Regarding: Lassen Substation Project

Dear <Agency Name>,

To ensure continued reliable service to local customers and to meet current and projected future electrical system demands, Pacific Power will be submitting an application to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the new Lassen Substation in Mount Shasta. This new 300-foot-by-300-foot substation would serve as a replacement to the existing Mount Shasta Substation. Pacific Power hopes to begin the project construction in the Fall of 2016, with plans for the project to be in service by December 2017.

Pacific Power is soliciting your position on the project so that we can convey that position to the CPUC as part of our Application for a permit to construct the Lassen Substation.

The existing Mount Shasta Substation is located west of Interstate 5 along Old Stage Coach Road and delivers power to more than 4,000 customers in the City of Mount Shasta and surrounding areas. The substation has reached its capacity and, over the next few years it is anticipated that the substation will reach the end of its useful life. The proposed Lassen Substation site comprises approximately 4.5 acres adjacent to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. The existing substation will be removed once the Lassen Substation is operational.

As part of the proposed project, 36 existing wood poles along approximately 1.5 miles of Line 2 (the existing 69-kV transmission line servicing Mt. Shasta Substation) will be replaced to accommodate an upgraded distribution underbuild conductor and to comply with the California Code of Regulations, Title 8 and CPUC GO-95 load requirements. The transmission line will initially operate at 69-kV, but will be constructed as a 115-kV transmission line. The proposed project will allow Pacific Power to serve existing customers in the Mount Shasta area and meet future demand.

The project will also include upgrades to the distribution system in the area of the Lassen Substation. The distribution lines will be upgraded from a 4.16-kV line to a 12.47-kV line. The distribution lines will be partially re-conducted and the 12.47-kV distribution lines will be reconnected in a new configuration to receive supply from three breakers at the proposed Lassen Substation.
The safety of area residents and landowners is very important to Pacific Power. In order to reduce the potential exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs), which could be generated by the proposed facilities, we have prepared an EMF Management Plan. As part of this plan, the company intends to:

- Extend the proposed Lassen Substation fence line on the west side to encompass the transmission span entering the substation.
- Increase the height of the replacement transmission poles.
- Conduct phasing of both circuits for potential field reduction; and selecting a more compact, balanced-type pole configuration when under building the transmission circuit with a distribution circuit.

As noted above we are seeking your position on the proposed project. We request that you submit any comments or concerns you may have regarding the project no later than October 20, 2015 to:

Monte Mendenhall  
925 South Grape Street  
Medford, OR 97501  
Monte.Mendenhall@PacifiCorp.com

This work is critical to allow us to continue to provide safe, reliable and affordable power to northern California. We thank you in advance for allowing us to safely access, operate and maintain these important electrical facilities.

Sincerely,

Monte Mendenhall  
Pacific Power Regional Business Manager
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Agency Contact</th>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>City, State &amp; Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Transportation</td>
<td>District 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1657 Riverside Dr.</td>
<td>Redding, CA 96001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Fish &amp; Wildlife</td>
<td>Northern Region</td>
<td>Neil Manji, Regional Manager</td>
<td>601 Locust Street</td>
<td>Redding, CA 96001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Air Resources Board, Enforcement Division</td>
<td>Todd Sax, Division Chief</td>
<td>P.O. Box 2815</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Native American Heritage Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cynthia Gomez, Executive Secretary</td>
<td>P.O. Box 942696</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 94206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Parks</td>
<td>Office of Historic Preservation</td>
<td>Julianne Polanco</td>
<td>1725 23rd Street, Suite 100</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish &amp; Wildlife Service</td>
<td></td>
<td>Erin Williams, Field Supervisor</td>
<td>1829 S. Oregon Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Transportation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 942673</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 94273-0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 1127</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Office of Emergency Services</td>
<td></td>
<td>606 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td></td>
<td>606 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Road Department</td>
<td></td>
<td>606 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mt. Shasta</td>
<td>Planning Department</td>
<td>Kristen Maze, City Planner</td>
<td>305 S. Mt. Shasta Blvd</td>
<td>Mt Shasta, CA 96067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>Pacific Southwest Region</td>
<td></td>
<td>2800 Cottage Way</td>
<td>Sacramento CA 95825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District</td>
<td></td>
<td>APCO - Patrick Griffin</td>
<td>525 South Foothill Drive</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of PACIFICORP (U 901 E), an Oregon Company, for a Permit to Construct the Lassen Substation Project Pursuant to General Order 131-D.

Application 15-11-005
(Filed November 2, 2015)

PACIFICORP’S (U 901 E) DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 131-D THROUGH PUBLISHING NOTICE OF FILING

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY LLP
Jeanne B. Armstrong
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
Email: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

Date: November 23, 2015
Attorneys for PacifiCorp
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of PACIFICORP (U 901 E), an Oregon Company, for a Permit to Construct the Lassen Substation Project Pursuant to General Order 131-D.

Application 15-11-005 (Filed November 2, 2015)

PACIFICORP’S (U 901 E) DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 131-D THROUGH PUBLISHING NOTICE OF FILING

Pursuant to General Order 131-D, Section XI, paragraph A, subparagraph 3, PacifiCorp submits for filing the Declaration of Natalie Cooper, Administrative Services Coordinator for PacifiCorp, attached herein as Exhibit A, concerning the mailing and posting of the notices for the Lassen Substation Project as required by Section XI of General Order 131-D. Attachment A is the notice sent to public agencies. Attachment B is the notice sent to affected landowners. Attachment C is the advertisement which ran in the required newspapers. Attachment D is the notice posted on-site.

Respectfully submitted this November 23, 2015 at San Francisco, California.

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI, DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
Jeanne B. Armstrong
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, California  94111
Telephone:  (415) 392-7900
Email:     jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

By  /s/ Jeanne B. Armstrong
Jeanne B. Armstrong

Attorneys for PacifiCorp
DECLARATION

I, Natalie Cooper, am Administrative Services Coordinator for PacifiCorp. I declare that PacifiCorp, pursuant to General Order 131-D, Section XI, subparagraph A, caused the attached Notifications of PacifiCorp’s filing with the California Public Utilities Commission regarding PacifiCorp’s Application for a Permit to Construct the Lassen Substation Project to be: (1) mailed on November 9, 2015 to government agencies and landowners impacted by the projects; and (2) posted on-site where the Project is located on November 16, 2015. The on-site posting did not occur until November 16 as a result of a delay in receipt of the signs that were mailed to the local office. Pursuant to local circulation schedules, publication in the Mt. Shasta Herald, Dunsmuir News and Weed Press occurred on November 11 and November 18, 2015.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best to my knowledge and belief.

