

DEMAND ANALYSIS WORKING GROUP (DAWG)

DAWG Energy Savings Pup - EPUC EE Potential - Measure List

Monday, August 29, 2016

10:00 am – 3:15 pm

<https://van.webex.com/van/j.php?MTID=m502852cc75aedd48ad43049ed058040c>

Meeting number: 745 806 128

Meeting password: !Energy1

Join by phone

Call-in: 866 630 0288

Participant Code: 6802885

This meeting will cover Navigant Consulting's measure selection process as part of the CPUC 2018 & Beyond Energy Efficiency Potential Goals Study and discuss a proposed list of selected measures.

The workshop will describe Navigant's approach for arriving at a list of selected energy efficiency measures for all sectors, starting out with a universal measure list. It will present all data sources considered for developing the universal list and describe the criteria for selecting a subset of measures from this list.

Agenda:

Duration	Topic
10:00 - 10:20	Introduction and Meeting Objectives
10:20 - 10:50	Residential and Commercial - Measure Selection Process Overview - Measure Characterization Data Sources
10:50 – 11:00	Break
11:00 - 12:00	Res/Com Measures Database Review
12:00 - 1:00	Lunch Break
1:00 - 1:30	Agriculture, Industrial, Mining, Streetlighting (AIMS) - Measure Selection Process Overview - Measure Characterization Data Sources
1:30 – 2:00	AIMS Measures Database Review
2:00 – 2:10	Break
2:10 – 2:50	AIMS Measures Database Review (cont.)
2:50 - 3:15	Next Steps and Closing

Request for Stakeholder Preparation Prior to the Workshop

Navigant has made available a list of measures for stakeholders to review. **We request stakeholders review the list prior to the workshop and come prepared with preliminary comments to discuss.** The list contains two set of measures, those recommended to be included in the potential study and those considered but not included. Stakeholders should consider the following questions during their review:

- Is the recommended measure list capturing high impact measures from of current programs?
- Is the recommended measure list appropriately capturing major future opportunities?
- Are there technologies that should be removed from the recommended list (i.e. no longer relevant)?
- Are there technologies that should be added to the recommended list? If so why?

Please send additional informal comments by COB 09/09/2016 to:

Paula Gruending: paula.gruending@cpuc.ca.gov

Amul Sathe: amul.sathe@navigant.com

Chris Ann Dickerson: cadickerson@cadconsulting.biz