APPENDIX E
LOCAL AGENCY RECORDS
June 29, 2009

Shana McCarthy
Geosyntec Consultants
10875 Rancho Bernardo Road, Suite 200
San Diego, CA 92127

PUBLIC INFORMATION NUMBER: 09-RP0136

Dear Ms. McCarthy,

In response to your request, pesticide use records for 2006 through 2008 were reviewed and no pesticide records for the site requested was located. Please see the attached APN’s for this request.

Please note our records reflect pesticides used in agricultural settings. No other pesticide uses are required to be reported by site. Therefore, our records only include sites where an agricultural commodity was produced at the site in the last four years, and where pesticide use was reported to this department. Our records do not include information on pesticides used in urban settings.

If you need to determine the presence or absence of pesticide residues at a location, you should contact an approved lab. We can provide a list of approved labs, which would provide such a service.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

NGANHA DANG
Deputy Ag Commissioner/Sealer
ND: tnt
**COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**
**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE, WEIGHTS AND MEASURES**

**REQUEST FOR PUBLIC INFORMATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A REQUESTOR:</th>
<th>DATE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shana McCarthy</td>
<td>24 June 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPANY:</th>
<th>PHONE NUMBER (DAYTIME):</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geoquest Consultants</td>
<td>858.674.6559</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STREET ADDRESS:</th>
<th>CITY/STATE:</th>
<th>ZIPCODE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10975 Rancho Bernardo Road, suite 200</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>92127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MAILING ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY/STATE:</th>
<th>ZIPCODE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Same as above</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| E-MAIL ADDRESS:       |                   |              |
|-----------------------|                   |              |
| mccarthy@gequest.com  |                   |              |

B. I request the following information that is maintained at the County of San Diego, Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures, located at 5555 Overland Avenue, Suite 3101, San Diego, California 92123-1256 or 334 Via Vera Cruz, Suite 150, San Marcos, 92078 pertaining to:

[ ] WEIGHTS/MEASURES  [X] PESTICIDE REGULATION  [ ] PEST EXCLUSION/NURSERY  [ ] Other:

Please see attached sheet for list of parcel numbers. I am looking for records of any pesticide use at these properties.

(Attach Additional Sheet If Required)

C. I request this information in the following format:

[ ] REVIEW
Hourly rate of supervisor or manager administering records review.

[ ] COPY
$2.00 per 8 1/2 x 11 page for first copy; $3.00 per copy for subsequent copies of same page.

[ ] DISK
$2.50 per disk; $35.50 per hour.

[ ] CASSETTE TAPE
$1.25 per tape; $9.63 per hour.

[ ] FAX
No Fee (10 page limit)

[X] E-MAIL
No Fee (If electronic format available.)

D. My request for the review of the identified data, files and/or records is not in any way connected with nor pertaining to Division 3.6 (commencing with Section 810) of Title 1 of the Government Code regarding pending claims or litigation to which the County is a party to.

I understand that certain records are specifically exempted (under Chapter 1798 of the California Civil Code and Chapter 6250 of the California Government Code) from the general rule of accessibility and that it is the policy of the Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures that data, files and/or records falling in this category will not be released for my review until specific approval is granted by the Agricultural Commissioner/Sealer of Weights and Measures. On this basis I do hereby specifically request the Agricultural Commissioner/Sealer of Weights and Measures immediate review of this request insofar as it relates to those items that the Department of Agriculture, Weights and Measures feels are classified as exempted materials.

Signature of Requestor:  

Please complete all boxes A - D - Thank-you

AWM 029 (08/06)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site ID</th>
<th>Site Address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Jacumba Valley Ranch - APN 66002005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>APN 66001001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>APN 60505015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>APN 52711005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>APN 60712063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>APN 60712062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>APN 60710029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>APN 60405001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>APNs 60409001 &amp; 60217002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>APNs 60106001, 60107004, 60107003, &amp; 60106003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>APN 60101001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>APN 52401002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>APN 52304008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>APN 402114054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>APN 40214120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>136</td>
<td>APN 39006008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>237</td>
<td>APN 39006002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>144</td>
<td>APN 391083101</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

TO: Doug
RECORDS REQUESTOR: Baumwirt

FROM: Hilda Vasquez

COMPANY: Geosyntec Consultant

DATE: 6-22-09

FAX NUMBER: (858) 674-6586

PHONE NUMBER: (858) 674-6557

SENDER'S FAX NUMBER: 760 341-6820

SENDER'S PHONE NUMBER: 760-346-7491

RE: TOTAL NO. OF PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 6

URGENT □ REVIEW/RETURN □ PLEASE COMMENT □

PER YOUR REQUEST □ INFORMATION □

MESSAGE:
Program Files/Site Information Requested:
Leaking Underground Storage Tank Clean-Up Site (LUFT) □
Other Water Cleanup Sites (SCP) □
Land Disposal Sites □
Military Cleanup sites (DoD) □

Please see attached:

TO OBTAIN FACILITY INFORMATION: GO TO
The Geotracker Link: http://Geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov
Geotracker can not search by APNs: □
Geotracker Database site information attached: Yes □ No □
If you need additional information or would like to review our records, please let us know.
Technical Staff Contact Name and Phone Number: Kai Dunn
Record(s) found: Yes: □ No.: □ (760) 776-8958

Thank you.
Hi Doug,

Below listed file is ready for review (5) folders. Please call for file review appointment, at (760) 346-7491.
McCain Valley Conservation Camp -
2550 McCain Valley Road, Boulevard, CA 91905

We have no records for the below listed files:
Flying A Ranch
1888 Lake Morena Drive
Campo, CA 92026

Jacumba Texaco/Jacumba Texaco Service and MM
1451 Carrizo Gorge Rd.
Jacumba, CA 92034

Woodward Shell/Jacumba Shell/Woodwards Shell Service
1494 Carrizo Gorge Rd.
Jacumba, CA 92034

Per Kai Dunn, (Sr. Water Res. Control Engineer), please contact:
Tony V. Sawyer, PG 4345, CEG 1392, Chg. 0040
Hydrogeologist
Site Assessment and Mitigation Program
Land and Water Quality Division
San Diego County, Dept. of Env. Health
(619) 358-2289

Thank you.

