COMMENTS OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY ON DECEMBER 5, 2022 WORKSHOP ON ENVISTA FORENSIC'S FINAL ROOT CAUSE ANALYSES REPORT

Dated: December 16, 2022

At the request of the California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC) staff, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) offers the following comments on the December 5, 2022 workshop on the Final Root Cause Analyses Report from Envista Forensics, Inc. (Envista). We greatly appreciate the engagement in this workshop, which included over 50 participants as well as the Commission President and all four Commissioners.

As we discussed at the workshop and in our comments on the final Envista report, we have undertaken significant fire mitigation efforts since the 2017 and 2018 fire seasons and have substantially reduced the wildfire risk in our service territory. Additionally, we are continually refining our existing programs and creating new programs to combat the increasing climate-driven fire risk throughout California. We are firmly committed to our stand that catastrophic wildfires shall stop. To this end, we have implemented all of the applicable recommendations in the Envista report or explained how our efforts over the intervening years have rendered them no longer necessary or appropriate at this stage. In particular, our comments on the final report provide detailed responses to 13 of the 19 recommendations and clarify that the remaining six recommendations either required no follow up or were not directed at PG&E.¹

Despite our progress, we understand we must continue to work to drive down our wildfire risk. Therefore, at the request of Commission staff, we developed a portfolio of proposed corrective actions, and accompanying proposed funding, which are identified in the table below. These proposed corrective actions incorporate items identified by Commission staff, actions proposed in our comments on the final Envista report, and ideas that emanated from the public workshop based on question from the Commissioners and Commission President.. We offer the following proposals for the Commission's consideration in developing a resolution setting out a budget and specific corrective actions, pursuant to Decision (D.) 20-05-019. As can be seen from our proposals, we believe the most beneficial way to spend the money allocated by

-

¹ Comments of PG&E on Envista Final Root Cause Analyses Report, pp. 2-17.

D.20-05-019 is by dedicating a significant portion of these funds to improving and hardening our electric facilities.

Responsible Party	Corrective Action	Considerations	Proposed Spend
CPUC	Increase funding and scope of System Enhancement Initiative 20 (SEI-20) to evaluate PG&E three-wire infrastructure	 Include identification of best mitigation of downed conductor, dry lightning storms Suggest recommendations include implementation recommendations and cost 	To be discussed in early 2023
CPUC	Require robust risk models of PG&E assets and operational portfolio – include an independent model validation process	 Suggest establishment of program at a University of California system school to develop assessment capabilities to be used statewide Group would be able to perform independent assessments of all IOU risk models 	\$5M - \$10M
CPUC	Independent review of PG&E Records Management process.	 In lieu of an independent review, focus on tangible improvements to PG&E records Collection and processing of LiDAR data to improve the spatial location and inventory of electric transmission, substation, and distribution towers, poles and equipment Correction of critical asset data gaps through historical records research and field inventory (e.g., missing/erroneous pole install dates) 	\$15M - \$20M
PG&E	Conductor replacement	 Replacement of deteriorated bare conductors with covered conductors in HFTD. Also, this incrementally addresses ignitions (conductors and splices are the highest driver), public safety, and reliability risk in HFTD areas 	\$20M - \$25M
PG&E	Installation of gang operated protective	Ganged protective device operation reduces the possibility	\$10M - \$15M

	daviaga in mlaga of		for an anaizad haals food	
	devices in place of		for energized back feed	
	existing fuses allow all		conditions by de-energizing all	
	phases to be de-		phases where line to line	
	energized upon		transformers may contribute to	
	detection of a fault on		back feed high-impedance fault	
	any individual phase		conditions	
		•	While fuse removal	
			accomplishes the goal of	
			reducing back feed potential, the	
			replacement of fuses with a new	
			protective device has additional	
			primary benefits:	
			o Replacing the fuse with a	
			ganged protective device	
			allows for coordination	
			with upstream protective	
			devices such that outages	
			continue to be localized	
			with the fewest	
			customers impacted	
			During non-EPSS conditions	
			_	
			reclosing for the protective zone	
			is available to mitigate transient	
DCCE	D 1 1 C 1		faults	Φ.5.) <i>(</i>
PG&E	Deploy early fault	•	Reduces / eliminate fault	\$5M -
	detection on 60 - 70		duration (eliminate back-feed	\$10M
	kV transmission lines		potential)	
		•	Additionally addresses	
			Commission concern with	
			reliability	
			Total	\$63M

We appreciate the opportunity to provide comments on the December 6, 2022, workshop and offer proposals for how the funds identified in Decision 20-05-019 could effectively be used. As can be seen from our comments on the final Envista report and our presentation at the workshop, we have taken seriously Envista's recommendations and worked diligently to overhaul our wildfire mitigation efforts in the wake of the 2017 and 2018 fires. We look forward to continuing to partner with the Commission on this important work as we understand there is much still to be done.