date detected.

4.2.2.3.4. When leak indications are found in a Company-owned or controlled gas vault, entry into the vault is to be done in accordance with GS G8315, Confined Space Operations.

4.2.3. Minor Leak

4.2.3.1. Repairs are to be scheduled and performed as operations permit. The leak must be repaired or cleared no later than 3 years from the original date detected.

5. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS

(See GS G8113, Operator Qualification Program, Appendix A, Covered Task List)

- Task 09.01 - 49 CFR 192.706 - Performing leakage surveys: transmission lines.
- Task 09.02 - 49 CFR 192.723 - Performing leakage surveys: distribution systems.
- Task –09.05 - CFR 192.703, 192.723(b) - Leakage Assessment.
- Task 09.06-9999- 49 CFR 192.703 - Above Ground Leak Classification.

6. RECORDS

6.1. Data Requirements For Above Ground Leaks: Minor leaks, Above Ground Hazardous and Non-Hazardous leaks are to be documented by each impacted operating organization. The minimum required data includes the leak Classification, Cause, and Component category.
6.2. **Transmission**: Leak records are documented on **Form 677-1SD, Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report**. For all documentation instructions and requirements, refer to **Form 677-1SD, Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report** company form instructions.

6.3. **Distribution**: Leak records are documented as follows:

- **Form 4040**, Leak Investigation order.
- **Form 4060**, Leak Re-Evaluation Order.
- **Form 7010, Leak Repair Order**, Leak repairs on mains, services and risers.
- **Form 4070, Leak repairs Order**, Leak repair on the MSA
- **Form 677-1SD, Pipeline Condition and Maintenance Report** (PCMR), when a leak is repaired on a pipeline operating at greater than 60 PSIG, a description and all pertinent information concerning the repair(s) or any other disposition of the leak is made on **Form 677-1SD**: CM work orders and PCMRs are to be cross referenced. CM orders are completed and electronically filed in SAP. PCMRs are completed and filed according to **Form 677-1SD** instructions.

6.4. **Measurement and Regulation**: Distribution M&R inspections and leak repairs are captured by CLICK Mobile. Transmission M&R inspections and leak repairs are captured by a PDF version of the form. Above Ground Leaks will be captured using Leak Classification & Repair Form (**Form 5290** for FL and **Form 5590** for EQ).

6.5. **Customer Service Field**: Leak records are documented in SORT and shall include the leak classification, cause, facility location, leaking component, conditions found, and a description of the subsequent repairs or other disposition of the leak.

6.6. Records of leaks discovered, and repairs made are filed by the appropriate Transmission District, Customer Service or Distribution operating organizations.

6.7. **Transmission Lines: Recordkeeping**:

6.7.1. All records of leaks discovered and repaired are kept on file at Gas Transmission in MAXIMO.

6.7.2. All leaks found and not immediately repaired must have a corrective MAXIMO work order completed.


6.7.4. In addition to the other recordkeeping requirements of these rules, each Operator shall maintain the following records for transmission lines for the periods specified:
A. The date, location, and description of each repair made to pipe (including pipe-to-pipe connections) must be retained for as long as the pipeline remains in service or there is no longer pipe within the system of the same manufacturer, size and/or vintage as the pipeline on which repairs are made, whichever is longer.

B. The date, location, and description of each repair made to parts of the pipeline system other than pipe must be retained for at least 75 years. Repairs or findings of easement encroachments, generated by patrols, surveys, inspections, or tests required by subparts L and M of 49 CFR Part 192 must be retained in accordance with paragraph (c) of this section.

C. A record of each patrol, survey, inspection, and test required by subparts L and M of this part must be retained for at least 75 years.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Leak Classification and Mitigation Schedules</th>
<th>SDG&amp;E:</th>
<th>G8135</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**NOTE:** Do not alter or add any content from this page down; the following content is automatically generated.

Brief: The Policy was revised for clarity and to meet the requirements contained in GO 112 F. The changes shall be reviewed by all employees who are qualified to Code or Classify Leaks.

Section 1 - Policy and Scope was revised for clarity: Added a note after 1.3 for guidance and requirements in situations where a leak requires an earlier scheduled repair. Section 2 - Updated Responsibility and Qualifications: Added a new section 2.1 – Pipeline Integrity. Added a new section 2.4 – Company Department. Added section 2.6 – Gas Operations Training – Skills. Section 3 - Definitions (added, removed or revised): Added 3.1 through 3.1.1 - Explosive Limits for Natural Gas. Added 3.3 – Temporary Repair and note. Added 3.5 – Leak. Added 3.6 through 3.6.2– Leakage Coding. Added 3.7 – Leak Concentration, Transmission and Storage, see 3.7 and note. Added 3.8– MSA Leaks and note, Riser Leaks and note, Added 3.9 – Riser Leaks and note, Added 3.10 - Buried Leaks and note, Revised 3.11 through 3.11.3.2.3 - Added and or revised Code 1, Code 2 and Code 3 examples: Code 1-Revised section 3.1.1, Added note after section 3.1.1, Revised section 3.11.1.1.2, revised section 3.11.1.1.3, Added section 3.11.1.1.5, Added section 3.11.1.1.7. Code 2-Revised Section 3.11.2, Added a note after 3.11.2, Added 3.11.2.1.1, added 3.11.2.1.3, Added 3.11.2.1.5, added 3.11.2.1.7, Added a note after 3.11.2.1.8 for Transmission and Storage. Code 3-Revised section 3.11.3, Added note after 3.11.3.2.1 through 3.11.3.2.2. Added a new Code 3 leak for Transmission and Storage. See section 3.11.3.2.3. Removed below ground Minor Leak Indications confined to a valve casing. Revised 3.12 through 3.12.3 – Added and or revised Above Ground (not buried) Leaks for clarity (Hazardous, Non Hazardous and Minor leaks). Hazardous Leak: Revised 3.12.1'Minor Leak, Revised note after 3.12.3, Revised and Updated Table A and Table B for clarity. Section 4 - Procedures: There were changes to below ground Code 1, Code 2, and Code 3 Leak verbiage: Revised sections 4.1.1.1 – added code 1 leak indications require prompt action. Revised section 4.1.1.1.1 - added Transmission. Added sections 4.1.1.2 through 4.1.1.2.1.2 - Code 1 leak repair for Distribution. Added sections 4.1.1.3 through 4.1.1.3.2 – Code 1 Leak repair for Transmission. Revised section 4.1.2.1.1 - Code 2 leak indications must be reevaluated, using an approved Combustible Gas Indicator (CGI), at least once every 6 months. Added section 4.1.2.1.1.1 – Code 2 leak repair for pipeline operating at 60 PSIG or less. Added section 4.1.2.1.1.2. – Code 2 leak repairs for pipeline operating greater than 60 PSIG. Added section 4.1.2.1.1.2.1 through 4.1.2.1.1.2.3 – Temporary leak repair requirements for code 2 leaks. Revised section 4.1.2.1.2 – Code 2 leak repair schedule criteria provided by adding sections 4.1.2.1.2.1 through 4.1.2.1.2.4. Revised section 4.1.2.1.3 – Added Note requiring supervisor notification for earlier scheduled repair of code 2 leaks. Added 4.1.2.2.2 – Documentation requirements for code 2 leaks that cannot be repaired within prescribed timeframe. 4.1.2.2.3 – refers to GS G8315, Confined Space Operations for leaks found in Company-owned or controlled gas vaults. Revised section 4.1.3 Code 3 Leak Indications. Added sections 4.1.3.1 through 4.1.3.1.1. Code 3 leak repair and reevaluate requirements for Distribution. Added sections 4.1.3.2 through 4.1.3.2.1.2 – Code 3 leak repair requirements for Transmission. Added section 4.1.3.2.1.1-Leaks confined to a valve casing and not in the surrounding soil may be assigned a Code 3 leak category. Revised sections 4.2.1- through 4.2.1.1.1-Hazardous Leaks on Above Ground Pipelines. Revised 4.2.1.1 – All Hazardous leak indications require prompt action. Revised 4.2.1.1.1 - Hazardous Riser and MSA leaks require an immediate response and continuous action until a permanent repair is made. Added Sections 4.2.1.2 through 4.2.1.2.1.2 – Above Ground leak repair and reevaluate requirements for Distribution. Added Sections 4.2.1.3 through 4.2.1.3.2 – Above Ground leak repair requirements for Transmission and Storage. Revisions made to 4.2.2 Non-Hazardous Leaks. Added sections 4.2.2.2 through 4.2.2.2.7 – Non-Hazardous Leak requirements for Distribution. Added note to section 4.2.2.2.2.1- repair schedule for leak repairs requiring excavation shall be adjusted in accordance with company operations not to exceed 10 business days. Added note to section 4.2.2.2.2.1 – Providing One-call/USA and CA Law AB1937 notification requirements. Added section 4.2.2.2.5 – Provides leak repair and reevaluate requirements for steel risers. Added section 4.2.2.2.7 – Refers to GS G8315, Confined Space Operations for Non-Hazardous leaks found in Company-owned or controlled gas vault. Added Sections 4.2.2.3 through 4.2.2.3.4 - Non-Hazardous Leak requirements for Transmission. Section 4.2.2.3.1 – Leaks greater than 50,000 PPMV must be repaired within 5 days or 14 days for all other leaks. Section 4.2.2.3.2- Provides documentation requirements for Non-Hazardous leaks that cannot be repaired within
prescribed timeframe. Section 4.2.2.3.3 – Provides monitoring requirements for Non-Hazardous leak indications in the upper range of the Lower Explosive Limit (2.5% - 3% gas air mixture). Note- provides documentation requirements for situations where permanent repairs of Non-Hazardous leaks cannot be made within six months. Section 4.2.2.3.4 - Refers to GS G8315, Confined Space Operations for Non-Hazardous leaks found in Company-owned or controlled gas vault. Section 5 - Operator Qualification Task: Added Task 9.5 CFR 192.703, 192.723(b) - Leakage Assessment. Section 6 - Records - Updated record requirements. Removed original 6.1.1 – Leak Cause. Added new section 6.7 - Transmission Lines: Recordkeeping which includes the new record retention schedule. Several of the “shall” were changed to “must”. Minor word changes throughout document for clarity.
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Technology Transfer workshop:
Pipeline Blowdowns in Transmission and Distribution

Deanna Haines, Director of Gas Engineering
Southern California Gas Company and San Diego Gas & Electric

November 10, 2016
EPA’s Natural Gas STAR and Methane Challenge Programs
Who We Are...

Both Utilities in service for over 135 years

SoCalGas
- Largest natural gas distribution utility in the US
- Serve 12 counties (over 500 communities) and more than 21 million people
- Over 5.8 million gas meters

SDG&E
- Provides electricity and natural gas to 3.4 million people from Orange County to the Mexican border.
Event Drivers
Total Transmission Events ~150 per year

Routine Maintenance

✓ 30-Pipeline Integrity
✓ 60-Pipeline alterations

Pipeline Safety Enhancement plan

✓ 60-hydrotests, replacements
Blowdown Mitigations

- Methane Capture System - newly employed
- Pressure Reduction Using Mobile Compressors - common
- Transfer of Gas to Lower Pressure System - common
- Isolate Small Section Using Stopples - infrequently
- Flaring - haven’t used this method (introduces new safety, fire risks not normally part of operation)
Blowdown Mitigation Options

- Methane Capture System using Mobile Compressors
  - Compress pipeline gas into Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) tube trailer
  - Re-introduce gas into pipeline

- Success story……..
  - 2.5-miles of a 10-inch pipeline operating at 370-psig – In urban area
  - 155-mscf gas mitigated from venting to atmosphere
    - 130-mscf stored in CNG tube trailer
      - Portable compressor compressed gas into a CNG tube trailer
      - Compressor powered by natural gas generator
    - 25-mscf used to power natural gas generator
  - 23-mscf gas vented to atmosphere
  - 38-psig final pressure in isolated pipeline segment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pipeline Pressure</th>
<th>Blowdown Reduction</th>
<th>Reduction in CH4 Emitted</th>
<th>Gas Removal Rate</th>
<th>Duration of Mitigation Operation</th>
<th>Compressor Fuel use Natural Gas</th>
<th>CO2 Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane Capture (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Total Cost Per event</th>
<th>Economic Value of Saved Gas Natural Gas (Intrastate)</th>
<th>Net of Natural Gas Economic Value (Intrastate)</th>
<th>Methane Mitigation Cost (Intrastate)</th>
<th>Methane Mitigation Cost Net of NG Economic Value (Intrastate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>$13,282</td>
<td>$11,688</td>
<td>$1,594</td>
<td>$232</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane Capture (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>$8,979</td>
<td>$653</td>
<td>$8,327</td>
<td>$2,803</td>
<td>$21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Blowdown Mitigation Options

- **Pressure Reduction with Mobile Compressors**
  - Compress gas from isolated pipeline segment into parallel pipeline.

- **Success story**
  - 40-miles of 30-inch pipeline operating at 400-psig – in remote area
  - 25,000-mscf gas mitigated from venting to atmosphere
    - (2) 300-hp portable compressors to compress gas into parallel pipeline.
    - 5,000-mscf gas vented to atmosphere

### Blowdown Mitigation Options Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pipeline Pressure</th>
<th>Blowdown Reduction</th>
<th>Reduction in CH4 Emitted (MT/event)</th>
<th>Gas Removal Rate (Mcf/hr)</th>
<th>Duration of Mitigation Operation (hr/event)</th>
<th>Compressor Fuel use Natural Gas (Mcf/event)</th>
<th>CO2 Emissions (MT/event)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>408.5</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Economic Value Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Total Cost Per event</th>
<th>Economic Value of Saved Gas Natural Gas (Intrastate) / MT</th>
<th>Natural Gas Economic Value (Intrastate) / MT</th>
<th>Methane Mitigation Cost (Intrastate) / MT</th>
<th>Methane Mitigation Cost Net of NG Economic Value (Intrastate) / MT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>$13,282</td>
<td>$11,688</td>
<td>$1,594</td>
<td>$232</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>$7,213</td>
<td>$105,250</td>
<td>($98,037)</td>
<td>$18</td>
<td>$495</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Case Study 3
### Transfer to Lower Pressure System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pipeline Pressure</th>
<th>Blowdown Reduction</th>
<th>Reduction in CH4 Emitted</th>
<th>Gas Removal Rate</th>
<th>Duration of Mitigation Operation</th>
<th>Compressor Fuel use Natural Gas</th>
<th>CO2 Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>Starting (psig) 400</td>
<td>Ending (psig) 80</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>Intrastate (MT/event) 57.3</td>
<td>Intrastate (Mcf/hr) 500</td>
<td>Intrastate (hr/event) 5.6</td>
<td>Intrastate (Mcf/event) 34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inject to Low Pressure System (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>Starting (psig) 400</td>
<td>Ending (psig) 45</td>
<td>89%</td>
<td>Intrastate (MT/event) 107.5</td>
<td>Intrastate (Mcf/hr) 13.4</td>
<td>Intrastate (hr/event) 8</td>
<td>Intrastate (Mcf/event) N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Utilize city gate or distribution station to reduce volume.
  - 8-miles of 30-inch pipeline operating at 400-psig
  - 5,200-mscf gas mitigated from venting to atmosphere
    - Used medium pressure system to draw down pipeline to 45-psig
  - 800-mscf gas vented to atmosphere
Summary

Key Constraints

Success Factors
**Blowdown Mitigation Options**

- **Methane Capture System using Mobile Compressors**
  - Compress pipeline gas into Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) tube trailer
  - Re-introduce gas into pipeline

