

**PROJECT MEMORANDUM
SYCAMORE-PEÑASQUITOS 230-KV
TRANSMISSION LINE PROJECT**

Date: January 27, 2017
To: Billie Blanchard, CPUC Project Manager
From: Sheila Hoyer, CPUC Monitoring Supervisor
Subject: Weekly Report #3 – January 16 to January 21, 2017

INTRODUCTION

This report provides a summary of the construction and compliance activities associated with the SDG&E Sycamore-Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project for the week of January 16 through January 21, 2017.

A summary of the Notices to Proceed, and Minor Project Refinement, and Temporary Extra Workspace Requests are provided in Tables 1, 2, and 3, respectively. A summary of Non-compliance, Project Memoranda and Incidents is provided in Table 4. The Inspection Report is provided in Attachment A.

CPUC/Panorama Environmental Monitor (EM): Korey Klutz

STAGING YARDS

Summary of Activity:

Stonebridge Staging Yard:

1. Installation of the perimeter fence and screen, and BMPs. Spreading and compaction of base rock material.

Driving Range Staging Yard:

1. Installation of the perimeter fence, screen and gate. Installation of BMPs.

Environmental Compliance:

1. During this reporting period, all pavement was kept clean. No winds occurred that were higher than 20 mph. All off-road diesel-powered construction equipment used on the Project met a minimum of EPA Tier 3 emission standards.
2. No special-status species were observed during the monitoring period. All project equipment arrived clean and free of mud and/or vegetation.

3. No work occurred on January 16 in observance of the national holiday. Cultural and Native American monitors were on site January 17, 2017 at the Driving Range Staging Yard to observe fence and gate post excavations. No paleontological monitor was on site because work had no potential to encounter paleontological resources. Construction activities completed on January 18 occurred in areas where the potential for cultural and paleontological resources was low and monitoring was not required. No work occurred on January 19 or 20 due to rain. No cultural or paleontological resources were observed in the work area during this reporting period.
4. All trash and debris was properly contained and removed. Fueling was conducted in appropriate areas and all vehicles and equipment were checked for leaks. There were no spills observed during this reporting period and no reportable spills or releases occurred.
5. Construction activities during this reporting period were confined to Tuesday and Wednesday and occurred between 7:00 a.m. and 5:30 p.m.
6. Most Project personnel parked their personal vehicles within a designated personal vehicle parking area within the project limits within the Stonebridge Staging Yard. Workers also parked within the work limits of the Driving Range Staging Yard.
7. During this reporting period, the project site received approximately 1.27 inches of rainfall, which is classified as a Qualifying Rain Event. The Qualified Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan Practitioner (QSP) conducted visual inspections on January 17 through 20, 2017. As recommended by the QSP, additional gravel bags were placed across the Stonebridge Staging Yard access road, and a shallow swale, approximately 2 to 3 inches deep, was constructed using gravel bags, to divert flows to the offsite detention basin.
8. All construction and compliance personnel on site during this reporting period completed the Project Safety and Environmental Awareness Program (SEAP). To date, approximately 92 Project personnel have received the required training.
9. No Non-Compliance Reports, or Project Memoranda were issued during the subject period for the Staging Yards.
10. One public complaint was received on January 18, 2017. A neighbor questioned the rights of the Driving Range Staging Yard owner to lease the land to SDG&E.

Agency Representatives during Construction (other than CPUC EMs): None

CPUC NOTICES TO PROCEED (NTPs)

Table 1 summarizes the CPUC Notice to Proceed activity for the SDG&E Sycamore-Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project to date.

Table 1 CPUC NTPs

NTP #	Date Submitted	Date Issued	Segment #	Description
1	12/05/16	12/29/16	N/A	Mobilize and begin operations at the construction staging yards.

MINOR PROJECT REFINEMENT & TEMPORARY EXTRA WORKSPACE REQUESTS

Tables 2 and 3 summarize the Minor Project Refinements (MPRs) and Temporary Extra Workspace (TEWS) Requests have been submitted to date.

Table 2 Minor Project Refinement (MPR) Requests

MPR Request	Date Submitted	Date Issued	Segment #	Description
#1	12/06/16	1/11/17	Segment A	Underground 230-kV getaway from Sycamore Substation to Structure P03.
#2	01/17/17	01/24/17	Segment B	Realignment of the 230-kV underground transmission line from Miramar Road north on Black Mountain Road and west on Activity Road.

Table 3 Temporary Extra Workspace (TEWS) Requests

TEWS Request	Date Submitted	Date Issued	Segment #	Description
None to date				

NON-COMPLIANCES, PROJECT MEMORANDA & INCIDENTS

No Project Memorandum, Non-Compliance Reports, or other incidents have occurred to date.

Non-Compliances, Project Memoranda, and Other Incidents

Type	Date	Location	Description
None to date			

ATTACHMENT A INSPECTION REPORTS

2017-01-18 SycPen Inspection Report

SDG&E Sycamore- Peñasquitos 230-kV Transmission Line Project: Daily Inspection Report

Inspection Date	2017-01-18
Start Time	09:15:00
End Time	12:15:00
Monitor Name	Korey Klutz
Organization	Panorama Environmental, Inc. (Panorama)
Summary of Construction Activities	Visited Driving Range yard first. Crews were installing BMPs. Fencing was complete. Then visited Stonebridge Yard where crews were actively installing fence and importing rock. The LEI was observed at both yards actively monitoring for compliance. BMPS were also installed and ready for upcoming storm event at Stonebridge.
Summary of Compliance Activities	LEI onsite monitoring for compliance. QSP visited both yards in order to conduct their pre-storm site visit.
Were any issues encountered?	No

