PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
505 VAN NESS AVENUE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

October 4, 2013
Thomas Scanlan
CPUCID: CA2013-010
Senior Manager of Construction
Time Warner Cable
8949 Ware Court
San Diego, CA 92121

SUBJECT: CIP Audit of Time Warner Cable ("TWC")
Dear Mr. Scanlan:
On behalf of the Electric Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities
Commission, Derek Fong and Zelalem Ewnetu of my staff conducted an audit of TWC from September 9, 2013 to September 13, 2013. The audit included a review of TWC's records and field inspections of TWC's facilities.

During the audit, we identified violations of General Orders (GO) 95. A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. Please advise me no later than November 15, 2013, by electronic or hard copy, of all corrective measures taken by TWC to remedy and prevent such violations.

If you have any questions, you can contact Derek Fong at (213) 576-6850 or derek.fong@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Raymond Fugere, P.E.
Program and Project Supervisor
Electric Safety and Reliability Branch
Safety and Enforcement Division
Enclosure: Audit Summary
Cc: Elizaveta Malashenko, Deputy Director, Safety and Enforcement Division Fadi Daye, P.E., Senior Utilities Engineer Supervisor, CPUC - LA

## AUDIT SUMMARY

| 1. | Location: | Pole No. P833996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC Visit Details: | 6/25/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Inadequate Clearanc <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: <br> The radial drop condu and 9; Colu feet of the p further redu | e between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop <br> Clearances between Conductors, States in part: <br> learance between communication service drop conductors and supply service ctors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 mn D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 oint of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be ced but shall be not less than 12 inches. |

A TWC service drop and an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than 15 feet from the point of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

| Location: | Pole No. P833933 |
| ---: | ---: |
| Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $2 / 28 / 2013$ |
| Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |
| Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
| TWC Service Drop Wrapped Around Communications Service Drop |  |

GO 95, Rule 38: Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States in part:
The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table $2 \ldots$

A TWC service drop had less than the minimum required radial separation of 3 inches from a communications cable. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.

## 3. Location: Pole No. P833931

| Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $1 / 22 / 2013$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |

## Explanation of Violation(s):

## Inadequate Clearance between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: Clearances between Conductors, States in part:
The radial clearance between communication service drop conductors and supply service drop conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 and 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 feet of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches.

A TWC service drop and an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than 15 feet from the point of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

| 4. | Location: | Pole No. P833929 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC Visit Details: | 5/28/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Broken Lashing Wire |  |

GO 95, Rule 31.1: Design, Construction, and Maintenance, States in part:
For all particulars not specified in General Order 95, a supply or communications company is in compliance with this rule if it designs, constructs and maintains a facility in accordance with accepted good practice for the intended use and known local conditions.

A TWC lashing wire was broken. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.

| 5. | Location: | Pole No. P833928 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $5 / 28 / 2013$ |
|  | Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |

## Explanation of Violation(s):

## Insufficient Clearance of TWC Service Drop Above a Vehicle-Accessible Area

GO 95, Rule 84.8-C2b: Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States:
Residential Premises: Over residential driveways, lanes or over property accessible to vehicles, service drops shall not be less than 12 feet. EXCEPTION: If the building served does not permit an attachment which will provide this 12 foot clearance without the installation of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible, but in no case less than 10 feet.

A TWC service drop had less than the minimum required clearance of 10 feet above an area that is accessible to vehicles. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.

## 6.

Location: Pole No. P833919

| Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $8 / 30 / 2013$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |

## Explanation of Violation(s):

## Inadequate Clearance between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: Clearances between Conductors, States in part:
The radial clearance between communication service drop conductors and supply service drop conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 and 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 feet of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches.

A TWC service drop and an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than 15 feet from the point of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.
7. Location: Pole No. P833902

| Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $11 / 05 / 2012$ |
| ---: | :--- |
| Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |

## Explanation of Violation(s):

## Inadequate Clearance between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: Clearances between Conductors, States in part:
The radial clearance between communication service drop conductors and supply service drop conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 and 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 feet of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches.

A TWC service drop and an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 1 foot radial clearance within 15 feet from the point of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

## Insufficient Clearance of TWC Service Drop Above a Pedestrian-Accessible Area

GO 95, Rule 84.8-C3b: Above Ground in Areas Accessible to Pedestrians Only, States:
Residential Premises: Over areas accessible to pedestrians only, the vertical clearance shall not be less than 10 feet. EXCEPTION: If the building served does not permit an attachment which will provide this 10 foot clearance without the installation of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible but in no case less than 8 feet 6 inches.