- **Success story.......**
  - 2.5-miles of a 10-inch pipeline operating at 370-psig – In urban area
  - 155-mscf gas mitigated from venting to atmosphere
    - 130-mscf stored in CNG tube trailer
      - Portable compressor compressed gas into a CNG tube trailer
      - Compressor powered by natural gas generator
    - 25-mscf used to power natural gas generator
  - 23-mscf gas vented to atmosphere
  - 38-psig final pressure in isolated pipeline segment

---

### Blowdown Mitigation Options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Pipeline Pressure</th>
<th>Blowdown Reduction</th>
<th>Reduction in CH4 Emitted</th>
<th>Gas Removal Rate (Mcf/hr)</th>
<th>Duration of Mitigation Operation (hr/event)</th>
<th>Compressor Fuel use Natural Gas (Mcf/event)</th>
<th>CO2 Emissions (MT/event)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>400 80</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>57.3</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane Capture (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>370 38</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25*</td>
<td>0.2*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Cost Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Total Cost Per event</th>
<th>Economic Value of Saved Gas Natural Gas (Intrastate)</th>
<th>Net of Natural Gas Economic Value (Intrastate)</th>
<th>Methane Mitigation Cost (Intrastate)</th>
<th>Methane Mitigation Cost Net of NG Economic Value (Intrastate)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mobile Compressor (MJB&amp;A)</td>
<td>$13,282</td>
<td>$11,688</td>
<td>$1,594</td>
<td>$232</td>
<td>$28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methane Capture (SoCalGas/SDG&amp;E)</td>
<td>$8,979</td>
<td>$653</td>
<td>$8,327</td>
<td>$2,803</td>
<td>$21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Public Awareness Plan

for

Southern California Gas Company (SoCalGas) and
San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E)

Version Date: 4/4/2014

1. Overall Goal

The goal of the Public Awareness Program is to enhance public safety and property protection through improved public awareness and to comply with Federal Regulations 49 CFR 192.616, Public Awareness. Additionally, public awareness type communication requirements from other regulations are documented in this plan. There will be one Public Awareness Program incorporating all SoCalGas and SDG&E pipeline and associated facilities as specified below:

Distribution Pipelines: SoCalGas 50,356 miles
                       SDG&E 8,071 miles

Services: SoCalGas 49,516 miles
          SDG&E 6,018 miles

Transmission Pipelines: SoCalGas 3,455 miles
                        SDG&E 225 miles

Compressor Stations: SoCalGas (11): Adelanto, Blythe, Cactus City, Desert Center, Kelso, North Needles, South Needles, Newberry, Wheeler Ridge, Sylmar, and Ventura
                     SDG&E (2): Moreno and Rainbow

---

1 SoCalGas Form PHMSA F 7100.1-1 (2016).
2 SDG&E Form PHMSA F 7100.1-1 (2016).
3 SoCalGas Form PHMSA F 7100.2-1 (2016).
4 SDG&E Form PHMSA F 7100.2-1 (2016).
5 Moreno Valley compressor station is owned by SDG&E but located in SoCalGas distribution service area.
Storage Fields: SoCalGas (4): Aliso Canyon, Goleta, Honor Rancho, and Playa Del Rey. Montebello storage field is no longer operating but still has some DOT equipment.

Unique Assets: Borrego Springs Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) facility

1.1. Objectives

Compliance with 49 CFR 192.616, Public Awareness, which has the following requirements:

- Operator must follow the general program recommendations, including baseline and supplemental requirements of API RP 1162, 1st edition, unless the operator provides justification in its program or procedural manual as to why compliance with all or certain provisions of the recommended practice is not practicable and not necessary for safety.
- The program must include provisions to educate the public, appropriate government organizations, and persons engaged in excavation activities on the following:
  - Use of One-Call notification;
  - Possible hazards associated with unintended releases from a gas pipeline facility;
  - Physical indications a leak has occurred;
  - Steps that should be taken for public safety in the event of a gas release, and
  - Procedures for reporting such an event
- The program must include activities to advise affected municipalities, school districts, businesses and residents of pipeline facility locations.
- The program and the media must be as comprehensive as necessary to reach all areas in which an operator transports gas.
- The program must be conducted in English and in other languages commonly understood by a significant number and concentration of the non-English speaking population in the operator’s area consistent with Customer Communication policies.
- The program documentation and evaluation results must be available for periodic review by appropriate regulatory officials.

6 See Appendix C
Public Awareness Plan

- **Public Awareness of Pipelines** – Ensure understanding of the role of pipelines in transporting energy. (A more informed public should contribute to reducing the likelihood and potential impact of pipeline emergencies and releases.)
- **Prevention & Response** – Help the public understand the steps to take to prevent and respond to pipeline emergencies. (Response refers to the objective of communicating to the public, the appropriate steps to take in the event of a pipeline dig-in, gas release or gas emergency.)
- **Reduce occurrences** of pipeline emergencies caused by third-party damage through awareness of safe excavation and the use of the One-Call system.

Compliance with 49 CFR 192.12 and API RP 1171, which has the following requirement:

- Operator should coordinate with existing pipeline public awareness plans where possible to address storage-specific communications that may include information such as well setback limits, encroachment and land use policies, or other information that could affect storage well or reservoir integrity.

Compliance with California Public Utilities Code Section 956.5, which has the following requirement:

- Owners and operators of intrastate transmission and distribution lines, at least once each calendar year, shall meet with each local fire department having fire suppression responsibilities in the area where those lines are located to discuss and review contingency plans for emergencies involving the intrastate transmission and distribution lines within the jurisdiction of the local fire department.

2. **Management Commitment to Achieving Effective Public Awareness**

The Senior Vice President – Gas Engineering & District Operations, is the lead executive responsible for endorsing and providing the necessary resources for the Company’s Public Awareness Program to achieve its goals and objectives. The Public Awareness Program Manager is responsible for briefing the vice president on an annual basis.

Guiding Principles:

- At SoCalGas and SDG&E, the safety of customers, employees and communities has been and will continue to be a top priority. An effective public communication and awareness program is an essential element of our overall safety program.
SoCalGas and SDG&E have a continuing awareness program to inform and educate its customers, affected public, appropriate public officials, and persons engaged in excavation-related activities on the prevention and recognition of gas pipeline emergencies. This program also includes the proper process for reporting an incident to SoCalGas or SDG&E and the appropriate public officials including first responders.

The program and the media used will be as comprehensive as necessary to reach all areas in the service territory in which the Company transports natural gas and where Company facilities exist (e.g., pipelines, storage fields, compressor stations).

While the implementation of this program occurs at all levels of our organization, support from management is critical to the success of the public awareness program. The management is committed to provide support through active participation, resources, and funding for the development, implementation, management and continues improvement of its public awareness program.

The executive sponsor provides further affirmation of his Public Awareness Program support by his signature which is kept in Appendix O.
3. Roles and Responsibilities

Key Personnel:

Senior Vice President of Gas Engineering & District Operations

- Provide support of the Public Awareness Program (PAP) by providing sufficient resources (personnel & financial) to implement an effective and successful program.
- Executive champion and approver for major changes to the program.

Public Awareness Program Manager, Gas System Integrity Staff & Programs

Gas System Integrity Staff & Programs organization is responsible for administering SoCalGas’ and SDG&E’s Public Awareness Program and has appointed a Public Awareness Program Manager (PAPM). This program manager is also known as the Public Awareness Administrator (PAA). The following are the specific responsibilities of the PAA:

- Tracks and interprets the development and promulgation of applicable federal regulations and incorporates pipeline integrity communication requirements from the Pipeline Integrity Plan into the program to ensure the plan meets 49 CFR 192.616.
- Tracks and verifies through an annual audit to ensure the program is being implemented as planned and records are being maintained.
- Works with the Public Awareness Team (described below) to implement communication programs and evaluate the effectiveness of the overall program, including coordinating the effectiveness surveys for each targeted audience, evaluating the results and implementing any required changes to ensure the program meets its objectives.
- Develops questionnaire for effectiveness survey to meet Section 4 (Program Evaluation & Continuous Improvement (Effectiveness) in the PHMSA Form 21 (PAP Effectiveness Inspection) for recall, understanding and behavior.
- Reviews and approves all Public Awareness communications.
- Reviews damage results and trends with Integrity Management and Gas Operations Staff and Technical Services teams.
- Evaluates trends and recommendation in effectiveness measurement reports. Makes modifications to the communication strategy such as changing the delivery or message contents to increase the level of recall or comprehensions.
- Annually determines if HCA cities have been changed. If HCA cities have changed, communicates that information to Regional Public Affairs.
- Annually determines which languages in addition to English should be communicated and how to reach this population. (Refer to Appendix B).
• Provides an update report and/or briefing annually to Executive Sponsor to review results of effectiveness studies and trends, changes to the program, regulatory trends and the resources needed in the future.
• Updates the Public Awareness Plan and effectively manages resources.
• Maintains active membership in state or national Public Awareness organizations to keep track of regulatory trends, leverage the skills and experience from other pipeline operators. Provides support to these organization as needed.

Public Awareness Team

The public awareness team is an intra-company group consisting of representatives of the key departments that are responsible for communications with the targeted audiences and are involved in the development and implementation of public awareness communications.

Table 1 summarizes the listing of the public awareness audiences and the responsible organizations.

See Appendix A for a complete listing of departments that support this program.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Lead Owner/Department</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Public Officials</td>
<td>Regional Public Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Customer Engagement and Insights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected public near DOT-T pipeline ROW, storage field and compressor stations</td>
<td>Customer Engagement and Insights, Storage Operation/Reservoir Engineering/Storage Risk Controls Managers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected public inside DST</td>
<td>Customer Engagement and Insights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency County Coordinators</td>
<td>Emergency Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Responders</td>
<td>Emergency Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excavators, farmers, land developers</td>
<td>Gas Operations Services, Customer Engagement and Insights</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Overall Communications Strategy

SoCalGas and SDG&E operate one public awareness program to cover all their gas pipelines, storage wells and reservoirs, and associated facilities.

The following plan addresses the communications requirements of 49 CFR 192.12 and 192.616, Public Awareness, including the general program recommendations noted in the first edition of API RP 1162 and API RP 1171. Where there may be variances, the rationale is provided as to why certain provisions of the recommended practice are not practicable and/or provide very limited value to safety.

Both companies will also include in its Public Awareness Program provisions for familiarizing its employees with its public education objectives. Information and material used by the Company will be made available to employees who can promote gas pipeline and storage wells and reservoirs education in their day-to-day activities as well as in their communities.

Departments that are part of the Public Awareness Team (PAT) that have various methods and modes to transmit Public Awareness materials will follow the documentation requirements as listed in sections 7.1 – 7.9 and Appendix J.

In addition, both companies may include pipeline, storage wells and reservoirs public awareness messages, as applicable, in other communications as the opportunity arises.

5. Identification of Stakeholder Audiences

The stakeholder audiences are described in Table 2. The required message types to these stakeholders are shown in Table 3.
The stakeholders listed below will be identified and/ or updated by the responsible party prior to each scheduled communication.

**TABLE 2**  
**Identification of Stakeholder Audiences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>Residents and commercial/industrial businesses, which reside within the service territory, and receive regular or paperless billing from SDG&amp;E or SoCalGas.</td>
<td>Customer and paperless billing customer lists from Internal Billing Files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Public7 within Distribution Service Territory</td>
<td>Customers and non-customer residents, commercial/industrial businesses, within the distribution service territory.</td>
<td>This audience will not be individually identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Public along pipeline ROW inside DST</td>
<td>Customers and non-customer residents, businesses, and places of public gathering8 located along DOT pipelines (within the greater distance calculated for the high consequence area (HCA) buffer zone and 660 ft. on both sides of the pipeline) inside Company’s service area.</td>
<td>The PAA in conjunction with the <strong>Geographic Information System (GIS) group</strong> identifies the distribution service area, DOT-T pipeline path, and the buffer zone of 660 feet or greater depending on the Potential Impact Radius (PIR).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Public along pipeline ROW outside12 DST</td>
<td>Non-customer residents, commercial/industrial businesses, and other places of public gathering8 located along DOT pipelines (within the greater distance calculated for the high consequence area (HCA) buffer zone and 660 ft. on both sides of the pipeline) outside Company’s service area.</td>
<td>The PAA in conjunction with the <strong>GIS group</strong> identifies the distribution service area, DOT-T pipeline path, and the buffer zone of 660 or greater depending on the PIR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Public near Compressor Stations</td>
<td>Residents, businesses, and other places of public gathering8 located within 660 ft. (measured from property lines to the nearest DOT equipment within 13 compressor stations (Adelanto, Blythe,</td>
<td>The <strong>GIS group under the direction of the PAA</strong> identifies the buffer zone around the compressor stations DOT equipment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

7 All electric customers of SDG&E are not included in this category. They are considered Company customers since they receive the same public awareness messages as do gas customers (e.g., bill inserts).

8 Places of gathering include: schools, places of worship, hospitals and other medical facilities, prisons, parks and recreation areas, day-care facilities.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cactus City, Desert Center, Kelso, <em>Moreno Station</em> &lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;, North Needles, Newberry Springs, <em>Rainbow Station</em> &lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;, South Needles, Sylmar, Ventura and Wheeler Ridge.</td>
<td><em>The GIS group under the direction of the PAA and the Storage Reservoir Engineering Manager identifies the storage buffer zone (see SIMP.A. for definition) around the storage field DOT equipment.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Public Near Storage Fields</td>
<td>Residents, businesses, and other places of public gathering &lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt; located within 660 ft. (measured from property lines to the nearest DOT equipment within the storage facility (Aliso Canyon, Goleta, Honor Rancho, and Playa del Rey) and located in and around the storage fields boundaries including the storage buffer zones. Residents near former storage field, Montebello will also be included. Communications may expand beyond this distance if Public Affairs and/or Storage Operations believe it is warranted and appropriate. Public officials and emergency officials with jurisdiction of locations within 660 ft. (measured from property lines to the nearest DOT equipment within the storage facility and in and around the storage fields boundaries including the storage buffer zones. <em>There are no SDG&amp;E-owned storage fields.</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| School Officials <sup>10</sup>         | Elementary, high school, university and community college superintendents and chancellors in the 13 counties where the company operates. Specifically, we will target:  
  • School Districts (K-12)  
  • Community college districts  
  • Colleges and Universities | • School District Officials are identified using a school contact database for schools provided by the California Department of Education that is publicly available on their website at [http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/sd/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/sd/). Contact information associated with the district contact for each school is extracted and used to create a contact list.  
  • Community college district officials are identified using a school contact database for schools provided by the California Department of Education that is publicly available on their website at [http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/sd/](http://www.cde.ca.gov/re/sd/). Contact information associated with the district contact for each school is extracted and used to create a contact list. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Emergency Officials | County emergency response coordinators in the 13\(^{11}\) counties located within our distribution service territory as well as areas outside\(^{12}\) our service territories where we have transmission pipelines and/or compressor stations.  
- SoCalGas Counties (12): Fresno, Imperial, Kern, Kings, Los Angeles, Orange, Riverside, San Bernardino, Santa Barbara, San Luis Obispo, Tulare, Ventura  
Supplemental: PAPA identifies emergency responders in counties in which the Company operates\(^{13}\). |}
| Public Officials | HCA and Non-HCA city and county managers, local elected officials whose jurisdiction includes an underground storage field or compressor station have been identified as the target audience for required communication with local public officials. | Regional Public Affairs team identifies officials based on role in conjunction with the PAA.  
Supplemental: PAPA identifies public officials in counties in which the Company operates\(^{14}\). |
| Excavators, Land Developers | Businesses, such as contractors and land developers, which could be involved in any | NAICS/SIC Codes and One-Call membership lists/meetings will be used for reaching this |

---

\(^{11}\) 13 county emergency response coordinators with 1 shared. Riverside emergency response coordinator is the shared coordinator.