Cecilia M. Irwin
Staff Services Analyst
CA Reg. Water Quality Control Board - 7
(760) 345-7491
Fax: (760) 341-5820
cirwin@waterboards.ca.gov

>>> <DBaumwirt@Geosyntec.com> 6/18/2009 11:53 AM >>>

Hi Hilda,
Please find attached a file review request for 4 sites in your region. I will also fax the info for your convenience. Thank you very much,
-Doug

Douglas Jorel Baumwirt, GIT
Geologist
### Activities Report

**MCCAIN VALLEY CONSERVATION (T0607300003) - MAP THIS SITE**

**2550 MCCAIN VALLEY RD**
**BOULEVARD, CA 91905**
**SAN DIEGO COUNTY**

**ACTIVITIES REPORT**
**PUBLIC WEBPAGE**

**CLEANUP OVERSIGHT AGENCIES**
- SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP (LEAD) - CASE #: HZ0001-001
- CASEWORKER: NASSER SICHIT - SUPERVISOR: MICHAEL VERNETTI
- COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCO (REGION 7) - CASE #: 711905003
- CASEWORKER: KALDUN - SUPERVISOR: ADDI HALE

**THIS SITE HAS SUBMITTALS. CLICK HERE TO OPEN A NEW WINDOW WITH THE SUBMITTAL APPROVAL PAGE FOR THIS SITE.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTIVITY TYPE FILTER!</th>
<th>Show All Activities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ACTION TYPE</strong></td>
<td><strong>ACTION</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTHER REGULATORY ACTIONS</td>
<td>Technical Correspondence / Assistance / Other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOTICES</td>
<td>Notice of Responsibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Begun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Stopped</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCHEDULE NEW REGULATORY ACTION</th>
<th>SCHEDULE NEW COMPLIANCE RESPONSE / SCHEDULE RECURRING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTION DATE</td>
<td>RECEIVED / ISSUE DATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/24/1999</td>
<td>6/24/1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/15/1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/15/1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/10/1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LOGGED IN AS CIRWIN**

**CONTACT GEOTRACKER HELP**

---

https://geotracker.waterboards.ca.gov/regulators/screens/menu.asp?global_id=T0607300003

6/19/2009
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROJECT TYPE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
<th>PARENT MILITARY BASE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>CITY</th>
<th>CASE NUMBER</th>
<th>LEAD</th>
<th>LEAD CSWKR</th>
<th>RG0</th>
<th>RESSET</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAMPO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NO PROJECTS FOUND WITH THOSE SEARCH PARAMETERS**
## Activities Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION TYPE</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>ACTION DATE</th>
<th>RECEIVED / ISSUE DATE</th>
<th>ACTION DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
<td>12/15/1989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Began</td>
<td>10/13/1989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
<td>10/13/1989</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Activities Report

### Activities Report

**Activity Type Filter:** | Show All Activities
---|---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION TYPE</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
<th>ACTION DATE</th>
<th>RECEIVED / ISSUE DATE</th>
<th>ACTION DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
<td>11/9/1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Stopped</td>
<td>9/10/1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Began</td>
<td>9/10/1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
<td>9/10/1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM
FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES
FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY

CAMP SEELEY ORDNANCE TRAINING CENTER
EL CENTRO, CALIFORNIA
SITE NO. J09CA029300

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Army acquired 17,574.79 acres from the Department of Interior for Camp Seeley Ordnance Training Center. The acquisition included 16,294.79 acres acquired by Executive Order 8865 dated 21 August 1941, and 1,280.0 acres acquired by Use Permit dated 21 April 1944.

2. The property was used by various branches of the Army stationed at nearby Camp Seeley (J09CA029000). The site was used by the 11th U.S. Cavalry, and a Battalion of the 75th Field Artillery for combat firing range and maneuver purposes. The 1,280.0-acre tract was acquired for use as a "dust proving ground," by the Desert Test Command, charged with the testing of transport vehicles, ordnance combat tanks, and other combat vehicles and automotive equipment in soft sand terrain and under high temperature conditions. Improvements constructed on the site include timber-lined holes designed for ammunition storage.

3. The 1,280.0-acre tract was retransferred to the Department of Interior on 18 April 1946, and the 16,294.79-acre tract was relinquished to the Department of Interior through the revocation of Executive Order 8865, by Public Land Order 368, dated 1 August 1947. A total of 17,574.79 acres were returned to the Department of Interior. The property is located in the Yuha Desert and is presently used for public recreation purposes such as camping and off-road vehicle use. Approximately ten to fifteen timber-lined holes remain in place. They are not known to have been used beneficially subsequent to disposal of the property.

DETERMINATION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, this site has been determined to be formerly used by the Department of Defense. It is therefore eligible for the Defense Environmental Restoration Program - Formerly Used Defense Sites, established under 10 USC 2701 et seq.

DATE 26 Apr 00

PETER T. MADSEN
Brigadier General, U.S. Army
Commanding
The Camp Seeley Ordnance Training Center site consists of 17,574.79 acres in Imperial County, California. Currently, the property is owned by the Bureau of Land Management. The City of El Centro is located approximately 12 miles northeast of the site. Highway 8 crosses through the north side of the property. Inhabited buildings consist of approximately 12 homes located about one mile southwest of the site, and an industrial facility located 1.5 miles north of the site. The property is located in a remote desert area and is used extensively by civilians for various recreational purposes.

Real estate and historical records indicate that Camp Seeley Ordnance Training Center was used for combat firing range and maneuver purposes, and as a "dust proving ground" for testing of various types of combat vehicles. The real estate record described DOD improvements on the site as "holes for ammunition storage," constructed with "timbers." Ten holes were observed on the site during the site visit, some of which were timber-lined. No specific information was identified in the records which described the type of ordnance or ammunition used on the site. It is assumed that this site was used for organizational training in association with the nearby Camp Seeley and that such training could have included the use of artillery, medium/large caliber weapons, mortars, and rocket launchers. No OE of DOD origin was observed on the site or reported by the Bureau of Land Management or other entities. Desert conditions such as blowing sand or flash floods may have concealed such materials in the intervening years since DOD use of the property.