A TWC service drop had less than the minimum required clearance of 8 feet, 6 inches above an area that is only accessible to pedestrians. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.

| 8. | Location: | Pole No. P932157J |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $1 / 2 / 2013$ |
|  | Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |

## Explanation of Violation(s):

## Inadequate Clearance between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: Clearances between Conductors, States in part:
The radial clearance between communication service drop conductors and supply service drop conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 and 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 feet of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches.

A TWC service drop and an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than 15 feet from the point of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

| Location: | Pole No. P833973 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $9 / 17 / 2012$ |
| Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |
| Explanation of Violation(s): |  |

## TWC Down Guy Wire Grounded by a TWC Messenger Wire

GO 95, Rule 86.6-B2: Guys in Proximity, States in part:
Guys in Proximity: Every overhead or anchor guy, any portion of which is in proximity to a wood pole and supply conductors of $0-35,500$ volts (see Appendix G, Figures 45, 48 and 49) shall be sectionalized by means of insulators as specified in Rule 86.7-A2 or Rule 86.7-B, and no portion in proximity to such supply conductors shall be grounded.

A TWC down guy wire was not sectionalized (the guy wire was grounded by connection to a TWC messenger wire). This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

| 10. | Location: | Pole No. P833974 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 3/21/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viola <br> Damaged Ground M <br> GO 95, Rule 84.6-B: <br> Ground wires, <br> wires on gr <br> wood or me <br> above ground <br> distance be <br> A section of a TWC g addressed when TWC | tion(s): <br> olding <br> Ground Wires, States in part: <br> es, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground ounded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of tal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8-A, for a distance nd sufficient to protect against mechanical injury, but in no case shall less than 7 feet. <br> ound wire did not have a ground molding. The violation was not noted or last inspected the pole. |
| 11. | Location: | Pole No. P833975 |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 6/24/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viola <br> Inadequate Clearance <br> GO 95, Rule 87.4-C3 <br> Cables or <br> shall not be <br> EXCEPTIO <br> below supp <br> messenger <br> 87.7-B. <br> A TWC cable, which vertical clearance of 6 addressed when TWC | tion(s): <br> e Between TWC Cable and SDG\&E Overhead Secondary Conductor <br> Between Conductors and Cables, States in part: <br> essengers where attached to the surface of poles which support conductors, less than 6 feet vertically below the level of supply conductors. <br> N: This minimum clearance of 6 feet may be reduced to not less than 4 feet y conductors of $0-750$ volts provided a guard arm is placed above the and cable (or self-supporting cable) in accordance with the provisions of Rule <br> did not have a guard arm placed above it, had less than the minimum required feet from an SDG\&E secondary conductor. The violation was not noted or last inspected the pole. |

## Inadequate Clearance between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: Clearances between Conductors, States in part:
The radial clearance between communication service drop conductors and supply service drop conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 and 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 feet of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches.

A TWC service drop and an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than 15 feet from the point of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

## TWC Service Drop Contacting Communications Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 38: Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States in part:

The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table $2 . .$.

A TWC service drop had less than a 3 inch radial separation from a communications cable. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.


| 13. | Location: | Pole No. P833983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 12/13/2012 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viola Insufficient Clearance GO 95, Rule 84.8-C2b <br> Residential vehicles, se does not per installation in no case l <br> A TWC service drop h accessible to vehicles. | ion(s): <br> e of TWC Service Drop Above a Vehicle-Accessible Area <br> : Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States: <br> Premises: Over residential driveways, lanes or over property accessible to vice drops shall not be less than 12 feet. EXCEPTION: If the building served mit an attachment which will provide this 12 foot clearance without the of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible, but ss than 10 feet. <br> ad less than the minimum required clearance of 10 feet above an area that is The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole. |
| 14. | Location: | Pole No. P833988 |
|  | Previous TWC Visit Details: | 5/17/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Inadequate Clearanc <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: <br> The radial <br> service drop <br> Cases 4 and <br> within 15 feet <br> clearance may <br> A TWC service drop a 15 feet from the point addressed when TWC | between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop <br> Clearances between Conductors, States in part: <br> learance between communication service drop conductors and supply conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this ay be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches. <br> nd an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or last visited the pole. |