\(^{12}\) SDG&E has no transmission lines outside their service territory, so this audience does not exist for SDG&E.

\(^{13}\) PAPA’s Emergency Officials program start year: 2007

\(^{14}\) PAPA’s Public Officials program start year: 2013; School districts added to the mailing list in 2015.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Definition</th>
<th>Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business entities involved in</td>
<td>Businesses such as water, oil or other mineral producers which could be</td>
<td>Storage Operations identifies these businesses through conducting patrols and reviewing permits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exploration or production</td>
<td>involved in any form of drilling activities within the storage boundaries</td>
<td>issued by DOGGR.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and storage buffer zones.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farmers</td>
<td>Farmers comprise of customers and non-customers within our local natural gas</td>
<td>Farmer Subsidy lists and/or SIC/NAICS code.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>distribution company (LDC).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Company Employees</td>
<td>Employees of SoCalGas and SDG&amp;E who can support the Public Awareness</td>
<td>Internal employee lists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>program.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Message Content

**TABLE 3**

**Message Content**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Messages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Customers         | **Baseline (Frequency - 2x/year)**  
1) Pipeline purpose & reliability  
2) Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken by the operator  
3) Damage prevention awareness  
4) Leak recognition and response  
5) How to get additional information |

---

15 PAPA’s Excavator program start year: 2015
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Messages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Supplemental** *(Frequency – at least 1x/year)* | 6) Pipeline location info, including pipeline markers description and purpose  
7) One-Call requirements  
8) Integrity Management Program summary for HCA  
9) ROW Encroachment Prevention  
10) Availability of NPMS  
11) Odor fade  
12) Maintain your gas lines  
13) Major maintenance/construction activity, as needed |

**Affected Public**

**Within Distribution Service Territory**

SoCalGas: This audience will not be individually identified.  
SDG&E: Same as for customers

- Affected Public along pipeline ROW inside/outside DST  
- Schools

**Baseline** *(Frequency – every two years)*  
1) Pipeline purpose & reliability  
2) Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken by the operator  
3) Damage prevention awareness  
4) Leak recognition and response  
5) How to get additional information  
6) Pipeline location info, including pipeline markers description and purpose  
7) One-Call requirements  
8) Availability of NPMS

**Supplemental** *(Frequency – every two years)*  
9) Integrity Management Program information and/or overview  
10) ROW encroachment prevention  
11) Odor fade  
12) Major maintenance/construction activity, as needed

**Affected Public Near Compressor Stations**

**Supplemental** *(Frequency – every two years)*  
1) Incident response notification and/or evacuation measures (if appropriate)  
2) Facility purpose, location and description  
3) Integrity management program summary  
4) Assurance security has been considered

**Affected Public Near Storage Fields**

**Supplemental** *(Frequency – every two years)*  
1) Storage purpose & reliability  
2) Incident response notification and/or evacuation measures (if appropriate)  
3) Facility purpose, location and description  
4) Integrity management program summary  
5) Assurance security has been considered

**Emergency Officials**  
**Baseline** *(Frequency – annually)*  
1) Pipeline purpose & reliability
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Messages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2) Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken by the operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Emergency preparedness communications and contacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4) Potential hazards of product transported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5) Pipeline location information and availability of NPMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6) Integrity management program overview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7) How to get additional information, including how to access company’s emergency plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8) One-Call requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Supplemental (Frequency – as needed)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9) Any planned major maintenance/ construction activity, <strong>as needed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10) Odor fade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Stakeholder Group

**Land Developers/ Farmers**

*Supplemental (Frequency – every two years)*

1) Pipeline purpose & reliability
2) Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken
3) Leak recognition and response
4) Damage prevention awareness
5) How to get additional information
6) Pipeline location information and availability of NPMS
7) One-Call requirements, including that it’s the law in California
8) Row encroachment prevention
9) Odor fade

**Business entities involved in exploration or production**

*Supplemental (Frequency – annually)*

1) Pipeline purpose & reliability
2) Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken
3) Leak recognition and response
4) Damage prevention awareness
5) How to get additional information
6) Pipeline location information and availability of NPMS
7) One-Call requirements, including that it’s the law in California
8) Row encroachment prevention
9) Odor fade
10) Well set back limits
11) Encroachment and land use policy
12) Gas Storage purpose & reliability

**One-Call Center**

*Baseline (Frequency – as needed)*

Provide updated pipeline and other information per USA One-Call Center requirements. Participate in excavator meetings.

**Company Employees (management & appropriate personnel)**

*Supplemental (Frequency – as needed)*

1) Pipeline purpose & reliability
2) Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken by the operator
3) Damage prevention awareness
4) Leak recognition and response
5) How to get additional information

### Communications Summary

See Appendix K - Communications Required by Targeted Audience for a summary of the message types, delivery methods, and the frequencies that will be provided to each targeted audience identified in API RP 1162. This table also provides the associated departmental responsibilities for these audiences.
All the baseline and supplemental message types and frequencies listed in Tables 2-1 and 2-2 of API RP 1162 will be followed until effectiveness surveys indicate that changes are necessary. The suggested delivery methods shown in the API RP 1162 tables provide options for both baseline and supplemental activities. The Company has selected the most effective baseline and supplemental delivery method for each audience.

All communications are approved by the PAA. Legal reviews all new and materially modified communications.

See Appendix L - Method of Communication and Documentation for details on the types of records kept in an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system to document the communication made to each audience.

7. Summary and Rationale of Planned Communications by Audience

7.1. **Affected Public: Customers**

Group Responsibility:
SoCalGas Customer Engagement and Insights (CE&I) and SDG&E Marketing, Research and Analytics (MR&A) (aka “Communications”) teams have the lead responsibility with support from the PAA.

Specifically, these groups are responsible for identifying and/or updating customer contact lists (mail/ email) before each communication campaign, reviewing and/or revising customer communications, obtaining legal and branding approvals and delivering communications to the required stakeholders.

Definition of Target Audience: see Table 2
Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group: see Table 2
Messages Content: see Table 3

**Delivery Methods/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill stuffer (English/ Spanish)</td>
<td>2x/ year</td>
<td>Copy of bill stuffer.</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplemental Communications**
### Delivery Methods/ Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Email for paperless customers (in English, includes link to bill stuffer in English/Spanish)</th>
<th>2x/ year</th>
<th>Copy of email, proof of sending.</th>
<th>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Note: all paperless customers can access bill stuffers at any time through the link on My Account landing page. The link is provided with each paperless bill.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Projects and other Construction/ Maintenance Alerts – Public Affairs, Field Supervisors or Project Managers select the most effective way to contact the affected public prior to any significant maintenance or construction activity.</th>
<th>As needed</th>
<th>Letters or other communication vehicles as determined by major projects leads.</th>
<th>Regional Public Affairs/ Field Supervisors/ Project Managers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>New Customers - New customers at time of gas service turn on, receive a copy of the Company’s Home Energy Guide with gas safety messages from a Service Technician; and with the new customer’s first bill, they receive an inserted gas safety pamphlet (SoCalGas) or bill onsert (SDG&amp;E). These notifications inform new customers that if they have buried piping between the gas meter and the building, it is not maintained by SDG&amp;E or SoCalGas.</th>
<th>Once during service turn on</th>
<th>Latest copy of the Company’s Home Energy Guide can be found on: SoCalGas website and on SDG&amp;E website. SDG&amp;E bill inserts: All bill inserts for the last five years are available on the SDG&amp;E website.</th>
<th>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social Media: Safety messages on Facebook and Twitter</th>
<th>On-going</th>
<th>Copy of Facebook/ Twitter messages.</th>
<th>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Relation News release</th>
<th>On-going</th>
<th>Copy of news-releases.</th>
<th>Media Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>USA 811 bumper stickers on company existing and new fleet vehicles</th>
<th>On-going</th>
<th>Email confirmation from Fleet Services.</th>
<th>Fleet Services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

16 Groups that oversee company’s major projects are responsible for creating, delivering and keeping track of communications with the affected public and public/ emergency officials.
### Public Awareness Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SoCalGas</strong>: 811 message (English/ Spanish) on the Interactive Voice Response (IVR) system when customers are on-hold while waiting to speak to a representative.</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Email confirmation from Customer Contact Centers.</td>
<td>Customer Contact Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Website</strong> – SoCalGas and SDG&amp;E Safety websites with relevant safety and damage prevention information.</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Local Events</strong>: utility personnel will communicate pipeline safety messages during local events.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Confirmation of participation (e.g., invitation, email, etc.), photos of booth, number/ type of distributed collateral materials.</td>
<td>Regional Public Affairs/ CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Educational items</strong>: brochures, scratch and sniff natural gas cards and other give-away items containing the company’s emergency contact information or USA information to be distributed during local events.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Copy of communications.</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian languages</strong>: Advertising campaign in Asian languages - Ethnic/community print and/ or online ads.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Copy of brochure, declaration of mailing and mailing list.</td>
<td>Gas Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brochures in Asian languages that can be distributed at community events and available on Company’s websites.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>See Appendix C. Other language provided for instructions on determination of Asian languages.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SoCalGas</strong>: Sewer lateral safety brochure for Plumbing Contractors (English/ Spanish) which includes gas safety messages.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Copy of brochure, declaration of mailing and mailing list.</td>
<td>Gas Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDG&amp;E</strong>: None. SDG&amp;E Sewer Lateral Inspection Program (SLIP) was completed in December 2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SoCalGas</strong>: Regional Branch Offices display and distribute public awareness safety brochure in branch offices throughout service area.</td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Confirmation email from the Branch Office.</td>
<td>Regional Branch offices</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tracking:

The records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

### Program Evaluation & Improvement:

Communications will be evaluated **every four years** at a minimum using a mail survey in English and Spanish or other formal survey instruments as determined by our Communications Research group, with a target of at least 300 responses to obtain an acceptable margin of error.
(See Appendix D). Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

7.2. **Affected Public within Distribution Service Territories**

**Group Responsibility:**

*Media Communications* as well as *SoCalGas CE&I/SDG&E MR&A* have the lead responsibility with support from the *PAA*.

**Definition of Target Audience:**

**SoCalGas:** This audience will not be individually identified.

Within SoCalGas service area, we have about 90% market share, which means 10% of homes and businesses do not receive our gas service and can’t be communicated through the bill inserts or emails. It is not efficient to communicate to non-customers specifically, so we use non-paid media and paid media to reach all affected public in our service area.

Examples of non-paid media are news release and local cable TV interviews. Examples of paid media are freeway billboards, movie theatre ads, search engine ads (local results), newspapers, and ads in community magazines. A special paid-media campaign in Asian languages is also released annually.

**SDG&E:** Other residents (non-gas customers) living within SDG&E’s service area are electric customers, therefore messages delivered through a bill insert will have 100% coverage of the messages. Non-paid media efforts will be attempted in SDG&E’s service area to help increase the penetration of the message.

**Messages to be considered:**

- Pipeline Purpose & Reliability
- Awareness of Hazards and Prevention Measures Undertaken
- Damage Prevention Awareness
- Leak Recognition and Response
- How to Get Additional Information
- Odor Fade

**Message Delivery Frequency:**

Frequency and message type will be determined by the PAA, Media Communications and SoCalGas CE&I/SDG&E MR&A annually.
Delivery methods:

- Annual press releases, other non-paid media efforts such as Public Service Announcements and articles in English, Spanish, and Asian languages.
- Paid advertising campaign in Asian languages.
  - Determination of Asian languages will be based on the annual, if available, results from American Community Study Survey for adults who speak another language at home and speak English “less than very well” provided by the U.S. Census. Ethnic/community print ads would most likely be used to reach this Asian segment.
- Brochures in English, Spanish and Asian languages that could be distributed at community events, meetings, via direct mail or other means.
- A dedicated section on Company’s website with safety messages.

Tracking:

Non-paid media relations efforts will be tracked via a news clipping service for English & Spanish languages. The records will be kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

Program Evaluation & Improvement:

Communications activities will be evaluated **every four years** at a minimum using formal survey instruments as determined by our Communications Research group, with a target of at least 300 responses to obtain an acceptable margin of error. SDG&E will include a screening question in their “customer” research to obtain feedback from their non-gas users. Based on the survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

### 7.3. AFFECTED PUBLIC ALONG TRANSMISSION LINES INSIDE AND OUTSIDE OF DISTRIBUTION SERVICE TERRITORY

**Group Responsibility:**

The **PAA** has the lead responsibility with support from **SoCalGas CE&I/ SDG&E MR&A, Transmission**, and **Geographic Information System (GIS) team**.

Prior to each mailing, the GIS team updates/ reviews shape files for the affected public along transmission lines and provides the updated files to the PAA. The PAA provides the shape files to a designated third-party vendor for the extraction of a mailing/contact list. The PAA confirms that the vendor flags whether the affected public is a resident, business, public/ emergency official or school, if possible. Refer to **Appendix E** for additional information on the procedure to develop the shape files.
The PAA provides the mailing list to the SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A teams for mailing. The SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A review and/or revise communications, obtain legal and branding approvals and deliver communications to the required stakeholders.

**Definition of Target Audience:** see Table 2  
**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** see Table 2  
**Messages Content:** see Table 3

### Delivery Methods/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail: Letter and/or Brochure</td>
<td>Every two years</td>
<td>Copy of a mailing list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing, GIS shapes files.</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplemental Communications</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major Projects and other Construction/ Maintenance Alerts – Public Affairs, Field Supervisors or Project Managers in conjunction with Transmission select the most effective way to contact the affected public prior to any significant maintenance or construction activity.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Letters or other communication vehicles[^16]</td>
<td>Regional Public Affairs/ Field Supervisors/ Project Managers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tracking:**

The required records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

**Program Evaluation & Improvement:**

Communications will be evaluated *every four years* at a minimum using a mail survey or other formal survey instruments as determined by our Communications Research group, with a target of at least 300 responses to obtain an acceptable margin of error (See Appendix D). Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.
7.4. **Affected Public Near Compressor Stations and Storage Fields**

**Group Responsibility:**

The PAA has the lead responsibility with support from SoCalGas CE&I/SDG&E MR&A, Transmission, Storage, and Geographic Information System (GIS) team.

Prior to each mailing, the GIS team updates/reviews shape files for the affected public near the Compressor Stations and Storage Fields and provides the updated files to the PAA. The PAA provides the shape files to a designated third-party vendor for the extraction of a mailing/contact list.

The PAA confirms that the vendor flags whether the affected public is a resident, business, public/emergency official or school, if possible. Refer to Appendix E for additional information on the procedure to develop the shape files.

The PAA provides the mailing list to the SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A teams for mailing. The SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A review and/or revise communications, obtain legal and branding approvals, and deliver communications to the required stakeholders.