Photo Log

Photo



Caption Stonebridge Yard

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:08:38 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097401, -117.200202
View Direction: East

Photo



Caption Fence installation areas Stonebridge Yard

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:08:04 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.096480, -117.200626
View Direction: East

Photo



Caption Stonebridge Yard firebox

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:07:32 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097328, -117.200116
View Direction: West

Photo



Caption Stonebridge Yard gravel access road with BMPs

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:06:43 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097397, -117.200001
View Direction: West

Photo



Caption Stonebridge Yard rock base

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:06:06 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097397, -117.200001
View Direction: East

Photo



Caption Driving Range Yard and adjacent hillside

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:04:45 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097397, -117.200001
View Direction: East

Photo



Caption BMP installation - Driving Range Yard

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:03:53 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097397, -117.200001
View Direction: East

Photo



Caption Driving Range signage

Photo Attributes Capture Date/Time: Wed Jan 18 2017 22:03:19 GMT-0800 (PST)
Coordinates: 33.097397, -117.200001
View Direction: East

Daily Checklist

Q1 – General Avoidance and Minimization: (a) Were the limits of work areas and access roads clearly marked? (b) Were construction activities limited to CPUC-approved work areas?	Met Requirements
Q1 Notes	LEI onsite at both Yards
Q2 – Air Quality/GHG: (a) Were dust avoidance and minimization requirements implemented and monitored adequately? (b) Was dust generation below required thresholds? (c) Were equipment and vehicles maintained and operated in a manner to limit emissions? (d) Was organic waste collected and separated from non-organic waste for appropriate composting or recycling, as appropriate?	Met Requirements
Q2 Notes	Water truck onsite at Stonebridge. Idling restriction signs in place at both Yards.
Q3 – Special-Status Plants: Were impact avoidance and minimization requirements for special-status plants implemented adequately (e.g., avoiding sensitive areas)?	N/A
Q3 Notes	No special-status plants at Staging Yards.
Q4 – Wildlife (Non-Avian): Were impact avoidance and minimization requirements for wildlife implemented adequately (e.g., avoiding sensitive areas, covering excavations, and inspecting equipment/trenches)?	Met Requirements
Q4 Notes	LEI onsite ensuring compliance
Q5 – Nesting and Burrowing Birds: (a) Were active nests and occupied burrows identified and monitored during applicable seasons, as required? (b) Were impact avoidance and minimization requirements for any active nests or occupied burrows implemented adequately?	Met Requirements
Q5 Notes	LEI actively monitoring Yards for nesting birds
Q6 – Water Features and Aquatic Habitat: Were water features avoided?	NA
Q6 Notes	No Water Features at Yards
Q7 – Stormwater, Erosion, and Sediment Control: (a) Were erosion and sediment control BMPs in place and functioning properly? (b) Were inactive or completed work areas stabilized and/or restored adequately?	Met Requirements
Q7 Notes	QSP onsite for pre-storm inspection. BMPs installed at Stonebridge and in process of being installed at Driving Range.
Q8 – Invasive Weed Control: Were procedures to prevent the spread of invasive weeds implemented	Met Requirements

adequately?

Q8 Notes

Q9 – Hazardous Materials and Waste Management: (a) Were hazardous materials stored and labeled properly? (b) Was hazardous material waste disposed of appropriately? (c) Were any spills or leaks addressed adequately? (d) Was solid waste collected and disposed of adequately?

Met Requirements

Q9 Notes

LEI onsite monitoring for site compliance. Spill kits were observed at the Stonebridge yard.

Q10 – Fire Management: (a) Were fire prevention procedures in place? (b) Was firefighting equipment available on site?

Met Requirements

Q10 Notes

Fire toolkit onsite at Stonebridge. Vehicles observed were either parked in designated areas or had their own tools.

Q11 – Cultural, Paleontological, and Tribal Resources: (a) Were cultural, paleontological, and tribal resources monitoring and impact avoidance occurring as required? (b) If potential resources or human remains were inadvertently discovered, were response procedures and protocols implemented as required?

N/A

Q11 Notes

Soil disturbance not occurring at either Yard.

Q12 – Construction Noise: (a) Were noise minimization measures in place, as required? (b) If noise complaints were received, were they documented and addressed, as required?

Met Requirements

Q12 Notes

Q13 – Maintain Access: (a) Was access to driveways (e.g., businesses, schools, residences) maintained as required? (b) Was access to recreational areas maintained and appropriate signage installed? (c) Was pedestrian and bicycle access maintained, or were detours clearly defined?

N/A

Q13 Notes

Q14 – Traffic Control (a) Were flaggers present at all times? (b) Were barriers, cones, and signs in place? (c) Were detours clearly marked?

Met Requirements

Q14 Notes

Traffic Safety personnel onsite at Stonebridge directing traffic (rock truck deliveries) on and offsite.

Q15 – Helicopter Use (a) Were helicopter use restrictions implemented adequately? (b) Were helicopter take-off and landing sites within prescribed limits?

N/A

Q15 Notes

Q16 – Worker Training and Communication: Have all workers on site received the required environmental trainings for the project?

Met Requirements

Q16 Notes

All workers onsite had stickers showing SEAP compliance

Q17 – MMCRP Implementation: (a) Were project activities consistent with the approved project as defined in the EIR and subsequent CPUC authorizations? (b) Were MMCRP procedures implemented adequately?

Met Requirements

Q17 Notes

LEI onsite ensuring compliance with MMCRP