Natalie Cooper
To whom it may concern,

Pacific Power filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the Lassen Substation as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. Pacific Power is looking to replace the existing Mt. Shasta Substation to ensure reliable service and maintenance crew safety. The existing Mt. Shasta Substation, located west of Interstate 5, along Old Stage Coach Road, currently delivers power to more than 4,000 customers in the City of Mt. Shasta and surrounding areas. The substation has reached its capacity and needs to be replaced. The new Lassen Substation will allow Pacific Power to serve existing and future customers in the Mt. Shasta area.

The major components of this proposed project are as follows:

- Build the Lassen Substation in the vicinity of the Mt. Shasta Substation.
- Replace 36 wood poles along the existing transmission line.
- Upgrade to an existing distribution system to meet current capacity requirements and future load growth.
- Remove the Mt. Shasta Substation upon completion of the Lassen Substation.

The proposed Lassen Substation site consists of two locations’ parcels (APN 036-220-280 and APN 036-220-170) comprising approximately 4.5 acres. The new 280 foot by 212 foot substation would serve as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. As part of the proposed project, 36 existing wood poles along the existing transmission line (approximately 1.5 linear miles) that transport the bulk electrical power into and from the existing Mt. Shasta Substation will be replaced to comply with current rules and regulations.

The current distribution system will be upgraded from a 4.16-kV line to a 12.47-kV line. The distribution line will be partially re-conducted and the 12.47-kV distribution system will be reconnected in a new configuration to receive supply from three breakers at the proposed Lassen Substation. As part of the distribution line upgrade, approximately 1,200 feet of underground cable will be installed to increase capacity of an existing underground line.

Under the California Environmental Quality Act, the CPUC is responsible for identifying the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and for avoiding or mitigating them if
feasible. Pacific Power has prepared a Proponent’s Environmental Assessment (PEA), which includes an analysis of potential environmental impacts created by the construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed project. The PEA was submitted with the company’s application and includes applicant proposed measures to reduce the environmental impacts from the proposed project.

The CPUC requires utilities to employ “no-cost” or “low-cost” measures to reduce public exposure to magnetic fields. In order to reduce the potential exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs), which could be generated by the proposed facilities, we have prepared an EMF Management Plan. As part of this plan, the company intends to:

- Extend the proposed Lassen Substation fence line on the west side to encompass the transmission span entering the substation.
- Increase the height of the replacement transmission poles.

To obtain or review a copy of our application, please visit pacificpower.net or the Pacific Power office at 300 S. Main Street, Yreka, CA 96097, or call 1-888-221-7070.

If you wish to participate in the proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar. Protests may be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Docket Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, California 94102

If you need assistance with filing a formal protest, or would like to submit public comment about the application, please contact the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office at:

Public Advisor’s Office 415-703-2074
California Public Utilities Commission 1-866-849-8390
505 Van Ness Avenue TTY 415-703-5282
San Francisco, CA 94102 TTY toll free 1-866-836-7825
Or by email to: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

If you would like to follow this, or any other proceeding before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC’s free subscription service. You can sign up at: http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Monte Mendenhall
Regional Business Manager
ATTACHMENT B
Dear Customer or Landowner,

Pacific Power filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the Lassen Substation as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. Pacific Power is looking to replace the existing Mt. Shasta Substation to ensure reliable service and maintenance crew safety. The existing Mt. Shasta Substation, located west of Interstate 5, along Old Stage Coach Road, currently delivers power to more than 4,000 customers in the City of Mt. Shasta and surrounding areas. The substation has reached its capacity and needs to be replaced. The new Lassen Substation will allow Pacific Power to serve existing and future customers in the Mt. Shasta area.

The major components of this proposed project are as follows:

- Build the Lassen Substation in the vicinity of the Mt. Shasta Substation.
- Replace 36 wood poles along the existing transmission line.
- Upgrade to an existing distribution system to meet current capacity requirements and future load growth.
- Remove the Mt. Shasta Substation upon completion of the Lassen Substation.

The proposed Lassen Substation site consists of two locations’ parcels (APN 036-220-280 and APN 036-220-170) comprising approximately 4.5 acres. The new 280 foot by 212 foot substation would serve as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. As part of the proposed project, 36 existing wood poles along the existing transmission line (approximately 1.5 linear miles) that transport the bulk electrical power into and from the existing Mt. Shasta Substation will be replaced to comply with current rules and regulations.

The current distribution system will be upgraded from a 4.16-kV line to a 12.47-kV line. The distribution line will be partially re-conductored and the 12.47-kV distribution system will be reconnected in a new configuration to receive supply from three breakers at the proposed Lassen Substation. As part of the distribution line upgrade, approximately 1,200 feet of underground cable will be installed to increase capacity of an existing underground line.

Under the California Environmental Quality Act, the CPUC is responsible for identifying the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and for avoiding or mitigating them if
feasible. Pacific Power has prepared a Proponent’s Environmental Assessment (PEA), which includes an analysis of potential environmental impacts created by the construction, operation and maintenance of the proposed project. The PEA was submitted with the company’s application and includes applicant proposed measures to reduce the environmental impacts from the proposed project.

The CPUC requires utilities to employ “no-cost” or “low-cost” measures to reduce public exposure to magnetic fields. In order to reduce the potential exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs), which could be generated by the proposed facilities, we have prepared an EMF Management Plan. As part of this plan, the company intends to:

- Extend the proposed Lassen Substation fence line on the west side to encompass the transmission span entering the substation.
- Increase the height of the replacement transmission poles.

To obtain or review a copy of our application, please visit pacificpower.net or the Pacific Power office at 300 S. Main Street, Yreka, CA 96097, or call 1-888-221-7070.

**If you wish to participate in the proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar.** Protests may be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission  
Docket Office  
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001  
San Francisco, California 94102

If you need assistance with filing a formal protest, or would like to submit public comment about the application, please contact the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office at:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Advisor’s Office</th>
<th>415-703-2074</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>California Public Utilities Commission</td>
<td>1-866-849-8390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>505 Van Ness Avenue</td>
<td>TTY 415-703-5282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94102</td>
<td>TTY toll free 1-866-836-7825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Or by email to: <a href="mailto:public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov">public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If you would like to follow this, or any other proceeding before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC’s free subscription service. You can sign up at: http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Monte Mendenhall  
Regional Business Manager
ATTACHMENT C
Pacific Power filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the Lassen Substation as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. Pacific Power is looking to replace the existing Mt. Shasta Substation to ensure reliable service and maintenance crew safety. The existing Mt. Shasta Substation, located west of Interstate 5, along Old Stage Coach Road, currently delivers power to more than 4,000 customers in the City of Mt. Shasta and surrounding areas. The substation has reached its capacity and needs to be replaced. The new Lassen Substation will allow Pacific Power to serve existing and future customers in the Mt. Shasta area.

The major components of this proposed project are as follows:

- Build the Lassen Substation in the vicinity of the Mt. Shasta Substation.
- Replace 36 wood poles along the existing transmission line.
- Upgrade to an existing distribution system to meet current capacity requirements and future load growth.
- Remove the Mt. Shasta Substation upon completion of the Lassen Substation.