**Definition of Target Audience:** see Table 2

**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** see Table 2

**Messages Content:** see Table 3

**Delivery Methods/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail: Letter and/or brochure</td>
<td>Every two years</td>
<td>Copy of a mailing list, letter/brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (including number of pieces mailed and date), GIS shapes files.</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/MR&amp;A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other: Natural Gas Storage Facilities</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>SoCalGas website</td>
<td>PAA/Regional Public Affairs/CE&amp;I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In an effort to better update and inform residents and businesses around facilities, SoCalGas includes information about our approach to maintaining safety at
Tracking:
The required records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

Program Evaluation & Improvement:
Because of the small sample size, communications will be evaluated every four years at a minimum by the Company’s Communications Research group using a phone survey to obtain an appropriate margin of error (See Appendix D). Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

7.5. UNIQUE ASSET: BORREGO SPRINGS LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS FACILITY

The Borrego Spring Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) facility was added to the PAP in 2014. The LNG is located on the grounds of the Roadrunner Mobile Home Park. Annually, the SDG&E Gas Technical Services (Miramar) will provide safety materials about the LNG facility and contact information to the park office. Additionally, the park manager will be invited to attend the Borrego Springs Fire Department meetings with the SDG&E Gas Technical Services (Miramar).

Furthermore, the Borrego LNG Facility obtains liquefied natural gas for residential use to the mobile home park from LNG supply vendors. Along with the LNG, the vendor provides a Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS), which describes important issues such as the physical properties of the LNG, potential hazards associated with handling LNG, and recommended Personal Protective Equipment (PPE). MSDS sheets are in Sections 9.0 of the local station site binder for the Borrego Springs LNG Facility in the SDG&E Operations Document Management System.

The Borrego Springs Fire Department has been provided regular on-site reviews of the facility operations and safety features by the SDG&E Gas Technical Services (Miramar) in accordance with the SDG&E Gas Standard G8210, “Contact with Fire and Police Departments and Public Agencies”. In the event of a gas emergency within the park, but outside of the LNG facility, the
Fire Department has the ability and discretion to close the park isolation valve, which is painted red.

Due to the size and remoteness of this facility, we believe that communications outlined above are sufficient to make sure safety of the mobile home park residents in case of an emergency.

7.6. **Affected Public: Schools**

The PAA has the lead responsibility with support from the SoCalGas CE&I/SDG&E MR&A teams and the GIS team.

Refer to Appendix C for a detailed procedure to obtain the school superintendents contact list. In addition, a designated third party vendor is utilized for the extraction of a mailing/contact list of all K-12 schools, community colleges, colleges and universities in the DST.

The PAA provides the contact list to the SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A teams for mailing. The SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A review and/or revise communications, obtain legal and branding approvals, deliver the required communications and provide proof of mailing to the PAA.

**Definition of Target Audience:** see Table 2

**Rationale for the audience definition/identification:**

Superintendents/chancellors of each elementary and high school and community college district and university in the 13 counties where we have facilities are targeted. As with city and county managers, we are targeting this communication to district superintendents and chancellors because they are responsible for communication with both elected officials and district and school/college staff.

**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** see Table 2

**Messages Content:** see Table 3

**Delivery Methods/Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Delivery Methods/ Materials | Frequency | Records | Responsible Party
---|---|---|---
Direct Mail and/ or Email | Every two years | Contact list, copies of communications, proof of sending. | CE&I/ MR&A

Direct Mail (PAPA Public Officials program): printed materials | 1x/ year | List of School Districts contacted, copies of communications, and confirmation of mailing. | PAA

**Direct mailers to the affected public** (e.g., customers, affected public along pipeline ROW inside and outside distribution service territory, near compressor stations and storage fields) will reach schools within the service territory and near the transmission pipelines.

The **PAPA mailers** ensure the required messages are delivered to all school districts if emails or mailers are not completed during a required year.

**Tracking:**

The required records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

**Program Evaluations & Improvement:**

Schools communications will be evaluated as part of the affected public communications and/or as stand-alone communications **every four years** at a minimum using formal survey instruments as determined by the Company’s Communications Research group. Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

### 7.7. EMERGENCY OFFICIALS/COUNTY COORDINATORS

**Group Responsibility:**

**SoCalGas Emergency Services** and **SDG&E Emergency Services & Business Continuity** teams have the lead responsibility for ensuring communications with Emergency Officials meet the requirements of the public awareness plan.

Specifically, these teams identify and communicate with 13 County Emergency Response Coordinators and provide the supporting records to the PAA.

**Definition of Target Audience:** see Table 2

**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** see Table 2
Rationale for the audience definition/identification:

Combined, SoCalGas and SDG&E operate in approximately 243 incorporated cities and 13 counties, all of which have multiple departments and/or individuals who fit the definition and examples of emergency officials provided in API RP 1162.

SoCalGas and SDG&E have identified the County Emergency Response Coordinators as the entity through which we will communicate with emergency officials. These coordinators already have identified emergency officials throughout their jurisdictions and are responsible for communicating with them. Thus, we have identified them as our primary conduit to emergency officials.

By working through the County Emergency Response Coordinators and PAPA, we will be able to ensure that we are communicating with the appropriate individuals and ensure that our communications are consistent across jurisdictions. This communication can be done more effectively and cost-efficiently than if we were to attempt to communicate directly to all emergency officials at all the cities and counties in our service territories. This totals at least a couple thousand.

Messages Content: see Table 3

Delivery Methods/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline Communications with County Emergency Response Coordinators</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting or/ and</td>
<td>1x/ year</td>
<td>Email confirming the meeting and/ or sign-in sheet, copies of distributed print materials and/or meeting agenda/ discussed topics (e.g., presentation)</td>
<td>SoCalGas Emergency Services/ SDG&amp;E Emergency Services &amp; Business Continuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
<td></td>
<td>Copy of sent e-mail.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental Communications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Mail (PAPA mailing): print materials</td>
<td>1x/ year</td>
<td>Copy of mailing list, copies of distributed print materials, confirmation of mailing; CASS/ DPV report.</td>
<td>PAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Project and other Construction/ Maintenance Alerts – Public Affairs, Field Supervisors or Project Managers in conjunction with Transmission select the most effective way to contact emergency officials prior to any significant maintenance or construction activity.</td>
<td>As needed</td>
<td>Letters or other communication vehicles(^6)</td>
<td>Regional Public Affairs/ Field Supervisors/ Project Managers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Delivery Methods/Materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings with Fire Departments</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Records to be provided by SoCalGas Emergency Services/ SDG&amp;E Emergency Services &amp; Business Continuity upon request.*</td>
<td>SoCalGas Emergency Services/ SDG&amp;E Emergency Services &amp; Business Continuity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Joint Meetings – SoCalGas Transmission Operations will participate in jointly meetings with other pipeline companies for emergency response officials in the High Desert area. | As needed | Records to be provided by SoCalGas Emergency Services upon request.* | Transmission Operations/ SoCalGas Emergency Services                                 |

| Emergency Drills – when appropriate, field locations should invite local emergency responders to participate in mock emergency drill exercises. | As needed | Records to be provided by SoCalGas Emergency Services/ SDG&E Emergency Services & Business Continuity upon request.* | SoCalGas Emergency Services/ SDG&E Emergency Services & Business Continuity         |

---

**The PAPA mailers** ensure the required messages are delivered to all emergency officials if meetings, emails or mailers are not completed during a year.

Face-to-face meetings or liaison briefings shall be coordinated by Emergency Services and attended by at least one representative from Field Operations. Liaison briefings are training sessions conducted in a classroom environment for Emergency Responders in which information is share through a PowerPoint, video handout and/or props.

Note*: SoCalGas Gas Standard 183.0030 and SDG&E Gas Standard G8210, “Contact with Fire and Police Departments and Public Agencies” specify further requirements of the Distribution Regions and Transmission Districts on conducting and documenting contacts with fire and police departments. SoCalGas Emergency Services and SDG&E Emergency Services & Business Continuity and Gas Operations-Public Awareness & Safety Outreach are responsible for ensuring that documented contacts are in compliance with DOT Regulation CFR 192.615(c) and California Public Utilities Commission Code 956.5 and the ultimate record keepers of these communications. The records will be maintained in a centralized web-based data base accessible to the Public Awareness Team, including the PAA, Field Operations and Regional Public Affairs.

Emergency officials whose jurisdictions include Compressor Stations, Underground Storage Fields, and/ or Liquefied Natural Gas facilities are informed about facilities purpose, location...
and product stored or transported through the facility, through a biannual direct mail campaign for the affected public near the Compressor Stations, Underground Storage Fields, or Liquefied Natural Gas facilities.

Furthermore, as we communicate to the affected public near our transmission lines, emergency officials near our ROW also receive public awareness brochures by direct mail.

**Tracking:**
The required records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

**Program Evaluation & Improvement:**
A phone survey will be conducted every four years at a minimum with 12 counties for SoCalGas and two counties for SDG&E through the Pipeline Association for Public Awareness. A supplemental internal phone survey will be conducted every four years at a minimum with 13 County Emergency Response Coordinators. Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

See Appendix F for an Overview of Pipeline Association for Public Awareness’ (PAPA) Emergency Responder Program.

### 7.8. **Liaison with Emergency Responders**

PAPA’s annual communication to emergency responders is delivered by direct mail. The packet of information includes: Pipeline Emergency Response Guidelines booklet with the Emergency Response scenario CD, Pipeline Emergency Contact Directory, Public Officials Newsletter, and a cover letter. The cover letter lists all the resources that are available on the website and includes a solicitation to meet with local pipeline representatives. This section encourages emergency responders to contact pipeline operators in their community for training sessions, mock drills, and additional information. It also contains links to state association websites for the states that are part of PAPA’s program.

Furthermore, **Distribution/ Transmission/Storage Operations, Regional Public Affairs, SoCalGas Emergency Services** and **SDG&E Emergency Services & Business Continuity** continue to maintain responsibility for communicating with local emergency officials in

---

17 Supplemental County Emergency Response Coordinators survey was added to this plan in 2014.
accordance with 49 CFR 192.615, Emergency Plan. Additionally, joint emergency response drills are held when requested by emergency response officials as a supplemental effort.

Fire Department

Face-to-face meetings and/or Liaison briefings shall be conducted on a yearly basis with Fire Departments located in the operating service territory and will utilize material provided by SoCalGas Emergency Services or SDG&E Gas Operations – Public Awareness & Safety Outreach. Holding a liaison briefing eliminates the need for a face-to-face meeting. All briefings and meetings must be documented on the appropriate forms and/or cloud-based forms that will upload to a shared SharePoint site accessible to the Public Awareness Team.

Police Department

SoCalGas Emergency Services will also conduct face-to-face meetings and/or liaison briefings on a rotating quarterly basis targeting the Northwest and Southwest Regions, or as requested by the local Police Departments, with a briefing or meeting to occur with each Police Department at least once every three (3) years. SDG&E Gas Operations-Public Awareness & Safety Outreach shall conduct face-to-face meetings and/or liaison briefings on a periodic basis, or as requested by the local Police Departments and/or Public Agency. All briefings and meetings must be documented on the appropriate forms and/or cloud-based forms that will upload to a shared SharePoint site accessible to the Public Awareness Team. The above-mentioned teams are the ultimate record keepers of these communications. The records should be provided to the PAA upon request. (delete once cloud-base form & SharePoint site created)

A key element of the communication with certain stakeholders, especially Emergency Responders, is the Transmission Pipeline Mapping information provided through the National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS). According to API 1162, section 4.6.2 at minimum the maps must include line size, product and approximate location of the pipe. Due to security concerns, the diameter of pipelines is not provided in NPMS maps. On an as needed basis and when requested, additional information including diameter and pressure for specific sections of pipelines is provided to emergency responders. PHMSA will be evaluating what information should be provided in NPMS maps, which will provide future guidance.

7.9. PUBLIC OFFICIALS

Group Responsibility:

Regional Public Affairs (RPA) team has the lead responsibility for ensuring communications with Public Officials meet the requirements of the public awareness plan.
Specifically, this team identifies and communicates with appropriate public officials and provides the supporting records to the PAA.

The GIS team annually determines if HCA/Non-HCA cities have been changed. The PAA is responsible for providing the updated HCA/Non-HCA list to RPA.

**Definition of Target Audience:** see Table 2  
**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** see Table 2

**Rationale for the audience definition/identification:**

There are 13 counties, approximately 243 incorporated cities and 242 identifiable unincorporated communities in SoCalGas’ and SDG&E’s service territories. Natural gas distribution pipelines traverse through all of these areas. Larger transmission pipelines, underground storage facilities and compressor stations are also located in a number of these counties, cities and communities. Additionally, SoCalGas has transmission pipelines in areas outside of its service territory.

SoCalGas and SDG&E have identified city managers and county managers or chief administrative officers as the primary public officials to inform regarding pipelines, storage wells and reservoirs and related safety activities. City/county managers are responsible for communicating with elected and appointed city officials as well as the city staff. Thus, we have identified the city/county managers as our primary conduit to public officials within their jurisdictions.

By requiring communication with city/county managers, we will be able to track our communications with public officials and ensure that our communications are consistent across jurisdictions.

Although we have 242 identifiable communities in our service territories, most are recognized as distinct geographical areas but don’t have a local government structure. They are represented by city and/or county government. Thus, by communicating with city/country managers, we will be communicating with public officials in those areas.

**Messages Content:** see Table 3

**Delivery Methods/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline Communications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meeting</td>
<td>1x/ year (HCA)</td>
<td>Email confirming the meeting; public official’s name, title and jurisdiction,</td>
<td>RPA/ PAA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Public Awareness Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>or Email</td>
<td>1x/ every 3 year (Non-HCA)</td>
<td>meeting agenda/ discussed topics. Copy of e-mail, proof of sending.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Supplemental Communications**

Direct Mail (PAPA)*: printed materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>List of Public Officials contacted, copies of communications, and confirmation of mailing, CASS/ DPV report.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PAA

The PAPA mailers ensure the required messages are delivered to all public officials if meetings or emails are not completed during a year.

Public officials whose jurisdictions include Compressor Stations, Underground Storage Fields, and/or Liquefied Natural Gas facilities are informed about facilities purpose, location and product stored or transported through the facility, through a biannual direct mail campaign for the affected public near the Compressor Stations, Underground Storage Fields, or Liquefied Natural Gas facilities.

Furthermore, as we communicate to the affected public near our transmission lines, public officials near our ROW also receive public awareness brochures by direct mail.

**Tracking:**

The required records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system for at least 5 years.

**Program Evaluation & Improvement:**

A phone survey will be conducted every four years at a minimum through PAPA. A supplemental internal phone survey will be conducted every four years at a minimum by our Communications Research group. Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

See Appendix G for an Overview of Pipeline Association for Public Awareness’ (PAPA) Public Officials Program.

---

*Supplemental County Emergency Response Coordinators survey was added to this plan in 2014.
7.10. **Contractors who Excavate, Farmers, and Land Developers**

**Group Responsibility:**

The SoCalGas/SDG&E Gas Operations group has the lead responsibility to manage safety events jointly with USA North and South. The SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A groups have the lead responsibility to develop annual direct mail communications with support from the PAA.

Prior to each mailing, the PAA reviews/updates NAICS/SIC codes. After pulling the codes, a commercially available list is bought with contacts pertaining to the NAICS/SIC codes within counties where the company operates.

The PAA provides the mailing list to the SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A teams for mailing. The SoCalGas CE&I and SDG&E MR&A teams review and/or revise communications, obtain legal and branding approvals, deliver the required communications and provide proof of mailing to the PAA.

In addition to managing safety events jointly with USA North and South, the SoCalGas/SDG&E Gas Operations group provides 811 USA Call logs and Damages per 1,000 USA tickets to the PAA annually. This team is also responsible for providing grid updates to USA whenever there are changes in the gas pipeline system.

