PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 505 VAN NESS AVENUE SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298

November 22, 2019



GI-2019-07-PGE-90

Ms. Christine Cowsert, Vice President Pacific Gas and Electric Company Gas Asset Management and System Operations 6121 Bollinger Canyon Road San Ramon, CA 94583

SUBJECT: SED's Closure Letter for General Order 112-F Gas Inspection of PG&E's Bay Area East Transmission

Dear Ms. Cowsert:

The Safety and Enforcement Division (SED) of the California Public Utilities Commission reviewed Pacific Gas & Electric Company's (PG&E) response letter dated October 11, 2019 for the findings identified during the General Order 112-F inspection of PG&E's Bay Area East Transmission which included the transmission assets in PG&E's East Bay, Mission and Diablo Divisions. The inspection was conducted between July 8-12 and 15-19, 2019.

Included is SED's evaluation of PG&E's response taken for each identified Violation and Area of Concern/ Recommendation.

This letter serves as the official closure of the 2019 GO 112-F Inspection of PG&E's Bay Area East Transmission and any matters that are being recommended for enforcement will be processed through the Commission's Citation Program or a formal proceeding.

Thank you for your cooperation in this inspection. If you have any questions, please contact Sikandar Khatri at (415) 703-2565 or by email at Sikandar.Khatri@cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Termis Lee

Dennis Lee, P.E. Program and Project Supervisor Gas Safety and Reliability Branch Safety and Enforcement Division

cc: Susie Richmond, PG&E Vincent Tanguay, PG&E Claudia Almengor, SED SED's Conclusions on PG&E's responses to violations and concerns/recommendation are as under. The numbers referred here for violations and concerns/recommendation refers to those in PG&E's response to this inspection.

SED's CONCLUSIONS:

Unsatisfactory Results (#1):

- *Violation:* SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and has opted not to impose a fine or penalty at this time.
- *Concerns/Recommendations*: SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concerns.

Unsatisfactory Results (#2):

SED has reviewed the response (Main Line Valve, MLV 181.42, SAP WM number 41438566) from PG&E and has opted not to impose a fine or penalty at this time. SED has reviewed the responses for other items and accepted the provided explanation.

Unsatisfactory Results (#3):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and has opted not to impose a fine or penalty at this time.

Unsatisfactory Results (#4):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and has opted not to impose a fine or penalty at this time.

Unsatisfactory Results (#5):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and has opted not to impose a fine or penalty at this time.

Unsatisfactory Results (#6a):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and accepted the same.

Unsatisfactory Results (#6b):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and accepted the same.

Unsatisfactory Results (#7):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and has opted not to impose a fine or penalty at this time.

Concern (#1):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#2):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern. SED may opt to review the results of the audit initiated by PG&E in next future SED audits.

Concern (#3):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#4):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#5):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#6a):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#6b):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern for the examples mentioned in PG&E's response. However, the records for not updated for construction projects 31282195 and 74004041, and in general PG&E is lacking in keeping up with the update of records. A prompt action is needed to keep all records up-to-date for availability to operating personnel and for inspection purposes.

Concern (#6c):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#7):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and accepted the same.

Concern (#8a):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#8b):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#9a):

SED has reviewed the response from PG&E and determined that the corrective actions articulated by PG&E sufficiently address SED's concern.

Concern (#9b): SED has reviewed the response from PG&E. A follow-up on new procedure, TD-4183P-120 may be conducted in future SED audits.