The proposed Lassen Substation site consists of two locations' parcels (APN 036-220-280 and APN 036-220-170) comprising approximately 4.5 acres. The new 280 foot by 212 foot substation would serve as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. As part of the proposed project, 36 existing wood poles along the existing transmission line (approximately 1.5 linear miles) that transport the bulk electrical power into and from the existing Mt. Shasta Substation will be replaced to comply with current rules and regulations.

The current distribution system will be upgraded from a 4.16-kV line to a 12.47-kV line. The distribution line will be partially re-conducted and the 12.47-kV distribution system will be reconnected in a new configuration to receive supply from three breakers at the proposed Lassen Substation. As part of the distribution line upgrade, approximately 1,200 feet of underground cable will be installed to increase capacity of an existing underground line.

Under the California Environmental Quality Act, the CPUC is responsible for identifying the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and for avoiding or mitigating them if feasible. Pacific Power has prepared a Proponent’s Environmental Assessment (PEA), which includes an analysis of potential environmental impacts created by the construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed project. The PEA was submitted with the company’s application and includes applicant proposed measures to reduce the environmental impacts from the proposed project.

The CPUC requires utilities to employ “no-cost” or “low-cost” measures to reduce public exposure to magnetic fields. In order to reduce the potential exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs), which could be generated by the proposed facilities, we have prepared an EMF Management Plan. As part of this plan, the company intends to:

- Extend the proposed Lassen Substation fence line on the west side to encompass the transmission span entering the substation.
- Increase the height of the replacement transmission poles.

To obtain or review a copy of our application, please visit pacificpower.net or the Pacific Power office at 300 S. Main Street, Yreka, CA 96097, or call 1-888-221-7070.

If you wish to participate in the proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar. Protests may be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Docket Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, California 94102

If you need assistance with filing a formal protest, or would like to submit public comment about the application, please contact the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office at:

Mail: Public Advisor’s Office
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov
Call: 1-415-703-2074
Toll free: 1-866-849-8390
TTY: 1-866-836-7825
TTY toll free: 1-415-703-5282

If you would like to follow this, or any other proceeding before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC’s free subscription service. You can sign up at: http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov/.
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

In The Matter Of: Pacificorp - CA

Advertisement for Notice - Pacificorp - Lassen Substation 4x10"
advertisment
Notice of application to appropriate public waters
This is to certify that the above advertising for Pacificorp appear in

Newspaper name  _Mt Shasta Herald, Dunsmuir News & Weed
Press_________________________

On (Dates) _11/11 & 11/18/2015________________

Signature

Sworn to before me this _11/18_ day of __NOVEMBER__ 2015.

_____________________________
Notary Public
PACIFIC POWER NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION
(A.15-11-005)

Project Name: Lassen Substation
Filing Date: November 2, 2015

Proposed Project: Pacific Power filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the Lassen Substation as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation.

Project Description: Pacific Power is looking to replace the existing Mt. Shasta Substation to ensure reliable service and maintenance crew safety. The wood framing is deteriorating and the transformers, regulators, breakers, switchers, connectors and bus work have also begun to deteriorate due to age and corrosion. For service and safety reasons, Pacific Power must replace the substation. If approved, the project will begin in mid-2016 with plans for the project to be in service by late 2017.

The major components of this proposed project are as follows:
- Replace 36 wood poles along the existing transmission line.
- Upgrade to an existing distribution system to meet capacity requirements.
- Build the Lassen Substation in the vicinity of the Mt. Shasta Substation.
- Remove the Mt. Shasta Substation upon completion of the Lassen Substation.

Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMF) Compliance: The CPUC requires utilities to employ “no-cost” or “low-cost” measures to reduce public exposure to magnetic fields. In order to reduce the potential exposure to EMFs, an EMF Management Plan has been created. As part of this plan, the proposed fence line on the west side of the Lassen Substation will be extended to the edge of the proposed property line, which would restrict public access to the site.

To obtain or review a copy of our application, please visit pacificpower.net, or the Pacific Power office at 300 S. Main Street, Yreka, CA 96097 or call 1-888-221-7070.

Filing a protest: If you wish to file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar. Protests may be sent to:
California Public Utilities Commission
Docket Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, California 94102

Assistance in Filing a Protest: If you need assistance in filing a formal protest, please contact the Public Advisor at the email, address and phone numbers listed below:

Mail: Public Advisor’s Office
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov
Call: 1-415-703-2074
Toll free: 1-866-849-8390
TTY: 1-866-836-7825
TTY toll free: 1-415-703-5282

Public Participation: The public may submit comment regarding the application to the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office. In addition, the CPUC may hold a public participation hearing in order to take oral public comment.
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of PACIFICORP (U 901 E), an Oregon Company, for a Permit to Construct the Lassen Substation Project Pursuant to General Order 131-D.

Application 15-11-005 (Filed November 2, 2015)

PACIFICORP’S (U 901 E) AMENDED DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 131-D THROUGH PUBLISHING NOTICE OF FILING

GOODIN, MACBRIDE, SQUERI & DAY LLP
Jeanne B. Armstrong
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, CA 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Facsimile: (415) 398-4321
Email: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com

Date: December 7, 2015

Attorneys for PacifiCorp
PACIFICORP’S (U 901 E) AMENDED DECLARATION OF COMPLIANCE WITH GENERAL ORDER 131-D THROUGH PUBLISHING NOTICE OF FILING

Pursuant to General Order 131-D, Section XI, paragraph A, subparagraph 3, PacifiCorp (U 901 E) submits for filing the Declaration of Natalie Cooper, Administrative Services Coordinator for PacifiCorp, attached herein as Exhibit A, concerning the mailing and posting of amended notices for the Lassen Substation Project. Specifically subsequent to mailing and posting the notices required by General Order 131-D, PacifiCorp noticed an error in the street name associated with the location of the proposed Lassen Substation. Accordingly, PacifiCorp sent letters to the affected landowners and applicable public agencies alerting them to this error, as well as re-noticing the proposed project in the local newspaper with the corrected street name.

Attachments A and B to this pleading are the letters sent to public agencies and affected landowners, respectively, regarding correction of the street name associated with the project location. Attachment C is the corrected advertisement which ran in the required newspapers.
Respectfully submitted this December 7, 2015 at San Francisco, California.