The Claims team identifies and contacts contractors with multiple damages and provides the supporting records to the PAA.

**Definition of Target Audience:** see Table 2

**Rationale for the audience definition/identification:**

We’ve noted farmers in this category as they may or may not be within LDC but perform similar functions as Contractors who excavates and Land Developers and should receive similar messaging on an annual basis.

**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** see Table 2

**Messages Content:** see Table 3
### Delivery Method/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delivery Methods/ Materials</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Records</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Baseline Communications (Excavators/ Contractors)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct mail:</strong> letter and/ or brochure (English/ Spanish)</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Copy of mailing list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (including number of pieces mailed and date), NAICS/SIC codes used.</td>
<td>PAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USA One-Call excavator meetings:</strong> Locations are chosen according to the cities where the most dig-ins occurred.</td>
<td>2 meetings per distribution region (SoCalGas: 8 meetings; SDG&amp;E: 2 meetings)</td>
<td>Invitation, agenda, presentation, sign-up sheet.</td>
<td>SoCalGas Gas Operations Services / SDG&amp;E Gas Distribution Field Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>One-Call centers:</strong> the company will maintain membership in the applicable regional One Call centers (USA) where it has operations. As changes in pipeline areas occur, new Thomas Brothers grids are submitted to the One-Call Center to maintain accurate information in the One-Call Center system. Also when the Company has changes to personnel or equipment utilizing One-Call data, the One-Call Center is notified.</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>One-call membership.</td>
<td>SoCalGas Gas Operations Services / SDG&amp;E Gas Distribution Field Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Supplemental Communications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Mail (PAPA)</strong>(^1): printed materials</td>
<td></td>
<td>List of excavators contacted, copies of communications, and confirmation of mailing.</td>
<td>PAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Land Developers – direct mail:</strong> letter and/ or brochure (English/ Spanish)</td>
<td>Every 2 years</td>
<td>Copy of mailing list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (including number of pieces mailed and date), NAICS/SIC codes.</td>
<td>PAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Farmers – direct mail:</strong> letter and/ or brochure (English/ Spanish)</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>Copy of mailing list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (including number of pieces mailed and date), NAICS/SIC codes.</td>
<td>PAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contractors with multiple damages - direct mail and/or meeting and/or email</strong></td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>Confirmation of contacts.</td>
<td>Claims</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The PAPA mailers ensure the required messages are delivered to all excavators if mailings/meetings/emails are not completed during a year.

Direct mailers to the affected public (e.g., customers, affected public along pipeline ROW inside and outside distribution service territory, near compressor stations and storage fields) will also reach excavators, farmers and land developers within the service territory and near the transmission pipelines.

Tracking:
The required records outlined above will be submitted to the PAA by the responsible party and kept on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system –for at least 5 years.

Program Evaluation & Improvement:
Communication will be evaluated every four years at a minimum using a mail survey or other formal survey instruments as determined by the Company’s Communications Research group. Based on survey results, messages, delivery methods and materials may be revised.

Monitoring 3rd-party dig-in incidents will be a key in determining changes in this audience’s behavior. The number of incidents will be reviewed to assess whether there is a downward trend. If there are an increased number of incidents, increased public awareness communications will be considered. Damages per 1,000 One-Calls will be tracked. Questionnaires at USA One-Call events/meetings will be considered.

7.11. EMPLOYEES – SUPPLEMENTAL COMMUNICATIONS

Group Responsibility:
Internal Communications in coordination with the Public Awareness Team.

Definition of Target Audience:
The SoCalGas and SDG&E combined have over 12,000 employees that provide service to more than 21 million consumers in a service territory covering 13 counties.

Rationale
While employees are not listed as a stakeholder group for which communication on pipeline safety is required under API RP 1162, we believe they represent one of our greatest resources in educating customers about pipeline safety as many have daily if not monthly contact with customers. To the extent that employees are themselves aware of pipeline safety, how to recognize a leak and how to respond to a leak, they are better able to serve as company ambassadors on this subject and are generally perceived by the public as a trustworthy source for information on natural gas safety.

For those reasons, we have included them in this public awareness plan. Employee communications will be consistent with communications to LDC Customers.

Messages to be considered:
1. Pipeline purpose and reliability
2. Gas Storage purpose and reliability
3. Awareness of hazards and prevention measures taken
4. Damage prevention awareness
5. Leak recognition and response
6. Odor fade
7. How to get additional information

Message Delivery Frequency:
Frequency and message type will be determined by the PAA and SoCalGas CE&I/ SDG&E MR&A annually.

Delivery Methods to be considered:
Communications with employees may be done using various internal communications tools including, but not limited to, training, GasLines or PowerUp (Intranet web portal for employees of SoCalGas and SDG&E).
8. Consideration of Relevant Factors

The Company’s Public Awareness Team evaluated where additional supplemental communications should be performed to address Section 6.2 of API RP 1162. The table below describes the relevant factors that were considered in determining the supplemental activities that are currently included in the program.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Supplemental Activity Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential Hazards</td>
<td>All targeted audiences are receiving all the baseline and supplemental messages for potential hazards of natural gas piping and storage systems. The Company will also consider increasing communications where the public’s confidence in pipeline safety is undermined by a high-profile pipeline or gas storage well emergency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCAs</td>
<td>To ensure a higher level of safety in HCAs, the Company has included supplemental messages on the IMP for HCAs in its plan to the following audiences:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Customers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Non-Customers and Places of Congregation Along Transmission Lines Outside the Service Territory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Emergency Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Residents Near Compressor and Storage Stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Public Officials in HCAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Communication to Public Officials in HCAs will be increased to an annual frequency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population density</td>
<td>The Company maps will be updated annually. If non-HCA areas become HCAs, then communications will be made annually to Public Officials in these areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Customers</td>
<td>All new customers, including those in newly developed areas, will receive the Home Energy and Safety Guide brochure from the Service Technician – Field Services. All new customers will also receive a notification that they are responsible for maintaining their gas lines with their first bill.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Farming Activity</td>
<td>Excavators that are involved in farming activities are included in the annual excavator mailers. Farmers that live along the Transmission Pipelines outside of our service territory will also receive the Company Pipeline Safety Brochure for residents near Company’s transmission pipelines as part of the Affected Public.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd-Party Damage Incidents</td>
<td>Pipeline Safety and Compliance Manager provides annual CPUC 3rd-party dig-in report to the PAA, including the causes of these incidents and whether Underground Service Alert (USA) One-Call was notified. The PAA will determine the number of dig-ins per 1000 USA tickets to determine trend over time, and analyze why dig-ins continue to occur and what we can do to reduce them. Claims will communicate excavator safety information with contractors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Company Operations Standard

**Gas Standard**

**Gas Operations & System Integrity**

#### Public Awareness Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Supplemental Activity Rationale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>that have caused multiple damages to Company’s facilities. Additionally, the following supplemental messages to excavators are provided:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Pipeline Purpose &amp; Reliability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Prevention Measures Taken</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Customers are also provided the following supplemental messages to reduce the possibility of dig-ins:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Pipeline Location Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. One-Call Requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. ROW Encroachment Prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Availability of NPMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Also, in addition to the annual excavator mailer, SoCalGas will work closely with USA One-Call to attempt to have two meetings per Distribution Region with excavators for a total of eight annual meetings in SoCalGas service territory. SDG&amp;E will attempt to hold two annual USA One-Call meetings in its service territory. SoCalGas and SDG&amp;E will affix Underground Service Alert (USA) bumper stickers with “Call 811” information on all company existing and new fleet vehicles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Considerations</td>
<td>If supplier upset conditions result in contaminated gas, then specific action will be taken and communication will be made with affected parties. Such action and communication will likely be of a short duration and handled outside the broader Public Awareness Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipeline History in the Area</td>
<td>If a local area is determined to be a significantly larger source of 3rd-party damage than other areas, the Company will consider enhancing its 3rd-party damage program in that area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Local Situations</td>
<td>Along with 3rd-party damage, if the Company becomes aware of significant maintenance or construction activities in a particular area, consideration will be given to providing public and emergency officials, and residents along the area, pipeline safety communications. The Company will also consider increasing communications where the public’s confidence in pipeline safety is undermined by a high-profile pipeline emergency. Real Estate &amp; Land Services will notify the PAA of increasing ROW encroachment that can be reduced by increased public awareness communications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Requirements</td>
<td>The PAA will be monitoring regulatory changes to the Public Awareness requirements in CFR 49 Part 192 or CPUC GO-112 and incorporate changes into the Plan as appropriate.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Results from Public Awareness Program</td>
<td>The Communications Research group conducts survey every four years, or more often as needed, for each targeted audience. The results of these surveys</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Record Keeping

The company will maintain records of key program elements to demonstrate the level of implementation of the Public Awareness program. Primary documentation will be maintained on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system. Record keeping will include:

- Lists, records or other documentation of stakeholder communications.
- Copies of materials provided to each stakeholder audience.
- All program evaluations including current results and follow-up actions.
- Program changes

Records will be kept for a period of five years.

10. Program Evaluation and Continuous Improvement

10.1. Annual Program Implementation Audit

A program implementation audit is performed annually by the PAA. The PAA verifies that all scheduled communications have been completed as planned through review/tracking of submitted documentation. This includes validating that the required messages have been communicated with the required frequencies to all stakeholder audiences through identified delivery channels.

If any major deficiencies are found during the implementation audit, the PAA is responsible for identifying the root causes of the deficiencies and recommending possible solutions. The major audit findings will be summarized and documented in an annual review document (a.k.a. annual senior management report).
Regulatory audits will also count for review.

Furthermore, annually, the PAA should solicit a feedback from the responsible departments/audience owners (see Table 1) about possible program improvements.

10.2. **Effectiveness Evaluation**

Effectiveness surveys will be in accordance with the Program Evaluation and Improvement Sections shown above for each targeted audience and will be completed *every four years* by the company’s Communications Research group.

The company measures stakeholders’ **reach** by evaluating recall of communication materials for each stakeholder audience in the mail/email/phone surveys.

The company measures **message comprehension and knowledge** by evaluating results of the mail/email/phone surveys.

The company measures stakeholders’ **behavior** through self-reported behavioral data in the mail/email/phone surveys.

The company measures **bottom-line results** by analyzing third-party incidents and One-Call tickets. Data is used by the PAA and/or field representatives to determine if SoCalGas and SDG&E’s PAP is contributing to a reduction in the number of pipeline incidents and to identify the need for new or expanded PAP activity to support damage prevention efforts across the two companies.

11. **Program Changes**

The PAA will analyze the results and findings of effectiveness evaluations and audits and outline major changes, if any, in the annual review document (Annual Senior Management Report).

Specifically, the PAA will

- Review implementation audit results
- Review CPUC and formal internal audits, if any
- Review research conducted for the year, if any
- Review dig-in data (damages)
- Obtain feedback from responsible departments/ audience owners (see Table 1) about possible improvements/ changes
- Compile findings into written annual review document (Annual Senior Management Report)
- Communicate findings to key personnel and obtain approval for major program changes, if any
- Update Public Awareness Plan and implement program changes, if any
- Obtain additional resources as necessary

See Appendix M. Program Implementation Process for detailed process steps.

Any substantial changes to this Public Awareness Plan will be reflected in the reviews and/or revisions log (see Appendix N).
Appendix

APPENDIX A. PUBLIC AWARENESS SUPPORT TEAM MEMBERS

Public Awareness Team’s Responsibilities:

This is an intra-company group consisting of representatives of the key departments that are responsible for communications with the targeted audiences and are involved in the development and implementation of public awareness communications. It consists of representatives from SoCalGas Customer Engagement & Insights and SDG&E Marketing, Research and Analytics (aka “Communications”), Distribution Integrity Management, Gas Operations, Media and Employee Communications, Public Affairs, Legal, Emergency Services, Storage Operations, Storage Integrity and Transmission Operations. Other departments have support roles. These combined groups make up the Public Awareness Support Team.

- **Communications** handles all paid communications to customers, non-customers, excavators/contractors, farmers and land developers and reviews and/or writes other customer communications such as bill inserts, emails, letters, videos, call center talking points, etc. Performs annual audit of these targeted audiences to ensure these communications are being implemented and documented according to the plan.

- **Pipeline Safety and Compliance** manager provides annual dig-in reports to the PAA, including the causes of these incidents and whether Underground Service Alert (USA) One-Call was notified to determine if increased public awareness communications can reduce the number of incidents.

- **Claims Management** documents communications with contractors that have caused multiple damages to Company facilities.

- **Communications - Research** manages the effectiveness evaluations and provides recommendations for any changes needed to meet the program’s objectives.

- **Fleet Services** places “Call 811 Before You Dig” stickers on Company Transmission, Distribution, and Customer Service vehicles to increase public awareness of this important safety message.

- **The GIS team** provides the shape files and printed maps that can be used by a third-party vendor to identify the affected public along Company DOT lines (refer to Gas Standard 223.0415, Pipeline and Related Definitions) inside and outside the service territory and near the storage fields and compressor stations. Also provides annual update of HCA/Non-HCA designation for each city in the service area to the PAA.
- **Gas Operations** provides grid updates to USA whenever there are changes in the gas pipeline system.
- **Remittance Processing – Regional Branch Office** displays public awareness safety brochures in branch offices throughout service area.
- **Customer Contact Centers** is responsible for rotating the 811 message (English and Spanish) on the Interactive Voice Response (IVR) system as customers are on-hold while waiting to speak to a representative (SoCalGas only).
- **Major Projects – Project and Construction Management, PSEP** documents communications to the public for major maintenance and construction projects.
- **Media and Employee Communications** is responsible for internal employee communications and non-paid external media news release to all affected public within the distribution service territory in languages as determined by the PAA.
- **Legal** reviews all new and materially modified communications prior to distribution.
- **Public Affairs** is responsible for identifying and communicating with appropriate public officials within the service territories and along the Company’s gas transmission lines. Also responsible for communications to affected public near construction sites for major maintenance projects in conjunction with field supervisors and/or project managers. Performs annual audit to ensure the communications are being implemented at cities and counties in service area.
- **Real Estate & Land Services** notifies the PAA of increasing ROW encroachment that can be reduced by increased public awareness communications.
- **Emergency Services** ensures that all required communications with emergency officials occur, which includes communications with the 13 Emergency County Coordinator Officials and all fire department agencies. Performs annual audit to ensure these communications are being implemented and documented. Also documents the joint pipeline emergency communications by the High Desert Pipeline Team which consists of other pipeline companies in the High Desert Area.
- **SDG&E Gas Distribution Field Operations** will participate in two annual USA One-call meetings for excavators and contractors in SDG&E’s service territory. **SoCalGas Gas Operations** works closely with USA One-Call to attempt to have two meetings per Distribution Region with excavators and contractors for a total of eight annual meetings in SoCalGas service territory. These meetings are documented and records of these events are provided to the PAA. Provides SoCalGas territory USA tickets annually to the PAA to be used to report dig-ins per 1,000 USA tickets and to analyze trends for effectiveness of communications in reducing pipeline damages.
- **Storage Operations** – Annually reviews the public awareness plan and notifies the PAA if any additional communications requirements are needed.
- **Storage Integrity** - Annually reviews the public awareness plan and notifies the PAA if any additional communications requirements are needed.
Transmission Operations, as part of the High Desert Pipeline Team, meets with local emergency officials in the area which includes San Bernardino and Kern Counties. Documents these meetings and any additional meetings with emergency officials. Also documents communications to the public for major maintenance and construction.