| 15. | Location: | Pole No. P932057 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 11/30/2012 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viola <br> Inadequate Clearanc <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-C1: <br> Above Publi <br> EXCEPTIO <br> clearance may <br> A TWC service drop h curb line. This violatio | ion(s): <br> of TWC Service Drop Above Curb Line <br> Clearances above Ground and Buildings, States in part: <br> c Thoroughfares: Vertical clearance shall not be less than 18 feet. <br> : Not more than 12 feet horizontally from the curb line, the 18 foot ay be gradually reduced to not less than 16 feet at the curb line. <br> ad less than the minimum required vertical clearance of 16 feet above the n was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole. |
| 16. | Location: | Pole No. P932063 |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 2/6/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Broken Lashing Wire <br> GO 95, Rule 31.1: Des <br> For all parti company is in accordan conditions. <br> A TWC lashing wire inspected the pole. | ign, Construction, and Maintenance, States in part: <br> culars not specified in General Order 95, a supply or communications in compliance with this rule if it designs, constructs and maintains a facility ce with accepted good practice for the intended use and known local <br> was broken. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last |


| 17. | Location: | Pole No. P479492 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 2/12/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viol <br> Inadequate Clearance <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4 <br> The radial <br> service drop <br> Cases 4 and <br> within 15 feet <br> clearance <br> A TWC service drop 15 feet from the point addressed when TWC | ion(s): <br> e between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop <br> Clearances between Conductors, States in part: <br> learance between communication service drop conductors and supply conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where et of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this ay be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches. <br> nd an SDG\&E service drop had less than a 2 foot radial clearance more than of attachment of either service drop. This violation was not noted or last visited the pole. |
| 18. | Location: | Pole No. P479482 |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 2/12/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Damaged Ground M <br> GO 95, Rule 84.6-B: <br> Ground wires <br> wires on grour <br> wood or meta <br> above ground <br> distance be <br> A section of a TWC gro addressed when TWC | olding <br> Ground Wires, States in part: <br> es, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground unded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of tal, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8-A, for a distance d sufficient to protect against mechanical injury, but in no case shall less than 7 feet. <br> round wire did not have ground molding. The violation was not noted or last inspected the pole. |


| 19. | Location: | Pole No. P479481 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 4/18/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viola <br> Insufficient Clearance <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-C2b <br> Residential <br> vehicles, se <br> does not perm <br> installation <br> in no case <br> A TWC service drop accessible to vehicles | ion(s): <br> e of TWC Service Drop Above a Vehicle-Accessible Area <br> : Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States: <br> Premises: Over residential driveways, lanes or over property accessible to vice drops shall not be less than 12 feet. EXCEPTION: If the building served mit an attachment which will provide this 12 foot clearance without the of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible, but ess than 10 feet. <br> ad less than the minimum required clearance of 10 feet above an area that is The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole. |
| 20. | Location: | Pole No. P479478 |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 6/11/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Damaged Ground M <br> GO 95, Rule 84.6-B: <br> Ground wir <br> wires on grour <br> wood or me <br> above grou <br> distance be <br> A TWC ground moldi inspected the pole. | olding <br> Ground Wires, States in part: <br> s, other than lightning protection wires not attached to equipment or ground unded structures, shall be covered by metal pipe or suitable covering of al, or of plastic conduit material as specified in Rule 22.8-A, for a distance d sufficient to protect against mechanical injury, but in no case shall less than 7 feet. <br> g was damaged. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last |



| 22. | Location: | Pole No. P479233 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $11 / 30 / 2012$ |
|  | Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
| Communications Cable in TWC Climbing Space <br> GO 95, Rule 18-A1c: Resolution of Safety Hazards and General Order 95 Nonconformances, States <br> in part: |  |  |
| Where a communications company's or an electric utility' actions results in GO <br> nonconformances for another entity, that entity's remedial action will be to transmit a <br> single documented notice of identified nonconformances to the communications company <br> or electric utility for compliance. |  |  |
| addressed when TWC last inspected the pole. Furthermore, TWC did not notify the not noted or <br> communications company of the identified nonconformance. |  |  |