An OE risk assessment score of two has been calculated, based on a Hazard Severity Category of II (critical) and a Hazard Probability Level of C (occasional).
PROJECT SUMMARY SHEET

DERP-FUDS OR PROJECT NO. J09CA029301
CAMP SEELEY ORDNANCE TRAINING CENTER
SITE NO. J09CA029300
18 May 1997

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: "Ammunition storage holes," as described in
the real estate record, were identified during the site visit near
the northeast corner of the property. They were located in the
vicinity of the corner marker for Sections 21, 22, 27, and 28 in
Township 16 South, Range 11 East. Ten holes were observed within
a radius of about 1/2 mile from the corner marker. An air
surveillance pilot for the U.S. Border Patrol observed 12 to 15
of the structures in December 1995. The holes were situated in a
mound of soil, some of which were lined with timbers. No
evidence of ordnance use was observed at the time of the site
visit and the Bureau of Land Management (current property owner)
has no reports of DOD ordnance or explosive materials associated
with the subject site. Desert conditions may have concealed such
materials as a result of blowing sand or flash floods in the
intervening years since DOD used the property. The entire site
is under consideration since it was used for combat range and
maneuver purposes. Additionally, since some of the storage holes
were observed outside the boundary of the subject property in the
above-mentioned Section 22 of Township 16 South, Range 11 East,
the southeast corner of Section 22 is also under consideration.

PROJECT ELIGIBILITY: The Army controlled the subject property
from approximately 1941 to 1947. Any ordnance found may be the
result of past DOD activity.

POLICY CONSIDERATIONS: No policy considerations are known to
exist that would affect proposal of this project. No property
acquisition or other title transfer documents were found that
address specifically ordnance/explosive waste.

PROPOSED PROJECT: Recommend the Corps’ Mandatory Center of
Expertise (MCX) for OB at Huntsville Division make a
determination if further action is appropriate.

RAC FORM: Attached.

DISTRICT POC: Request CEHND inform Mr. Jeffery B. Armentrout at
(213) 452-3720 when a determination is made regarding project
status.
RISK ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES FOR
ORDNANCE AND EXPLOSIVES (OE) SITES

Site Name: Camp Seely Ordnance Training Center
Site Location: 12 miles SW of El Centro, CA
DERP Project #: 569CA 029301
Date Completed: 18 May 1997

Rater's Name: Steve Cameron, CAIC
Phone Number: (619) 458-2631
Organization: Los Angeles District
Score: 2

OE RISK ASSESSMENT:

This risk assessment procedure was developed in accordance with MIL-STD 882C and AR 385-10. The Risk Assessment Code (RAC) score will be used by the U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville (USAESCH), Ordnance and Explosives Team (USAESCH-OE) to prioritize the remedial action(s) at Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS). The risk assessment should be based on the best available information resulting from records searches, reports of Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) Detachments actions, field observations, interviews, and measurements. This information is used to assess the risk involved based on the potential OE hazards identified at the site. The risk assessment is composed of two factors, hazard severity and hazard probability. Personnel involved in visits to potential OE sites should view the USAESCH-OE videotape entitled "A Life Threatening Encounter: OEW".

Part I. Hazard Severity. Hazard severity categories are defined to provide a qualitative measure of the most credible event resulting from personnel exposure to various types and quantities of unexploded ordnance.

TYPE OF ORDNANCE: (Circle all that apply)

A. Conventional ordnance and ammunition:
   Medium/large caliber (20mm and larger) 10
   Bombs, explosive 10
   Grenades, hand or rifle, explosive 10
   Landmine, explosive 10
   Rockets, guided missile, explosive 10
   Detonators, blasting caps, fuzes, boosters, bursters 6
   Bombs, practice (w/spotting charges) 6

VALUE

1
Grenades, practice (w/spotting charges) 4
Landmine, practice (w/spotting charges) 4
Small arms, complete round (.22 cal to .50 cal) 1
Small arms, expended 0
Practice ordnance (w/o spotting charges) 0

Conventional ordnance and ammunition (largest single value) 10

What evidence do you have regarding conventional unexploded ordnance? Historical information indicates that this site was used for combat firing range and maneuver purposes. Also holes designed for ammunition storage identified in the historical record were observed. It is assumed that this site was used for organizational training which would have involved use of artillery, medium/large caliber weapons, mortars, etc.

B. Pyrotechnics (for munitions not described above): VALUE

Munition (containers) containing White Phosphorus (WP) or other pyrophoric material (i.e., spontaneously flammable) 10

Munition containing a flame or incendiary material (i.e., Napalm, Triethylaluminum metal incendiaries) 6

Flares, signals, simulators, screening smokes (other than WP) 4

Pyrotechnics (select the single largest value) 10

What evidence do you have regarding pyrotechnics?

C. Bulk High Explosives (HE) (not an integral part of conventional ordnance; uncontainerized): VALUE

Primary or initiating explosives (Lead Styphnate, Lead Azide, Nitroglycerin, Mercury Azide, Mercury Fulminate, Tetracene, etc.) 10

Demolition charges 10

Secondary explosives (PETN, Compositions A, B, C, Tetryl, TNT, RDX, HMX, HNB, Black Powder, etc.) 8
Military dynamite

Less sensitive explosives (Ammonium Nitrate, Explosive D, etc.)

High explosives (select the largest single value)

What evidence do you have regarding bulk explosives?

D. Bulk propellants (not an integral part of rockets, VALUE
guided missiles, or other conventional ordnance;
uncontainerized):

Solid or liquid propellants 6

Propellants 0

What evidence do you have regarding bulk propellants?

E. Chemical Warfare Materiel (CWM) and Radiological
Weapons:

Toxic chemical agents (choking, nerve, blood,
blister) 25

War Gas Identification Sets 20

Radiological 15

Riot Control Agents (vomiting, tear) 5

Chemical and Radiological (select the largest single value) 0

What evidence do you have regarding chemical or radiological?