Pipeline Integrity—Distribution annually provides and reviews the dig-in data (damages) along with the Public Awareness Administrator to identify trends and possible plan changes to enhance the Public Awareness Program.

Pipeline Integrity—Transmission annually reviews the Public Awareness Plan and notifies the PAA if any additional communication requirements beyond 49 CFR 192.616 are needed to meet Pipeline Integrity requirements.

Customer Engagement and Insights - Web Group provides links for paperless customers to access on-line Public Awareness Bill Inserts.
APPENDIX B. OTHER LANGUAGES PROVIDED

“Significant” Definition: API RP 1162 states that “The programs are to be provided in both English and in other languages commonly used by a significant concentration of non-English speaking population along the pipeline.” Since “significant” was not specifically defined, SoCalGas/SDG&E takes the initiative to define “significant” in its Public Awareness Program as any population group that constitutes greater than one percent of the adults who speak another language at home and speak English less than very well.

Determination of Languages: Annually or once new data is released by the U.S. Census, the PAA conducts an assessment of languages within the service territory, as well as areas where transmission lines reside outside of the service territory. Instruction to make this determination is below.

Currently, all customers receive gas safety messages in English and Spanish through bill stuffers at least twice annually. Additionally, the gas safety messages are provided in English and Spanish on company’s website. Excavators/contractors, land developers and farmers receive the gas safety messages in English and Spanish. Because emergency responders and public officials are expected to communicate in English, no additional language versions were deemed necessary for these audiences.

To help educate and build awareness about natural gas pipeline safety to our Asian customers, the company runs an annual campaign in Asian languages. The campaign includes print ads in newspapers and other appropriate publications that are most likely to reach the specific Asian segment.
APPENDIX C. IDENTIFICATION OF SCHOOLS

School Districts
The PAA or designated third-party vendor will identify the superintendents/chancellors of each elementary and high school and community college district and university within the company’s service territory. We are communicating to district superintendents instead of principles at individual schools because the superintendents are responsible for the overall safety policy and emergency preparedness for all schools within the district. Furthermore, as we communicate to the affected public near our transmission lines and facilities, individual schools near our ROW also receive public awareness brochures by direct mail.

Procedure to obtain the contact list for public schools and districts is attached:
APPENDIX D. SAMPLING MARGIN OF ERROR

Margin of Error

The PAA works with Communications Research group and consults with a third-party research firm to determine the methodology for conducting the survey and the sample size needed to receive an acceptable margin of error. Industry standard for margin of error for survey sampling is around 5% based on a 95% confidence level. Using these criteria and the formula for margin of error, a sample size of about 350 will give pollsters this margin of error regardless of the population size (refer to Excel file below). However, there are times when the population count is much less (i.e. public officials, county emergency coordinators, or affected public near a compressor station) and it would not be feasible to obtain a sample size of 350. The PAA will make a determination to poll the entire population (census survey) and rely on the most appropriate method to conduct the survey to obtain the highest response rate.

Below is the worksheet to determine the sample size.

Microsoft Excel
97-2003 Worksheet
APPENDIX E. PROCEDURE TO DEVELOP SHAPE FILES AND MAILING LIST OF AFFECTED PUBLIC NEAR PIPELINES OR FACILITIES

Shape files along DOT Pipelines
Every other year, the GIS team develops shape files with center lines for the following audience:

1. Affected Public near DOT-T pipeline ROW inside DST
2. Affected Public near DOT-T pipeline ROW outside DST
3. Affected Public near DOT-T equipment inside storage fields
4. Affected Public near DOT-T equipment inside compressor stations

This project requires the following responsible parties and procedures.

1. For affected public near DOT-T pipelines, the GIS group uses company records to map DOT-T pipelines (refer to Gas Standard 223.0415, Pipeline and Related Definitions) and draw shape files of 660 feet on either side or greater (depending on HCA and PIR) along the pipelines. The PAA stores the shape files used in most recent mailing on an internal company network drive and/or a centralized web-based tracking system – ICAM.

2. For affected public near compressor stations, the GIS group uses company records to draw shape files of 660 radiating from all DOT-T equipment and along DOT-T pipelines inside the facility. For affected public near storage fields, the GIS group uses Company records to draw shape files of the storage boundaries, including the storage buffer zone. The PAA also works with Transmission and Storage Operations to determine whether any updates to the facilities (i.e., movement of equipment or line abandonment or reclassification) require a redraw of the shape files and communicate this to the GIS group. The PAA stores the shape files used in the most recent mailing on the internal company network drive and/ or ICAM and if no changes are notified by Transmission and Storage Operations, the GIS will use these files for the next update.

3. The PAA selects a third-party vendor, coordinates communication between the GIS group and the vendor, and provides the shape files to the vendor for the extraction of a mailing/contact list. The PAA confirms that the vendor flags whether the affected public is a resident, business, or school.

4. The PAA provides the mailing list to Communications for direct mail.
APPENDIX F. OVERVIEW OF PIPELINE ASSOCIATION FOR PUBLIC AWARENESS’ (PAPA) EMERGENCY RESPONDER PROGRAM

Annually, PAPA identifies emergency officials in counties in which the company operates and provides pipeline safety communications to this audience through direct mail.

Definition of Target Audience by PAPA: The program is directed toward local, state, or regional officials, agencies, and organizations emergency response and/or public safety jurisdiction over areas involving pipelines. These agencies include: Fire departments, Police/Sheriff departments and Public Safety Answering Points (PSAP), Local Emergency Planning Commissions (LEPC), County Emergency Management Agencies (CEMA), other local emergency response and public safety organizations.

Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group: Emergency Officials are identified through commercially available data sources, member information, and the web sign up database. The primary mailing list is maintained internally by PAPA. Additional data is obtained from the National Public Safety Information Bureau. Background information about this list service can be found at: www.safetysource.com. InfoUSA is used as a second source of data for agency locations. Data used in the mailing list is CASS certified, normalized, combined, and duplicates removed.

PAPA Program Elements: The elements in the program include:

- A direct mailing of the Pipeline Emergency Response Guidelines booklet with the training CD and the Pipeline Awareness newsletter for public officials. The mailing packet will include a customized cover letter listing the names of member companies in the county along with a description of the types of facilities they operate and their emergency and non-emergency phone numbers.
- An online interactive training web site with various pipeline emergency scenarios.
- The online training resource: Responding to Utility Emergency Emergencies.
- A mobile friendly web application providing pipeline information for specific locations.
- A web based listing of emergency response capabilities for pipeline members and emergency response agencies.
- A password protected web based mapping application displaying pipeline specific information for emergency planning purposes (company name, product transported, pipeline size, recommended evacuation distance, emergency phone, non-emergency phone, and links to additional documents).
- Email communications to the emergency management organizations, fire departments, and law enforcement agencies who have provided their email addresses to the Association during past communications.

**PAPA Message Content**

The following information will be communicated to the Emergency Responders by PAPA:

- Availability of the National Pipeline Mapping System (NPMS) and how to learn the location of pipelines in their area of jurisdiction,
- Names of pipeline operators and their emergency contact information,
- Information about potential hazards associated with natural gas, hazardous liquids, and other materials transported by pipeline,
- Information about how to safely respond to a pipeline emergency and general Emergency Response Procedures,
- General information about the emergency response capabilities of pipeline operators and the capabilities expected of first responders,
- An overview of what operators do to prevent accidents and mitigate the consequences of accidents when they occur,
- How to contact pipeline operators to obtain additional information about specific pipelines, Integrity Management Programs to protect High Consequence Areas, emergency preparedness, or other public safety matters.

**PAPA Documentation:**

All program documentation will be available from the PAPA website, including: identity of participating members, Emergency Responders contacted, copies of communications, proof of mailing and any survey results or feedback received.

**PAPA Program Effectiveness Evaluation:**

An evaluation of the PAPA program effectiveness will be performed at least once every four years by PAPA.
APPENDIX G. OVERVIEW OF PIPELINE ASSOCIATION FOR PUBLIC AWARENESS’ (PAPA) PUBLIC OFFICIALS PROGRAM

Annually, PAPA identifies public officials in counties in which the company operates and provides pipeline safety communications to this audience through direct mail.

**Definition of Target Audience by PAPA:** The PAPA program is directed toward local, city, county or state officials and/or their staffs having land use and street/road jurisdiction in areas where pipelines are located and include: Planning boards, Zoning board, Licensing departments, permitting departments, Building code enforcement departments, City and county managers, Public and government officials, Public utility boards, Includes local Governing Councils as defined by many communities, Public officials who manage franchise or License agreements

**Methods to Identify Stakeholder Group:** Public Officials will be identified through commercially available data sources (InfoUSA website and American Planning Association website).

**PAPA Program Elements:** The elements in the program will be a mailing of the Pipeline Awareness newsletter that includes a custom cover letter listing member companies by state or county and additional information available on the website.

**PAPA Message Content:**

- Pipeline purpose and reliability
- Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken
- Emergency preparedness communications
- Land use practices associated with the pipeline ROW that may affect community safety
- General One-call requirements
- Pipeline location information and availability of NPMS
- How to get additional information about public safety issues, additional overview information on Integrity Management Programs to protect High Consequence Areas under their jurisdiction, land use practices, emergency preparedness, or other matters.

**PAPA Documentation:**

All program documentation will be available from the PAPA website, including: identity of participating members, Public Officials contacted, copies of communications, and any survey results or feedback received.

**Program Evaluations**
At a minimum, an evaluation of program effectiveness will be performed every four years by PAPA.
APPENDIX H. VENDOR’S AUDIENCE IDENTIFICATION VERIFICATION PROCESS AND MEASUREMENTS OF REACH

Audience identification process

Enertech, a mailing list vendor, provides mailing lists for the following audiences:

- Affected Public along pipeline ROW inside and outside DST
- Affected Public Near Compressor Stations and storage fields
- Excavators

Enertech’s affected public audience identification process is outlined in this document:

Enertech’s excavators’ identification process is outlined below:

Three types of data sets are used to identify Excavators:

- Compiled
  - Data is compiled based upon companies’ incorporations, tax returns and business activities.
- Response
  - Data is compiled based upon response from companies on surveys, equipment rebate cards, equipment warranty information etc.
- California Licensing Board
  - Data is compiled by the California Licensing Board.

Various compiled and responses data providers along with the California Licensing Board data were used to identify Excavators with business addresses within the SoCalGas and SDGE Asset Counties.

Combining compiled, response and licensing board data gives a very accurate identification process of Excavators with business addresses within the SoCalGas and SDGE Asset Counties.

Verification/validation process and measurements of reach:

To validate that required stakeholders are on the purchased mailing list and the list is accurate, the PAA will
1) Review the SIC/NAICS codes to be used for the extraction of the required stakeholders. The SIC/NAICS codes list is below:

2) Obtain CASS/DPV reports

- **CASS Report**: CASS is a certification system from the United States Postal Service (USPS) for address validation. A CASS-certified address validation service will standardize the mailing list, *update outdated addresses, and verify that addresses are valid and complete*. CASS doesn’t take into consideration whether a specific address physically exists and if mail can be delivered to it. The DPV (Delivery Point Validation) software will be used to validate that mail can be delivered to the address.

- **DPV Report**: The DPV system is one of the SnappCheck Address Management Technologies™ products that is available from the Postal Service to help mailers identify *inaccurate or incomplete addresses*. The DPV System assists mailers in obtaining accurate delivery address information and facilitates identification of erroneous addresses contained in mailer address files.

3) Review previous years USPS postage statements and compare total pieces mailed with the total contacts on the newly provided contact list. If the number of contacts fluctuates, the PAA should investigate the cause for this difference.
APPENDIX I. RETURN AND UNDELIVERABLE MAILING INSTRUCTIONS

1. The returned mail will be gathered and entered into the return mail data spreadsheets.
2. Each envelope is opened to determine the mailing type (e.g. affected public, schools, excavators, etc.) and the year of the mailing.
3. The returns to be entered into an appropriate return mail spreadsheet. The following fields should be completed: return, return date, return reason, new mailing address (if any).
4. If the return has a new address, then re-send materials to that new address.
5. All the returns to be kept for records for a period of **five years**. To store the returns:
   i. Contact Records Support Services (email at RecMgmt@SDGE.com), request the Iron Mountain Deposit Form, complete it and return it back to the Records Support Services
   ii. Contact Mail Cent (email at GTMail@sempra.com) to arrange for a pick up
## APPENDIX J. METHOD OF COMMUNICATION AND DOCUMENTATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Audience</th>
<th>Responsible Party</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Records of Communication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Customers</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
<td>Bill stuffers</td>
<td>Copy of bill stuffer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Copy of email, report from Silverpop (email vendor) that includes number of emails sent, opened and bounced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected Public</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
<td>Web/Social media</td>
<td>Number of hits to safety page, safety sweepstake on FB.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Media Commun.</td>
<td>Copy of news-release.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
<td>Invitation or our sign-up to commit to the event, photos of booth, summary of visits such as the number of brochures handed-out, and people who stopped at the booth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affected public near DOT-T pipeline or equipment</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
<td>Direct mail</td>
<td>Copy of mailing list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (PS FORM 3697) including number of pieces mailed and date, GIS shapes files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schools</td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
<td>Direct Mail</td>
<td>Copy of contact list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (PS FORM 3697) including number of pieces mailed and date, GIS shapes files.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Or</td>
<td>Copy of contact list, email, and report from Silverpop (email vendor) with number of emails sent, opened and bounced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Responders</td>
<td>SoCalGas Emergency Services/ SDG&amp;E ER&amp;BR</td>
<td>Meeting: in person, phone.</td>
<td>Email confirming the meeting; date, ER name, title and jurisdiction, meeting agenda/ discussed topics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Briefing events</td>
<td>Invitation, agenda, presentation, maps, handout, sign-up sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Copy of e-mail, email read-receipt. If through Silverpop/ email vendor: List of Emergency Officials contacted, copy of sent e-mail, number of emails sent, opened and bounced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct Mail print materials</td>
<td>Copy of mailing/ contact list, copies of distributed print materials, confirmation of mailing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Officials (city/county)</td>
<td>Public Affairs</td>
<td>Meeting: in person, phone.</td>
<td>Email confirming the meeting; public official name, title and jurisdiction, meeting agenda/ discussed topics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Briefing events</td>
<td>Invitation, agenda, presentation, sign-up sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Email</td>
<td>Copy of e-mail, email read-receipt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Direct Mail print materials</td>
<td>Copy of mailing/ contact list, copies of distributed print materials, confirmation of mailing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CE&amp;I/ MR&amp;A</td>
<td>Direct Mail</td>
<td>Copy of mailing list, letter/ brochure, envelope, proof of mailing (PS FORM 3697) including number of pieces mailed and date, GIS shapes files.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### APPENDIX K. COMMUNICATIONS REQUIRED BY TARGETED AUDIENCE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental</td>
<td>Supplemental 1. Brochures 2. Email (paperless customers) 3. Other safety communications.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Supplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Bill stuffer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Brochures 2. Email (paperless customers) 3. Other safety communications.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Asian market languages: 2. As appropriate for major maintenance/construction activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Print advertising in target areas in English &amp; Spanish 2. Paid advertising in additional Asian markets 3. Brochures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Affected Public Within Distribution Service Territory**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Message A</td>
<td>1. Public service announcements 2. Non-paid media relations</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>Suplemental As appropriate for major maintenance/ construction activity</td>
<td>Suplemental As appropriate for major maintenance/ construction activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supplemental</td>
<td>Supplemental 1. Print advertising in target areas in English &amp; Spanish 2. Paid advertising in additional Asian markets 3. Brochures</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Asian market languages: 2. As appropriate for major maintenance/construction activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Suplemental</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Public Awareness Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Audience</th>
<th>Message Types</th>
<th>Delivery Methods</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Affected Public Near Compressor Stations/Storage Fields</strong></td>
<td>Supplemental 1. IMP Summary for HCA 2. Incident response notification and evacuation (if appropriate) 3. Facility Purpose 4. Assurance security has been considered</td>
<td>Supplemental 1. Direct mail</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted Audience</td>
<td>Message Types</td>
<td>Delivery Methods</td>
<td>Frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Emergency Officials | 1. Pipeline purpose & reliability  
2. Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken by the operator  
3. Emergency preparedness communications and contacts  
4. Potential Hazards of product transported  
5. Pipeline Location Information and availability of NPMS  
6. IMP Summary for HCA  
7. How to get additional Information, including how to access company’s Emergency Plan  
8. One-Call requirements  
Supplemental 1. Major maintenance/construction activity  
2. Odor Fade | 1. Meeting  
2. Email  
3. Direct Mail | Annual - Thirteen County Emergency Coordinators  
Supplemental 1. Holds joint annual meetings in High Desert Area  
2. Document any meetings with Emergency Officials  
3. As appropriate for major maintenance / construction activity |
| Public Officials (City Managers, County Managers) | 1. Pipeline purpose & reliability  
2. Awareness of hazards and prevention measures undertaken by the operator  
3. Emergency preparedness communications  
4. Pipeline location information and | 1. Email  
2. Meeting  
3. Direct Mail | 1. HCA City Managers – Annual  
2. County Managers – Annual  
3. Non-HCA |
# Public Awareness Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Audience</th>
<th>Message Types</th>
<th>Delivery Methods</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Public Relations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>availability of NPMS 5. Integrity Management Plan (IMP) Overview 6. One-call requirements 7. How to get additional information, including how to access company's Emergency Plan</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplemental 5. Odor fade</td>
<td>1. Annual – contractors/ excavators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Annual – farmers 2. Every 2 years– land developers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Annual – farmers 2. Every 2 years– land developers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As appropriate for major maintenance/construction activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As appropriate for major maintenance/construction activity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>As needed to contractor s with multiple damages</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**City Managers – Every 3 Years**