GOODIN, MACBRIE, SQUERI,
DAY & LAMPREY, LLP
Jeanne B. Armstrong
505 Sansome Street, Suite 900
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: (415) 392-7900
Email: jarmstrong@goodinmacbrie.com

By /s/ Jeanne B. Armstrong

Jeanne B. Armstrong

Attorneys for PacifiCorp
EXHIBIT A
DECLARATION

I, Natalie Cooper, am Administrative Services Coordinator for PacifiCorp. I declare that PacifiCorp, pursuant to General Order 131-D, caused the attached amended Notifications of PacifiCorp’s filing with the California Public Utilities Commission regarding PacifiCorp’s Application for a Permit to Construct the Lassen Substation Project to be mailed on November 24, 2015 to government agencies and landowners impacted by the projects. Pursuant to local circulation schedules, publication of the amended notice in the Mt. Shasta Herald, Dunsmuir News and Weed Press occurred on November 25 and December 2, 2015.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct to the best to my knowledge and belief.

\[Signature\]

Natalie Cooper
ATTACHMENT A
Para más detalles llame 1-888-225-2611

Pacific Power

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION (A.15-11-005)

November 24, 2015

<<Customer Name>>
<Mailing Address>>
<<City  State  Zip>>

To whom it may concern,

This letter is to notify you of a correction to the location of the proposed Lassen Substation as it was represented in the previous letter dated November 6, 2015. The Substation, which will be constructed as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation, will be located west of Interstate 5, along South Old Stage Road (not Old Stage Coach Road).

If you wish to participate in the proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar. Protests may be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Docket Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, California 94102

If you need assistance with filing a formal protest, or would like to submit public comment about the application, please contact the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office at:

Public Advisor’s Office 415-703-2074
California Public Utilities Commission 1-866-849-8390
505 Van Ness Avenue TTY 415-703-5282
San Francisco, CA 94102 TTY toll free 1-866-836-7825
Or by email to: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

If you would like to follow this, or any other proceeding before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC’s free subscription service. You can sign up at: http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Monte Mendenhall
Regional Business Manager
ATTACHMENT B
Dear Customer or Landowner,

This letter is to notify you of a correction to the location of the proposed Lassen Substation as it was represented in the previous letter dated November 6, 2015. The Substation, which will be constructed as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation, will be located west of Interstate 5, along South Old Stage Road (not Old Stage Coach Road).

If you wish to participate in the proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar. Protests may be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Docket Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, California 94102

If you need assistance with filing a formal protest, or would like to submit public comment about the application, please contact the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office at:

Public Advisor’s Office 415-703-2074
California Public Utilities Commission 1-866-849-8390
505 Van Ness Avenue TTY 415-703-5282
San Francisco, CA 94102 TTY toll free 1-866-836-7825
Or by email to: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

If you would like to follow this, or any other proceeding before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC’s free subscription service. You can sign up at: http://subscribecpuc.cpuc.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Monte Mendenhall
Regional Business Manager
ATTACHMENT C
Pacific Power filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the Lassen Substation as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. Pacific Power is looking to replace the existing Mt. Shasta Substation to ensure reliable service and maintenance crew safety. The existing Mt. Shasta Substation, located west of Interstate 5, along South Old Stage Road*, currently delivers power to more than 4,000 customers in the City of Mt. Shasta and surrounding areas. The substation has reached its capacity and needs to be replaced. The new Lassen Substation will allow Pacific Power to serve existing and future customers in the Mt. Shasta area.

The major components of this proposed project are as follows:

- Build the Lassen Substation in the vicinity of the Mt. Shasta Substation.
- Replace 36 wood poles along the existing transmission line.
- Upgrade to an existing distribution system to meet current capacity requirements and future load growth.
- Remove the Mt. Shasta Substation upon completion of the Lassen Substation.

The proposed Lassen Substation site consists of two locations’ parcels (APN 036-220-280 and APN 036-220-170) comprising approximately 4.5 acres. The new 280 foot by 212 foot substation would serve as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. As part of the proposed project, 36 existing wood poles along the existing transmission line (approximately 1.5 linear miles) that transport the bulk electrical power into and from the existing Mt. Shasta Substation will be replaced to comply with current rules and regulations.

The current distribution system will be upgraded from a 4.16-kV line to a 12.47-kV line. The distribution line will be partially re-conducted and the 12.47-kV distribution system will be reconnected in a new configuration to receive supply from three breakers at the proposed Lassen Substation. As part of the distribution line upgrade, approximately 1,200 feet of underground cable will be installed to increase capacity of an existing underground line.

Under the California Environmental Quality Act, the CPUC is responsible for identifying the significant environmental impacts of the proposed project and for avoiding or mitigating them if feasible. Pacific Power has prepared a Proponent’s Environmental Assessment (PEA), which includes an analysis of potential environmental impacts created by the construction, operation, and maintenance of the proposed project. The PEA was submitted with the company’s application and includes applicant proposed measures to reduce the environmental impacts from the proposed project.

The CPUC requires utilities to employ “no-cost” or “low-cost” measures to reduce public exposure to magnetic fields. In order to reduce the potential exposure to electric and magnetic fields (EMFs), which could be generated by the proposed facilities, we have prepared an EMF Management Plan. As part of this plan, the company intends to:

- Extend the proposed Lassen Substation fence line on the west side to encompass the transmission span entering the substation.
- Increase the height of the replacement transmission poles.

To obtain or review a copy of our application, please visit pacificpower.net or the Pacific Power office at 300 S. Main Street, Yreka, CA 96097, or call 1-888-221-7070.

If you wish to participate in the proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of this filing’s posting to the CPUC’s daily calendar. Protests may be sent to:

California Public Utilities Commission
Docket Office
505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2001
San Francisco, California 94102

If you need assistance with filing a formal protest, or would like to submit public comment about the application, please contact the CPUC’s Public Advisor’s Office at:

- Mail: Public Advisor’s Office
  California Public Utilities Commission
  505 Van Ness Avenue
  San Francisco, CA 94102

- Email: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

- Call: 1-415-703-2074
- Toll free: 1-866-849-8390
- TTY: 1-866-836-7825
- TTY toll free: 1-415-703-5282

If you would like to follow this, or any other proceeding before the CPUC, you may use the CPUC’s free subscription service. You can sign up at: http://subscribe.cpuc.ca.gov/
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

In The Matter Of: Pacificorp - CA

Advertisement for Notice - Pacificorp - Lassen Substation 4x10" advertisement

This is to certify that the above advertising for Pacificorp appear in

Newspaper name Mt. Shasta Area Newspapers

On (Dates) 11/25/15

Signature

Sworn to before me this 1st day of December 2015.

Notary Public
Beware of Thanksgiving's hidden fire hazards

Celtic Christmas, Pink Martini at Cascade Theatre

★ Dunsmuir Garden Club is moving on . . .

Public Legal Notices

PACIFIC POWER NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION (A.15-11-005)

Pacific Power filed an application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct the Lassen Substation as a replacement to the existing Mt. Shasta Substation. Pacific Power is looking to replace the existing Mt. Shasta Substation to improve reliable service and maintenance of the existing Mt. Shasta Substation located near the intersection of U.S. 97 and South Stage Road, currently delivers power to over 4,000 customers in the City of Weed and surrounding area. The substation has reached its capacity and needs to be replaced. The new Lassen Substation will allow Pacific Power to serve existing and future customers in the Methow Valley.