TOTAL HAZARD SEVERITY VALUE (Sum of value A through E (maximum of 61) 10

Apply this value to Table 1 to determine Hazard Severity Category
**TABLE I**
HAZARD SEVERITY*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>CATEGORY</th>
<th>HAZARD SEVERITY VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CATASTROPHIC</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>21 and/or greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITICAL</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>10 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARGINAL</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>5 to 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEGLIGIBLE</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>1 to 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NONE</strong></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Apply Hazard Severity Category to Table 3

**If hazard severity value is 0, you do not need to complete Part II of this form. Proceed to Part III and use a RAC score of 5 to determine your appropriate action.

PART II. Hazard Probability. The probability that a hazard has been, or will be, created due to the presence and other rated factors of unexploded ordnance or explosive materials on a formerly used Department of Defense (DoD) site.

AREA, EXTENT, ACCESSIBILITY OF OE HAZARD (Circle all that apply)

A. Locations of OE hazards:

- On the surface 5
- Within tanks, pipes, vessels, or other confined areas 4
- Inside walls, ceilings, or other building/structure 3
- Subsurface 2

Location (select the single largest value) 2

What evidence do you have regarding location of OE? Potential of subsurface

OE exists.
B. Distance to nearest inhabited location/structure likely to be at risk from OE hazard (road, park, playground, building, etc.)

- Less than 1,250 feet
- 1,250 feet to 0.5 mile
- 0.5 mile to 1.0 mile
- 1.0 mile to 2.0 Miles
- Over 2 miles

Distance (select the single largest value)

What are the nearest inhabited structures/buildings? Approximately 12 homes are located about one mile southwest of the site and an industrial facility is located 1.5 miles north of the site.

C. Number(s) of building(s) within a 2-mile radius measured from the OE hazard area, not the installation boundary.

- 26 and over
- 16 to 25
- 11 to 15
- 6 to 10
- 1 to 5
- 0

Number of buildings (select the single largest value)

Narrative: Twelve homes and an industrial facility are located within 1.5 miles of the site.

D. Types of Buildings (within a 2 mile radius)

- Educational, child care, residential, hospitals
- Hotels, commercial, shopping centers
- Industrial, warehouse, etc.
Agricultural, forestry, etc.

Detention, correctional

No buildings

Types of buildings (select the single largest value)

Describe the types of buildings: Residential structures and industrial facilities.

Located 1.5 miles from the site

E. Accessibility to site refers to access by humans to ordnance and explosives. Use the following guidance:

No barrier nor security system

Barrier is incomplete (e.g., in disrepair or does not completely surround the site). Barrier is intended to deny egress from the site, as for a barbed wire fence for grazing.

A barrier (any kind of fence in good repair) but no separate means to control entry. Barrier is intended to deny access to the site.

Security Guard, but no barrier

Isolated site

A 24-hour surveillance system (e.g., television monitoring or surveillance by guards or facility personnel continuously monitors and controls entry; or, an artificial or natural barrier (e.g., fence combined with a cliff) which completely surrounds the area; and, a means to control entry at all times through the gates or other entrances (e.g., an attendant, television monitors, locked entrances, or controlled roadway access to the area).

Accessibility (select the single largest value)
Describe the site accessibility: The site is remote but is accessible by way of dirt roads and highway B which passes through the site.

F. Site Dynamics. This deals with site conditions are subject to change in the future, but may be stable at the present. Examples would be excessive soil erosion on beaches or streams, increasing land development that could reduce distances from the site to inhabited areas or otherwise increase accessibility.

Expected
None anticipated

Site dynamics (select value)

Describe the site dynamics: Possible soil erosion processes on the site would include blowing sand and flash floods

TOTAL HAZARD PROBABILITY VALUE (sum of largest values for A through F (maximum of 30)

Apply this value to Hazard Probability Table 2 to determine the Hazard Probability Level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>LEVEL</th>
<th>HAZARD PROBABILITY VALUE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FREQUENT</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>27 or greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>21 to 26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCCASIONAL</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>15 to 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REMOTE</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>8 to 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMPROBABLE</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>less than 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Apply Hazard Probability Level to Table 3.
Part III. Risk Assessment. The risk assessment value for this site is determined using the following Table. Enter the results of the Hazard Probability and Hazard Severity values.

**TABLE 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROBABILITY LEVEL</th>
<th>FREQUENT A</th>
<th>PROBABLE B</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL C</th>
<th>REMOTE D</th>
<th>IMPROBABLE E</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SEVERITY CATEGORY:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CATASTROPHIC I</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRITICAL II</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARGINABLE III</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEGLIGIBLE IV</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RISK ASSESSMENT CODE (RAC)**

RAC 1 Expedite INPR, recommending further action by USAESCH-Immediately call USAESCH-OE-S (comm 256-895-1582/1598).

RAC 2 High priority on completion of INPR-Recommend further action by USAESCH.

RAC 3 Complete INPR-Recommend further action by USAESCH.

RAC 4 Complete INPR-Recommend further action by USAESCH.

RAC 5 Usually indicates that No DOD Action Indicated (NDAI) is necessary; Submit NDAI and RAC to USAESCH.

**PART IV. Narrative.** Summarize the documented evidence that supports this risk assessment. If no documented evidence was available, explain all the assumptions that you made.

See attached justification.
LAZARE PROPERTY (T0602500002) - (MAP)

IN-KO-PAK RD & I 8  
OCOTILLO, CA 92004  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
LUST CLEANUP SITE

CLEANUP OVERSIGHT AGENCIES
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7) (LEAD) - CASE #: 772004006  
CASEWORKER: KOLA OLATUNBOSUN  
IMPERIAL COUNTY  
CASEWORKER: JERRY STILWELL

Regulatory Profile

CLEANUP STATUS
COMPLETED - CASE CLOSED AS OF 5/30/1989

POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN
GASOLINE

FILE LOCATION

Site History
No site history available

Cleanup Status History

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>STATUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5/30/1989</td>
<td>Completed - Case Closed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/29/1989</td>
<td>Open - Site Assessment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regulatory Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACTION TYPE</th>
<th>ACTION DATE</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>5/30/1989</td>
<td>Leak Reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>2/24/1988</td>
<td>Leak Stopped</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEAK ACTION</td>
<td>2/23/1988</td>
<td>Leak Discovery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright © 2008 State of California

0.15625 seconds
DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM
FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES
FINDINGS AND DETERMINATION OF ELIGIBILITY

JACUMBA AIRPORT
JACUMBA, CALIFORNIA
SITE NO. J09CA724100

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Navy acquired 113.24 acres of land in Jacumba, California by condemnation on 15 July 1941.

