

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE

SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



January 12, 2011

Mr. Glenn Reddick. P.E.  
Operations  
Hercules Municipal Utility  
111 Civic Drive  
Hercules, CA 94547

CPUC File No. EA2010-22

**SUBJECT:** General Order 95, 128, & 165 Audit of Hercules Municipal Utility

Dear Mr. Reddick

On behalf of the Utility Safety and Reliability Branch of the California Public Utilities Commission, Kenneth How and I conducted an audit of Hercules Municipal Utility electrical distribution systems on July 19 & 20, 2010. The audit included a review of Hercules Municipal Utility's maintenance program for compliance with General Orders 95 and 128.

During the audit, we identified violations of one or more General Orders. A copy of the audit summary itemizing the violations is enclosed. By February 11, 2011 advise me of all corrective measures planned or taken by Hercules Municipal Utility regarding the violation(s) and the date on which they are to be corrected or were corrected.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 703-1555.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "George E. Carter".

George E. Carter  
Utilities Engineer  
Utilities Safety and Reliability Branch  
Consumer Protection and Safety Division

Enclosure: Appendix A (2 pgs)

Copy:

Christopher Lee – Hercules Municipal Utility

City of Hercules Municipal Utility

**APPENDIX A**

This section lists the GO 128 violations that were identified during the field portion of the audit. The locations that were audited were recently inspected by Hercules Municipal Utility. If the violations contained in this section were present during last inspection the violations should have been either identified for corrective action or corrected during the inspections.

1.	<b>Location:</b>	26 Alfred Noble Dr (Howard Industries) Hercules, CA
	<b>Previous Utility Visit Details:</b>	XXX
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	July 19/20, 2010
<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b>		
GO 128, Rule 34.3(B):  This rule states:  <i>The equipment case or enclosure shall be secured in place and be of sufficient strength to resist entrance or damage to the equipment by unauthorized persons.</i>  The Pad Mount Transformer was not bolted to the concrete foundation.		

2.	<b>Location:</b>	SSEE, J-4000 1625 Sycamore Ave Hercules, CA
	<b>Previous Utility Visit Details:</b>	XXX
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	July 19/20, 2010
	<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b> GO 128, Rule 34.3(B):  This rule states:  <i>The equipment case or enclosure shall be secured in place and be of sufficient strength to resist entrance or damage to the equipment by unauthorized persons.</i>  The Pad Mount Transformer was not bolted to the concrete foundation.	

3.	<b>Location:</b>	PM, T-4003 111 Civic Dr Hercules, CA
	<b>Previous Utility Visit Details:</b>	XXX
	<b>Date of CPUC Inspection:</b>	July 19/20, 2010
	<b>Explanation of Violation(s):</b> GO 128, Rule 34.3(B):  This rule states:  <i>The equipment case or enclosure shall be secured in place and be of sufficient strength to resist entrance or damage to the equipment by unauthorized persons.</i>  The Pad Mount Transformer was not bolted to the concrete foundation.	