The major components of the proposed project are as follows:

- The new Lassen Substation in the vicinity of the Mt. Shasta Substation.
- Remove 35-mile sections along the existing transmission lines.
- Upgrade the existing distribution system to meet customers' requirements.
- Remove the Mt. Shasta Substation.

The proposed Lassen Substation site consists of two parcels of land (APN 018-220-006 and APN 018-221-03) comprising approximately 3.0 acres. The new 220-kV line has 232 towers, all of which will be replaced with the new Lassen Substation. The new Lassen Substation, as part of the proposed project, is expected to reduce the existing transmission line (approximately 13.5 miles) that supports the local electrical power grid and further reduce the Mt. Shasta Substation to be replaced to comply with current rates and regulations.

The current distribution system will be upgraded to 416 kV for G.44 and 4.4 kV. This includes new underground cables, new 12-kV substations, and new 12-kV distribution systems with underground cable ties. Planning, design, and construction of the proposed Lassen Substation is expected to begin in 2023, with a completion date of 2025.

As part of the project, a mature tree located near the existing transmission line will be removed. The tree will be removed to ensure the safety of the line and to avoid potential hazards.

If you wish to participate in this proceeding and file a formal protest, it must be done within 30 days of the filing's posting on the CPUC's daily calendar. Please contact Pacific Power for details.

If you have any questions or would like to submit a protest, please contact our customer service representatives at 800-547-7756.

Public Notice
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

In The Matter Of: Pacificorp - CA

Advertisement for Notice - Pacificorp - Lassen Substation 4x10" advertisement

This is to certify that the above advertising for Pacificorp appear in

Newspaper name Mt. Shasta Area Newspapers

On (Dates) 12/2/15

Signature

Sworn to before me this 3rd day of December 2015.

Notary Public
PACIFIC POWER NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONSTRUCTION (A.15-11-005)

Pacific Power file application with the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to construct this line. This filing represents the preliminary step in the process of obtaining the required regulatory approvals for the proposed project. The existing Mt. Shasta Substation is at risk of becoming decommissioned and is not capable of supporting the future electrical demands anticipated by 2035. The project is necessary to meet the existing and future electrical demands in the Shasta area.

The project would have the following components:
- Add 26 new poles
- Replace existing 69 kV wood poles with 115 kV poles
- Upgrade to high-voltage distribution systems
- Improve reliability by reducing the number of outages
- Increase the number of substations
- Improve resilience against natural disasters

The primary benefits of the project are:
- Reduce outages
- Improve safety
- Increase reliability
- Reduce operational costs

The project is expected to cost approximately $30 million and is anticipated to be completed by 2023. The project is expected to be cost-recovered through rates charged to customers.

NOTICE INVITING CONTRACTORS

Pursuant to Public Contract Code Section 10200, et seq. (PCC), the Uniform Public Construction Contract Act, the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC), and the State of California, the Pacific Power is inviting qualified contractors to submit bids for the following project:

Project Name: Shasta Substation Upgrades

The project involves the replacement of the existing 69 kV wood poles with 115 kV poles, upgrading to high-voltage distribution systems, and improving reliability by reducing the number of outages. The project is expected to cost approximately $30 million and is anticipated to be completed by 2023. The project is expected to be cost-recovered through rates charged to customers.

Interested bidders should contact the Pacific Power Public Affairs Office to obtain the bidding documents and additional information. Bids are due by the deadline specified in the bidding documents.

PUBLIC POWER

Public Notice
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Department</th>
<th>Agency Contact</th>
<th>Mailing Address</th>
<th>City, State &amp; Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Indian Affairs</td>
<td>Pacific Region</td>
<td>Amy Dutschke, Regional Director</td>
<td>2800 Cottage Way</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bureau of Land Management</td>
<td>Redding Field Office</td>
<td>Field Manager</td>
<td>355 Hemsted Drive</td>
<td>Redding, CA 96002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Transportation</td>
<td>District 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>1567 Riverside Dr.</td>
<td>Redding, CA 96001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Fish &amp; Wildlife</td>
<td>Northern Region</td>
<td>Neil Manji, Regional Manager</td>
<td>601 Locust Street</td>
<td>Redding, CA 96001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Siting, Transmission, and Environmental Protection Division</td>
<td>Roger Johnson, Deputy Director</td>
<td>1516 Ninth Street, MS-29</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814-5512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>Air Resources Board, Enforcement Division</td>
<td>Todd Sax, Division Chief</td>
<td>P.O. Box 2815</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Environmental Protection Agency</td>
<td>State Water Resources Control Board</td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 100</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95812-0100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Native American Heritage Commission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>P.O. Box 942686</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 94296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Parks</td>
<td>Office of Historic Preservation</td>
<td>Julianne Polanco</td>
<td>1725 23rd Street, Suite 100</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Aviation Administration</td>
<td>Western-Pacific Region</td>
<td>Tamara Swann, Regional Executive Manager</td>
<td>P.O. Box 92007</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA 90009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Highway Administration</td>
<td>California Division</td>
<td>Vincent Mammano, Division Administrator</td>
<td>650 Capitol Mall, Suite 4-100</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814-4708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Army Corp of Engineers</td>
<td>North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board</td>
<td></td>
<td>5550 Skyline Boulevard, Suite A</td>
<td>Santa Rosa, CA 95403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish &amp; Wildlife Service</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1455 Market Street, 16th Floor</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA 94103-6690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Transportation</td>
<td>P.O. Box 942673</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 94273-0001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secretary of the Resources Agency</td>
<td>1416 Ninth Street, Suite 1311</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Public Health</td>
<td>Director's Office</td>
<td></td>
<td>MS 0500, P.O. Box 997377</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Department of Transportation</td>
<td>Division of Aeronautics</td>
<td></td>
<td>MS # 40, P.O. Box 942674</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 94274-0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>P.O. Box 790</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Agricultural Department</td>
<td>P.O. Box 750</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Board of Supervisors</td>
<td>525 South Foothill Drive</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Planning Commission</td>
<td>806 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Community Development Department</td>
<td>806 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>County Counsel</td>
<td>P.O. Box 790</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>District Attorney</td>
<td>311 Fourth Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Engineering</td>
<td>P.O. Box 1127</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Office of Emergency Services</td>
<td>806 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Planning</td>
<td>806 South Main Street</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of Siskiyou</td>
<td>Road Department</td>
<td>P.O. Box 1127</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mt. Shasta</td>
<td>Administration</td>
<td>305 N. Mt. Shasta Blvd</td>
<td>Mt Shasta, CA 96067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mt. Shasta</td>
<td>City Council</td>
<td>305 N. Mt. Shasta Blvd</td>
<td>Mt Shasta, CA 96067</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mt. Shasta</td>
<td>Dept of Public Works</td>
<td>Rod Bryan, Director</td>
<td>305 N. Mt. Shasta Blvd</td>
<td>Mt Shasta, CA 96067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Mt. Shasta</td>
<td>Planning Department</td>
<td>Kristen Maze, City Planner</td>
<td>305 N. Mt. Shasta Blvd</td>
<td>Mt Shasta, CA 96067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service</td>
<td>Pacific Southwest Region</td>
<td></td>
<td>2800 Cottage Way</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siskiyou County Air Pollution Control District</td>
<td>APCO - Patrick Griffin</td>
<td>525 South Foothill Drive</td>
<td>Yreka, CA 96097</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td>1400 Independence Ave., S.W.</td>
<td>Washington, DC 20250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Hi Vicki,
The application has not been filed with the CPUC but we do plan to file this year.
Thanks
Cathie