2. The Navy constructed an airstrip that was used as an emergency landing airfield. The Jacumba Airport was one of a number of auxiliary landing fields for the Eleventh Naval District, U.S. Naval Air Station, San Diego, California. The only known improvement by the Navy, other than the airstrip, was a windsock to indicate wind direction and velocity.

3. The Navy began leasing the Airport property to the County of San Diego on 25 August 1947 for their use as an airfield. The Navy, acting through the General Services Administration, then conveyed the 113.24 acres of property to the County of San Diego via a Quitclaim Deed dated 14 August 1953. The airstrip and windsock have been used beneficially by the County for aircraft landings and takeoffs.

DETERMINATION

Based on the foregoing Findings of Fact, this site has been determined to be formerly used by the DOD. It is therefore eligible for the Defense Environmental Restoration Program - Formerly Used Defense Sites, established under 10 USC 2701, et seq.

21 Sep 99

Date

PETE T. MADSEN
Colonel (F), U.S. Army
Commanding
SITE SURVEY SUMMARY SHEET
FOR
DERP FUDS SITE NO. J09CA724100
JACUMBA AIRPORT
5 February 1998

SITE NAME: JACUMBA AIRPORT

LOCATION: The Jacumba Airport site is located approximately 1.5 miles east of the town of Jacumba, California, on the south side of U.S. Highway 80. The landing strip runs east-west and is adjacent to the U.S./Mexico border.

SITE HISTORY: The U.S. Navy acquired this site through condemnation on 15 July 1941. The Navy constructed a dirt airstrip and a windsock on the site. The airstrip was used by the Navy as an emergency landing field for military aircraft until 1947. The airstrip and property were declared excess to the immediate needs of the Eleventh Naval District, San Diego, by letter of 13 February 1947 from the Chief of the Bureau of Aeronautics to the Chief of Naval Operations. It was recommended that the airstrip be made available for leasing, subject to the joint use of the Navy for landing purposes in common with the lessee. The Navy subsequently entered into a lease agreement with the County of San Diego for their use of the airstrip on 25 August 1947. The County of San Diego leased the airstrip on a yearly basis until 1953. The U.S. General Services Administration declared the Jacumba Airport property surplus on 16 June 1953. On 7 July 1953, the County of San Diego applied to transfer the property from the Navy to the County. The General Services Administration executed a Quitclaim Deed on 14 August 1953 transferring the 113.2 acres of property to the County of San Diego. The airport property is still owned and operated by the County.

SITE VISIT: The site was visited on 5 August 1997 by Kyle Cook of Science Applications International Corporation, San Diego, California. There was no contact at the site.

CATEGORY OF HAZARD: None.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: No potential projects at this site.

AVAILABLE STUDIES AND REPORTS:

Development of Outlying Airfields, U.S. Naval Air Station, San Diego, CA, Eleventh Naval District. Memorandums dated 27 January 1941, 11 October 1941, and 12 January 1942. Obtained from Record Group 181, N1-9, Records of the Naval District and Shore Establishment, Eleventh Naval District, National Archives and Records Administration, Pacific Region (Laguna Niguel, CA).

DISTRICT POC: Jeffrey B. Armentrout, Los Angeles District, (213) 452-3720.
December 2, 2008
J.N. 9195-03

Mr. Larry Doyle
TIF OYL, INC
2415 Shooting Star Place
Alpine, California 91901

Subject: Fourth Quarter 2008 Groundwater Monitoring and Sampling Report, Jacumba Texaco, 1451 Carrizo Gorge Road, Jacumba, California

Dear Mr. Doyle:

The Environmental Division of Petra Geotechnical Inc. (Petra) is pleased to present this quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling report for the above-referenced site. The purpose of the investigation was to perform quarterly groundwater monitoring and sampling pursuant to the requirements of the San Diego County Site Assessment and Mitigation (SAM) Program. This report has been prepared pursuant to the requirements of the SAM Program and at the request and authorization of Mr. Larry Doyle of Tif Oyl, Inc. (client).

If there are any questions or comments regarding the scope of work performed or methodology, please call the undersigned at (951) 600-9271.

Respectfully submitted,

PETRA GEOTECHNICAL, INC.
ENVIRONMENTAL DIVISION

James S. Olbinski, CEG 1462
Associate Geologist

ISO/GRW/sms

Distribution: (1) Addressee
(1) Mr. Botkin
(1) SAM Program, Attention: Ms. Carol Fenn
(1) Clean Up Fund with Reimbursement Request
November 20, 2008

Mr. Nasser Sionit
San Diego County Department of Environmental Health
Site Assessment and Mitigation Program
PO Box 129261
San Diego, CA 92112-9261

Subject: Third Quarter 2008 Groundwater Monitoring Report
McCain Valley Conservation Camp
2550 McCain Valley Road, Boulevard, California 91905
SD County Case No. H20051-001

Dear Mr. Sionit:

Tetra Tech is pleased to submit the above referenced report to San Diego County for review. If you have any questions regarding the enclosed report, please contact Mr. Michael Maeda with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (951) 768-8346 or michael.maeda@cdcr.ca.gov. You may also contact me at (415) 222-8295 or john.bosche@tetra.com, if desired. We have also uploaded this report and the laboratory analytical reports to the State of California's online Geotracker geographic information system.

Sincerely,
TETRA TECH EM INC.

