

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

505 VAN NESS AVENUE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102-3298



July 24, 2018

VIA EMAIL

Mr. Tim Lyons  
Regulatory Case Manager II  
San Diego Gas & Electric Company  
8315 Century Park Court  
San Diego, CA 92123

SUBJECT: Data Request #4 for the SDG&E San Marcos to Escondido TL6975 69kV Project  
Initial Study

Dear Mr. Lyons:

As the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) proceeds with our environmental review of San Diego Gas & Electric Company's (SDG&E)'s San Marcos to Escondido TL6975 69kV Project (Project), we have identified additional information required in order to adequately conduct the CEQA review. The CPUC requests SDG&E provide the following information (Data Request #4) by August 7, 2018. Please inform the CPUC if SDG&E cannot meet this deadline request.

In addition to the aforementioned information, the Energy Division may request additional data, as necessary, to prepare a complete an adequate analysis of the potential environmental effects of the Project in accordance with the requirements of CEQA.

Please do not hesitate to call me at (415) 703-1966 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Lisa Orsaba".

Lisa Orsaba  
Project Manager  
Energy Division, CEQA Unit

cc: David D. Davis, AICP, ESA

Attachment:

1) Data Request #4

## **Data Request #4**

### **SDG&E San Marcos to Escondido TL6975 69kV Project**

1. Provide information on any telecommunication facilities present within SDG&E's right-of-way for TL 6975. The term "facilities" is meant to include any conductors/cable, connection boxes, etc. This information will include owner/operator, type of lease or operating agreement, and expiration of agreement, as well as information on the type of service carried by each facility (e.g., telephone, cable television, DSL, etc.) and material composition of the conductor/cable (i.e., copper, fiber optic, etc.).
2. Provide copies of reports for previous cultural resources investigations conducted within ¼-mile of the project footprint.
3. To further substantiate the results of the eligibility evaluation of the two substations in the Historical Resource Inventory and Evaluation Report (Yates *et al* 2018), provide information demonstrating that engineering documentation was reviewed, including identifying the engineers to establish lack of eligibility under Criteria B/2 or C/3.
4. The information provided in Response #9 to Data Request #3 is not sufficient to calculate the volume of excavation for the retaining walls propose at pole locations 55, 60, and 61. Provide the additional information to complete these calculations.
5. Item #17 in Data Request #3 requested a copy of the helicopter best management practices (BMP's) to include in the Project's CEQA administrative record. This was not provided in SDG&E response package; provide this document. Please also provide an explanation of how adherence to the BMPs would address or ameliorate potential CEQA impacts.
6. Please confirm that references to the 636 ACSS/AW in the PEA Project Description should be ACSR/AW. Does this apply to other conductors referenced in the PEA Project Description? If not, specify which ones should be revised.
7. PEA Project Description Section 3.5.4.1, Above-Ground Installation, states that the distance between conductors would be approximately 9 feet. Is this referring the relative position of the conductors on a pole?
8. In Corrected Table 3-10 provided as Attachment 6 to SDG&E response packet to Data Request #1, the original number in the "# of Days" column for "Foundation Construction (micropile)" was deleted without explanation and not replaced. Provide information as to why the cell should be blank or what should replace the deleted information. If the assumption is that the data would be the same as that for [Pier] Foundation Construction, provide a note indicating that.
9. Provide information on what the CPUC General Order 95 vegetation clearance requirements could be for the types of poles proposed for the Project.
10. For the CEQA administrative record, provide a copy of SDG&E's "current construction and operation practices" referenced in the discussion of Duct Bank Installation in PEA Project Description Section 3.7.8.5, Belowground Distribution Line Construction". Provide explanation as to how this would address CEQA impacts potentially resulting from this work.
11. PEA Project Description Section 3.8.1, General Project Operation and Maintenance Activities and Practices, makes reference to SDG&E's existing operations and maintenance protocols and procedures. Provide the document for the CEQA administrative record and explain how it would address potential CEQA issues.