Hi Cathie,
I'm just checking in as you suggested to see if an application has been filed. Have you any further information about the status of the substation expansion?
Thanks so much,
Vicki

On Feb 12, 2015, at 7:28 AM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:

Hi Vicki,
I've provided a response to each of your questions below. In addition, I want to stress that PacifiCorp has not yet filed its application at the CPUC and, until the application is filed, all activities related to the permitting of the Lassen Substation are preliminary in nature. Once the application is filed, a formal process will be established for review of the Company’s application, including CEQA review. Interested parties will be given an opportunity to participate in the CPUC’s review of the Company’s application.

Below are responses to your questions:

• **How long does the process take for the PEA?**
  - A Proponent’s Environmental Assessment is a document required as part of the Company’s permit application filing with the CPUC. As such, there is no “process” for the PEA other than the normal CPUC review of the Company’s application, which includes CEQA review.

• **What is an Advisory Letter?**
  - I am not sure what you mean by advisory letter. The CPUC is the agency conducting the CEQA review for the Company’s proposed construction of the Lassen Substation. Review by the CPUC begins upon the Company’s submission of an application (as opposed to an advice letter filing) for a construction permit at the CPUC. I am not aware of any requirement for an Advisory Letter.

• **Is this a short cut to CEQA review?**
  - No, a PEA is submitted as part of the application to the CPUC for a permit to construct and provides information to the CPUC necessary for CEQA review of the Company’s application.

• **Can you please put me on your advisory list to be notified of any hearings, notices and documents about the substation?**
Once the application for a permit to construct is filed at the CPUC, the application will be posted on the CPUC website. The CPUC website provides information on how interested parties can participate in and receive information on matters before the CPUC. In addition, you can check back with me every so often to confirm whether the application has been filed.

- Also, do I understand correctly that if Crystal Geyser needs 4 times the amount of power as previously available to CocaCola onsite as they have indicated, they will not need another substation nearby, that this alone will serve them?
  - Are you referring to a substation in addition to the Lassen Substation? PacifiCorp’s engineering studies do not show a need for multiple new substations in the Mt. Shasta region. I cannot speak to the individual needs of any customer in our service territory.

Regards,
Cathie

---

From: Vicki Gold [mailto:victoria7@snowcrest.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 11, 2015 10:27 AM
To: Allen, Cathie
Subject: Re: Lassen Substation and Crystal Geyser Mount Shasta

Hi Cathie,
Can you please put me on your advisory list to be notified of any hearings, notices and documents about the substation?
Also, do I understand correctly that if Crystal Geyser needs 4 times the amount of power as previously available to CocaCola onsite as they have indicated, they will not need another substation nearby, that this alone will serve them?
Thanks again,
Vicki
On Feb 11, 2015, at 10:05 AM, Vicki Gold <victoria7@snowcrest.net> wrote:

Thank you Cathie.
How long does the process take for the PEA? What is an Advisory Letter? Is this a short cut to CEQA review?
Vicki
On Feb 11, 2015, at 9:58 AM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:

Hi Vicki
My apologies for the delayed response. I’ve been out of the office off-and-on over the past couple of weeks and I am trying to get caught up on emails. Regarding the Lassen Substation, we are still in the pre-application phase. Once the application is filed with the CPUC there will be a chance for interested parties to review the company’s filing.

I copied your questions below and noted my response in red font.
Thanks
Cathie

At this point what steps have been taken for the IS if any?
At what stage in the process is Pacific Power and the PUC? PacifiCorp plans to file an application with the CPUC in the next few months requesting authorization for a permit to construct.

We have only heard of this via our PRA and FOIA searches regarding the Crystal Geyser plant. Has there been public disclosure in newspaper articles?

The open house was noticed back in 2010 and was well attended. We will follow the noticing requirements in General Order 131-D when our application is filed with the CPUC.

-----Original Message-----
From: Vicki Gold [mailto:victoria7@snowcrest.net]
Sent: Wednesday, February 04, 2015 12:19 PM
To: Allen, Cathie
Subject: Re: Lassen Substation and Crystal Geyser Mount Shasta

Hi Cathie,
Thank you for this. At this point what steps have been taken for the IS if any? At what stage in the process is Pacific Power and the PUC? We have only heard of this via our PRA and FOIA searches regarding the Crystal Geyser plant. Has there been public disclosure in newspaper articles?
Vicki
On Feb 4, 2015, at 11:32 AM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:

> Hi Vicki
> > The company has been planning to construct a new substation for several years. We hosted an open house in 2010 to talk with the community about the planned project. Attached is a fact sheet that was provided to folks attending the open house that shows the proposed location of the substation. As stated in the attached fact sheet, the Lassen substation is necessary to address capacity needs; importantly, while specific customer demands (e.g., from individual customers) may drive the timing of the construction of the Lassen substation, the Company's decision to construct the Lassen substation is driven by system reliability needs. The proposed substation will be located adjacent to the existing Mt. Shasta substation.
> > Regards,
> > Cathie
> > ------Original Message------
> > From: Vicki Gold [mailto:victoria7@snowcrest.net]
> > Sent: Friday, January 23, 2015 7:17 PM
> > To: Allen, Cathie
> > Subject: Re: Lassen Substation and Crystal Geyser Mount Shasta
> > > Hi Cathie,
> > > Thanks so much for your rapid response. We are advocating for a comprehensive EIR on the Crystal Geyser proposed beverage bottling facility. In reviewing the PRA documents from the County and the City over the past year, we learned of the interest CG expressed early on, in greatly increasing the power available at the plant site. The various emails involved the PUC so we sent a PRA to them as well to become better informed. Naturally we are interested in the
various options for the routing of the power and the locations proposed for the new substation if that is still in the
works.

