May 15, 2007

Jack Horne  
Southern California Edison Company  
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue  
G. O. 1, Quad 3c  
Rosemead, CA 91770

RE: Review of Southern California Edison Company's Application (A. 07-04-028) for a Permit to Construct the Fogarty Substation Project.

The Energy Division of the California Public Utilities Commission has completed its first review of Southern California Edison's (SCE) Application (A.07-04-028) for a Permit to Construct the Fogarty Substation Project.

Section 15100 of the California Environmental Quality Act requires the agency responsible for the certification of a proposed project to assess the completeness of the project proponent’s application. The Energy Division uses the Commission’s Information and Criteria List as the basic guide for determining the adequacy of project applications.

After performing its review of SCE’s application for the Fogarty Substation project, the Energy Division finds that the information contained in the environmental assessment is currently incomplete. Attached is the report that identifies the issue areas of the application that were found to be deficient. Additional information submitted in accordance with the Energy Division’s report should be filed as supplements to the above application.

Upon receipt of the supplemental information, the Energy Division will perform a second review to assess the adequacy of the data submitted—a determination of the adequacy of the application will once again be issued.

The Energy Division reserves the right to request additional information at any point in the process. Questions relating to the project should be directed to Jensen Uchida at (415) 703-5484.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Jensen Uchida  
Energy Division  
California Public Utilities Commission
Fogarty Substation PEA First Deficiency Review

- **Section 3.2.3 115 kV Valley-Ivyglen Subtransmission Line Access Description.** This section explains that the existing 115 kV Valley-Elsinore-Ivyglen subtransmission line will be intercepted by the Fogarty Substation. The section does not address whether or not the new 115 kV Valley-Ivyglen subtransmission line will tie in to the Fogarty substation. This explanation is critical to supporting SCE's claim that the Fogarty Substation and the Valley-Ivyglen 115 kV Subtransmission Line projects are functionally independent of each other and can be treated as separate projects under CEQA. PEA should include a schematic diagram that illustrates the distinct purposes of the two projects.

- **Section 3.3.1 Telecommunications Improvements.** This section explains the fiber optic communications systems planned between the Fogarty Substation and the Ivyglen substation. The PEA should explain the difference the Fogarty fiber optic system and the fiber optic system being installed as part of the Valley-Ivyglen 115 kV Subtransmission Line project. This explanation is critical to supporting SCE's claim that the Fogarty Substation and the Valley-Ivyglen 115 kV Subtransmission Line projects are functionally independent of each other and can be treated as separate projects under CEQA.

- **Key Map 4.1-4.** Views 1, 2, and 3, indicated on the Key Map 4.1-4 do not appear to correspond with the view angles of the existing condition visual plates under figures 4.1-1, 4.1-2, and 4.1-3.

- **Section 4.7.1 Hazardous Materials.** The PEA should include a description of the oil spill/hazard waste containment measures SCE intends to incorporate into the substation design to address spills or accidental releases.