John Bosche, PE
Principal Engineer

cc: Mr. A.K. Jain, Project Manager (hard copy)
State of California
Department of General Services, Real Estate Services Division
707 3rd Street, Suite 4-430
West Sacramento, California 95605

cc: Gregor Larabee and (electronic submittal only)
California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation
Facilities Planning, Construction & Management
Planning Acquisition and Design
Environmental Compliance Section
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Weight (lbs)</th>
<th>Length (in)</th>
<th>Width (in)</th>
<th>Height (in)</th>
<th>Order No.</th>
<th>Date of Delivery</th>
<th>Total Weight (lbs)</th>
<th>TOC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P120</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>PD4</td>
<td>04/01/20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P121</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PD5</td>
<td>04/02/20</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P122</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PD6</td>
<td>04/03/20</td>
<td>150</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P123</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PD7</td>
<td>04/04/20</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TOC = Total Order Count

Please note: All measurements are in inches.
DEPARTMENT OF TOXIC SUBSTANCES CONTROL

ENVIROSTOR

CAMP LOCKETT - (MAP)

CAMPO, CA
SAN DIEGO COUNTY
SITE TYPE: FUDS
ACRES: 7112 ACRES
APN: NONE SPECIFIED
NATIONAL PRIORITIES LIST: NO
CLEANUP OVERSIGHT AGENCIES:
DTSC - SITE CLEANUP PROGRAM

SUPERVISOR:
OFFICE:
ENVIROSTOR ID:
SITE CODE:
ASSEMBLY DISTRICT:
SENATE DISTRICT:
SPECIAL PROGRAM:
FUNDING:
PRESS CONTACT:

SHELIA LOWE
CYPRUS
80001085
75
37
DERA
SANDRA FRIEDMAN

COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Cleanup Status
INACTIVE - NEEDS EVALUATION AS OF 7/1/2005

Regulatory Profile

PAST USE(S) THAT CAUSED CONTAMINATION
NONE SPECIFIED

POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS OF CONCERN
EXPLOSIVES (UXO, MEC)

POTENTIAL MEDIA AFFECTED
NONE SPECIFIED

Site History
FROM THE CORPS PUBLIC GIS SYSTEM: Property Description - The Army acquired 7,111,748 acres for Camp Lockett in Campo, California, approximately 40 miles directly east of downtown San Diego. It covered 7,111,748 acres. More than 400 buildings, including barracks, administrative offices, a hospital, water and sewer plants, two gas stations, and recreational areas, were constructed. On 30 September 1946, the War Assets Administration assumed accountability for 1,096,168 acres which were disposed off as follows: 113.0 acres in lease terminated on 1 April 1947; 671.21 in fee quitclaimed to the County of San Diego on 7 June 1950 (acreage includes an Exception of 36.4 acres in fee quitclaimed to the Mountain Empire High School District on 15 September 1949); and 277.0 acres in two leases, 31,228 acres in fee, and 3.73 acres in lesser interests disposed of by means unknown. Over 70 buildings remain, most of which are in use by private parties and the County of San Diego for housing, school, community, or commercial purposes. This property is known or suspected to contain military munitions and explosives of concern (e.g., unexploded ordnance) and therefore may present an explosive hazard.

Property History - The Army used Camp Lockett initially for cavalry training and border defense. The Army acquired 7,111,748 acres for Camp Lockett as follows: 702,438 acres in fee from private parties and the County of San Diego between 30 November and 23 December 1942. Quitclaimed to the County of San Diego on 7 June 1950 (acreage includes an Exception of 36.4 acres in fee quitclaimed to the Mountain Empire High School District on 15 September 1949); and 277.0 acres in two leases, 31,228 acres in fee, and 3.73 acres in lesser interests disposed of by means unknown. Over 70 buildings remain, most of which are in use by private parties and the County of San Diego for housing, school, community, or commercial purposes.

Completed Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOCUMENT TYPE</th>
<th>DATE COMPLETED</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inventory Project Report (INPR)</td>
<td>3/29/1999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SITE ASSESSMENT REPORT, SITE CONCEPTUAL MODEL AND CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

SHELL SERVICE STATION
1340 TAVERN ROAD
ALPINE, CALIFORNIA

SAP CODE 135039
INCIDENT NO. 97131526
CASE NO. H03163-001

Prepared For:
Mr. Marvin Katz
Shell Oil Products US
20945 South Wilmington Ave.
Carson, California 90810

DECEMBER 9, 2008
REF. NO. 241788 (2)

This report is printed on recycled paper.

Prepared by:
Conestoga-Rovers & Associates

175 Technology Drive, Suite 150
Irvine, California
United States 92618
Office: (949) 648-6200
Fax: (949) 648-6299
web: http://www.CRAworld.com
Figure 8

EXPLANATION

- **D1**: Soil sample location
- **PZ-1**: Piezometer well location
- **MW-1**: Monitoring well location
- **MW-2**: Dual-phase extraction well location
- **OBS-3**: Observation well location
- **OW-1**: Oxygen injection well location
- **MW-3**: Destroyed monitoring well location
- **B-1**: Soil boring location
- **B-3**: Angled soil boring location (50 degrees from vertical)

TPH(g) is concentration contour, in milligrams per kilogram (mg/kg)

**Well**

TPH(g) concentrations are in mg/kg

Notes:
- **NS** = Not sampled
October 1, 2008

Mr. Karl Bewley
ConocoPhillips Marketing Company
3611 South Harbor Blvd., Suite 200
Santa Ana, CA 92704

Dear Mr. Bewley:

UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE 124746-001
CONOCO PHILLIPS STATION #8581
1666 ALPINE BLVD., ALPINE, CALIFORNIA 91901

The purpose of this letter is to notify the Responsible Party of the status of the Workplan prepared by URS on September 12, 2008. The Workplan suggests the installation of four monitoring wells. The workplan (and the location of the wells as noted in Figure 2 of the report) is approved.

If you have any questions, please call me at (619) 338-2212.

Sincerely,

EWAN MOFFAT, Project Manager
Site Assessment and Mitigation Program

EM:kd

cc: Kurt R. Myers, URS
November 14, 2008

Mr. Ron Duff  
UST Cleanup Fund  
Division of Water Quality  
State Water Resources Control Board  
P.O. Box 2231  
Sacramento, CA 95812

Dear Mr. Duff:

REISSUE  
UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE #H05778-001  
ALPINE COUNTRY STATION  
2232 ALPINE BOULEVARD, ALPINE, CA

Staff of the Department of Environmental Health (DEH) reviewed the February 11, 2008 Fund Manager Decision (FMD) for Reconsideration of Pending and Ineligible Costs, prepared by the State Water Resources Control Board.