**Supplemental**

**Supplemental**

**Supplemental**

**Supplemental**

**Participate in USA One-Call meetings as needed.**

**Attempt to hold two annual USA One-Call excavator meetings per Distribution Region.**

---
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## Public Awareness Plan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Targeted Audience</th>
<th>Message Types</th>
<th>Delivery Methods</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>One-Call Centers</strong></td>
<td>Provide updated pipeline and other information per USA One-Call Center requirements. Participate in excavator meetings.</td>
<td>1. Membership 2. Maps 3. Meeting</td>
<td>Public Relations Internal Communications Communications (SoCalGas CE&amp;I/SDGE MR&amp;A) Public Affairs SoCalGas Emergenc y Serv./SDG&amp;E ER&amp;BR Claims Gas Operations Services / Distribution Operations Transm. Operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Company Employees</strong> (Management &amp; Appropriate Personnel)</td>
<td>Additional 1. Message A 2. Odor Fade Additional One or more of the following: 1. Link (bimonthly newsletter) 2. Sempra News 3. Quick Link</td>
<td>Additional Annual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes for Table above:**

**Baseline Message A**
1. Pipeline purpose and reliability
2. Awareness of hazards and prevention measure taken
3. Damage Prevention Awareness
4. Leak recognition and response
5. How to get additional information

**Additional**

- IMP – Integrity Management Plan
- HCA – High Consequence Areas defined in IMP
- NPMS – National Pipeline Mapping System
## APPENDIX L. THIRD-PARTY VENDORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Third-Party Vendor</th>
<th>Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SDG&amp;E</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnew Multilingual</td>
<td>Asian Language translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson Direct Marketing</td>
<td>Mailing Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeadsDurket</td>
<td>Asian Language advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeadsDurket</td>
<td>Traffic Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeadsDurket</td>
<td>Padres ID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeadsDurket</td>
<td>Media personality (Loren Nancarrow) to promote damage prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MeadsDurket</td>
<td>San Diego Union Tribune newspaper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JD Power</td>
<td>Customer Satisfaction Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI Confluence</td>
<td>Develops ICAM - Public Awareness Event Tracking system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis Research</td>
<td>Gathers information and prepares effectiveness studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAPA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enertech</td>
<td>Provides mailing list for 1) non-customers along transmission lines inside DST, 2) affected public near compressor stations and storage fields, 3) excavators/contractors, farmers, land developers, and 4) schools.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SoCalGas</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agile</td>
<td>Provides temp personnel (mostly retired SoCalGas employees at outreach events (i.e. county fairs, community outreach)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agnew</td>
<td>Language translations for Pipeline Brochure (Asian) and Home Energy Guide (Other Languages)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyera</td>
<td>Provides support for audit and industry-wide intelligence.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOS</td>
<td>Mailing Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phelps</td>
<td>2014 Safety Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davis Research, LLC</td>
<td>Itracker. Quarterly phone survey about safety message.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intertrend</td>
<td>Asian-language advertising</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JD Power</td>
<td>Customer Satisfaction Survey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI Confluence</td>
<td>Develops ICAM - Public Awareness Event Tracking system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rogelio Camacho</td>
<td>Spanish language translations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensis</td>
<td>Facebook campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silverpop</td>
<td>Sends emails and provides metrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travis Research</td>
<td>Gathers survey information and prepares effectiveness studies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PAPA</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enertech</td>
<td>Provides mailing list for 1) non-customers along transmission lines inside DST, 2) affected public near compressor stations and storage fields, 3) excavators/contractors, farmers, land developers, and 4) schools.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX M. PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS

Step 1: Complete Annual Program Review (Nov./Dec)
- Collect feedback from audience owners
- Review any surveys conducted for the year (e.g., effectiveness survey, residential/business panels)
- Obtain/review dig-in report (bottom-line results)
- Determine program changes or modifications based on results
- Obtain approval for significant changes from the executive sponsor, if any
- Incorporate changes into Public Awareness Plan

Step 2: Develop Implementation Schedule (Dec./Jan.)
- Develop implementation schedule for the year
- Review implementation schedule with audience owners, incorporate any changes
- Communicate document requirements and document submission schedule
- Identify if effectiveness surveys are due for the year. If yes, incorporate into the schedule

Step 3: Implement Communications and Track (Feb.–Oct.)
- Review/revise messages, brochures and any other collateral materials based on results of the annual review/surveys
- Pre-test materials upon design or major redesign (e.g., focus groups)
- Approve final materials
- Develop contact/mailing lists for all audiences. Perform QA/QC to make sure that all stakeholders are covered
- Make determination which languages in addition to English should be communicated
- Deliver communications
- Track completed communications/activities

Step 4: Perform QA/QC* of Submitted Documentation (Oct./Nov.)
- Request and review submitted documentation for completeness/compliance with Public Awareness Plan (e.g., audience, language, message, method, frequency)
- Store documentation on PA drive
- Request any missing documents, if any
- Communicate to missed stakeholders, if any
- Review/revise documents submission processes as needed

QA/QC *= Quality Assurance/Control
**APPENDIX N. REVIEW AND REVISION LOG**

This Public Awareness Plan must be reviewed annually to assure that there has been a self-assessment of the implementation of this plan. Any *substantial* changes to this Plan will be reflected in the reviews and/or revisions log.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Revision Justification</th>
<th>Prepared By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Added review/revision log to PAP.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Added Executive Sponsor Signatory Affirmation Page (see Appendix O)</td>
<td>06/17/2013 Response to Audit Recommendations letter</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Added unique assets: Borrego Springs Liquefied Natural Gas (LNG) facility.</td>
<td>06/17/2013 Response to Audit Recommendations letter</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Added vendor’s Audience Identification Verification Process and Measurements of Reach (see Appendix I)</td>
<td>06/17/2013 Response to Audit Recommendations letter</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Added a process for addressing return and undeliverable mailings (see Appendix J)</td>
<td>06/17/2013 Response to Audit Recommendations letter</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Added an overview of PAPA programs for Emergency and Public Officials. (see Appendix G and H)</td>
<td>06/17/2013 Response to Audit Recommendations letter</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Expanded Program Evaluation section: added a process for conducting/analyzing results of recommendations arising from the audits and reviews and how it will be implemented.</td>
<td>06/17/2013 Response to Audit Recommendations letter</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Added school officials to the Identification of Stakeholder Audiences table as a stand-alone audience. Moved “school officials” from the “public officials” section to a stand-alone section.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/4/2014</td>
<td>Updated the Identification of Stakeholder Audiences table: added Customers to &quot;Non-Customers, Places of Congregation along pipeline ROW&quot; definition and split the definition into 1) Affected Public along pipeline ROW inside DST 2) Affected Public along pipeline ROW outside DST. Added new section: &quot;Affected Public along Transmission Lines Inside and Outside Distribution Service Territory&quot; to the &quot;Summary and Rational of Planned Communications by Audience&quot; section.</td>
<td>To have more targeted communications for the affected public along ROW inside and outside DST.</td>
<td>Dina Chanysheva</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Added PAPA to the Identification of Stakeholder Audiences table as an entity that also identifies Public Officials, Excavators and School Districts.

4/4/2014

Company signed up for PAPA communications for the following audiences: Public Officials since 2013, Excavators and School Districts will start to receive PAPA’s communications in 2015. [Emergency officials since 2007]

Dina Chanysheva

Added PAPA mailers as an additional way of message delivery to the Public Official, Excavator and School Officials sections

4/4/2014

Company signed up for PAPA communications for the following audiences: Public Officials since 2013, Excavators and School Districts will start to receive PAPA’s communications in 2015. [Emergency officials since 2007]

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014 Added Message Content Table by audience

To clarify required messages and frequencies by audience.

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014 Reformatted the “Summary and Rationale of Planned Communications” section: added references to Table 2. Definition of Target Audience and Table 3. Messages Content; added Delivery Methods/ Materials, Message Frequency, Records and Responsible Party table to each audience.

To clarify communication requirements by audience.

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014 Added supplemental County Emergency Response Coordinators survey. The survey to be conducted every four years at a minimum.

To evaluate Company’s County Emergency Response Coordinators communications.

Dina Chanysheva


To clearly define how we maintain relationship with emergency officials.

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014 Added explanation of why the diameter of pipelines is not provided in NPMS maps (see “Liaison with Emergency Responders” section)

n/a

Dina Chanysheva


To update names/ titles/ departments.

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014 Added Appendix L - Third-Party Vendors

To list all third-party vendors.

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014 Updated and moved “Communications Required by Targeted Audience” table to the appendix section.

Added that groups that oversee company’s major projects are responsible for creating, delivering and keeping track of communications with the affected public and public/ emergency officials.

n/a

Dina Chanysheva

4/4/2014

To clarify responsibilities.

7/27/17 response to CPUC Audit: Aliso Canyon Storage - Emergency Plan and PAP recommendations

Dina Chanysheva

8/3/2017 Removed “public” to include private schools in our communications

Valerie Lertyaovarit
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8/3/2017</td>
<td>Added Call-On requirements to Message Content for Emergency Responders</td>
<td>7/27/17 response to CPUC Audit: Aliso Canyon Storage - Emergency Plan and PAP recommendations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Per API RP 1171, storage operator should coordinate with existing pipeline public awareness plans where possible to address storage-specific communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/2017</td>
<td>Added language to satisfy requirements for CFR 192.12 Underground Natural Gas Storage Facilities and API RP 1171</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/2017</td>
<td>Deleted portion of Appendix A that includes specific names of employees, Appendix B, and Appendix N</td>
<td>Information that is outdated or no longer applies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/5/2017</td>
<td>Added language to include face-to-face meeting/briefings with fire and police department regarding liaisons with emergency responders.</td>
<td>To clarify Emergency Services responsibilities</td>
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APPENDIX O. EXECUTIVE SPONSOR SIGNATORY AFFIRMATION

INSERT SCANNED COPY OF MOST RECENT SIGNATURE HERE

This Public Awareness Plan (the Plan) has been reviewed. During the annual review of the Plan, I, the sponsoring Executive of the Plan, approved this version and with my affirmation reflect management commitment to Public Awareness outreach.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA GAS COMPANY and SAN DIEGO GAS AND ELECTRIC

By: ______________________
Jimmie Cho
Senior Vice President - Gas Operations & System Integrity
GLOSSARY

API RP 1162  American Petroleum Institute Recommended Practice 1162 – Public Awareness Programs for Pipeline Operators

Affected Public Residents/homes, business, farms, schools, or any organizations that will be affected by gas pipelines or facilities.

Baseline messages Required Public Awareness messages (depending on audience and operators) that must be communicated. Refer to Table 2-1 in API RP 1162. First Edition, December 2003

CPUC California Public Utilities Commission

DST Distribution service territory

DOT US Department of Transportation

DOT-T US Department of Transportation - Transmission

HCA High Consequence Area.

ICAM A secured web-based application developed by PI Confluence for documentation, track, and reporting.

IVR Interactive Voice Response System used by the Call Center.

PAA Public Awareness Administrator.

PAP Public Awareness Plan.

PAPA Pipeline Association for Public Awareness

PAT Public Awareness Team.

PHMSA Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

PSEP Pipeline Safety and Enhancement Plan

ROW Right-of-way.

Supplemental Messages Additional safety messages to the baseline messages. Refer to Table 2-1 in API RP 1162. First Edition, December 2003
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Removed references to ICAM (no longer used for tracking purposes) throughout document.
Deleted portion of Appendix A that includes specific names of employees, Appendix B and Appendix N because information is outdated or no longer applies.
Corrected department names throughout document.
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PREVENTION OF DAMAGE TO SUBSURFACE INSTALLATIONS

PURPOSE  To provide guidelines for protecting subsurface installations when the Company and Company Contractors perform excavation work.

1. POLICY AND SCOPE

1.1. The Company and Company Contractor personnel performing excavation/construction activities shall follow all State of California Regulations (Title 1, Division 5, Chapter 3.1, Section 4216) to prevent damage to foreign subsurface installations.

2. RESPONSIBILITIES AND QUALIFICATIONS

2.1. Distribution Regions, Transmission Districts field employees are responsible for adhering to Company procedures and shall wear appropriate personal safety equipment during any and all duties performed. Company personnel follow MANUAL ESHSD-4100, Employee Safety Handbook Rule 4100.

2.2. Distribution Regions, Transmission Districts and Company Contractors are responsible for notifying owners of buried foreign subsurface installations through USA. See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking.

2.3. Distribution Regions or Transmission Districts shall map and/or have marked out, according to Company policy, utility conflicts prior to the start of work on a construction order requiring excavation work.

2.4. Distribution Regions, Transmission Districts and Company Contractors shall request and obtain from the owners of buried foreign subsurface installations their permission (verbal or written) before using any power-operated or power-driven excavating or boring equipment (including vacuum excavating devices or pneumatic hand held tools) within 24” (inches) of a buried foreign subsurface installation to expose it (See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking, Appendix A, 4216.4.(a)).

2.5. Distribution Regions or Transmission Districts shall immediately notify a facility owner or USA of all breaks, leaks, nicks, dents, gouges, grooves, or other damages to an installation’s lines, conduits, coatings or cathodic protection during excavation activity (See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking, Appendix A, 4216.4.(c)).