| 23. | Location: | Pole No. P479243 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $8 / 27 / 2013$ |
| Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 10 / 2013$ |  |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
| TWC Service Drop Contacting Communications Service Drop |  |  |
| GO 95, Rule 38: Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States in part: |  |  |
| A TWC service drop was resting on top of a communications service drop. The violation was not the values given in Table $2 \ldots$ |  |  |
| noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole. |  |  |


| 24. | Location: | Pole No. P479237 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 9/3/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | TWC Service Drop <br> GO 95, Rule 38: Min <br> The minimu <br> not be less <br> A TWC service drop communications cabl pole. | Wrapped Around Communications Service Drop <br> mum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States in part: <br> $m$ vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall han the values given in Table $2 \ldots$ <br> ad less than the minimum required radial separation of 3 inches from a . The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the |


| 25. | Location: | Pole No. P479245 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 2/16/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | Insufficient Clearanc <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-C2b <br> Residential vehicles, servic does not per installation in no case les | e of TWC Service Drop Above a Vehicle-Accessible Area <br> : Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States: <br> Premises: Over residential driveways, lanes or over property accessible to vice drops shall not be less than 12 feet. EXCEPTION: If the building served mit an attachment which will provide this 12 foot clearance without the of a structure on the building, the clearance shall be as great as possible, but less than 10 feet. |

A TWC service drop had less than the minimum required clearance of 10 feet above an area that is accessible to vehicles. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.


| 28. | Location: | Pole No. PA4460 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 2/20/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/10/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Viola <br> Insufficient Clearan <br> GO 95, Rule 84.8-C4: <br> Service drop <br> specified vertic <br> than the one <br> than 2 feet <br> A TWC service drop TWC last inspected th | ion(s): <br> e of TWC Service Drop Above a Patio <br> Clearances Above Ground and Buildings, States in part: <br> s are not required to clear the roofs of buildings on the premises served any tical distance. The vertical clearance above buildings on premises other being served shall not be less than 8 feet, except that a reduction to not less is permitted under either of the following conditions ... <br> was resting on top of a patio. The violation was not noted or addressed when pole. |
| 29. | Location: | Pole No. P830956 |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | 5/17/2013 |
|  | Date of CPUC Inspection: | 9/11/2013 |
|  | Explanation of Violation(s): |  |
|  | TWC Service Drop <br> GO 95, Rule 38: Mini <br> The minimu <br> not be less <br> A TWC service drop communications cable pole. | ontacting a Communications Service Drop <br> mum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States in part: <br> $m$ vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall han the values given in Table $2 . .$. <br> ad less than the minimum required radial separation of 3 inches from a The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the |




## TWC Service Drop Contacting a Communications Service Drop

GO 95, Rule 38: Minimum Clearances of Wires from Other Wires, States in part:
The minimum vertical, horizontal or radial clearances of wires from other wires shall not be less than the values given in Table $2 .$.

A TWC service drop had less than the minimum required radial separation of 3 inches from a communications cable. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.

Inadequate Clearance between TWC Service Drop and SDG\&E Service Drop
GO 95, Rule 84.8-D4: Clearances between Conductors, States in part:

The radial clearance between communication service drop conductors and supply service drop conductors may be less than 48 inches as specified in Table 2, Column C, Cases 4 and 9; Column D, Cases 3 and 8, but shall be not less than 24 inches. Where within 15 feet of the point of attachment of either service drop on a building, this clearance may be further reduced but shall be not less than 12 inches.

A TWC service drop was in contact with an SDG\&E service drop. This violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last visited the pole.

| 32. | Location: | Pole No. P222757 |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $6 / 24 / 2013$ |  |
|  | Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 11 / 2013$ |  |
| Explanation of Violation(s): |  |  |  |
| TWC Riser Not Securely Attached to the Pole |  |  |  |

GO 95, Rule 84.6-F: Protective Covering, States in part:
Protective covering shall be attached to poles, crossarms and structures by means of corrosion-resistant straps, lags or staples which are adequate to maintain such covering in a fixed position.

A TWC riser was not securely attached to the pole. The violation was not noted or addressed when TWC last inspected the pole.

| 33. | Location: | Pole No. P836634 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | Previous TWC <br> Visit Details: | $6 / 24 / 2013$ |
|  | Date of CPUC <br> Inspection: | $9 / 11 / 2013$ |