> Vicki
>
> On Jan 23, 2015, at 2:17 PM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:
>
> >> Hi Vicki
> >> I just wanted to let you know that I received your email. I don’t know the answers to your questions but will look into
> >> this and get back with you.
> >>
> >> Could you tell me a little more about your public records request to the CPUC and what prompted the request?
> >> Thanks,
> >> Cathie
> >>
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: Vicki Gold [mailto:victoria7@snowcrest.net]
> >> Sent: Thursday, January 22, 2015 3:52 PM
> >> To: Allen, Cathie
> >> Subject: Lassen Substation and Crystal Geyser Mount Shasta
> >>
> >> Hello Cathie,
> >>
> >> I just received PRA documents from the PUC including your emails regarding the PacifiCorp Lassen Substation- CPUC
> >> Consultants. I am interested in discovering the exact location or potential locations considered for this proposed
> >> substation. Siskiyou County Planning Department has no awareness of the proposed location. As far as I recall, there is
> >> one main station on South Old Stage Road, is this correct? Where would the next link be sited? Is it near the I-5, Mercy
> >> Hospital or farther west near Lassen/ N Old Stage Road intersection or on the Crystal Geyser property near Ski Village
> >> Drive?
> >>
> >> Is there any proposal at this time? Are there maps showing the service area and any extensions in the works?
> >> Thank you for your assistance,
> >>
> >> Vicki Gold
> >>
> >> <2669-9_LassenSubstation_FactSheet_F.PDF>
Cathie,
Thank you for your response. I think the community would feel better if you would allow the name of the substation to continue to be the *Mt Shasta Substation*, removing references to Lassen. It might make people think it will be located on Lassen Lane or in Lassen County. It is clearly less confusing for all concerned as the continue to follow public notices. *While I am aware that you had an open house in 2010, I believe it would be prudent to have another one as the situation has changed significantly.* At that time, CocaCola was talking openly about closing down and did so in October 2010. As I recall there was no mention at that time of additional need or want of a large industrial consumer. That open house did not address the new driver, CGWC, only the maintenance and repairs and general future growth. Now the situation is different. We also see that power demand has decreased since CocaCola closed. Emails clearly state that the power need changed. Plus the Mt Shasta Ski Park barely opened for two consecutive years. That was omitted in your PEA charts of historic usage and % capacity.

We have records of communications from 2012 and 2013 among the executives at Crystal Geyser Water Co, PacifiCorp and the CPUC documenting their intention to expand, with their future need being 4 times that of CocaCola's previous use. There is no mention of CGWC's true future expansion in the PEA, and full buildout must be addressed with any major proposed power expansion. To do otherwise has the appearance of piecemealing and could easily be considered as deceptive by the public.

A new open house fully disclosing the beneficiaries and outlining the costs associated with the new extensions and additions to the CGWC plant would be considered a more transparent course. This would allow discussion of the costs of installing underground power lines vs replacing poles with taller and thicker wood poles, vs steel poles. It could better inform the community of exactly what they will ultimately be paying for in the future in rate increases, and how decisions are made with respect to subsidizing a major foreign corporation's wants. It seems prudent to ask about needs and to distinguish public safety and power reliability issues from corporate investment as usual and customary costs of doing business.

Thank you for considering these ideas,
Vicki

On Nov 20, 2015, at 4:09 PM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:

Vicki
Thank you for your email and interest in the Lassen Substation project. I appreciate you notifying us that the street name stated in the newspaper notice was incorrect. We will be making a correction to the notice that will run in the weekly paper on November 25 and December 2. The notice is meant to inform the public that Pacific Power is proposing to build a new substation and how they may participate in the process so we do want the location to be accurate.
As I noted in an earlier email, Pacific Power has been planning to construct a new substation for several years. We hosted an open house in 2010 to talk with the community about the planned project. As stated in the fact sheet provided at the open house, the Lassen substation is necessary to address capacity needs; importantly, while specific customer demands (e.g., from individual customers) may drive the timing of the construction of the Lassen substation, Pacific Power’s decision to construct the Lassen substation is driven by system reliability needs. The Mt. Shasta Substation is a severely deteriorated wood structure. Rot and damage caused by birds have brought the structure to near the end of its useful life. This situation has prompted concerns about the Mt. Shasta Substation being able to safely and reliably meet current and future local and contractual system demand.

If you would like to participate in the process at the California Public Utilities Commission, please contact the Public Advisor’s Office at:

Public Advisor’s Office 415-703-2074
California Public Utilities Commission 1-866-849-8390
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
TTY 415-703-5282
TTY toll free 1-866-836-7825
Or by email to: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

Regards,

Cathie

---

---

From: Vicki Gold [mailto:victoria7@snowcrest.net]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:34 AM
To: Allen, Cathie
Cc: jarstrong@goodinmacbride.com; Michael.Rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov Rosauer
Subject: Public Notice Re: Lassen Substation upgrade

Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com
  jarstrong@goodinmacbride.com
  "Michael.Rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov Rosauer" <Michael.Rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov>

November 16, 2015

Dear Cathie,

I represent Water Flows Free, a group of almost 700 people, both local Mt Shasta residents and visitors who frequent our internationally renowned tourist town. We are very concerned about the Crystal Geyser Water Company/ Otsuka Holdings (CGWC) plant and the quite obvious lack of environmental review surrounding the project by all agencies: Siskiyou County, the City of Mt Shasta and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. Now there is a recent application filed which will give the local APCD an opportunity to review what we also consider to be discretionary permits. We are not optimistic that they will step up either. But I digress.

The Public Notice filed in the Mt Shasta Herald last week appears to have been designed to misinform the public. First, the name: renaming of the Mt Shasta Substation to Lassen creates a misconception that this is not even in Siskiyou County. Why is there a name change when Dunsmuir and Weed Substations retain their original names? Is it because CGWC originally intended to have a substation on their 262 acre property as stated in Dianne Feinstein’s 2012 letter of support to the EDA during their granting process? We are in receipt of many emails from 2012 to present, among Jason Rancadore on the GoBiz office, the SCEDC, the CPUC regarding the potential CEQA implications of the CGWC’s stated desired PacifiCorp power needs for future expansion. All of these can easily be provided to you, but since Monty Mendenhall was probably party to many of those phone calls and communications, the email trail should be available in your PacifiCorp records.

We know that this project is driven by an effort to serve "a light industrial consumer" not named. It is clearly inequitable to ask the public ratepayers to cover PacifiCorp’s "upgrade" to accommodate CG’s wants of 4 times the power used by the prior CocaCola plant operators. Lines are clearly to be installed to serve the plant (shown on the maps, but not named), yet the Public Notice fails to name CGWC as a primary beneficiary of the upgrade. This is corporate welfare. If the PEA evaluation is $518,000 for a Mitigated Negative Declaration, plus the costs of the CPUC review by Dudek and the construction costs, this is a significant subsidy by the ratepayers and taxpayers. My group is only one of many who are tracking this issue.
The public has not been apprised of the true nature of the Substation upgrade and we feel this should be remedied first by a new public notice. We also feel the name of the project should be kept as Mt Shasta Substation Project. The street mentioned is also in error; it is not the correct name of the street which is South Old Stage Road, not Old Stage Coach Road, which is in Redding. This is another error that must be corrected. Why was the end of the comment period not listed as 12/4/15? This makes it very difficult for the public to perceive the urgency of their responses.