2.6. Distribution Regions, Transmission Districts and Company Contractors are responsible for following this STANDARD and STANDARD G8122, Prevention of Damage to Company Facilities, to prevent damage to company facilities and other subsurface installations.
3. DEFINITIONS

3.1. “Damage” - All breaks, leaks, nicks, dents, gouges, grooves, or other damage to subsurface installation lines, conduits, coatings, or cathodic protection (See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking, Appendix A, 4216.4.(c)).

3.2. “Emergency” - A sudden, unexpected occurrence, involving a clear and imminent danger, demanding immediate action to prevent or mitigate loss of, or damage to, life, health, property, or essential public services.

3.3. “Excavation” - Any operation in which earth, rock, or other material in the ground is moved, removed, or otherwise displaced by means of tools, equipment, or explosives in any of the following ways: grading, trenching, digging, ditching, drilling, augering, tunneling, scraping, cable or pipe plowing and driving, or any other way.

3.4. “Hand Tools” - Tools and equipment that are operated solely with human power and without assistance from mechanical, electric, hydraulic, or pneumatic sources.

3.5. “High Priority Subsurface Installation” - Are high pressure natural gas pipelines with normal operating pressures greater than 60 p.s.i.g., petroleum pipelines, pressurized sewage pipelines, high voltage electrical supply lines, conductors or cables that have a potential to ground of more than 60,000 volts, or hazardous materials pipelines that are potentially hazardous to employees, or the public, if damaged (See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking, Appendix A, 4216.(e)).

3.6. “Notified Members” – Are those subsurface installation owner/operators who are members of USA and are notified by USA of an excavator’s planned excavation based upon the areas provided by these members to USA of subsurface installation boundaries. Accordingly, USA identifies to the excavator at the time of their excavation notification, all known owner/operators of subsurface installations within the boundaries of the proposed excavation project.

3.7. “Positive Response” - When subsurface installation owner/operators have responded to the USA excavation notification by locating and marking their installations, advising of the location of their installation, or advising that the owner/operator does not operate a subsurface installation that would be affected by the proposed excavation.

3.8. “Power-operated or power-driven excavating or boring equipment” - Tools and equipment operated, or assisted by, mechanical means instead of being operated solely by human effort. Examples of such mechanical means would include assistance by electric, hydraulic, and pneumatic forces. This includes tools such as, but not limited to, pneumatic clay diggers, pavement breakers, vacuum excavation devices, rock drills, etc.
3.9. “Subsurface Installation” - An underground pipeline, conduit, duct, wire, or other structure.

3.10. "USA" - An Underground Service Alert system that provides a one-call service that notifies owners/operators of underground facilities for subsurface installation markout of intended areas of excavation.

4. PROCEDURE

4.1. Company work orders, sketches or plans usually show known existing subsurface installations which may be affected by planned operations. Locations of these known facilities are verified before beginning work.

4.2. Obtain other available records to determine ownership and location of facilities not identified or shown on Company plans.

4.3. Verification of company owned facilities can be achieved by records and utilizing approved locating equipment to or from a known facility i.e. service riser, test station, Reg station, Etc.

5. NOTIFICATION

5.1. Notify USA well enough in advance (at least two working days but not more than 14 calendar days) to permit the foreign subsurface installation owner reasonable time to locate and mark the facility or advise the Company and/or contractor of the location or if there is no conflict. See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking.

5.2. Notify foreign facilities owners who are non-members of USA, such as Cal Trans, military base and off-base facilities.

6. COMPANY AND COMPANY CONTRACTOR CREW RESPONSIBILITY

6.1. Except in an emergency, the crew is responsible for verifying a positive response from all subsurface installation owner/operators who have subsurface installations within the boundaries of the planned excavation(s) before excavating. Refer to STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking, Section 4.4.

6.1.1. The employee/department requesting the USA “ticket” identification number for a Company job is to provide the Notified Members listing. This listing is given verbally by the USA Customer Service Representative when the planned excavation notification is called into USA. If the USA ticket request is called in from a different location other than where the order is to be worked (i.e., district), the employee/department making such notification...
must provide a **Notified Members** list or copy of the USA ticket obtained from the DigAlert website and attach it to the work order.

6.1.2. The DigAlert website provides a service through the internet to access a USA ticket which includes **Notified Members** for each excavation notification as well as Emergency Contact information of **Notified Members** for that USA ticket. A list of **Notified Members** needed to verify positive response can be obtained by looking up the USA ticket number on the USA (DigAlert) website:

[http://newtin.digalert.org/newtinweb/web_tkt_emer_contacts.nas](http://newtin.digalert.org/newtinweb/web_tkt_emer_contacts.nas)

**Note** The list of Notified Members is located at the bottom of the ticket.

6.1.3. USA may be notified of a “No Show” when a member listed on the USA ticket has failed to provide a positive response.

**NOTE:** CalOSHA Construction Safety Order (Title 8, Section 1541) requires that any planned non emergency excavation shall not commence until the excavator has received a positive response from all known owner/operators of subsurface installations within the boundaries of the proposed project.

6.2. When the excavation is proposed within ten (10) feet of a high priority subsurface installation, an onsite meeting involving the crew and the subsurface installation owner/operator’s representative shall be held to determine the action or activities required to verify the location of such installations before any excavation activity (See **STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking**, Appendix A, 4216.2 (a) (2)).

6.2.1. Upon arrival at a project job site where USA was notified by the Company of a planned excavation, the crew is responsible to observe for markings indicating **high priority subsurface installations** within ten (10) feet of their excavation site.

6.2.2. If the operator of a **high priority subsurface installation did not make** initial contact with the Company to set up an onsite meeting prior to the excavation start date, the crew notifies USA and requests the **high priority subsurface installation** operator’s contact information to set up a mutually agreed upon time to conduct the required field meet.

**NOTE:** No excavation activity is to start until an onsite meeting is conducted with the owner/operator of the high priority subsurface installation.

6.3. The crew is responsible for determining and verifying the location of all subsurface installations whether or not shown on drawings, maps, or prints.
6.3.1. When working near locations marked by others, consider the limitations inherent in subsurface installation locating.

6.3.2. Determine that any close parallel or crossing subsurface installation has adequate clearance before trenching or excavating.

6.3.2.1. Prior to excavating, assure all subsurface installations have been identified. Identification is determined using as many of the following methods as necessary to assure facilities have been positively identified:

- Observe signs of subsurface installations such as manhole covers, valve box covers, water meter boxes, pad mounted electrical equipment, ditch lines, pavement patches, previous locate marks, conduit or drop lines from utility poles, the absence of power poles, etc.

- Matching of USA ticket **Notified Members** list with subsurface installation marks.

- Sweep area of proposed excavation. “Sweeping” involves moving steadily across the work area with the pipe locator units in the inductive mode.

6.3.3. Hand expose any underground pipeline, conduit, duct, wire or other subsurface installation within the work area.

6.3.3.1. Pothole with hand tools at the subsurface installation location determined by the field marks of the **Notified Members**.

6.3.3.2. If the subsurface installation is not located through potholing, hand excavate a minimum of 24" from each side of the facility as marked to determine the subsurface installation location.

**NOTE:** The 24 inch minimum hand excavating requirement is measured from the edge of the subsurface installation as indicated by the owner/operator. For example, if a 16-inch water line is marked it is assumed that the mark indicates the centerline of the 16-inch diameter water line. To determine the hand excavation zone, you would measure 8 inches from the paint mark to determine the edge of the water line and then add 24 inches from the pipe edge as measured to determine the total area to expose with hand tools or 32 inches (8” + 24”) on either side of the mark.
6.3.3.3. If the subsurface installation still cannot be located, request the involved owner/operator to provide additional information.

6.3.4. Power-operated excavating equipment may be used in conjunction with hand tools to determine the exact location of foreign and Company owned subsurface installations provided that:

6.3.4.1. For foreign substructure installations, a mutual agreement (verbal or written) is obtained by the Company or Company Contractor from each subsurface installation owner/operator that has a subsurface installation within 24” of the planned excavation.

**NOTE:** For verbal mutual agreements with foreign subsurface installation owners, note on the work order, name of the owner/operator, owner/operator’s representative, date, and any special instructions given at the time permission is granted to use power-operated excavating equipment to expose their facilities.

6.3.4.2. For Company owned subsurface installations, clearance is verified with hand tools over the top of the Company substructure to a depth not to be exceeded with the power-operated excavating equipment for the area to be excavated. This layered excavating method shall continue along the top, sides and bottom of the company substructure. For example, when excavating over a Company gas pipeline using the aid of a backhoe, verify with hand tools no pipeline conflict, including any attachments, for the depth of soil to be removed by the backhoe in the excavation site. The top and sides of the pipe are exposed with this methodical soil cut removal process illustrated in Figure 1. Soil under the pipe is removed using hand tools to expose the bottom of the pipe.
6.3.5. If conditions such as hard earth necessitate the use of power-operated equipment (including tools such as, but not limited to, pneumatic clay diggers, pavement breakers, vacuum excavation devices, rock drills, etc.) within 24” of the marked horizontal path of the foreign subsurface installation, a mutual agreement (verbal or written) must be obtained from the subsurface installation owner/operator before such power equipment is used. In addition to obtaining permission to use power-operated equipment to remove hard earth always consider the inherent inaccuracies in electronic locating, the size and material of the foreign subsurface installation, and the potential force that can be applied by power-operated digging tools such as clay diggers.

- If possible, position pothole so access is from one side of the facility as well as over the top. This improves the ability to safely dig because once the wanted depth is achieved; excavator control of gradual soil or backfill removal is improved.

- The harder the soil the lesser the blade “bite” that should be applied. Use “shaving” techniques to further assist in avoiding damage.

- Apply digging blades parallel to the run of the foreign subsurface installation.

- As practical, use downward blade angles that slope away from suspected foreign subsurface installation locations.

**NOTE:** It is a State of California Regulation requirement to provide documented notice to subsurface installation owner/operators of the intent to use vacuum excavation devices, or power-operated or power driven excavating or boring equipment within 24” (inches) of the subsurface installation to expose its exact location. When notification to USA is made, USA will indicate on the USA ticket the excavator’s intent to use vacuum if that information is provided by the excavator at the time notification is made to USA. This notation on the USA ticket satisfies the “documented notice” requirement of 4216.4.(a). Otherwise, another form of documentation such as a fax to the subsurface installation owner/operator will be needed to comply with this requirement.

6.3.6. When slurry or concrete encased foreign subsurface installations are encountered preventing access to Company facilities from directly over the top, contact supervision to determine one of the following:

- Expose both edges of the encasement and excavate either side to access Company facilities from underneath if possible.
6.3.7. When non-pressurized sewer lines, non-pressurized storm drains or other non-pressurized drain lines are involved, determine location prior to excavation.

6.3.8. Use the following guidelines when non-pressurized sewer lines, non-pressurized storm drains, or other non-pressurized drain lines are involved.

6.3.8.1. Use reasonable resources to determine the location of sewer and drain lines in public and private property. Resources include but are not limited to:

- City “as built” drawings,
- Installation plans,
- Permit applications,
- Physical evidence such as curb markings, trench lines, direction and depth at street openings, cleanouts and exposed piping,
- Potholing and probing,
- Plumbing contractor (with Closed Circuit TV and locating capabilities).

6.3.9. All sewer laterals must be located, marked and those found closely paralleling or crossing the horizontal bore path are exposed or excavated to the depth of the trenchless construction method used to ensure these facilities are not damaged and that adequate clearance is maintained during installation (see STANDARD D8305, Trenchless Constructions Methods, Section 4.10.4).

**NOTE:** If trenchless construction methods are used for main/or service installation, indicate on the main and/or service as-built that each sewer lateral was cleared of conflict per STANDARD D8305, Trenchless Constructions Methods, Section 4.10.4 by completing the Sewer Crossing Verification Form (See STANDARD G7505, General Procedures for Field As-Builts) and writing “SCLrd” on the as-built for each address/lot shown.

6.3.10. When installing polyethylene (PE) pipe using trenchless construction methods and a location conflict exists with sewer or drain lines or the
positive location and depth of a sewer or drain line cannot be determined, trenchless construction methods shall not be used. Consider one or more of the following options:

- Open trench,
- Installation of steel pipe,
- Change in main or service location,
- Inserting PE pipe.

6.3.11. Consider the following factors when evaluating the above options.

- Soil conditions,
- Paving conditions and repair costs,
- Existing landscaping,
- Local, municipal and State requirements,
- Conditions inherent to a particular job.

6.4. Determine that all closely parallel or crossing subsurface installations have adequate clearance before mechanically boring or trenching. See STANDARD D7241, Direct Burial of Polyethylene.

6.5. Do not deliberately cut subsurface installations to expedite installation of Company facilities unless they are verified to be abandoned and prior approval is obtained for their removal.

6.6. If damage is discovered or caused during excavation to a subsurface installation, including all breaks, leaks, nicks, dents, gouges, grooves, or other damage to subsurface installation lines, conduits, coatings, or cathodic protection, crew shall immediately notify the subsurface installation operator. USA may be contacted to obtain the contact information of the subsurface installation operator. If a high priority subsurface installation is damaged and the operator cannot be contacted, Company or Company Contractor shall call 911 emergency services (See STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking, Appendix A, 4216.4.(c)).

NOTE: Federal Law, 49 U.S.C. Chapter 601, Section 60114 (d)(3), requires anyone causing damage to a pipeline facility transporting flammable, toxic or corrosive natural gas, petroleum or petroleum product resulting in a leak that

6.7.1. After breaking the pavement to within 3 feet of the traffic sensor wire, the remaining pavement shall be broken to within 2 feet with a jack hammer or clay digger (see Figure 2).

6.7.2. Digging under the sensor wires may be done by hand or with a backhoe, making sure the traffic sensor marking is in plain view of the digging equipment operator at times.

6.7.3. If possible, leave 1 foot of soil beneath the traffic sensor wire to help support the pavement (see Figure 3).

6.7.4. When backfilling, compact the soil under the sensor to prevent it from sinking or breaking away from the pavement upon settling.

Note: 1. All shaded areas
2. Break pavement with a jack hammer or clay digger
3. Dirt may be removed by hand or backhoe

![Diagram of typical profile with notes]

**Note:** 1 foot thick native earth support for plugs.

7. COMMUNICATION AND JOB HANDOFF

7.1. When a project or activity handoff occurs between multiple inspectors or pipeline crews that are involved with the excavation or backfilling operations, caution shall be taken to ensure that proper knowledge transfer has taken place before any activities over the pipeline commence. Knowledge transfer between Operators, Foreman, Inspectors, Employees, and Supervisors that are on site during construction should include but shall not be limited to the following:

- Conducting a meeting and a site walk to discuss the proposed excavating or backfilling activities taking place
- Conducting a complete review of the construction drawings
- Reviewing the construction notes
- Ensuring all company facilities and all subsurface installations are marked within the work site. See **STANDARD G8123, Underground Service Alert and Temporary Marking.**
- Potholing or probing any substructures that cannot be verified in the field per the drawings

8. COMPANY and COMPANY CONTRACTOR DAMAGES

8.1. When any foreign subsurface installation is damaged by Company or Company Contractor crews, report on Company **Claims Management Form, Public Personal Injury and Property Damage Report.**
9. OPERATOR QUALIFICATION COVERED TASKS

Not Applicable

10. RECORDS

10.1. Not Applicable
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