1 Valley-lvyglen 115-kV Subtransmission Line and

2 Alberhill System Projects

3 Final Environmental Impact Report Errata

4

5 Introduction

6 The California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) published the Final Environmental Impact Report 7 (Final EIR) for the Valley-Ivyglen 115-kV Subtransmission Line and Alberhill System Projects in April 8 2017 and an Errata in February 2018. During the preparation of the Proposed Decision and the Alternate 9 Proposed Decision, the CPUC decided to correct several typos related to the numbering of applicant-10 proposed Project Commitments and minor changes to the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Plan. Note that the edits below do not result in significant new information as described in Section 15088.5(a) 11 12 of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines and do not require recirculation of the 13 document.

14

15 Changes to Chapter 2.0, Description of the Proposed Projects

16

17 The following rows have been appended to the end of Table 2-12 Project Commitments:

| Project | San Diego Ambrosia. During construction, ground-disturbing activities including parking and staging of |
|--------------|--|
| Commitment L | equipment and vehicles off-road within 50 feet of known populations of San Diego Ambrosia, the following |
| | will be implemented: Work should occur in the late summer/early fall (August to October) to avoid: 1) the San |
| | Diego ambrosia blooming season and 2) wet soil conditions during the rainy season when work could result |
| | in damage to the growing plant/rhizomes. If work, such as pole brushing, is required at other times, a |
| | biological monitor will be present to locate the San Diego ambrosia for avoidance. As a general rule, no work |
| | is allowed within 72 hours following a rain event but dry site conditions will be verified by crews prior to |
| | initiation of work. If equipment and vehicles need to be situated over the plant population, metal grates or |
| | plywood sheets (depending on the size of equipment) will be placed over the plants temporarily. A biological |
| | monitor will be present during ground disturbing activities to ensure avoidance and minimization of impacts to |
| | San Diego Ambrosia. |
| Project | ARL Land. Temporary impacts to MSHCP ARLs will be restored to greatest extent practicable using species |
| Commitment M | present prior to disturbance. Should any permanent impacts to ARL result during construction, the Applicant |
| | will dedicate biologically equivalent or superior land to the MSHCP. The Applicant will prepare an ARL |
| | equivalency analysis to be included as part of the MSHCP PSE submittal. This equivalency analysis will |
| | compare the potential effects on the ARL to the benefits of proposed replacement land, including |
| | compensation for potentially lost conservation functions and values. The analysis will consider specific |
| | project design features, siting and design, and MSHCP BMPs, as well as address effects on covered species |
| | and habitats, core areas, linkages, constrained linkages, MSHCP Conservation Area configuration and |
| | management, and ecotones. The replacement land ratio is anticipated to be not less than 2:1 within MSHCP |
| | Core 1 but will ultimately be determined through MSHCP consistency findings made by RCA, CDFW and |
| | USFWS concurrence as part of the MSHCP PSE process. |
| Project | Wildlife Movement. In the event that retaining walls or some other structural method of slope stabilization |
| Commitment N | would be needed, walls will be sited, designed, and oriented to minimize impacts to movement of native |
| | resident wildlife species and established wildlife corridors, in coordination with the RCA, USFWS, and |
| | <u>CDFW.</u> |

18

19

| 1 | Changes to Section 4.4, Biological Resources |
|--------|--|
| 2 3 | The following changes have been made to the lettering of the Project Commitments on page 4.4-19: |
| 4 | |
| 5 | Project Commitment I: San Diego Ambrosia is now lettered Project Commitment L. |
| 6 | Project Commitment J: ARL Land is now lettered Project Commitment M. |
| 7 | Project Commitment L: Wildlife Movement is now lettered Project Commitment N. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | Changes to Chapter 9.0, Mitigation Monitoring Compliance and Reporting Program |
| 10 | |
| 11 | Changes to Section 9.5, Dispute Resolution |
| 12 | |
| 13 | The language on page 9-6 under Section 9.5, Dispute Resolution, has been modified as follows: |
| 14 | |
| 15 | The following procedure will be observed for dispute resolution between CPUC staff and |
| 16 | applicant: |
| 17 | |
| 18 | • Step 1. Disputes and complaints (including those of the public) should be |
| 19 | directed first to the CPUC Project Manager for resolution. The CPUC Project |
| 20 | Manager will attempt to resolve the dispute. |
| 21 | • Step 2. Should this informal process fail, the CPUC Project Manager may |
| 22 | initiate enforcement or compliance action to address deviations from the |
| 23 | proposed <u>approved</u> project <u>. or adopted MMCRP.</u> |
| 24 | Step 3. If a dispute or complaint regarding the implementation or evaluation of |
| 25 | the MMCRP cannot be resolved informally or through enforcement or |
| 26 | compliance action by the CPUC, any affected participant in the dispute or |
| 27 | complaint may file a written "notice of dispute" with the CPUC Executive |
| 28 | Director. This notice should be filed in order to resolve the dispute in a timely |
| 29 | manner, with copies concurrently served on other affected participants. Within |
| 30 | 10 days of receipt, the Executive Director or designee(s) shall meet or confer |
| 31 | with the filer and other affected participants for the purposes of resolving the |
| 32 | dispute. The Executive Director shall issue an Executive Resolution describing |
| 33 | his/her decision, and serve it on the filer and other affected participants. |
| 34 | Step 4. If one or more of the affected parties is not satisfied with the decision as |
| 35 | described in the resolution, such party(ies) may appeal to the CPUC via a |
| 36 | procedure to be specified by the Commission. |
| 37 | |
| 38 | Parties may also seek review by the CPUC through existing procedures specified in the |
| 39 | CPUC Rules of Practice and Procedure for formal and expedited dispute resolution, |
| 40 | although a good faith effort should first be made to use the foregoing procedure. |
| 41 | |
| 42 | |

1 Additional Global Changes to Chapter 9.0, Mitigation Monitoring Compliance and 2 **Reporting Program** 3 4 Throughout Chapter 9.0, Project Commitment I: San Diego Ambrosia is now lettered Project 5 Commitment L, Project Commitment J: ARL Land is now lettered Project Commitment M, and 6 Project Commitment L: Wildlife Movement is now lettered Project Commitment N. 7 8 Further, for better readability, Table 9-1 has been split into two tables. Table 9-1 includes the 9 Valley-Ivyglen Subtransmission Line Project Commitments and Mitigation Measures, and Table 10 9-2 includes the Alberhill Systems Project Project Commitments Mitigation Measures. Other minor edits have been made throughout Chapter 9.0 to reflect this change (e.g., references to 11 12 Table 9-1 have been replaced with references to Tables 9-1 and 9-2).