I would appreciate your reply to my email.

Thank you,
Vicki Gold
Water Flows Free
Mt Shasta
Allen, Cathie

From: Vicki Gold <victoria7@snowcrest.net>
Sent: Monday, November 23, 2015 10:36 AM
To: Allen, Cathie
Cc: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com; Michael.Rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov Rosauer; Jack M. Mulligan
Subject: Re: Public Notice Re: Lassen Substation upgrade

Hello Michael & Jack,
Please advise us about the possible extension of the public comment time. Will the clock start again on 12/2 at the last public notice posting in the Mt Shasta Herald? Is there a possible exception made regarding the rule on deadlines normally triggered by the posting on the Daily Calendar?
Thank you for responding. Many are waiting for your response which I believe will avoid many phone calls to the CPUC.
Vicki Gold
Water Flows Free
On Nov 22, 2015, at 12:03 PM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:

Vicki
As this case is now actively pending before the CPUC your questions are better addressed by the CPUC Public Advisor's Office. They can provide you with guidance on timing and other requirements.
Regards,
Cathie

From: Vicki Gold [victoria7@snowcrest.net]
Sent: Saturday, November 21, 2015 8:13 AM
To: Allen, Cathie
Cc: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com; Michael.Rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov Rosauer
Subject: Re: Public Notice Re: Lassen Substation upgrade

Now with the link. Apparently the numbing of my mind after reading it led me to omit it from the email. Humor is the saving grace in my life.
On Nov 21, 2015, at 8:12 AM, Vicki Gold <victoria7@snowcrest.net> wrote:

Cathie,
I forgot to ask the most important question for the community. Will the time allowed for responses to the PEA and application be extended as determined by the new public notice dates, in this case 12/2/15? I read through the link below and frankly found it to be one of the most user unfriendly documents I've ever encountered in my many years. It appears to be equally burdensome for utilities as for the public, yet the public usually has fewer legal and financial resources with which to address such a bureaucratic maze. I can only assume that the Daily Calendar date of 11/2 cannot remain as the start of the clock for the 30 day protest period. Please clarify this most important point.
Thank you,
Vicki
On Nov 20, 2015, at 4:09 PM, "Allen, Cathie" <Cathie.Allen@pacificorp.com> wrote:
Thank you for your email and interest in the Lassen Substation project. I appreciate you notifying us that the street name stated in the newspaper notice was incorrect. We will be making a correction to the notice that will run in the weekly paper on November 25 and December 2. The notice is meant to inform the public that Pacific Power is proposing to build a new substation and how they may participate in the process so we do want the location to be accurate.

As I noted in an earlier email, Pacific Power has been planning to construct a new substation for several years. We hosted an open house in 2010 to talk with the community about the planned project. As stated in the fact sheet provided at the open house, the Lassen substation is necessary to address capacity needs; importantly, while specific customer demands (e.g., from individual customers) may drive the timing of the construction of the Lassen substation, Pacific Power’s decision to construct the Lassen substation is driven by system reliability needs. The Mt. Shasta Substation is a severely deteriorated wood structure. Rot and damage caused by birds have brought the structure to near the end of its useful life. This situation has prompted concerns about the Mt. Shasta Substation being able to safely and reliably meet current and future local and contractual system demand.

If you would like to participate in the process at the California Public Utilities Commission, please contact the Public Advisor’s Office at:

Public Advisor’s Office
California Public Utilities Commission
505 Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102
Or by email to: public.advisor@cpuc.ca.gov

415-703-2074
1-866-849-8390
TTY 415-703-5282
TTY toll free 1-866-836-7825

Regards,
Cathie

From: Vicki Gold [mailto:victoria7@snowcrest.net]
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2015 11:34 AM
To: Allen, Cathie
Cc: jarmstrong@goodinmacbride.com; Michael.Rosauer@cpuc.ca.gov Rosauer
Subject: Public Notice Re: Lassen Substation upgrade

Dear Cathie,

I represent Water Flows Free, a group of almost 700 people, both local Mt Shasta residents and visitors who frequent our internationally renowned tourist town. We are very concerned about the Crystal Geyser Water Company/ Otsuka Holdings (CGWC) plant and the quite obvious lack of environmental review surrounding the project by all agencies: Siskiyou County, the City of Mt Shasta and the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board. Now there is a recent application filed which will give the local APCD an opportunity to review what we also consider to be discretionary permits. We are not optimistic that they will step up either. But I digress.

The Public Notice filed in the Mt Shasta Herald last week appears to have been designed to misinform the public. First, the name: renaming of the Mt Shasta Substation to Lassen creates a misconception that this is not even in Siskiyou County. Why is there a name change when Dunsmuir and Weed Substations retain their original names? Is it because CGWC originally intended to have a substation on their 262 acre property as stated in Dianne Feinstein’s 2012 letter of support to the EDA during their granting process?
We are in receipt of many emails from 2012 to present, among Jason Rancadore in the GoBiz office, the SCEDC, the CPUC regarding the potential CEQA implications of the CGWC’s stated desired PacifiCorp power needs for future expansion. All of these can easily be provided to you, but since Monty Mendenhall was probably party to many of those phone calls and communications, the email trail should be available in your PacifiCorp records.

We know that this project is driven by an effort to serve “a light industrial consumer” not named. It is clearly inequitable to ask the public ratepayers to cover PacifiCorp’s “upgrade” to accommodate CG’s wants of 4 times the power used by the prior CocaCola plant operators. Lines are clearly to be installed to serve the plant (shown on the maps, but not named), yet the Public Notice fails to name CGWC as a primary beneficiary of the upgrade. This is corporate welfare. If the PEA evaluation is $518,000 for a Mitigated Negative Declaration, plus the costs of the CPUC review by Dudek and the construction costs, this is a significant subsidy by the ratepayers and taxpayers. My group is only one of many who are tracking this issue.

The public has not been apprised of the true nature of the Substation upgrade and we feel this should be remedied first by a new public notice. We also feel the name of the project should be kept as Mt Shasta Substation Project. The street mentioned is also in error; it is not the correct name of the street which is South Old Stage Road, not Old Stage Coach Road, which is in Redding. This is another error that must be corrected. Why was the end of the comment period not listed as 12/4/15? This makes it very difficult for the public to perceive the urgency of their responses.

I would appreciate your reply to my email.
Thank you,
Vicki Gold
Water Flows Free
Mt Shasta