Specifically, in Item 14, the letter mentions the DEH letter dated October 16, 2006, stating that the work listed in the invoice was completed between February 3, 2006, and March 17, 2006, a period before the letter was issued.

Please note that the DEH approval letter, dated October 16, 2006, approved the work completed. Verbal approval was given prior to completion of the work to perform an upgradient assessment/hydrogeologic investigation. The October 16, 2006 DEH letter verified the approval in writing.

In addition, based on the hydrogeologic study, the above-referenced Site is suspected of being the source of the MTBE contamination in the upgradient supply wells, so it is imperative that the investigation continue with the assistance of the State Fund.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter. If you have any questions, please call me at (619) 338-2205.

Sincerely,

JAMES CLAY, Environmental Health Specialist III  
Site Assessment and Mitigation Program

cc: Mr. Joseph Hannaney, H.E.M.C. Environmental Management Corp.  
Mr. Dan Smith, Alpine Gas and Diesel  
Mr. George E. Kathawa  
Mr. Richard Hooton, PAR Investment

"Environmental and public health through leadership, partnership and science"
May 25, 2007

Hani Garmo
Hani and Nidhal Garmo Family Trust
2235 Alpine Blvd.
Alpine, CA 91901

Dear Hani and Nidhal:

UNAUTHORIZED RELEASE H20002-002
ALPINE SHELL
2235 ALPINE BLVD., ALPINE, CA 91901

This letter confirms the completion of a site investigation and corrective action for the underground storage tanks formerly located at the above-described location. Thank you for your cooperation throughout this investigation. Your willingness and promptness in responding to our inquiries concerning the former underground storage tanks is greatly appreciated.

Based on information in the above-referenced file and with the provision that the information provided to this agency was accurate and representative of site conditions, this agency finds that the site investigation and corrective action carried out at your underground storage tanks site is in compliance with the requirements of subdivisions (a) and (b) of Section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code and with corrective action regulations adopted pursuant to Section 25299.3 of the Health and Safety Code and that no further action related to the petroleum release at the site is required.

This notice is issued pursuant to subdivision (g) of Section 25296.10 of the Health and Safety Code. Please contact Danny Martinez, at (619) 338-2456, if you have questions regarding this matter.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
GARY W. ERBECK, Director
Department of Environmental Health
Site Assessment and Mitigation Program

GWE:DM:kd

Enclosure

cc: John Odermatt, Regional Water Quality Control Board
Ron Duff, SWRCB, UST Cleanup Fund Program
Scott Fenby, Kahl Environmental Services

"Environmental and public health through leadership, partnership and science"
# Case Closure Summary
## Leaking Underground Fuel Storage Tank Program

### I. AGENCY INFORMATION

| Agency Name: COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH, SAM | Address: P.O. BOX 129261 |
| City/State/Zip: SAN DIEGO, CA 92112-8261 | Phone: (619) 338-2222  | FAX: (619) 338-2377 |
| Responsible Staff Person: DANNY MARTINEZ | Title: ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SPECIALIST |

### II. CASE INFORMATION

| Site Facility Name: ALPINE SHELL |
| Site Facility Address: 2235 ALPINE BL, ALPINE, CA 91901-2116 |

| RB LUSTIS Case No: NA | Local Case No: H20002-002 | LOP Case No: N/A |
| URF Filing Date: 7/5/2005 | SWEEPS No: N/A |

| Responsible Parties | Address | Phone Number |
| HANI GARMO | 2235 ALPINE BLVD. | (619)659-3850 |
| HANI AND NIDHAL GARMO FAMILY TRUST | ALPINE, CA 91901 | |

| Tank No. | Size in Gal. | Contents | Status | Date |
| NOT APPLICABLE |

### III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION

| Cause Release: | Substance Released: |
| SUBSTANCE RELEASED FROM PRODUCT PIPING | GASOLINE AND DIESEL |

| Site Characterization complete: YES | Date Approved By Oversight Agency: 3/26/2007 |

| Monitoring Wells Installed? NO | Number: 0 | Proper Screened Interval? NA |

| Highest GW Depth B.G. Surface: 8' (ESTIMATED) | Lowest Depth: 8' (ESTIMATED) | Flow Direction: SOUTHWEST (ESTIMATED) |

| Most Sensitive Current Use: Existing Beneficial Groundwater Use: MUN, AGR |
| Existing Beneficial Surface Water Use: MUN, AGR, IND, PROC, REC1, REC2 |

| Are Drinking Water Wells Affected? NO | Aquifer Name: 907.33-Alpine Hydrologic Sub Area |
| Is Surface Water Affected? NO | Nearest SW name: ADJACENT TO ALPINE CREEK |

| Off-Site Beneficial Use Impacts (addresses/locations): NA |

| Report(s) on file? YES | Where is Report(s) Filed? COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH |

### TREATMENT AND DISPOSAL OF AFFECTED MATERIAL

| Material | Amount (Include Units) | Action (Treatment or Disposal) | Date |
| NONE | NA | NA |
III. RELEASE AND SITE CHARACTERIZATION INFORMATION (Continued)

MAXIMUM DOCUMENTED CONTAMINANT CONCENTRATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOIL</th>
<th>MAXIMUM</th>
<th>REMAINING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gasoline</td>
<td>&lt; 10 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 10 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel</td>
<td>= 610 mg/kg</td>
<td>= 610 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl benzene</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylene (individual isomers or total)</td>
<td>= 16.5 mg/kg</td>
<td>= 16.5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Butyl Alcohol (TBA)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tert-Amyl-methyl ether (TAME)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl-tert-butyl ether (ETBE)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di-Isopropyl ether (DIBE)</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
<td>&lt; 5 mg/kg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments:
In June 2005, an inspector from the County of San Diego Hazardous Material Division observed a product leak in the piping beneath one of the dispensers. The case was opened when a soil sample collected during the piping repair revealed petroleum contamination adjacent to the dispenser.

A hand auger boring was advanced to approximately 7.5 feet below ground surface in the area of suspected contamination. Laboratory analysis of the four soil samples, collected from the boring, did not show petroleum contamination above the laboratory detection levels. Groundwater was not encountered during the advancement of the boring.

The consultant states that the observed leak is minor and that an insignificant amount of product was released into the environment. The department of Environmental Health concurs with the consultant's conclusion.

IV. CLOSURE

Does completed corrective action protect existing beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does completed corrective action protect potential beneficial uses per the Regional Board Basin Plan? YES

Does corrective action protect public health for current land use? YES

Case oversight completed based upon the following site use: COMMERCIAL -- GAS STATION/CAR WASH

Site Management Requirements: ANY CONTAMINATED SOIL EXCAVATED AS PART OF SUBSURFACE CONSTRUCTION WORK MUST BE MANAGED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE LEGAL REQUIREMENTS AT THAT TIME.

Should corrective action be reviewed if land use changes? YES

Monitoring Wells Decommissioned: N/A Number Decommissioned: 0 Number Retained: 0

List Actions Taken: NOTICE OF REIMBURSEMENT / LOCAL

List Enforcement Actions Rescinded: NONE

V. LOCAL AGENCY REPRESENTATIVE DATA

Name: TONY SAWYER
Title: HYDROGEOLOGIST
Signature: [Signature]
Date: 5-25-07

VI. RWQCB NOTIFICATION

Date Submitted to RB: NA
RB Response: NA - SOILS ONLY
RWQCB Staff Name: NA
Title: NA
Date: NA

VII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, DATA, ETC.

MONITORING WELLS WERE NOT INSTALLED

This document and the related CASE CLOSURE LETTER, shall be retained by the lead agency as part of the official site file.
June 12, 2009

Mr. Doug Baumwirt  
Geosyntec  
10875 Rancho Bernardo Rd, Suite 200  
San Diego, California 92127  

VARIOUS SITES  
PR40612091  

Dear Mr. Baumwirt:

The Department of Toxic Substances Control received your facsimile dated June 12, 2009, requesting records under the Public Records Act. After a thorough review of our files, we have found that we have records pertaining to some of the sites/facilities referenced in your request but not all of them. Please see below.

We have records for:  
  • HS #12, Grossmont UNHSD, ALPINE

We do not have records for:  
  • 16820 & 16850 El Monte, Lakeside  
  • 1730 Alpine Blvd, Alpine  
  • 1494 Carrizp Gorge Rd, Jacumba

Those records we do have will be ready for your review after June 30, 2009. Please contact me at (714) 484-5336 to arrange for an appointment to review the records.

We would also like to inform you about Envirostor, a database that provides information and documents on over 5,000 DTSC cleanup sites. Envirostor can be accessed at: http://www.envirostor.dtsc.ca.gov/public. Also, a computer is available in the Central Files of each DTSC Regional Office for use by community members to view EnviroStor.

Sincerely,

Jone Barrio  
Regional Records Coordinator

Printed on Recycled Paper
SYCAMORE CANYON TEST FACILITY

CONVAIR ASTRONAUTICS A DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS

BUILDER OF THE ATLAS MISSILE
TEST STAND S-1
THE SYCAMORE CANYON TEST FACILITY WAS LOCATED ON THE U.S. NAVY’S CAMP ELLIOTT, APPROXIMATELY 17 MILES NORTH OF DOWNTOWN SAN DIEGO. THE SITE WAS ACTIVATED DURING SEPTEMBER 1956, PROVIDING CONVAIR ASTRONAUTICS WITH A STATIC FIRING TEST FACILITY IN THE VICINITY OF THE MANUFACTURING PLANT.

**ADMINISTRATION BUILDING**

THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING WAS USED BY THE AIR FORCE, CONVAIR ASTRONAUTICS AND ASSOCIATED CONTRACTOR LIAISON PERSONNEL. IT PROVIDED A RECEPTION AND SECURITY CLEARANCE AREA AND AN OFFICE FOR ENGINEERING PERSONNEL. IT ALSO CONTAINED A DISPENSARY, CAFETERIA, GARAGE AND AN INSTRUMENT CHECKOUT STATION.

**ASSEMBLY BUILDING**

THE ASSEMBLY BUILDING WAS USED FOR PRE-FIRING CHECKOUT OF THE MISSILE SYSTEM PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF THE MISSILE ON THE TEST STAND.
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**CHIEF TEST CONDUCTOR**
SYCAMORE CANYON TEST FACILITY

**Test Stand S-1**

Test Stand S-1, the first stand activated at this site, originally consisted of an assembly building, a blockhouse and a captive firing stand with associated service tower, flame deflector, erector and hold down mechanism, propellant and gas facilities, test support equipment. The equipment was in many cases similar to that used at the flight facilities at Eastern Missile Test Range, Cape Canaveral, so that static operations would be similar to flight operations for efficiency of training and test planning. Associate contractors did not participate directly in tests at this stand.

Test performance data originating on the test article, the test stand and the general test area were routed through transmission lines to the transfer room and the blockhouse. Data collected during static firings or systems tests could be monitored by test personnel on a "quick-look" basis or recorded on magnetic tape and sent to the data processing.
STATION FOR DETAILED ANALYSIS.

THIS SYSTEM AND THOSE AT SYCAMORE S-2 AND ERS STAND 1-4 WERE RADICALLY MODIFIED DURING 1958. MODIFICATIONS INCLUDED IMPROVED RECORDING CAPABILITIES, REVISED ELECTRICAL CALIBRATION SYSTEMS AND IMPROVED SIGNAL CONDITIONING.

TEST STAND S-2

TEST STAND S-2 WAS ACTIVATED ON FEBRUARY 4, 1958 FOR THE TESTING OF MISSILE 1B. FACILITIES AND EQUIPMENT WERE SIMILAR TO TEST STAND S-1. THIS STAND WAS CONSTRUCTED PRIMARILY FOR THE INVESTIGATION OF PROBLEMS CONCERNING THE B SERIES ENGINES. THE CHECKING OF RF SYSTEMS AT THIS SITE WAS DONE WITH MISSILE SYSTEM CHECKOUT TRAILERS DURING TESTS NOT REQUIRING ENGINE FIRINGS. ASSOCIATE CONTRACTORS DID NOT PARTICIPATE DIRECTLY IN TESTS AT THIS SITE.